



Power Theory or Downfall Balance, Last Confrontation or First Legacy System

Amirhussein Zarei Mahmoud Abadi*

Department of Law and Political Science, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

ABSTRACT

For nearly 40 years, Islamic republic of Iran has unremitting challenge with United States of America, a challenge that is result of deep quarrel in the foundation of international politics and relations between them on the one hand, and the unique and irreplaceable sovereignty in Iran on other hand. But truly, how and in what situation, it could be terminated the quarrel between these two regional and transnational countries causing complex problems for world so far once forever. It's clear none of them will stop their positions. And now after 4 decades, perhaps it's the first time that there is evidence of war for overthrowing Islamic republic of Iran. Although, excuse for launching these total war from nuclear-to-Nitro-cons as well as excuse for assassination of president of united states is not enough to confront with Islamic republic of Iran. As, there is no substitute for this government due to complex and multi-dimensional of Iranian people.

Keywords: Imam khomeini; Nuclear deal; Atomic war; North korea; Nitro zeus; Henson

INTRODUCTION

After the Islamic Revolution of Iran in 1357 AH (February 11, 1979), everyone believed that the most human revolution in the history of humanity was happened in Iran and by Iranian people. After that we were witness of first Shia government in the most important part of the world, the Middle East. Considering, that rule of Shia especially its clerics come back to 100 years ago in Iran, nobody couldn't guess that by establishing Shia government in the heart of Middle East, center of power and energy of world, what governmental system would be formed and how it would be organized.

Although, many analyses refer that formation of Islamic government in Iran with the leader of Ayatollah Khomeini was supported by west and east, but the fact is nobody thought that Shiite clerics don't follow previous king principle. In the first place, all people believed that Shiite-independent government would be established like past in Iran and only governance would be changed and foreign policy and public diplomacy in this country and with other countries will not change so much.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The formation of Islamic republic of Iran based on Velayat-e-Faqih (Guardianship of the Islamic Jurist) led to establishment of a new model and very challenging government for other countries which were united with Iran before victory of Islamic revolution. This new model of governing brought about serious challenges in various fields especially in the foreign policy not only for Iran but also for other regional and beyond regional countries. Because, Iran is a powerful country with a strategic and sensitive position which no country easily could disregard its positions and actions as especially stood against west and east superpowers or had high position against Israel. All of these could be defined by very complex and unique model of governing of Islamic Republic of Iran [1].

On the other hand, prevailing conditions in Iran society and new governance didn't allow them easily to negotiate about micro and macro topics. Therefore, Iran became uncontrolled power in the heart of Middle East in a short time. As, during these four decades, there was much effort to change this new and self-made governance, but not only didn't achieve desired result, but also it led to the failure of countries supported this movement. Because, due to their specific characteristics, internal

Correspondence to: Dr. Amirhussein Zarei Mahmoud Abadi, Department of Law and Political Science, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran, Tel/Fax: +989133565526; E-mail: amirhussein_zarei2020@yahoo.com

Received: August 23, 2021; **Accepted:** September 06, 2021; **Published:** September 13, 2021

Citation: Abadi AZM (2021) Power Theory or Downfall Balance, Last Confrontation or First Legacy System. J Pol Sci Pub Aff. 9: 409.

Copyright: © 2021 Abadi AZM. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

alliance stand up against different threats from civil war to foreign and velvet war.

After a few decades, regional and supra-regional superpowers came to this conclusion they must recognize this new governance, and negotiate with it in order to adjust its initial and high ideological positions against some regional issues such as overthrow of Israel as well as provide more economical and political participation with Islamic republic of Iran.

Therefore, study of exact method of governance in Iran and review of some experiences about direct and indirect confrontation with this country, help us to understand what is the only way for confronting with this regional power and solving problems resulted its ideological policies. And what solution is suggested by European and western countries to solve this problem forever in all over the world, especially Middle East?

Iran revolution and unique model of governance in history

After establishment of Islamic revolution in Iran supported by foreign countries, the second- Pahlavi regime, the last Imperial rule of Iran, was overthrown and no one thought that a powerful and unique governance named Iran Islamic revolution to be formed inside the country, and foreign countries often believed that due to their supports of first person of this revolution, Ayatollah Khomeini, undoubtedly their desired governance namely liberal, at worst, democratic republic, would be established. But few persons would forget famous statement of Ayatollah Khomeini against camera of Italian journalist, Oryana Falachi, when asked him: why your talks in France are contrary with your actions in Iran? Ayatollah Khomeini answered: "I take a trick!". While, when he was in Nofel Loshato, in the respond to the question of journalists asked him "what kind of governance are you looking for?" "replied" we are looking for democratic republic like France. So, it is clear that Ayatollah Khomeini during deportation in France promised to participate and cooperate with European and western countries [2].

