

Symptomatic Encapsulated Fat Necrosis: Unusual Cause of Abdominal Pain

Byabene Gloire a Dieu¹, Sorin Cimpean^{2*}, Imorou Yacoubou³, Kamga Felix³, Gbessi Gaspard³

¹Departement of Surgery, Panzi Hospital, Bukavu Province, DRC,Congo; ²Departement of Surgery, Saint Pierre University Hopital, Bruxelles, Belgium; ³Departement of Clinic of Visceral Surgery, Centre National Hospitalier, Huber Koutoukou Manga, Cotonou, Benin

ABSTRACT

Encapsulated fat necrosis can be can be found in the abdominal cavity and other parts of the body. It can be the cause of abdominal pain. We present a case of a patient with two encapsulated fat necrosis intra-abdominal in a patient with a history of three caesarean sections who consulted for abdominal pain. Encapsulated fat necrosis intra-abdominal is thought to result from a traumatic or ischemic insult that causes degeneration of intra-abdominal fat tissues; in turn, the necrotic fatty tissue organizes within a thin or thick fibrous capsule. Encapsulated fat necrosis is most often follows exploratory laparotomies can be discovered by incidentally or during an exploratory laparoscopy. **Keywords:** Fat necrosis; Encapsulated necrosis; Laparoscopic approach

CLINICAL CASE

A 56-year-old patient was admitted in consultation for chronic and intermittent abdominal pain. The patient described no changes in bowel habits, or weight loss. Previously was treated by the general practitioner with antalgics and antispasmodic with persistence of the abdominal pain. The medical history reveals one caesarean operation.



Figure 1: Axial abdominal-pelvic MRI image that reveals the presence of the calcified mass near the left ovary.

On the physical examination there was no abdominal tenderness, palpable mass, and the digital rectal examination was also normal. Laboratory findings were within the normal limits. Chest x-ray and electrocardiogram (ECG) were normal. Abdominal Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) revealed two oval structures well delimited with fatty and linear calcium content on the right pelvic area (22×16 mm) and at the level of the right flank (22×15 mm) (Figures 1 and 2).



Figure 2: Cor onal abdominal-pelvic MRI image that r eveals the presence of the calcified mass in the Douglas pouch.

Correspondence to: Sorin Cimpean, Departement of Surgery, Saint Pierre University Hopital, Bruxelles, Belgium, E-mail: Sorin.cimpean.md@gmail.com

Received date: May 22, 2020; Accepted date: June 05, 2020; Published date: June 12, 2020

Citation: Dieu BG, Cimpean S, Yacoubou I, Felix K, Gaspard G (2020) Symptomatic Encapsulated Fat Necrosis: Unusual Cause of Abdominal Pain. J Clin Trials 10:417. doi: 10.35248/2167-0870.20.10.417

Copyright: © 2020 Dieu BG, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Gloire a Dieu Byabene, et al.

A diagnostic laparoscopy was scheduled. The laparoscopic exploration reveals the presence of two free ovoid and solid in the Douglas pouch and near to the left ovary (Figure 3). The exploration did not reveal any abnormalities. The masses were removed in a retriever bag through the 10 mm umbilical's trocar incision. The anatomopathological examination found two white oval of 24 mm and 23 mm on the long axis, with bonyhard and smooth surface. The cross section showed a core of necrotic fat tissue with calcifications and a laminated fibrous capsule (Figure 4). Histologically, there was no evidence of cellular tissue.



Figure 3: Intraoperative image that reveals the presence of the mass next to the left ovary.



Figure 4: Macroscopic image of the mass.

Post-operative course was uneventful. The oral alimentation was resumed on the day of the operation, and the patient was discharged at the first postoperative day. The postoperative follow up revealed a complete remission of the symptomatology.

DISCUSSION

Fat necrosis is a benign condition that is usually asymptomatic. Free localization in the abdomen is relatively rare [1]. Encapsulated fat necrosis develops more frequently, from the torsion of an epiploic appendage, resulting in a freely mobile fibrotic mass in the abdominal cavity, also known as peritoneal loose body. Mesenteric and retroperitoneal fat necrosis can occur in context of saponification; in pancreatitis, in which the damaged pancreas releases lipolytic enzymes, which auto digestion of the peripancreatic fat tissues [2-4].

Encapsulated fat necrosis may occur anywhere in the body, but it was first described in the breast in 1975 by Schmidt-Hermes and Loskant [5], is thought to be the result from a traumatic or ischemic injury that causes fat degeneration [6]. Although pathogenesis of encapsulated fat necrosis are unclear, trauma and ischemia are supposed to be the first triggering events for the onset of rapid infarction of adipose tissue lobules, which causes an inflammatory cellular response, followed by formation of a fibrous capsule around the necrotic fat [7]. The processes is characterized by a non-suppurative inflammation [8], in which a fibrous connective tissue capsule covers necrotic and degenerated fatty tissue. Trauma-related ischaemia is believed to cause the necrosis of fatty tissue, which gradually detaches from its surroundings [9].

