

**Open Access** 

# Screening of BRCA 1 - 185delAG mutation in Ovarian Cancer patients in a Tertiary care centre from Telangana

Sirisha P<sup>1</sup>, Vottery R<sup>2</sup>, Amidyala L<sup>2</sup>, Nallari P<sup>3</sup>, Jyothy A<sup>1</sup> and Venkateshwari A<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Genetics and Hospital for Genetic Diseases, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India <sup>2</sup>Yashoda Hospital, Secunderabad, India Dependence of Genetics, Demonstrative Undershed, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Genetics, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India

#### Abstract

**Aim:** Ovarian cancer (OC) occurs due to genetic alterations and mutations in BRCA gene. The aim of the study is to assess the frequency of genetic alterations that persist in Ashkenazi founder mutation BRCA1, 185deIAG in patients with ovarian cancer from South Indian origin.

**Materials and Methods:** A total of 100 ovarian cancer patients and an equal number of control subjects were included in the present study. Screening of 185delAG mutation BRCA1 gene was carried out by ARMS PCR followed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Statistical analysis was applied to test for the significance of the results obtained.

**Results:** The genotype distribution of WW, WM, MM showed a significant difference between the two subjects, 95%, 4% and 1% in controls and 52%, 36% and 12% in cases respectively. An increased frequency of homozygotic mutant genotypes (MM) were found in patients compared to controls. Similarly, a significant difference in the distribution of M allele in cases and control subjects (W v/s M:  $\chi^2$  P<0.0001, OR 18.06, 95% CI 6.31-51.65) was observed.

**Conclusion:** The demographic details of the patients and controls revealed that females of age greater than 40 years are associated with high risk of ovarian cancer. The postmenopausal women have a very high susceptibility to OC (6.5 times riskier). Therefore, 185delAG mutation BRCA1 has a possible association in the etiology of ovarian cancer.

# Keywords: BRCA1; 185delAG mutation; Ovarian Cancer

# Introduction

Ovarian cancer (OC) is the most common forms of hereditary cancer in women and is the leading cause of death by gynecological malignancy. It has a hostile phenotype and a relatively poor prognosis. More than two thirds of patients were showing late stage disease [1,2]. It is predominantly a disease of postmenopausal women and has an overall 5-year survival of less than 30% [3]. Earlier studies indicate that hereditary cancers constitute 5%-10% and may be up to 14% [4,5] epidemiologic and molecular genetics analyses indicate that about 10% of all epithelial ovarian carcinomas are associated with autosomal dominant genetic predisposition, conferred primarily by inherited mutations in BRCA1 or BRCA2, they account for >90% of hereditary cancers. In general population the frequency ranges between 2-12% and 2-6% respectively [6]. The cumulative life time risk of developing epithelial ovarian carcinoma ranges from 20%-30% [7]. BRCA1 cloned in 1994 and 2 in 1995 both are very large genes most known mutations lead to premature termination of protein, leading to loss of tumor suppression [8]. Mutations in BRCA1 are present in approximately onehalf of the early-onset breast cancer families and 80% of the early-onset breast and ovarian cancer families [9] whereas BRCA2 mutations are believed to account for a comparable percentage of inherited breast cancer cases [10]. Both the genes are detrimental and acts as a sensor for DNA damage [11,12] hence resulting in defects in DNA repair, transcription, abnormal centrosome regulation, impaired spindle check point and chromosome damage. In view of the above the present study is aimed to evaluate the role of the founder mutation in the etiology of ovarian cancer.

# Materials and Methods

#### **Study population**

A total of 200 individuals were included in the present study. 100

clinically and histopathologically confirmed ovarian cancer patients from Yashoda Hospital, Secunderabad during the years 2012-14 and an equal number of age matched healthy control subjects were also incorporated for comparative studies. A structured proforma was used to seek information on dietary habits, smoking, alcohol consumption, family history etc. Written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects. The study was also approved by our Institutional Ethical committee.

#### **DNA** isolation

Five ml of venous blood was drawn from each individual in EDTA vacutainers. Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood using the salting out method [13].