As far, in Guadeloupe Conference held in Cambodia, by four Western powers: The United States, the United Kingdom, France and West Germany and The president of France, Valéry Giscard d'Estaing, hosted the meeting in January 1979. The leaders at this conference suggested that to support Imam Khomeini and Shah leave Iran as early as possible .The French president, valéry marie rené georges giscard d'estaing, said: "at this meeting, the rally ended Shah rule in Iran." US President Jimmy Carter, along with British Prime Minister James Callaghan announced that would not support Shah rule and were consensus for leaving Shah from Iran and I surprised by their theory.

All of these quotes indicate to the implicit support of Imam Khomeini by these four countries for defeating Shah. Although, other things such as power or support of Ayatollah Khomeini from one hand, and fundamental weakness of Shah on the other hand have great influence on the change of regime. But after victory of Iran revolution and settlement of Ayatollah Khomeini in the country, he established his own governance

named Islamic republic of Iran and somehow put his back to westerns who either were pessimistic to Shah or were optimistic to victory of Ayatollah Khomeini. Perhaps, strikes of Iran oil industry and critical conditions in last days make them to support Ayatollah Khomeini in the revolution wined before that.

With all these events, Ayatollah Khomeini established new rule for the first time in the world based on several basic and important principle, some of which can be explicitly referred to as unwritten principle of Islamic republic of Iran. As it was for the first time in the contemporary history that a governance established in Iran based on Shiite theology. In the first decade, it was succeeded to maintain through assessment of many trial. Therefore, it could rectify all problems due to political system of kingdom or other rules in Iran.

A governance which could be considered as the strongest model of Islamic governance in the world is Islamic democracy, that its powerful groups were clerics and revolutionaries who are main founder of Islamic republic. Islamic democracy which is a powerful and apparently fair model in Iran could be considered as emergence and appearance of a new governance in the history of world politics. As, political movement such as parties and individuals have a definite position as well as selective and appointed currents were defined very good in this governance system. It could be said that Islamic Republic of Iran created democracy based on Shiite Islamist in the world and make localize the combination of west liberalism democracy in the framework of east Islamcist.

A governance that formed on the basic of Velayat Motlagha Faqhi and ideologists derived by Islam especially Shiite, and people learned that following Velayate Faghi is a fundamental and obligatory principle as well as their religion, Islam. Although, principle of power separation and respect to individual rights as direct democracy on one hand, as well as indirect democracy on the other hand have been carefully observed. But it could explicitly state that this Islamic governance has not any acceptable alternative for Iranian due its present complex identity [3].

From past to now, Iranian have old, complex and rich identify by virtue of ancient civilization. A multidimensional identify originated by Islamism, Iranian and even racial and identity issues which then can be attributed to ethnic and religious identities. Therefore, governance of Islamic republic of Iran well covered these conditions and people demands and we should not forget that those who were supporter of people throughout history, and public strata of society refer to them in injustice and oppression condition, now they are on the power seat and administrating the country. Although, there has been criticized to clerrics and religious leaders in Iran, but it could be clearly said that clerics are accepted and respected by most of community, since know them as their religion savior.

On the other hand, Shiite clerics who had already experienced two other revolutions and learned very profound lessons from revolutionizing and transferring their achievements to the politicians, in 1979 revolution did not repeat past mistakes and by taking power and dominance on the people revolutionist

movement, implemented this new governance system and model. A model that all democratic and Islamic society have played direct or indirect role in determining all principles of governance system.

Therefore, it is impossible to change governance system to achieve western demands in Iran. Because, in the first place, Iran have the only complex and advanced Islamic democracy and based on Shiite religion in the world tied with Iranian identify. So, there is not any acceptable alternative for governance in Iran. And generally, for overthrow of government, it should be replaced by another one. Otherwise, there would be sever chaos in the heart of Middle East and undoubtedly, this chaos brings about destructive effects than current rule or at best condition, establishing a dangerous ideological system for vendetta and destruction of its enemies. As, any non-Islamic regime would be condemned to people opposition and finally will be destructed. So, we obviously find that there is not any substitute for Islamic republic of Iran and governance system based on *velayat-e motalagha-e faghi*. At the second place, acceptance of new rule with new political and intellectual principles by community is in their spirit. it is far from the mind that it could be discussed about such a matter in Iran and not welcomed by them.

