OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Molecular Pathology and Biochemistry

opinion Article

Role of Non-Coding RNAs in Disease Pathophysiology

Ahmed Hassan[*]

Department of Biochemistry, Cairo University Faculty of Medicine, Cairo, Egypt.

ABOVE THE STUDY

Non-coding Ribonucleic Acids (ncRNAs) have fundamentally reshaped how we understand gene regulation in human disease, challenging the long-held view that proteins alone are the primary functional outputs of the genome. In my opinion, the growing body of evidence surrounding ncRNAs marks one of the most significant paradigm shifts in molecular pathology, because it reveals that a vast portion of genomic "dark matter" is biologically active and clinically relevant. Far from being transcriptional noise, non-coding RNAs operate as central regulators of cellular identity, signaling networks, and disease progression.

NcRNAs are broadly classified into small non-coding RNAs, such as microRNAs (miRNAs), and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), each exerting regulatory control through distinct mechanisms. miRNAs typically function by binding to complementary sequences in messenger RNAs, leading to translational repression or mRNA degradation. LncRNAs, on the other hand, act through more diverse mechanisms, including chromatin remodeling, transcriptional scaffolding, and molecular decoy functions. This functional versatility allows ncRNAs to influence virtually every major biological pathway implicated in disease.

One of the most compelling aspects of ncRNAs is their role in fine-tuning gene expression networks. In disease pathophysiology, this fine-tuning becomes dysregulated, leading to widespread downstream effects. For example, in cancer, specific miRNAs can act either as oncogenes or tumor suppressors depending on their targets. A single altered miRNA can simultaneously regulate dozens of genes involved in proliferation, apoptosis, and metastasis. This network-level influence distinguishes ncRNAs from classical protein-coding genes, which often have more linear functional relationships.

In my view, the most underappreciated aspect of ncRNAs is their ability to function as molecular integrators of cellular stress and environmental signals. LncRNAs, in particular, respond dynamically to hypoxia, inflammation, and metabolic stress, coordinating adaptive gene expression programs. In cardiovascular disease, for instance, ncRNAs regulate endothelial function and vascular remodeling, contributing to atherosclerosis progression. In neurodegenerative disorders, they influence synaptic plasticity, neuronal survival, and neuroinflammation. This responsiveness positions ncRNAs as both sensors and effectors of disease states.

Another important dimension is their involvement in epigenetic regulation. NcRNAs often interact with chromatin-modifying complexes, guiding them to specific genomic loci and thereby influencing Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) methylation and histone modification patterns. This creates a feedback loop in which ncRNAs not only regulate gene expression but are also regulated by epigenetic states themselves. Such bidirectional control adds complexity to disease mechanisms and helps explain the persistence of pathological gene expression patterns in chronic diseases.

From a diagnostic perspective, ncRNAs hold enormous promise as biomarkers. Their stability in body fluids such as blood, urine, and cerebrospinal fluid makes them attractive candidates for non-invasive disease detection. Circulating miRNA profiles have already been associated with cancers, cardiovascular diseases, and metabolic disorders. However, I believe the true potential of ncRNA biomarkers lies not just in detection but in stratifying disease subtypes and predicting therapeutic response. This could significantly enhance precision medicine approaches.

Therapeutically, ncRNAs represent both an opportunity and a challenge. Strategies such as miRNA mimics and antisense oligonucleotides aim to restore or inhibit specific ncRNA functions. Early clinical trials have shown promise, but delivery, specificity, and off-target effects remain major obstacles. Additionally, the redundancy and pleiotropy of ncRNA networks mean that targeting a single molecule may produce unintended systemic consequences. A more sophisticated understanding of network-level effects will be essential for translating ncRNA biology into safe and effective therapies.

Despite rapid progress, there are still conceptual gaps in our understanding. Many ncRNAs remain functionally uncharacterized, and their context-dependent roles in different tissues are not fully mapped. Moreover, the distinction between causative and correlative changes in ncRNA expression during

---

Correspondence to Ahmed Hassan. Department of Biochemistry, Cairo University Faculty of Medicine, Cairo, Egypt. E-mail: a.hassan@cu.edu.eg

Received: 21-Feb-2025, Manuscript No. JMPB-25-41747; **Editor assigned:** 24-Feb-2025, PreQC No. JMPB-25-41747 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 10-Mar-2025, QC No. JMPB-25-41747; **Revised:** 17-Mar-2025, Manuscript No. JMPB-25-41747 (R); **Published:** 24-Mar-2025. DOI: 10.35248/jmpb.25.6.209.

Citation: Hassan A (2025). Role of Non-Coding RNAs in Disease Pathophysiology. J Mol Pathol Biochem.6:209.

disease remains a major research challenge. High-throughput sequencing and computational modeling are beginning to address these issues, but functional validation is still labor-intensive and complex.

In conclusion, non-coding RNAs are not peripheral components of the genome but central regulators of disease pathophysiology. Their ability to integrate signaling pathways, modulate gene expression, and respond to environmental changes places them at the core of modern molecular medicine. In my opinion, continued exploration of ncRNA networks will not only deepen our understanding of disease mechanisms but also redefine diagnostic and therapeutic strategies in the coming years.