

**Open Access** 

## Improvement of QT analysis for Evaluating the Proarrhythmic Risk of Drug: The Importance of Spatial and Temporal Dispersion of Repolarization

#### Gilles Hanton<sup>\*</sup>

#### GH Toxconsulting, Brussels, Belgium

Corresponding author: Dr. Gilles Hanton, 27 avenues Everard, B-1190, Bruxelles, Tel: +32 473 351 459; E-mail: gilles.hanton@yahoo.fr

Received date: Apr 18, 2014; Accepted date: Jun 7, 2014 Published date: Jun 12, 2014

Copyright: © 2014, Hanton G. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

#### Abstract

Cardiac arrhythmias, in particular life-threatening Torsades de Pointes (TdP) are serious adverse effects associated with a number of pharmaceuticals belonging to different classes. It is therefore critical to have reliable biomarkers for assessing this risk during pre-clinical testing of new compounds. Prolongation of cardiac action potential and consequently of the QT interval of the ECG is generally considered as indicative of a risk of arrhythmia. Evaluation of drug effects on QT in preclinical studies is therefore requested by ICH (International Conference on Harmonization) guideline (S7B). However there is now growing evidence that the prolongation of mean QT interval is not an accurate indicator of the risk of arrhythmia and that other parameters of cardiac repolarization are more predictive. They include instability of action potential duration and increase in transmural heterogeneity of myocardial repolarization (spatial variability), which can be investigated in specific in vitro tests. We have conducted a number of experiments in dogs for evaluating the ECG correlates of both markers in studies testing the effects of isoproterenol, cisapride, astemizole and hypokaliemia, which are known to be associated with a proarrhythmic risk. Instability of action potential duration is associated with an increase in the beat-to-beat (temporal) variability of the QT interval that is evaluated by calculating the coefficient of variation of this parameter or by plotting QT from each beat versus QT of previous beat. Spatial variability of repolarization correlates with changes in the morphology of the T wave, in particular increase in the interval between the peak and the end of the T wave and notching of this wave. In these experiments, we have therefore established a simple method for in vivo assessment of spatial and temporal variability of cardiac repolarization, which may help in the evaluation the pro-arrhythmic risk of drugs.

**Keywords:** Cardiac arrhythmia; Cardiac repolarization; QT variability; T wave morphology

#### Introduction

Prolongation of the QT interval of the ECG corresponding to a delayed cardiac repolarization is produced by a number of drugs, in laboratory animals and humans and is generally considered as indicative of a risk of arrhythmia. Evaluation of drug effects on QT in preclinical studies is therefore requested by the ICH (International Conference on Harmonization) guideline S7B [1].

However there is now growing evidence that the prolongation of QT interval as such is not an accurate indicator of pro-arrhythmic risk and that other parameters of cardiac repolarization are more predictive [2-5]. They include time-related and spatial variability of cardiac action potential duration (CAPD) [6,7]. Time related variability of CAPD can be evaluated *in vitro* in isolated rabbit heart or *in vivo* as changes in beat-to-beat variability of the QT interval [8,9].

Spatial variability of CAPD, can be assessed *in vitro* in cardiac wedges preparation or *in vivo* by changes in the morphology of the T wave of the ECG [10].

We have established the methodology for these *in vivo* investigations in a few studies testing the effects of astemizole, cisapride, isoproterenol, and hypokaliemia, which are known to be associated with a proarrhythmic risk. Studies were conducted in dogs, which is the most frequent non-rodent species used in preclinical toxicity and safety pharmacology studies.

# *In vitro* evaluation of time-related variability of CAPD associated instability of cardiac action potential

One of the best ways for this investigation is to use the Screenit model developed by Pr. Hondeghem [8]. Cardiac action potentials are recorded *in situ* in isolated rabbit heart. In addition to time-related variability (instability) of CAPD, two additional parameters, triangulation and reverse use dependency are recorded and constitute together a so called *TRIad*, which give critical information on the proarrhythmic risk.