Governance system in Iran has been designed and planned as a multi-layer's manner which have been determined and created by direct and indirect vote of people. It contains from three power of executive, judicial and legislative to important institutions like guardian council, Assembly of Experts of the Leadership and Expediency Discernment Council elected by direct and indirect vote of people. As well, in addition to this governance system, a complex regulatory system inside segments and governance institutions and also outside of segments and complex re responsible to follow and control governance institutions. As , in such a way that these institution not only to play alternative role for each other , but also any political entity and governance institution are considered as a positive and winner point for Islamic republic of Iran system. While, all administrative and executive system of country work apart from some powerful regulatory bodies such as Court of Audit, the General Inspection of country, the Supreme Court and the Special Clerical Court [4].

Of course, it should not have overlooked the effective role of Iran leader, Ayatollah Khamenei in the country guidance and leadership. A mature and experienced leader who is familiar with different dimension of country. And it could be certainly said that not only governance system as well as people are winner. So, it could be considered political system of Iran as a unique and complex system in world founded for the first time by Shiite clerics in Islamic republic of Iran.

Nuclear deal: Obligatory or necessity

Finally, after four decades and examining different ways for controlling Islamic republic of Iran and especially Islamist policies of this governance in the East Middle, America and other European allies reached to this conclusion that the best way for controlling Iran is to put paralyzing boycott against the country. However, after different actions against Iran such as

velvet revolution, military attack and sanction, they concluded that to negotiate with Iranian. Although, this action was unique and strange, but after 10 years would be affective and parties achieve to join comprehensive plan of action or nuclear deal against their demands.

Nuclear deal was a deniable necessity, as highly specialized sanctions against Iran, on the one hand, and the global economic crisis on the other hand, influenced on the economic withstanding of many countries in the world for importing oil, gas and gas condensates as well exporting to Iran. On the other hand, western countries with the help of Israeli partners make efforts to stop Iranian nuclear action from terror of nuclear scientists to organization of velvet revolution. While, Iranian were proud in all problems. Therefore, the only alternative for present US president was negotiating and achieving to logical and political solution for recognizing governance in the Islamic republic of Iran.

Therefore, sanction against economic of Iran especially energy or oil applied serious damage on economic of world and before applying this sanction so called paralyzing, it should be take necessary decisions for its resulting damages. These sanctions suddenly formed after velvet revolution of green movement in Iran and so this snap prevented their effectiveness and to a large extent many of courtiers imposing sanctions suffered its damages [5].

At the same time with conducting negotiation in the framework of nuclear deal, Americans made effort to overthrow Bashar Assad with the cooperation of some groups protested to Syria ruler, therefore, to overcome Iranian access to Syria, Lebanon, the borders of Israel and so called to interrupt territorial path of Islamic republic of Iran with Mehvare Moghavemat forever. And so, by providing security in Israel to take military action against Iran. But, Iranian fought all over in Syria, Iraq and Lebanon and didn't allow realizing aims and ideals of western countries and Arabian allies.

A war that cost each soldier more than \$15,000 to fight against Iran and 123 allied countries against Syria, where even the Syrian prime minister betrayed to Bashar Assad and by getting \$50m went out of country. While international organizations informed spending about \$200 billion from the Arab countries for overthrow of Syria. If Syria collapsed, not only cause to fall of regional allies of Iran, but also provide Israel's security for a massive attack to Iran.

Withdraw us form nuclear deal: Bargaining or preliminarily

As it was clear from the outset, adaptive nuclear deal apparently was decisive for two parties, but due to fundamental differences between Islamic republic of Iran and US, ultimately condemned to collapse. Perhaps, nuclear deal has not been completely ended, but Donald Trump with a precise action, after Iran's presidential election and ensuring government inauguration and delivery to the nuclear deal's president, Hassan Rouhani, didn't signature suspension of nuclear sanction or nuclear deal against Iran. This shows that president Hassan Rouhani is not powerful and decisive. So, Donald Trump withdraw the nuclear deal just

after ensuring of re appointment of Hassan Rouhani as president and here we should appraise his dealing art.

But, what is the achievements of withdraw of nuclear deal for western countries against Islamic republic of Iran. We should not forget that Donald Trump was a successful businessman before to be politicians and we could study his withdraw from nuclear deal in several dimensions. First, he was seeking to pressure on Iran, so he could gain more income by obtaining grants in various fields such as missile technology and regional influence of Iran. And, he will achieve goals, which didn't reach by opponents group in Syria, through temporary withdrawal and non-suspension of sanctions against Iran.

