OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Journal of Theoretical & Computational Science

Perspective

The Basis of Complex Systems in Persistent Structural Relationships

Eleanor Whitfield*

Department of Computer Science, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA

DESCRIPTION

The layered nature of systems further supports this view. Processes operate without awareness of the frameworks beneath them. Chemical reactions do not account for atomic forces, yet they depend on them. Organisms function without tracking molecular equations, yet those equations remain active. Higher level behavior often unfolds independently of its foundations while still relying on them completely. Awareness may exist in a similar relationship to deeper organizing principles dependent, emergent, and limited in scope. Mathematics has proven to be remarkably effective in explaining natural phenomena. Motion, energy exchange, light, and matter all obey equations that work consistently across observation. These equations are not loose approximations; they often deliver precise results that match measurement with astonishing accuracy. Such reliability raises a profound question: if mathematics describes existence so perfectly, perhaps it does more than describe. Perhaps it forms the structure itself. At extremely small scales, nature appears divided rather than smooth. Measurements reveal limits, steps, and minimum units. This behavior resembles digital systems where continuity is simulated through resolution. In constructed models, discreteness allows stability and efficiency.

A mathematically structured universe would naturally display such properties, since infinite precision would be unnecessary and impractical. Order also appears in the balance of physical values. The relationships between forces, particles, and energy are finely arranged. Slight variation could prevent complex structures from forming. This precision can be interpreted as evidence of structured selection rather than coincidence. Within mathematical frameworks, countless parameter sets can exist, yet only a narrow range produces stable, complex outcomes. Observers would naturally exist only within those ranges. Thought follows patterns of logic and signal processing. Memory, learning, and decision-making rely on structured activity. Artificial systems already demonstrate that organized computation can produce problem-solving behavior. When awareness emerges from structured processes at smaller scales, it becomes plausible that awareness could exist within a much larger structured system.

Mathematical simulation theory also offers unity across fields of study. Physics, biology, and cognition can all be viewed through information processing. Genetic material stores coded instructions. Neural systems transmit signals. Ecological systems adjust through feedback loops. Viewing these layers as interconnected processes highlights coherence without stripping away richness or complexity. Testability remains a challenging aspect. Absolute confirmation may lie beyond reach, yet science often works through indirect signals. Constraints on measurement, limits on complexity, and consistent regularities can all point toward underlying structure. Many accepted scientific models began as speculative frameworks before accumulating support through consistency and prediction. Harm still causes suffering. Care still builds trust. Moral action retains importance regardless of the underlying structure of existence. In fact, awareness of order may reinforce responsibility, encouraging thoughtful behavior within interconnected systems. Human intuition evolved for survival rather than deep comprehension of existence. History repeatedly shows that common assumptions can be mistaken. Accepting that reality may operate beyond instinct fosters openness and curiosity. It shifts attention from certainty toward exploration. There is also a risk of misinterpretation. Treating existence as trivial or game-like would ignore lived experience. Even within a structured system, pain and joy remain real to those who feel them. Responsibility cannot be dismissed without undermining empathy and cooperation.

Correspondence to: Eleanor Whitfield, Department of Computer Science, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA, E-mail: whitefieldelean@gmail.com

Received: 01-Dec-2025, Manuscript No. JTCO-25-40614; **Editor assigned:** 03-Dec-2025, PreQC No. JTCO-25-40614 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 16-Dec-2025, QC No. JTCO-25-40614; **Revised:** 23-Dec-2025, Manuscript No. JTCO-25-40614 (R); **Published:** 30-Dec-2025, DOI: 10.35248/2471-9552.25.11.263

Citation: Whitfield E (2025). The Basis of Complex Systems in Persistent Structural Relationships. J Theor Comput Sci. 11:263.

Copyright: © 2025 Whitfield E. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.