Instability of action potential consists of increased beat-to-beat variability of CAPD. When it reaches a critical level it can lead to chaotic behavior of the myocardium and consequent arrhythmias [5]. Instability can be evaluated by plotting each action potential duration against the preceding one (Pointcaré plot). Proarrhythmic drugs produce instability as indicated by increased degree of scattering of the successive points [11]. Instability of the cardiac action potential is considered as one of the most sensitive predictors of proarrhythmia, since it frequently precedes the arrhythmic event and occurs at a much lower drug concentration than a prolongation of the action potential duration.

Triangulation of the action potential is a more oblique repolarization phase. It is considered to be proarrhythmic because it increases the duration of the vulnerable period of repolarization during which early after-depolarizations may occur and trigger Torsade de Pointes (TdP) [12].

Page 2 of 8

Reverse-use dependence is characterized by more marked effects of compounds on the action potential at lower than at higher stimulation rates and therefore reflects the likelihood of TdP.

# *In vivo* evaluation of time-related (beat-to-beat) variablility of CAPD

The variability of CAPD over time can be evaluated from the temporal variability QT intervals measured on ECG tracings. After recording individual QT intervals over 15 sec to 1 min, mean (mean  $_{QT}$ ) and standard deviation (SD<sub>QT</sub>) are calculated and the coefficient of variation  $CV_{QT}=SD_{QT}/mean _{QT}$  is established as an evaluator of beat-to-beat variability of QT, especially in dog studies. The formula of QT temporal dispersion  $QT_{td}=log_{10} (CV_{QT}/CV_{RR})^2$ , which is used in clinic, is not adapted to dogs because of the marked sinus arrhythmia in this species and consequently high value of  $CV_{RR}$  [13].

Another way for evaluating the temporal variability of QT is to establish the Poincaré plot in which the QT value from each beat is plotted against the following one. The spreading of the individual points gives the degree of variability of QT interval [9].

#### In vitro evaluation of spatial variability of CAPD

For evaluating the variability of CAPD in the different layers of the ventricle (transmural heterogeneity of myocardial repolarization), the arterially perfused cardiac wedge is one of the best models. Action potentials are recorded on the endocardium, epicardium and mid myocardium, in dogs.

This preparation allows evaluation of differences in CAPD across the ventricle wall. Indeed the different cardiomyocytes layers repolarize at different rates, the endocardium being the first and the mid-myocardium the latest to repolarize.

An increase in this transmural heterogeneity of repolarization has been assumed to be a key trigger of arrhythmias since it may result in reentry and subsequent TdP [14]. Notably, most pro-arrhythmic  $I_{\rm Kr}$  blockers have a more marked effect on mid-myocardial cells in dogs (M cells) than on epicardial or subendocardial cells, and thus accentuate the heterogeneity of myocardial repolarization [6].

# *In vivo* evaluation of spatial variability of cardiac repolarization

Transmural heterogeneity of repolarization times can be evaluated in dog toxicity studies from ECG tracings by assessing the changes in the morphology of the T wave. The T wave is the result of 2 opposing voltage gradients, between mid-myocardium M cell and epicardium. The full repolarization of epicardial cell corresponds to the peak of T wave whereas the full repolarization of M cells corresponds to end of T wave. An increased heterogeneity of repolarization of these different cell layers produced an increase in the interval between the peak and the end of the T wave interval (Tp -Te), which is considered in the clinic as a marker of the risk for ventricular arrhythmias [15]. When the transmural dispersion of repolarization is still more pronounced, it may lead to a notching of the T wave [16].

#### **Experimental Assessment**

#### Designs of studies

**Effects on astemizole**: Using a cross-over design, we treated 9 dogs/ group with a single intravenous injection of astemizole at doses of 0, 1 or 3 mg/kg. ECGs were recorded before treatment, then 0.5 and 1 hour after treatment (100 beats).

Another group of 3 dogs received single intravenous injections of astemizole at increasing doses (6, 9 and 15 mg/kg.) over 3 successive days. ECGs were recorded before treatment, then 15 minutes, 30 minutes, 1 hour and 3 hours after treatment (40 beats).