Second, he makes efforts that Europe force Iran to negotiate about two mentioned issues and in turn, US refer to nuclear deal which is re-suspension of nuclear sanctions, especially oil. And, the third option is threatening Europe for withdraw of nuclear deal and punch last nail on the coffin of nuclear deal. Though, so far, Europe has not been cooperating with United States perfectly, but it is not far from mind that we will witness of this incident. Finally, the most powerful option is to return all suspended sanctions by us as well as to control Islamic republic of Iran through maintaining nuclear deal between Iran and Europe though apparently [6].

But really, what's the reason of withdrawing of US from nuclear deal, while its tension with North Korea had been raised? Tensions are with threat to nuclear war and atomic attack and continue with obscenity of Donald Trump and Kim Jong to each other. Undoubtedly, rapid changes in the administration post of personal in white house by Trump, is only hidden trial and error for forming and organizing definitive thinking for confrontation with Iran. As, well known individuals with explicit positions against Iran were appointed like foreign minister, White House National Security Advisor and eventually Head of the American Spy agency (CIA).

Despite threats and cold war between US and North Korea, it was far from the mind that after more than half a century of tension between these two countries, they would sit quickly on the negotiation table. this dealing has wider dimensions for the United States, as Iran would be the only front against it and by organizing anti-Iranian team at white house, it easily confront with Iran after North Korea. Dealing with North Korea will be much easier. Because, North Korea is considered as a small and weak country in the world and international controversy scene. Therefore, it is very easy to boycott and confront with the country, where several extensive warier in its sea and territorial borders by US and its regional allies as well as North Korean threat to nuclear attack to US caused two parties sit very quickly on the negotiation counter.

On the other hand, we are witness of dominant political thinking in North Korea and like Middle East regimes, there is not ideologist ideas and beliefs, especially Iran which have governance based on ideological thinking. Therefore, it is easier for American dealing with a small country but non-ideological than a powerful country have politically and internationally fundamental conflicts with US actions all over the world. So, America made effort to solve his problems with North Korea

and focus its political, military, economic and security power on Iran. Of course, four main causes of this negotiation are as follows: extensive warier with nuclear bombers by US, North Korea's nuclear and missile power, making opportunity for more focus on Iran and finally non-ideological system in North Korea.

US withdrawal of nuclear deal and presence of European in nuclear deal principally was an intelligence movement for US had an opportunity to solve its problem with North Korea and focus on Iran. As the presence of Europe on the nuclear deal and negotiations for pursuit it caused Iran's nuclear actions to be controlled during reaching conclusion. On the other hand, while Iran is negotiating in the framework of nuclear deal so will not proceed to enrichment with high purity and percent and consequently will not take hostile and tension actions against US and its allies in the region. And somehow, this negotiation gives opportunity to US to process for serious conflict against Iran.

And, we shouldn't forget that Donald Trump was president of wonders so far and not only break nuclear deal but also many international treats and agreements, some with ten years old or result of long negotiation years between various countries of world to attain its short-terms benefit. On the other hand, we were also witness of his decisive and serious decisions for solving problems lasted for 10 years. But, in some a way, not only these problem has not solved, but also there has not been placed any negotiation hope or any war for solving them. Like Iran and North Korea problems [7].

Confronting after nearly half a century

Given the circumstances that Donald Trump provided for nuclear deal and took actions in such a way that could withdraw from this international agreement with lowest cost and pressure, it was normal confronting with Iran would be as the same. Thus, if Donald Trump intended to overthrow the governance of Iran or even attacked to the various parts of Iran, undoubtedly, he should to take advantages of current opportunity, Hassan Rouhani's presidency, as a very peaceful president with different perspective than former Iranian president. As in this case, cost of actions against Iran would be minimized.

But what does the United States really want to do for confronting with Iran? Perhaps it was previously assumed that the United States is targeting attacks on some of the main and fundamental infrastructure of the Islamic Republic of Iran-attacks that stop Iran's nuclear program or disable for a long time. At the same time, this matter was carefully studied during former US presidents, and even evaluated Iran's possible measures against a temporal attack on Iran's nuclear, oil and power infrastructure. And ultimately, militaries and political analysts came to believe that Iran's retaliation actions like closure of the Strait of Hormuz and attack to US military bases in region are uncontrollable and anticipating. Therefore, temporal attack on Iran is considered as a failed project.

