

Regulatory Changes and Their Impact on Stock and Forex Trading Behaviour

Heike Kwong*

Department of Portfolio Management, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

DESCRIPTION

Regulatory changes play a crucial role in shaping the dynamics of financial markets, influencing not only the operational landscape of brokers and institutions but also the behaviour of traders in both stock and forex markets. Over the past decades, global financial systems have undergone continuous reform aimed at enhancing transparency, protecting investors, and maintaining market stability. However, these regulatory interventions often produce multifaceted consequences—sometimes stabilizing markets, other times creating new uncertainties that reshape trading strategies, liquidity conditions, and investor psychology.

In the forex market, regulatory frameworks differ markedly across jurisdictions, reflecting variations in national financial priorities and risk tolerances. By limiting the amount of leverage that brokers can offer, these rules sought to protect traders from catastrophic losses. Consequently, trading behaviour changed markedly: Many retail traders adopted more conservative positions, while brokers had to modify their business models, often targeting clients outside Europe or offering alternative account structures.

Psychologically, regulatory changes influence trader sentiment and expectations. When new regulations are announced, markets often react before the rules are implemented, reflecting anticipatory adjustments by investors. For example, when China's securities regulator imposed restrictions on short-selling during market downturns, traders immediately altered their risk exposures, resulting in abrupt changes in market direction. Similarly, when the Swiss National Bank unexpectedly removed its currency peg to the euro in 2015, the absence of prior regulatory signaling led to extreme volatility, causing substantial losses among traders and brokers. Such events illustrate how the predictability and transparency of regulatory decisions play a crucial role in determining their behavioural impact. Traders value stability and clarity; ambiguous or sudden policy shifts tend to amplify speculative behaviour and volatility.

Market behaviour following regulatory changes also depends on the degree of enforcement and the credibility of institutions. In jurisdictions where regulators are perceived as weak or

inconsistent, rules may have limited influence on actual trading conduct. Conversely, strong enforcement, as seen in the U.S. or the U.K., tends to promote compliance and foster a culture of risk management. For example, the imposition of heavy fines on banks involved in foreign exchange manipulation scandals led to tighter internal controls and the restructuring of trading desks. This behavioural shift not only restored a measure of confidence among counterparties but also altered the composition of market participants, with smaller, more agile firms entering spaces previously dominated by large banks.

Cross-border regulatory divergence adds another layer of complexity to trader behaviour. When different regions impose conflicting requirements, traders and brokers often engage in regulatory arbitrage—relocating operations or trading activities to jurisdictions with more favourable rules. This is especially pronounced in the forex market, where offshore brokers often attract clients with higher leverage and lower reporting requirements. While this allows traders greater flexibility, it also exposes them to higher counterparty risks and less protection in cases of disputes or insolvency. Over time, such disparities can fragment global liquidity, reducing market efficiency and increasing transaction costs.

In essence, the relationship between regulation and trading behaviour is cyclical and adaptive. Regulatory changes are often introduced in response to market excesses or crises, yet traders continuously adjust strategies to maintain profitability within new constraints. The 2008 financial crisis, for instance, prompted a wave of reforms aimed at improving transparency and reducing leverage. Traders initially responded with caution, but as markets stabilized, new products and strategies emerged to navigate the regulatory environment such as the rise of Exchange-Traded Funds (ETFs) in equities and algorithmic trading in forex. This adaptive process underscores the resilience of market participants but also highlights the perpetual need for regulators to balance protection with innovation.

CONCLUSION

Ultimately, the impact of regulatory changes on stock and forex trading behaviour is neither uniformly positive nor negative. Well-designed regulations enhance market integrity, reduce

Correspondence to: Heike Kwong, Department of Portfolio Management, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, E-mail: Kwongheike123@gmail.com

Received: 01-Sep-2025, Manuscript No. JSFT-25-38957; **Editor assigned:** 03-Sep-2025, PreQC No. JSFT-25-38957 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 17-Sep-2025, QC No. JSFT-25-38957; **Revised:** 24-Sep-2025, Manuscript No. JSFT-25-38957 (R); **Published:** 01-Oct-2025, DOI: 10.35248/2168-9458.25.12.301

Citation: Kwong H (2025). Regulatory Changes and Their Impact on Stock and Forex Trading Behaviour. J Stock Forex. 12:301.

Copyright: © 2025 Kwong H. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

fraud, and foster sustainable growth by aligning incentives and improving information symmetry. However, excessive or poorly timed interventions can stifle liquidity, increase costs, and push trading activity into less regulated spaces. The challenge for

policymakers lies in achieving equilibrium-creating rules that safeguard investors and financial stability without constraining the natural evolution of markets.