**Effects of cisapride**: Using a cross-over design, we treated 9 dogs/ group with a single intravenous injection of cisapride, at doses of 0, 1.5 or 6 mg/kg. ECGs were recorded before treatment, then 0.5 and 1 hour after treatment (100 beats).

**Effects of hypokalemia:** Hypokalemia was induced by oral treatment of 12 dogs with furosemide at increasing doses (5-60 mg/kg) over 12 days. ECGs were recorded before furosemide dosing, then 1.5 and 3.5 hours after each dosing (over 1 minute).

**Effects of isoproterenol:** A group of 3 dogs received increasing doses (2.5, 5 and 10  $\mu$ g/kg) of isoproterenol by the subcutaneous route. ECGs were recorded before treatment, then 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 3 h and 5 h after treatment (over 20 seconds).

#### ECG recording

Standard bipolar limb leads I, II, III, unipolar limb leads aVR, aVL, aVF and precordial leads CV6LL, CV5RL, CV6LU, V10 were recorded. T wave morphology and QT interval were assessed from CV5RL lead, since this lead gives the most accurate evaluation of end of T wave, which is monophasic and positive in untreated animals.

#### Evaluation of temporal variability of QT

QT values were recorded from individual beats and the coefficient of variation of QT:  $\rm CV_{QT}=SD_{QT}/mean_{QT}$  was calculated.

#### Evaluation of the morphology of the T wave

Modifications of the T wave were recorded from precordial lead CV5RL. In particular notching was noted. It consists in presence of 2 peaks on the wave. A grading system has been established as:

#### 0: no notching.

1: minimal notching, mild rupture of continuity in ascending part of the T wave.

2: mild notching, plateau but single peak of the T wave.

3: moderate notching, second peak on the descending part of the wave, less than 0.1 mV between peak and trough, mild flattening

4: marked notching, second peak, with 0.1 to 0.3 mV between peak and trough, moderate flattening

5: severe notching, second peak with more than 0.3 mV between trough and peak, marked flattening and/or trough at the isoelectric line or slightly below.

Citation: Hanton G (2014) Improvement of QT analysis for Evaluating the Proarrhythmic Risk of Drug: The Importance of Spatial and Temporal Dispersion of Repolarization. J Clin Toxicol 4: 202. doi:10.4172/2161-0495.1000202

Page 3 of 8

### Results

### Effect of astemizole

Detailed data have been provided in previous publications [13,17,18].

In the cross over study, astemizole produced a dose-related increase in QT interval and in  $\rm CV_{QT}$  at 30 and 60 min after dose (Table 1).



**Figure 1:** Changes in morphology of T wave recorded in CV5RL precordial lead, after treatment of dogs with astemizole. A: Normal T wave after vehicle treatment; B: Minimal notching after 1 mg/kg; C: Mild notching after 1 mg/kg; D: Moderate notching after 3 mg/kg; E: Marked notching after 3 mg/kg.



#### Page 4 of 8

Notching of the T wave also occurred in both the cross over and the increased dose study and in some occasions was very pronounced and associated with a flattening of the wave (Table 2, Figure 1 and 2).

astemizole (Table 3), but T wave notching was similar or even more marked in one animal (Table 2 and Figure 3).

#### Effects of hypokalemia

### Effects of cisapride

The changes were similar to those produced by astemizole. The increase in  $\rm CV_{QT}$  was slightly less pronounced for Cisapride than for

Hypokalemia produced an increase in QT interval and a number of changes in T wave morphology, in particular notching, flattening, inversion, biphasic of triphasic aspect (Figure 4).

	Mean val	lues at 3 time points (n=9) Le	Difference compared to pre dose values			
	Pre-dose	30 min	60 min	30 min	60 min	
Control	1.51 ± 0.33	1.47 ± 0.51	1.62 ± 0.37	-0.04	0.11	
1 mg/kg	1.65 ± 0.41	2.48 ± 0.57	2.25 ± 0.74	0.84**	0.61	
3 mg/kg	1.67 ± 0.35	2.89 ± 1.15	2.80 ± 1.22	1.22**	1.13**	
Change compared to control at 1 mg/kg	0.13	1.01***	0.63*			
Change compared to control at 3 mg/kg	0.16	1.42***	1.18**			
* p<0.05: **: p<0.01: ***: p<0.001 (data analyzed with a linear mixed model of analysis of variance with time, dose and the dosetime interaction as fixed effects an						

animal as a random effect).