On the other hand, total war on Iran, which is the only ideological country against the United States, is very difficult and far from mind. Because, Iran has advanced in its missile

technology and regional influence over the past decades and cannot assume that attack on Iran to be easy. But what is certain is that the White House Security Team are agreed to overthrow governance in Iran. As far as, Previous President Donald Trump's literature and discourse shows, he had nothing to think except overthrow of Iran [8].

Donald Trump had been talking to the Iranian people for some time, although he was not welcomed by them. But it was clear that he is seeking to separate people from the sovereignty and made them align with himself. and these movements along with the powerful anti-Iranian team at The White House was sign of regional and even trans-regional war, since Iranians will certainly not be silent against any attack to their country, and will undoubtedly, fighting with people who are very willingness and waiting for this confronting for four decades, is not easy and logical action. Shiites who believe that they are winner whether to be killed or killing. So, we could not expect to target Iran's infrastructure with a sever and rapid attack or even to be safe in US, or easily to vanish governance where to overthrow Islamic Republic of Iran forever.

However, Islamic Republic of Iran has seen fate of US not long years allies like Libya and Iraq, and this is considered as valuable experience for them, because they believe Libya is a serious United for Europe and West, and when Libya intend to build nuclear site, it is obliged not only to deliver all its facilities, equipment and research results to Western partners at once, but in a unusual action, Muammar Gaddafi, who is no longer a winner, is witnessing a NATO-wide strike to his country. And in some way, he faced with overthrowing four decade Libya ruling.

While, during last four decades, Islamic Republic of Iran not only, has not been united with US but also, it stands against US politics in all international relations and political fields, whether as voluntary hegemony or obligatory imperialist, and has caused serious problems for the United States all over the world. So, it is obvious that conflict of United States and its European allies with Iran will be more serious.

But, really how the US can stop Islamic Republic of Iran, which has stood for four decades against all direct and indirect foreign and domestic affairs of United States and its European allies, and has turned into a powerful and experienced country in various fields of conflict. And it's not possible to give up its main ideologies. Or, how the US can align it with its strategic and political doctrines in the Middle East and elsewhere. Undoubtedly, this is not easily possible. What is certain is that Donald Trump with his anti-Iranian team at the White House was studding on the serious plans for Islamic republic of Iran, Programs that Biden is far from them today.

Nitro zeus

Perhaps one of the ways to confront the Islamic Republic of Iran is through implementing Nitro Zeus operation. Although it is mentioned as operations complement or relatively small war information against Iran, but it is noticeable that this billion Dollar project has been designed and organized with a reasonable aims. As, implementation of Nitro Zeus could target all of military and energy infrastructure of Iran in a way and so

would result in inefficiency or failure of domestic systems in different levels of security in Iran.

But really, what is Nitro Zeus? After successful programming of virus writing by experts in US for attacking to nuclear facilities in Iran, United states decide to think about important operation against Iran. As, in earlier attack by unknown virus named Stuxnet, it could attack to centrifuges of Natanz's nuclear site in Iran and so caused its inefficiency. Though, human factor was not used in this operation and could penetrate on the isolated nuclear facilities of Iran by infecting internet networks and hence, industrial computers [9].

But, it wasn't the end of story. As, this virus before successful penetrating to Iran atomic facilities, already was identified by various and specialized laboratory all over the world, especially Kaspersky Lab in Russia. So, there were several serious and important reasons for to be silence on Stuxnet virus. One of its reasons is that due to scale and complexity of the virus, so computer experts found that wealthy and developed state is behind this robust structural designing, as its building and programming cost was at least \$500 million and a maximum of \$1 billion. So, it is difficult to confront with this powerful and complex virus.

On the other hand, viruses and malwares in the world are generated and coded with three distinct goals. First, a group or individual hacker is attempting to making virus or generating malware in financial systems for financial and information theft. Second, in order to creating information and security threats by liberal or terrorist groups, some of information, systems or even special sites are hacked. And ultimately, creating and spreading Stuxnet virus in internet and especially infecting industrial systems of world was the first cyber-attack from one country to another, by proving attacked country has a right to take military action. Although, US and Israel regime didn't accept this attack, but it can be considered as a primary trial and error against Iran and its nuclear installations.

Meanwhile, this virus infected all the computers in the world, but didn't affect them and was looking for a special purpose. Until now, it didn't achieve to its aims, and if so didn't take affective action. Here, Israel activated this virus by obvious attack. And, by attacking to Iran's nuclear installation, it was identified and controlled by Iran.