#### Mutation analysis of 185delAG

The mutation of 185delAG was analyzed based on Amplification Refractory Mutation System-Polymerase Chain Reaction (ARMS-PCR). The BRCA1 185delAG exon 2 primers are as follows: forward primer, 5'- GGTTGGCAGCAATATGTGAA-3' (P1), Wild-type reverse 5'-GCTGACTTACCAGATGGGACTCTC-3' (P2) and Mutant

\*Corresponding author: Venkateshwari A, Institute of Genetics and Hospital for Genetic Diseases, Osmania University, Begumpet, Hyderabad - 500016, India, Tel: 091-40-23403681; E-mail: venkateshwari@yahoo.com

Received July 05, 2017; Accepted July 20, 2017; Published July 25, 2017

**Citation:** Sirisha P, Vottery R, Amidyala L, Nallari P, Jyothy A, et al. (2017) Screening of BRCA 1 - 185delAG mutation in Ovarian Cancer patients in a Tertiary care centre from Telangana. Gynecol Obstet (Sunnyvale) 7: 444. doi: 10.4172/2161-0932.1000444

**Copyright:** © 2017 Sirisha P, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Sirisha P, Vottery R, Amidyala L, Nallari P, Jyothy A, et al. (2017) Screening of BRCA 1 - 185delAG mutation in Ovarian Cancer patients in a Tertiary care centre from Telangana. Gynecol Obstet (Sunnyvale) 7: 444. doi: 10.4172/2161-0932.1000444

reverse5'-CCCAAATTAATACACTCTTGTCGTGACTTACCAGAT GGGACAGTA-3' (P3). A 335 base pair region for wild type and 354 base pair region for mutant type was targeted for amplification. The optimized reaction conditions consisted of 25 mg of genomic DNA in a reaction volume of 20  $\mu$ l of reaction mixture consisting of 10 × PCR reaction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 10  $\mu$ g/ml gelatin), 3.25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM dNTPs, and 3 U Taq DNA polymerase (Roche, Penzberg, Germany), 2.0  $\mu$ M for P1 and P3, 0.4  $\mu$ M for P2. The cycling conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 minutes, followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 57.3° C for 30s and 72°C for 45s. The final extension step was at 72°C for 10 min.

The amplified products were electrophoresed on an agarose gel (2.0%) stained with ethidium bromide. The presence of 335 bp band indicates wild type and 354 bp band indicates mutant type based on 100-base pair ladder.

## Statistical analysis

The distribution of BRCA1 185delAG mutation and allele frequencies in healthy and ovarian cancer group were analyzed by Chi-square. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were also assessed. Differences among subject groups in clinical characteristics were analyzed with Fisher's two-tailed exact tests. Mutation frequencies were tabulated by direct counting and allele frequencies estimated from the observed number of mutations.

# Results

The study population consist of 100 Ovarian cancer patients, comprising 32 familial and 68 sporadic cases including 100 agematched controls were screened for the presence of 185delAG of BRCA1 mutation. Data related to age, marital status, consanguinity, menopause status, familial history, taken into consideration and (Table 1) derived from the same geographic location and are representative of South Indian population from Telangana. There was a significant difference in allele distribution between patients and control subjects (W v/s M:  $\chi^2$ P<0.0001, OR 18.06, 95% CI 6.31-51.65).

The demographic features of ovarian cancer patients and controls subjects have been represented on various variables like Age, Menopause Status, Consanguinity, Diet Intake and Marital Status.

The age of incidence of ovarian cancer seems to be population specific. Our ethnic group includes 100 OC patients of which 18% ( $\leq$  40 years of age) and 82% (>40 years of age). Patients and control subjects were derived from the same ethnic group. A significant association of ovarian cancer patients with age (p<0.000), menopausal status (p<0.000), consanguinity (0.043) and marital status (p=0.022). On the other hand, there was no significant association with diet intake. Histopathologically, staging plays a major role, the ovarian tumors falls under major categories (Scully, 1987; Serov, 1973); epithelial, germ cell and sex cord-stromal cell tumors. According to our study, Epithelial (85%); Germ cell (04%); Sex cord-stromal (03%) and Unknown/ unclassified (08%). When taking family history into consideration, the study reflected over 32% are of familial cases and 68% are sporadic cases.