Table 1: Effect of astemizole on coefficient of variation of QT in dogs.

	Mean score (n = 9) (range mini-max)					
		Before	30 minutes	60 minutes		
Astemizole	Control	0.55 (0-2)	0.22 (0-1)	0.75 (0-2)		
	1 mg/kg	0.55 (0-2)	2.11 (0-3)	2.22 (0-4)		
	3 mg/kg	0.55 (0-1)	3.22 (1-4)	2.67 (0-4)		
Cisapride	Control	0.4 (0-2)	0.7 (0-2)	0.6 (0-2)		
	1.5 mg/kg	0.3 (0-2)	1.6 (0-3)	0.7 (0-2)		
	6 mg/kg	0.2 (0-1)	2.6 (0-4)	2.3 (0-5)		

Table 2: Notching of the T wave recorded in CV5RL precordial lead, after treatment of dogs with astemizole or cisapride.

	Mean values at 3 time points (n=9) Lead CV5RL			Difference compared to predose values			
	Pre-dose	30 min	60 min	30 min	60 min		
Control	1.45 ± 0.42	1.41 ± 0.43	1.58 ± 0.25	-0.04	0.13		
1.5 mg/kg	1.56 ± 0.41	2.06 ± 0.52	1.79 ± 0.39	0.5*	0.23		
6 mg/kg	1.56 ± 0.33	2.16 ± 0.82	1.67 ± 0.58	0.6**	0.11		
Change compared to control at 1 mg/kg	0.11	0.65*	0.21				
Change compared to control at 3 mg/kg	0.11	0.75*	0.09				
*: nc0.05: **: nc0.01: ***: nc0.001 (data analyzed with a linear mixed model of analysis of variance with time, dose and the dosetime interaction as fixed effects and							

\*: p<0.05; \*\*; p<0.01; \*\*\*: p<0.001 (data analyzed with a linear mixed model of analysis of variance with time, dose and the dosetime interaction as fixed effects and animal as a random effect).

Table 3: Effect of cisapride on coefficient of variation of QT in dogs.

Citation: Hanton G (2014) Improvement of QT analysis for Evaluating the Proarrhythmic Risk of Drug: The Importance of Spatial and Temporal Dispersion of Repolarization. J Clin Toxicol 4: 202. doi:10.4172/2161-0495.1000202



**Figure 3:** Changes in morphology of T wave recorded in CV5RL precordial lead, after treatment of dogs with cisapride. To be compared with normal monophasic T wave. **(A)** Mild notching 1 h after treatment with 1.5 mg/kg: (grade 2); **(B)** Marked notching with flattening of the T wave 1 h after treatment with 6 mg/kg (grade 4); **(C)** Severe notching of the T wave, 3 h after treatment with 6 mg/kg (grade 5).



**Figure 4:** Severe changes inmorphology of T wave recorded in CV5RL precordial lead in hypokalemic dogs (treatment with furosemide). To be compared with normal T wave monophasic and positive. **(A)** Biphasic or triphasic T wave; **(B)** Inversion of T wave.

Page 5 of 8



#### Effect of isoproterenol

Isoproterenol produced a marked increase in heart rate, a decrease in corrected QT interval (QTc) and a notching of T wave (Figure 5).

#### Discussion

The temporal variability of the QT interval of the ECG is the *in vivo* correlate of cardiac action potential instability, which has been shown to be markedly increased by arrhythmogenic drugs, in particular  $I_{Kr}$  blockers and is considered as a reliable and sensitive predictor for the risk of arrhythmia [12]. In the astemizole experiment, the CV of QT was markedly increased, indicating an effect on the temporal variability of cardiac repolarization. The CV of QT was also increased by cisapride but to a lesser extent as compared to astemizole. Results from both studies indicate that the CV of QT can assess the temporal variability of QT in dogs treated with pro-arrhythmic  $I_{Kr}$  blockers. These findings were consistent with those of previous authors [9].