This is a small part of cyber-attack of United States to Iran's major infrastructure. If, Israel didn't involve in it, perhaps could not be identified very soon. However, only America cooperates with Nitro Zeus, an extensive project which can be preliminary for attacking to Iran. Perhaps, it considered as a cyber-pre-attack or complete attack to Iran. As, this malware could infect all the equipment of war electronic in Iran and so by this cyber attacking, at the first it will damaged to Iran's telecommunications and energy infrastructure and then missile defense systems and military defenses of Iran. Finally, by deactivating financial and telecommunications systems actually make Islamic republic of Iran as defenseless Seibel.

One of the interesting points in Iran's sanction, which were also interested by the Islamic Republic of Iran and are inevitable, are that internet wasn't boycot in Iran by United States. While,

US imposed hard sanctions on the important fields and there is no any reason to justify web availability in Iran, unless it is a way to attack Islamic republic of Iran. However, this attack alone will not be able to bring about any effective and serious change in Iran, and will require bigger supporting to effect on Iran's infrastructure or even overthrown the sovereignty in Iran.

However, for battle against Iran or take any other action, it requires extensive and precise information in Iran. Perhaps, these actions were previously taken as secretly and shortly, but it was not sufficient to be executed. On the other hand, the United States has availability to the information exchange and large amount of Iranian information in various military, political, economic, cultural, social and other areas through non-boycott of Internet in Iran or perhaps even with the help of defining new Internet protocols that work only in certain circumstances and cases, protocols that have specific and specialized function for a specific team. Therefore, it can be clearly stated that US has complete informational access to the internet space and infrastructure structures of Iran. So, it could deactivate large parts of Iran by Nitro Zeus operations [10].

The point is that many decisions made by US are on the basis of information that is obtained from listening and surveying Cyberspace and social networks in Iran or is purchased from servers of large computer and internet corporate. Although, this Information may contain content that other countries think people of Iran are ready for a big change and even overthrow of sovereignty, we should not forget that these people with their particular identities and characteristics undoubtedly are united with each other, as there is not any chance for entry of enemy or alien elements into country. Therefore, it is not doubtful that even protesting Iranians would stand out against any foreign invasion, which could cause mistake in his calculations. The mistake if occurs for any reasons by US, will have devastating effects. Therefore, not only will not terminate battle for overthrowing sovereignty, but also it will be early start for ending of many countries, sovereigns, and even regional and trans-regional governments.

Triad: Trump, Bolton and Henson

McMaster, National Security Advisor of trump, offered plans for withdrew of US from nuclear deal to white house.so, John Bolton offered plans for changing governance. On June 2018, John Bolton attended in the MKO association (one of the Persian military groups who is opponent of regime and recently leave terrorist list) and stated in his lecturing that "before 2019, we will held this celebration in Tehran" In the past two years, he made hard efforts to satisfy Trump withdrawing of nuclear deal, even presented a complete plan for this illegal act. Now by implying the first plan, intend to offer new plan for regime change in Iran to the Democrats.

In the same speech, Bolton stated that "before this events and more than 10 years I said that the official US policy should be the overthrow of the mullah's regime in Tehran. As there has not been any change in regime behavior and objectives, so the only way is to change the regime itself ". "He emphasized more than anything else on regional issues and presence of Iran in Syria and Lebanon. For Bolton, there is only one question: how

would this change be? Since Iraq war, (of course, Bolton himself believes it was good action), American public assumed that US government is afraid of direct intervention in the countries of world, especially the Middle East, due to its internal affairs, so that another way has been taken in Syria and Ukraine and probably in Iran too by weakening governance and strengthening people. Of course, in case of lack of accompanying all of people, US will take another way and will strength different groups in the form of opponent cores and of course with the name of civil society and legal opponents. After dealing of government with this cores, these apparently humanity groups will be armed and make the country chaotic by killing scenario and media innocent. Ultimately, smuggler weapons and American Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) would be help, if required [11].

Now, the ambiguity is that the outcome of first step, withdrawing of nuclear deal and imposing sanction against Iran, to be in such a way that these pressure moves them towards supporting of opponent groups and against governance, not against US and sanctions' actor. In addition to psychological warfare, media attack requires precise in imposing sanctions policies and laws. Topics of plans received now by Bolton, as the White House National Security Advisor, is about planning for a comprehensive strategy that covers all major points in the second step.

Sometimes ago, Washington Free Beacon revealed that have access to a three page plan that SSG presented to US National Security Council. This three page presented by SSG and managed by Jim Henson intend to change Iran regime. In recent years, this SSG has claimed that Iran with cooperation of North Korea is developing thermonuclear warheads and adherence to nuclear deal is deception. Following Netanyahu show with documents claimed theft them from Iran, it seemed like they reach their old dream and concluded that its time of changing Iran regime. By content of Henson papers, it could understand the principles of secret plans that Bolton give to Trump.