## Mutation frequency (185delag) based on family history

Figure 1 shows the mutation-detection rate was dependent on different Cancer Case History that shows: Breast & Ovarian Cancer 7/9 (77.7%); Only Ovarian Cancer 3/4 (75%); History of Other Cancer 10/19 (52.6%); Sporadic Cases 31/68 (45.50%).

## Frequency of mutations in cases by age

Age has been considered as one of the potential factors for ovarian

Variables	Controls/Patients	Odd's ratio	95% CI	p-value	
	Age	•			
≤ 40	44/18	6.833	3.413-13.813	0.000**	
>40	56/82				
	Menopaus	e status			
Pre	74/29	6.968	3.582-13.660	0.000**	
Post	26/71				
	Consang	uinity			
Yes	8/26	0.247	0.097-0.616	0.001*	
No	92/74				
	Diet Int	ake			
Veg	10/18	0.506	0.204-1.240	0.153	
Non-Veg	90/82				
	Marital s	tatus			
Married	86/75	0.246 0.054-0.963		0.037*	
Unmarried	14/03				

Note: \*p < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01

Table 1: Demographic details of ovarian cancer patients and control subjects.





cancer as the risk increases with the advancement of age and the survival rate decreases accordingly. The average age at diagnosis of ovarian cancer was 52.5 years and the average age of menarche is 12.2 years, respectively. This graph is obtained in accordance with the age specific incidence of Ovarian cancer in south-Indian population. The age of the patients have been categorized into 4 groups  $\leq$  30 yrs (4); 31-40 yrs (14), 41-50 yrs (29) and  $\geq$  50 age group (53). However, the overall incidences in different groups were inconsistent and showed peak in  $\geq$  50 age group (Figure 2). Table 2 shows the distribution of 185delAG mutation of BRCA1 gene in control subjects and patients with ovarian

Page 2 of 4

Page	3	of	4
	-		

Genotype	Cor N=	ntrols 100%	Pat N=	ients 100%	Odds ratio	95% CI	P value
WW	95	(95)	52	(52)			
WM	04	(4)	36	(36)	16.4	5.54-48.7	<0.000**
MM	01	(1)	12	(12)	21.9	2.77-173.3	<0.0001**
			Allelic	Frequenc	y		
W	114	0.730	196	0.98			
М	42	0.269	04	0.02	8.05	6.31-51.65	<0.0001**

Note: WW-homozygous wild , WM-heterozygous, MM-homozygous mutant

 Table 2: Distribution of 185delAG mutation and its allelic frequencies in patients and control subjects.

cancer. WW 95%, WM 4% and MM 1% in controls and 52%, WM 36% and MM 12% in cases. An increased frequency of homozygotic mutant genotypes (MM) were found in patients compared to controls. There was a statistically significant difference in the distribution of allele frequencies in cases and controls (W v/s M:  $\chi^2$  P<0.0001, OR 18.06, 95% CI 6.31-51.65).

## Discussion

In our present study, an increased BRCA1 185delAG is the founder mutation in many ethnic groups. This study supports the concept that the 185delAG of BRCA1 germ line mutation, referred to as 'Ashkenazi mutation, which is not restricted to a particular ethnic sub-group [14]. Ashkenazi and a considerable fraction of non-Ashkenazi 185delAG mutation carriers support the concept of a common ancient founder for this particular mutation in Jewish people of different ethnic origin [15]. The study conducted by Saxena et al. [16] on 204 families which included only 34 families had a positive family history [16]. One of the main features of familial breast/ovarian cancer is its early onset; therefore families with an early onset will be considered for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation study. But in India, to include such families in the screening program may not be reasonable because it has been reported in population based registries that the average age of breast cancer patients to be 50-53 which in case of American women is 61 years. This is an important fact because 90% of the breast cancers are of sporadic origin. Therefore, if early onset families are included in mutation screening studies, it may lead to compromised estimation of the mutation frequency. As per this study, the frequency of these two gene mutations in familial breast cancer cases was found to be 24.6% and ovarian contributed to 3.28% respectively. In different population it is  $\,21\%$  and 9% in Britain, 24% and 18% in France, 40% and 16% in Canada, and 39% and 25% in the USA, respectively, and in either genes 35% represented in the families of Sweden and Hungary [17].