Clinical investigations have shown that increased beat-to-beat QT variability is an indicator of temporal myocardial repolarization liability and predicts ventricular tachyarrhythmias, sudden cardiac death and cardiovascular mortality [19-21].

The second key observation after treatment with astemizole and cisapride was a clear notching of the T wave, consisting of presence of 2 peaks of the T wave, with the intermediate trough sometimes reaching the isoelectric line, giving an impression of U wave. Notching of the T wave indicates an increase in the heterogeneity of repolarization of the different layers of cardiomyocytes across the ventricular wall and consequent modification of the transmural voltage gradient [22-24]. The change is considered to be due to

differences in the action of the compounds on different cardiac cells.  $I_{Kr}$  blockers like astemizole or cisapride act predominantly on the M cells, which are more sensitive to  $I_{Kr}$  blocking than epicardial or endocardial cells [25,26]. Notching of the T wave has been previously observed in dogs and humans after treatment with  $I_{Kr}$  blockers [26-28].

Notching of the T wave in dogs was therefore found to be a predictive biomarker for the evaluation of potential proarrhythmic risk of  $I_{Kr}$  blockers and the aim of further studies was to verify this finding in situations potentially producing arrhythmia by other ways than  $I_{Kr}$  blocking. These experiments indicated that diuresis-induced hypokalemia and isoproterenol, an adrenergic  $\beta$ -agonist both produce T wave abnormalities.

Notching and/or flattening of the T wave in CV5RL in dogs treated with isoproterenol is also probably related to an increase in heterogeneity of the repolarisation of the different cardiomyocytes layers across the ventricular wall. *In vitro* studies on canine cardiac tissues have shown that isoproterenol produced a greater shortening of the action potential in epicardial than in endocardial cardiomyocytes and prolonged the action potential of M cells [29,30]. These changes resulted from a large augmentation in I<sub>Ks</sub> current in epicardial and endocardial cells but not in M cells in which I<sub>Ks</sub> is weak.

The changes in T wave morphology in hypokalemic dogs is consistent with *in vivo* and *in vitro* data and find a similar explanation as the changes produced by astemizole, cisapride and isoproterenol. In isolated cardiac tissues, a decrease in extracellular potassium prolongs the duration of cardiac action potential to a greater extent in the epicardium than in other myocardial layers, which is attributed to a predominant I<sub>to</sub> current (responsible for transient early outflow of potassium) in the epicardium [14]. Electrophysiological studies in isolated cardiac cells also showed that when extracellular potassium decreases, the slope of phase 2 of the action potential becomes steeper and phase 3 slower, resulting in an increased duration of the action potential. The period of incomplete repolarization tends to be longer in Purkinje fibres than in ventricular cells, resulting in an increased dispersion of repolarization [31]. In humans, hypokalemia is known to produce typical changes in the ECG, in particular a decrease in T wave amplitude and the appearance of a U wave [32]. Similar changes have been observed in hypokalemic dogs [33].

Notching of the T wave thus occurred in different conditions known to be associated with arrhythmic events. Notably this indication of proarrhythmic risk was found in association with QT prolongation (astemizole, cisapride and hypokaliemia) but also with QT shortening (isoproterenol). The findings of the current experiments are therefore consistent with clinical investigations showing that changes in the morphology of the T wave, in particular T wave notching and increase in Tp-Te, are reliable markers of the proarrhythmic risk [34-36].

In conclusion, we have established a simple method for *in vivo* assessment of spatial and temporal variability of cardiac repolarization, which may help in the evaluation the pro-arrhythmic risk of drugs. The precordial lead CV5RL was found to be the best lead for these investigations. This methodology could help in the interpretation of findings in pre-clinical studies.