On May 7 2018, new papers of Jim Henson published in the SSG base titled "end of game for agreement for Iran and Mullahs", included main principles of plans presented by Bolton. In this paper, after welcoming to Trump policies for withdraw of nuclear deal in case of non-commitment of Iran to serious constraints in other areas, it is declared that: "What should now be recognized as a major problem, is Islamic dangerous governance of Mullahs that has been imposed on the people of Iran for more than 40 years". It's sufficient for Iran people. They are now in the middle of another month's protest. In Green revolution of 2009, president Obama prefer Mullahs to Iranian people. Now, we have to act unlike it and support Iranian who proudly calls for freedom. This does not mean US intervention, or perhaps even no military action, but we should recognize the reality that Iran and the world will have a better situation if they determine their own destiny. Nobody should upset for ending of corrupt and evil regime, because it is the main sponsor of terrorism in the world, the biggest problem in conclusion of peace in Middle East as well as major obstacle for flourishing Iran's wealth and potentials. Nuclear agreement is only symptom and phenomenon. Mullahs are main illness.

On May 11, Jim Henson in another paper published by New week entitled "United States should support the forces who want to form a new governance in the Iran", wrote in response to a Syria missile strike on Israel: "nuclear deal dead, what happens now". He who was believed nuclear deal agreement is incomplete, for provoking Trump government wrote: "now that, Trump withdraw of agreement to stop Iran from turning into nuclear power, it is time for Iran continue its contest to attain atomic bomb". He claimed "Iran's unsuccessful missile strike from Syrian territory against Israelis forces at the Golan Heights show that Iran is still decisive to delete Israel from map and make trouble across the Middle East."

Henson wrote to express his suggestion: "we have one pattern to show our correlation with Iran people." Collapse of Soviet Union is one of the greatest nonviolent victories for attaining freedom in new era. People must proud and honor to work and actions of President Ronald Reagan, British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, and Paulus PP.II, and Lech Walesa, leader of polish solidarity movement. Walesa lead campaign against cruel Soviet regime and created the first hole in the iron curtain that made slavery hundred millions of people. Labor union declared correlation with him and demand for economic reforms. Iran also required similar movement based on demanding improvement of life quality of its people and terminate to repression by regime.

By collapsing Soviet Union since 1990, Walesa recalled by Henson became president of Poland. Henson state that how "free world" (i.e. western governments) should, by boycotting Iran and shortening its hands from incomes, force governance to spend its remaining small income: helping to terrorist groups (mehvar e moqâvemat) or spending money for people. He added in case of first option, we should pressure on Iran governance by supporting domestic opponents and providing any required help. Therefore, all statement of Bolton aims about taking the second step and his sensitivity in succeeding for revolting of Iranian people against regime was attracted by Henson. And so, there is more chance of accepting Henson's plan from Trump's extreme team.

For many years, Jim Henson has been involved in foreign missions, anti-guerrilla and counterterrorism operations, and has been a member of the US Army Special Forces. He also goes on the antenna as an expert in Fox News and CNN. And, in his book named: "Pull down the black flag", he wrote a plan for defeat Islamic governance which is related to ISIS. Free Beacon disclosed that some sources said to security study think place (SSG): Iran's social space react to domestic issues such as telegram filter by Iranian government rather than opposition or agreement of United State with nuclear deal. And, this mean it's time" to make an effective campaign "Regarding the division of the regime into its constructive members," A source close to the White House said to Free Beacon "Bolton has worked for years on the B, C, and D maps for regime change in Iran, and by knowing this , Trump appointed him.

It's normal that Henson plan could place in several step. At the first step, putting economic pressure on the governance and vital elements in the Islamic republic of Iran to the extent that cause public discontent in the society of Iran. And, these

dissatisfactions should be organized as broadly and in street, so that often terminate to the protest impose financial damage and loss of life to the country. In the next step, the plan intends armed attack toward people by homeland factors like People's Mujahedin of Iran. And, quickly media will release psychological operations with focus on killing people by sovereignty, so effect on public feelings!