This mutation occurs in an area of multiple adenosines residues which is prone to DNA slippage, thus indicating that this mutation has sustained the natural selective evolutionary pressure against it, with the finding of an apparent independent focus of its occurrence [18]. As the mutation 185delAG was predominantly detected among Ashkenazi Jews, it has been termed as the "Ashkenazi Mutation" because this group attained 1% carrier frequency within the population since origin of the ancestral mutation [19]. Among the breast/ovarian cancer patients, absence of 185delAG mutation in BRCA1 may be due to mutations in other regions like in non-coding and other coding regions of the gene and in other genes like BRCA2, PTEN, p53 [20-22]. 185delAG mutation is one of the most common mutations, it is located at the 5' end of the gene and predicted to cause truncation at the beginning of the zinc-binding region of the RING of the putative polypeptide. It was proposed that mutations within the BRCA1 RING domain predispose to cancer by inactivating BRCA1 ubiquitin protein ligase activity [23].

In our present study, an increased frequency of homozygotic mutant genotype (MM) was found and a statistical significant association was noticed in the distribution of 185delAG in patients compared to control subjects. Further studies are required based on mutational analysis for identification of related mutations and polymorphisms. The biological effects of the protein related to polymorphisms can be understood which will help in predicting the etiology of ovarian cancer in different geographical regions. Proper counselling of patients and presymptomatic mutation carriers will help them make better decisions about medical and surgical preventive options.

## Conclusion

From this study, we found that the prevalence of 185delAG of BRCA1 gene have shown some similarities and difference when compared with other populations. This study also emphasizes the importance of demographic details which play major role in mutation screening. Patients who are at a high risk of early-onset disease, with appropriate awareness i.e. genetic counselling, counselling should include a discussion of the basic principles of hereditary cancer susceptibility as well as an evaluation of the woman's own risk of cancer, and how testing would add to the characterization of those risks, and how medical management would be affected by a positive and a negative test result so that patients and carriers would be in safe zone.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Mr. P. Madhava Prasad and all the clinical staff of Yashoda Hospitals, Secunderabad.

#### References

- Meinhold-Heerlein I, Bauerschlag D, Zhou Y, Sapinoso LM, Ching K, et al. (2007) An integrated clinical genomic approach identifies a candidate multianalyte blood test for serous ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res 13: 458-466.
- Pal T, Permuth-Wey J, Kapoor R, Cantor A, Sutphen R (2007) Improved survival in BRCA2 carriers with ovarian cancer. Fam Cancer 6: 113-119.
- Boyd J, Sonoda Y, Federici MG, Bogomolniy F, Rhei E, et al. (2000) Clinicopathologic features of BRCA-linked and sporadic ovarian cancer. JAMA 283: 2260.
- Frank TS, Manley SA, Olopade OI, Cummings S, Garber JE, et al. (1998) Sequence analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2: correlation of mutations with family history and ovarian cancer risk. J Clin Oncol 16: 2417-2425.
- Narod S, Ford D, Devilee P, Barkardottir RB, Eyfjord J, et al. (1995) Genetic heterogenicity of breast ovarian cancer revisited. Breast cancer linkage consortium. Am J Hum Genet 57: 957-958.
- Pal T, Permuth-Wey J, Betts JA, Krischer JP, Fiorica J, et al. (2005) BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations account for a large proportion of ovarian carcinoma cases. Cancer 104: 2807-2816.
- Russo A, Calò V, Bruno L, Rizzo S, Bazan V, et al. (2009) Hereditary ovarian cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 69: 28-44.
- Miki T, Bottaro DP, Fleming TP, Smith CL, Burgess WH, et al. (1992) Determination of ligand-binding specificity by alternative splicing: two distinct growth factor receptors encoded by a single gene. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 89: 246-250.
- Easton DF, Bishop DT, Ford D, Crockford GP (1993) Genetic linkage analysis in familial breast and ovarian cancer: results from 214 families. Am J Hum Genet 52: 678-701.
- Roa BB, Boyd AA, Volcik K, Richards CS (1996) Ashkenazi Jewish population frequencies for common mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. Nat Genet 14: 185-187.
- Tagliaferri P, Ventura M, Baudi F, Cucinotto I, Arbitrio M, et al. (2009) BRCA1/2 genetic background-based therapeutic tailoring of human ovarian cancer: hope or reality. J Ovarian Res 2: 14.