#### References

- Guth BD, Germeyer S, Kolb W, Markert M (2004) Developing a strategy for the nonclinical assessment of proarrhythmic risk of pharmaceuticals due to prolonged ventricular repolarization. J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods 49: 159-169.
- 2. Hoffmann P, Warner B (2006) Are hERG channel inhibition and QT interval prolongation all there is in drug-induced torsadogenesis? A review of emerging trends. J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods 53: 87-105.
- Belardinelli L, Antzelevitch C, Vos MA (2003) Assessing predictors of drug-induced torsade de pointes. Trends Pharmacol Sci 24: 619-625.
- Redfern WS, Carlsson L, Davis AS, Lynch WG, MacKenzie I, et al. (2003) Relationships between preclinical cardiac electrophysiology, clinical QT interval prolongation and torsade de pointes for a broad range of drugs: Evidence for a provisional safetymargin in drug development. Cardiovasc Res 58: 32-45.
- 5. Shah RR, Hondeghem LM (2005) Refining detection of drug-induced proarrhythmia: QT interval and TRIaD. Heart Rhythm 2: 758-772.
- Shimizu W, Antzelevitch C (1999) Cellular basis for long QT, transmural dispersion of repolarization, and torsade de pointes in the long QT syndrome. J Electrocardiol 32 Suppl: 177-184.
- De Clerk F, Van de Water A, D'Aubioul J, Lu HR, Van Rossem K, et al. (2002) In vivo measurement of QT prolongation, dispersion and arrhythmogenesis: application to the preclinical cardiovascular safety pharmacology of a new chemical entity. Fundam Clinical Pharmacol 16: 125-140.
- Hondeghem LM, Lu HR, van Rossem K, De Clerck F (2003) Detection of proarrhythmia in the female rabbit heart: blinded validation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 14: 287-294.
- Van der Linde H, Van de Water A, Loots W, Van Deuren B, Lu HR, et al. (2005) A new method to calculate the beat-to-beat instability of QT duration in drug-induced long QT in anesthetized dogs. J Pharmacol and Toxicol Meth 52: 168-177.
- 10. Antzelevitch C, Shimizu W (2002) Cellular mechanisms underlying the long QT syndrome. Curr Opin Cardiol 17: 43-51.