At a later stage, individuals and groups enjoying armed capability and urban struggle are armed by groups having experience of armed operations, such as People's Mujahedin of Iran who are armed, Iranians, Farsi-speaking groups and serious opposition to the sovereignty of the Islamic Republic, conflict with the ruling forces and create insecurity along with street turmoil. Then, these persons are introduced as civil society and equipping them with smuggling weapons. At the same time, governance institutions are criticized severely. Although these criticisms are without foundation, but public opinion is ready to accept these rumors. When all the governing bodies or most of important institutions are attacked, final aim is toward governance of the Islamic Republic accused of inability and inefficiency. At that time, the poisonous wave of public opinion would be joined to the armed militants. Any kind of opposition to Iran is provided from Nitro Zeus to attack through ground and sea!

CONCLUSION

US withdrawing of nuclear deal and solving North Korea after half century of tension and without any precondition have two messages for the entire world. First, the United States easily is ready to negotiate and compromise with non-religious and non-ideological systems. Although, North Korea is seen as a weak country in the national and international scene, but this US action for stopping or so called attaining to strategic alliance with North Korea after more than half a century itself is considered as an international effective step. On the other hand, US provide preliminaries of comprehensive conflict against a powerful and non-nuclear country with an ideological system by following ways: push North Korea out of action, withdraw of nuclear deal, arraying of anti-Iran team in the White House, providing infrastructure for psychological and media operations in order to begin terminate this forties conflict between the Islamic Republic of Iran and United States of America.

But, despite all threats and rhetoric over the four decades, How US would solve this conflict forever. Undoubtedly, there are not many options for them. Perhaps, the first option is like his deal with North Korea, i.e., non-precondition negotiation based on recognizing nuclear, missile and regional activities of Islamic Republic of Iran and defining certain criteria for the benefit of both parties. Although, this option would not be occurred because of ideological system in Iran, on the one hand , and distrust of both sides, in particular The Islamic Republic of Iran, on the other hand, unless, achieving Iran to nuclear weapons lie North Korea and somehow negotiation with same condition. Since, nuclear power with economic and missile power of Islamic Republic of Iran will no longer leave another option for United State.

But the second option is to attack Iran's infrastructure in a blast and short operation. This attack whether done by cooperation of Nitro Zeus or not, is condemned to failure, and in Iranian slang, its only wounding poisonous snake. Ultimately, attack to US interests around the world, in a way that other militaries and even US citizens will not feel safe anywhere in the world. And, attack to Iran's nuclear and missile infrastructures in entirely irrational. as, Donald Trump anti-Iranian team at White house was opposition of this option, by knowing that this movement cannot even to temporarily stop nuclear activities in Iran.

And finally, moving towards overthrow of sovereignty in the Islamic Republic of Iran is a very dangerous and costly movement, that no American president had previously dared to do. but military confrontation with the Islamic Republic of Iran is a very difficult and costly task, and the United States knows well that overthrowing of sovereignty in Iran by atomic attack would be very difficult and far from mind, except accompanying people and physical presence in Iran. Hence, we are long witness of predisposing by White House advisers to attract people and mostly by various projects including Henson project in Iran. But we should not forget that Iranians have a unique identity that unites with each other in case of difficulties. And no alternative can be created for this unique historical sovereignty associated with Islamism, Iranian ship and ethnic and racial identity in Iran!

So it's obvious, with firing the first bullet, we are witness of unprecedented union in Iran. And, we should not forget that, civil war, the foreign erosion war, the velvet revolution, the internal turbulences, terror of persons known in various

projects, vicarious wars in other countries and information battle outside border of Islamic Republic of Iran are part of operation of country that former US presidents preferred dream of agreement than the nightmare of the war. But, this time, perhaps US could overthrew the only remaining power by financing through its regional allies, the wealthy Arab allies who nightmare of powerful Iran have troubled their dreams.

REFERENCES

1. Fereydun H. Overthrow of Shah. Etelaat publication. 1986.
2. Firoozeh PM. Unveiling of Secrets (Kashf al-Asrar). Imam Khomeini publishing institute. 1999.
3. Almosavi K, Rouhollah, Efaghi C. Imam Khomeini works publishing institute. 1977.
4. Kredo A. White house examining plan to help iranian people oppose regime. 2018.
5. Johnstone C. That time john bolton promised regime change in iran before. 2019.
6. Hanson J. US should support forces seeking a new Iranian government. 2018.
7. Arjomand A. The Turban for the Crown. 1990.
8. Alexander M, Ockrent C. The evil empire: The third world war now. London: Sidgwick and Jackson. 1988.
9. Ganji M. Defying the Iranian revolution: From a minister to the Shah to a leader of resistance. Greenwood Publishing Group. 2002.
10. Abadi ZMA. The identity of the code of operations. 2017. 113.
11. Abadi ZMA. National security based on a multi-layered and stable 3D matrix. 2019. 64.