OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Immunological Disorders and Immunotherapy

Opinion Article

Plastic in Our Bloodstream: The Cancerous Potential of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET)

Zhen Ryoagau*

Department of Gastroenterology, Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, China

DESCRIPTION

In the modern era, plastic defines convenience. From food packaging to water bottles and synthetic fibers, plastics especially Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) are everywhere. They've revolutionized our economy and simplified our lives. Yet, the convenience of plastic may come at a hidden cost our health.

Emerging research suggests that PET, long assumed to be inert and safe, may in fact be silently contributing to the most feared disease of our time cancer. While this revelation may seem alarmist at first glance, a growing body of scientific investigation now paints a more disturbing and nuanced picture. It's not simply that PET can enter the human body through microplastics the real concern lies in it behaves once it's inside us.

Unveiling PET's carcinogenic potential through advanced multidimensional analysis

A recent multidimensional study sheds new light on PET's potential carcinogenicity, combining cutting-edge techniques such as network toxicology, machine learning, molecular docking and even single-cell spatial transcriptomics. The results are sobering. PET doesn't just linger in tissues it actively interferes with crucial biological pathways related to cancer progression.

The study's most groundbreaking finding centers around six key proteins with high binding affinities to PET particles. These include *PIK3CA, TOP2A, PARP1, SRC, EP300* and *CREBBP* genes known for their roles in DNA repair, cellular proliferation and chromatin remodeling. In simpler terms, these are master switches in the machinery of cell regulation. If PET can bind with and disrupt their normal function, it's more than just a passive invader it becomes a potential molecular saboteur.

The implications are vast. For instance, *PIK3CA* is part of the PI3K-Akt-mTOR pathway, a central axis in cell survival and metabolism. Mutations and disruptions in this pathway are common in numerous cancers. Meanwhile, *TOP2A* and *PARP1* are both critical in the repair of DNA damage, a process that, when compromised, allows cancerous mutations to flourish. Add *EP300, CREBBP* into the mix transcriptional regulators that shape how genes are turned on or off and the picture becomes clearer: PET exposure has the potential to derail key systems of cellular homeostasis.

Equally concerning is the discovery that these PET-binding proteins are predominantly expressed in immune cells specifically T-cells and phagocytes which are not only essential for immune defense but are also key players in tumor surveillance. This means PET might be compromising both our cellular integrity and our body's ability to respond to tumor threats.

To reinforce these computational findings, the study conducted in vitro experiments on breast cancer cell lines. The results were consistent and alarming PET exposure promoted tumor cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner. The more PET the cells were exposed to, the faster they grew. Additionally, PET increased the expression of TOP2A, reinforcing the mechanistic link between PET interaction and cellular deregulation.

This convergence of molecular docking simulations, machine learning-based prognostic modeling and biological experimentation lays down a powerful framework for understanding environmental toxicants. But more importantly, it forces us to confront a deeper question: if a common plastic like PET can bind with oncogenic targets and foster conditions conducive to cancer, what are the long-term risks of living in a world blanketed by it.

Let's be clear this is not a call for panic or a crusade against every PET bottle in your kitchen. Rather, it is a plea for urgency in how we assess, regulate and innovate around synthetic materials that are already integrated into the ecosystem and our bodies. Current regulatory standards largely assume PET is inert, based on outdated toxicity models that do not account for nanoplastic behavior, immune interactions, or cellular signaling interference.

We must shift toward an era of proactive molecular toxicology, where materials are not just assessed based on ingestion or immediate toxicity, but also on their subtle, cumulative and systemic effects on the body. PET may not cause cancer with a single exposure, but chronic interaction especially when

Correspondence: Zhen Ryoagau, Department of Gastroenterology, Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, China, E-mail: zhen@gmail.com

Received: 20-Feb-2025, Manuscript No. IDIT-25-38157; **Editor assigned:** 24-Feb-2025, PreQC No. IDIT-25-38157 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 10-Mar-2025, QC No. IDIT-25-38157; **Revised:** 17-Mar-2025, Manuscript No. IDIT-25-38157 (R); **Published:** 24-Mar-2025, DOI: 10.35248/2593-8509.25.10.210

Citation: Ryoagau Z (2025). Plastic in Our Bloodstream: The Cancerous Potential of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET). Immunol Disord Immunother. 10: 210.

combined with other pollutants could have a far more insidious impact than previously imagined.

Socioeconomic layer to this issue

Communities in low-income or heavily industrialized areas are far more likely to encounter higher levels of environmental plastic contamination. If PET exposure truly contributes to tumor initiation or progression, then these populations may be bearing an invisible but disproportionate health burden.

CONCLUSION

The path forward demands accountability and innovation. We need deeper collaboration between material scientists, toxicologists, oncologists and policymakers. New materials must be designed with bio-safety in mind, not just performance and cost. Public health policy should fund large-scale epidemiological studies to explore the cancer-PET link across populations. And perhaps most critically, we must begin to see plastic not only as waste, but as a molecular entity capable of changing our biology.

The evidence is growing and we can no longer afford to ignore it. PET may be silent, transparent and nearly invisible in daily life but its presence in our bodies could be anything but benign.