- Valentin JP, Hoffmann P, De Clerck F, Hammond TG, Hondeghem L (2004) Review of the predictive value of the Langendorff heart model (Screenit system) in assessing the proarrhythmic potential of drugs. J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods 49: 171-181.
- 12. Hondeghem LM, Carlsson L, Duker G (2001) Instability and triangulation of the action potential predict serious proarrhythmia, but action potential duration prolongation is antiarrhythmic. Circulation 103: 2004-2013.
- Hanton G, Yvon A, Racaud A (2008) Temporal variability of QT interval and changes in T wave morphology in dogs as markers of the clinical risk of drug-induced proarrhythmia. J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods 57: 194-201.
- 14. Antzelevitch C, Sicouri S, Litovsky SH, Lukas A, Krishnan SC, et al. (1991) Heterogeneity within the ventricular wall. Electrophysiology and pharmacology of epicardial, endocardial, and M cells. Circ Res 69: 1427-1449.
- Ravensbergen HJ, Walsh ML, Krassioukov AV, Claydon VE (2012) Electrocardiogram-based predictors for arrhythmia after spinal cord injury. Clin Auton Res 22: 265-273.
- Xue J, Chen Y, Han X, Gao W (2010) Electrocardiographic morphology changes with different type of repolarization dispersions. J Electrocardiol 43: 553-559.
- 17. Hanton G, Bonnet P, Loiret C (2007) In vivo assessment of temporal and transmural variability of cardiac repolarization as a tool for evaluating pro-arrhythmic risk. The Toxicologist 96: 76.
- Hanton G, Yvon A, Provost JP, Racaud A, Doubovetzky M (2007) Quantitative relationship between plasma potassium levels and QT interval in beagle dogs. Lab Anim 41: 204-217.
- Berger RD, Kasper EK, Baughman KL, Marban E, Calkins H, et al. (1997) Beat-to-beat QT interval variability: novel evidence for repolarization lability in ischemic and nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. Circulation 96: 1557-1565.
- 20. Piccirillo G, Rossi P, Mitra M, Quaglione R, Dell'Armi A, et al. (2013) Indexes of temporal myocardial repolarization dispersion and sudden cardiac death in heart failure: any difference? Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 18: 130-139.
- 21. Tereshchenko LG, Cygankiewicz I, McNitt S, Vazquez R, Bayes-Genis A, et al. (2012) Predictive value of beat-to-beat QT variability index across the continuum of left ventricular dysfunction: competing risks of noncardiac or cardiovascular death and sudden or nonsudden cardiac death. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 5: 719-727.
- 22. Yan GX, Antzelevitch C (1998) Cellular basis for the normal T wave and the electrocardiographic manifestations of the long-QT syndrome. Circulation 98: 1928-1936.
- 23. Fei L, Statters DJ, Camm AJ (1994) QT-interval dispersion on 12-lead electrocardiogram in normal subjects: its reproducibility and relation to the T wave. Am Heart J 127: 1654-1655.
- 24. Wolk R, Mazurek T, Lusawa T, Wasek W, Rezler J (2001) Left ventricular hypertrophy increases transepicardial dispersion of repolarisation in hypertensive patients: a differential effect on QTpeak and QTend dispersion. Eur J Clin Invest 31: 563-569.
- 25. Shimizu W, Antzelevitch C (1998) Cellular basis for the ECG features of the LQT1 form of the long-QT syndrome: effects of beta-adrenergic agonists and antagonists and sodium channel blockers on transmural dispersion of repolarization and torsade de pointes. Circulation 98: 2314-2322.
- Lupoglazoff JM, Denjoy I, Berthet M, Neyroud N, Demay L, et al. (2001) Notched T waves on Holter recordings enhance detection of patients with LQt2 (HERG) mutations. Circulation 103: 1095-1101.
- 27. Jackman WM, Friday KJ, Anderson JL, Aliot EM, Clark M, et al. (1988) The long QT syndromes: a critical review, new clinical observations and a unifying hypothesis. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 31: 115-172.
- 28. Weissenburger J, Nesterensko VV, Antzelevitch C (2000) Transmural heterogeneity of ventricular repolarization under baseline and long QT conditions in the canine heart in vivo: torsades de pointes develops with

Page 8 of 8

halothane but not pentobarbital anesthesia. J CardiovasElectrophysiol 11, 290-304.

- 29. Litovsky SH, Antzelevitch C (1990) Differences in the electrophysiological response of canine ventricular subendocardium and subepicardium to acetylcholine and isoproterenol. A direct effect of acetylcholine in ventricular myocardium. Circ Res 67: 615-627.
- Shimizu W, Antzelevitch C (2000) Differential effects of beta-adrenergic agonists and antagonists in LQT1, LQT2 and LQT3 models of the long QT syndrome. J Am Coll Cardiol 35: 778-786.
- Vera Z, Janzen D, Desai J (1991) Acute hypokalemia and inducibility of ventricular tachyarrhythmia in a nonischemic canine model. Chest 100: 1414-1420.
- Surawicz B, Braun HA, Crum WB, Kemp RL, Wagner S, et al. (1957) Quantitative analysis of the electrocardiographic pattern of hypopotassemia. Circulation 16: 750-763.

- **33.** Felkai F (1985) Electrocardiographic signs in ventricular repolarization of experimentally induced hypokalaemia and appearance of the U-wave in dogs. Acta Vet Hung 33: 221-228.
- 34. Lin YH, Lin LY, Chen YS, Huang HC, Lee JK, et al. (2009) The association between T-wave morphology and life-threatening ventricular tachyarrhythmias in patients with congestive heart failure. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 32: 1173-1177.
- 35. Xue J, Gao W, Chen Y, Han X (2009) Identify drug-induced T wave morphology changes by a cell-to-electrocardiogram model and validation with clinical trial data. J Electrocardiol 42: 534-542.
- Zhou Y, Sedransk N (2013) A new functional data-based biomarker for monitoring cardiovascular behavior. Stat Med 32: 153-164.