# Citation: Sirisha P, Vottery R, Amidyala L, Nallari P, Jyothy A, et al. (2017) Screening of BRCA 1 - 185delAG mutation in Ovarian Cancer patients in a Tertiary care centre from Telangana. Gynecol Obstet (Sunnyvale) 7: 444. doi: 10.4172/2161-0932.1000444

Page 4 of 4

- Wang Y, Cortez D, Yazdi P, Neff N, Elledge SJ, et al. (2000) BASC, a super complex of BRCA1-associated proteins involved in the recognition and repair of aberrant DNA structures. Genes Dev 14: 927-939.
- 13. Lahiri DK, Nurnberger JI (1991) A rapid non-enzymatic method for the preparation of HMW DNA from blood. Nucleic Acids Res 19: 5444.
- Bruchim Bar-Sade R, Theodor L, Gak E, Kruglikova A, Hirsh-Yechezkel G, et al. (1997) Could the 185delAG BRCA1 mutation be an ancient Jewish mutation? Eur J Hum Genet 5: 413-416.
- Goodman RM, Moutulsky AG (editors) A perspective of genetic diseases among the Jewish people. In Raven Press New York. Genetic Diseases among Ashkenazi Jews. 1979.
- Saxena S, Chakraborty A, Kaushal M, Kotwal S, Bhatanager D, et al. (2006) Contribution of germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 sequence alterations to breast cancer in Northern India. BMC Medical Genetics 4: 75-87.
- Huusko P, Paakkonen K, Launonen V, Poyhonen M, Blanco G, et al. (1998) Evidence of founder mutations in Finnish breast cancer families. Am J Hum Genet 62: 1544-1548.
- Szabo CI, King MC (1997) Population genetics of BRCA1 and BRCA2. Am J Hum Genet 60: 1013-1020.

- Antoniou A, Pharoah PD, Narod S, Risch HA, Eyfjord JE, et al. (2003) Average risks of breast and ovarian cancer associated with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations detected in case Series unselected for family history: a combined analysis of 22 studies. Am J Hum Genet 72: 1117-1130.
- 20. Easton D, McGuffog L, Thompson D, Dunning A, Tee L, et al. (2004) CHEK2\*1100delC and susceptibility to breast cancer: a collaborative analysis involving 10,860 Breast Cancer Cases and 9,065 Controls from 10 Studies. Am J Hum Genet 74: 1175-1182.
- Renwick A, Thompson D, Seal S, Kelly P, Chagtai T, et al. (2006) ATM mutations that cause ataxia-telangiectasia are breast cancer susceptibility alleles. Nat Genet 38: 873-875.
- 22. Seal S, Thompson D, Renwick A, Elliott A, Kelly P, et al. (2006) Truncating mutations in the Fanconi anemia J gene BRIP1 are low-penetrance breast cancer susceptibility alleles. Nat Genet 38: 1239-1241.
- 23. Ruffner H, Claudio AP, Joazeiro, Hemmati D, Hunter T, et al. (2004) Cancer predisposing mutations within the RING domain of BRCA1: Loss of ubiquitin protein ligase analysis involving 10,860 breast cancer cases and 9,065 controls from 10 studies. Am J Hum Genet 74: 1175-1182.