Encapsulated fat necrosis nodules are often found incidentally and have no clinical relevance. When encapsulated fat necrosis nodules grow to a significant size, it can present acute symptoms due to extrinsic compression like urinary disorders or bowel obstruction. In symptomatic cases, surgical removal is indicated.

Abdominal fat is well represented on the intraperitoneal and retroperitoneal abdominal compartments, as well as in the subcutaneous tissue [1], abdominal fat necrosis is often found at cross-sectional imaging, commonly secondary to surgery, trauma or pancreatitis [1,9,10]. Its imaging appearance may be complex, with mild mass effect on adjacent structures that may mimic a liposarcoma [11,12]. Benign hypermetabolic lesions, including fat necrosis, may mimic malignancy on FDG-PET/CT studies. Thus, familiarity with the characteristics of fat necrosis is important to avoid the misinterpretation [13]. A number of case reports have documented FDG-PET/CT findings of abdominal fat necrosis after medical or surgical treatment [14-17].

Considering the increased structural tissue destruction that is usually involved in open versus laparoscopic techniques, a higher incidence of fat necrosis formation might be expected in patients who underwent open surgery [18].

CONCLUSION

Symptomatic free intra-abdominal encapsulated fat necrosis is a rare condition. It may be discovered incidentally on imagery assessment for abdominal pain or during a surgical intervention. Non-specific and misleading symptoms and signs may delay the diagnosis. Surgical treatment can be performed if the symptomatology occurs.

REFERENCES

- Kamaya A, Federle MP, Desser TS. Imaging manifestations of abdominal fat necrosis and its mimics. Radiographics. 2011;31(7): 2021 - 2034.
- Aho HJ, Sternby B, Nevalainen TJ. Fat necrosis in human acute pancreatitis: An immunohistological study. Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand. 1986;94(2):101–105.

- Franco-Pons N, Gea-Sorli S, Closa D. Release of inflammatory mediators by adipose tissue during acute pancreatitis. J Pathol. 2010;221(2):175–182.
- 4. Balthazar EJ. Acute pancreatitis: assessment of severity with clinical and CT evaluation. Radiolo. 2002;223(3):603–613.
- 5. Schmidt-Hermes HJ, Loskant G. Calcified fat necrosis of the female breast [in German]. Med Welt. 1975;26(24):1179–1180.
- 6. Chan LP, Gee R, Keogh C, Munk PL. Imaging features of fat necrosis. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2003;181(4):955-959.
- 7. Takao H, Yamahira K, Watanabe T. Encapsulated fat necrosis mimicking abdominal liposarcoma: Computed tomography findings. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2004;28:193–194.
- 8. Tan PH, Lai LM, Carrington EV. Fat necrosis of the breast: A review. Breast. 2006 15:313-318.
- 9. Aydin D, Berg JO. Subcutaneous encapsulated fat necrosis. Clin Case Rep. 2016 4:456-457.
- Shaaban AM, Rezvani M, Tubay M, Elsayes KM, Woodward PJ, Menias CO. Fat-containing retroperitoneal lesions: Imaging characteristics, localization, and differential diagnosis. RadioGraphics. 2016;36:710-734.
- 11. Takao H, Yamahira K, Watanabe T. Encapsulated fat necrosis mimicking abdominal liposarcoma:Computed tomography findings. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2004;28(2):193–194.

- Chen H, Tsang Y, Wu C, Su C, Hsu JC. Perirenal fat necrosis secondary to hemorrhagic pancreatitis, mimicking retroperitoneal liposarcoma: CT manifestation. Abdom Imaging. 1996;21(6):546– 548.
- 13. Modi D, Fulham MJ, Mohamed A. Markedly increased FDG uptake in a vocal cord after medialization with Teflon: PET/CT findings. ClinNucl Med. 2005:30:45-47.
- Dubreuil J, Moreau A, Sarkozy C, Traverse-Glehen A, Skanjeti A, Salles G, et al. FDG PET/CT findings in abdominal fat necrosis after treatment for lymphoma. ClinNucl Med. 2016;41:397–398.
- 15. Thurnher MM, Schima W, Turetschek K, Thurnher SA, Függer R, Oberhuber G. Peripancreatic fat necrosis mimicking pancreatic cancer. EurRadiol. 2001;6:922–925.
- Reddy MP, Sangster G, Heldmann MG, Lilien DL. Fat necrosis in the abdomen after surgery close to the pancreas: Potential falsepositive o npositron emission tomography. Clin Nucl Med. 2006;31:499–500.
- Goshen E, Davidson T, Aderka D, Zwas ST. PET/CT detects abdominal wall and port site metastases of colorectal carcinoma. Br J Radiol. 2006;79:572–577.
- Tima D, Eyal L, Klang J Nissan. Fat necrosis after abdominal surgery: A pitfall in interpretation of FDG-PET/CT. European Radiology. 2018;28:2264–2272.