OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Journal of Food: Microbiology, Safety & Hygiene

Short Communication

Physical and Chemical Approaches to Disinfection

Nolan Greybird*

Department of Physical and Chemical Approaches to Disinfection, Faculty of Public Health, Diabetes Institute, Kuwait City, Kuwait.

DESCRIPTION

Disinfection methods are fundamental to maintaining public health, controlling infections and ensuring safe environments across healthcare, industrial and community settings. The primary objective of disinfection is to reduce or eliminate pathogenic microorganisms on surfaces, equipment, or in water, thereby minimizing the risk of disease transmission [1]. While the concept may seem straightforward, effective disinfection requires careful selection of methods, an understanding of microbial resistance, and proper application to achieve consistent results. Disinfection can be broadly classified into physical and chemical methods. Physical methods often rely on heat, Ultraviolet (UV) radiation or filtration. Heat based methods, such as boiling, autoclaving or pasteurization, are widely used in healthcare and laboratory environments to destroy microorganisms [2]. Autoclaving, UV radiation, on the other hand, is effective in inactivating microorganisms by damaging their DNA or RNA, making it suitable for air and surface disinfection in hospitals, food processing units and water treatment facilities. Filtration methods physically remove microorganisms from liquids, providing a critical intervention for water safety and certain pharmaceutical processes. Chemical disinfection methods are equally vital and include the use of alcohols, chlorine compounds, quaternary ammonium compounds, hydrogen peroxide and iodine based solutions [3]. Each chemical has specific properties that make it suitable for particular applications. Alcohols, such as ethanol and isopropanol, are effective against bacteria and enveloped viruses, making them ideal for hand sanitizers and surface wipes. Chlorine based disinfectants are widely used in water treatment and sanitation programs due to their broad spectrum efficacy and cost effectiveness. Quaternary ammonium compounds are commonly employed in healthcare and food service environments because of their residual activity and low toxicity [4]. Hydrogen peroxide and iodine solutions offer versatile options for surface disinfection, wound care and laboratory decontamination. Selecting the appropriate disinfectant requires considering factors such as the type of microorganism, surface compatibility, exposure time and potential environmental impact [5].

The effectiveness of disinfection methods depends not only on the agent used but also on proper application [6]. Factors such as concentration, contact time, temperature and cleanliness of surfaces significantly influence outcomes. For instance, a disinfectant applied to a heavily soiled surface may be less effective because organic matter can inactivate the chemical [7]. Similarly, inadequate contact time or incorrect dilution can lead to partial inactivation of pathogens, allowing them to survive and potentially cause infection. Therefore, adherence to manufacturer guidelines, standard operating procedures, and regulatory recommendations is essential to achieve reliable disinfection.

Sustainability and safety are increasingly important considerations in modern disinfection strategies. Traditional chemical disinfectants, while effective, can produce hazardous by products or contribute to environmental pollution if used excessively. As a result, research has focused on developing greener alternatives, such as hydrogen peroxide vapor, ozone treatment and UV C systems, which provide high efficacy while minimizing ecological impact [8]. Proper disposal of chemical disinfectants, training in safe handling and reducing unnecessary usage are essential components of responsible disinfection practices. Technological advancements have expanded the tools available for disinfection. Automated UV robots, electrostatic sprayers and smart monitoring systems allow for precise application, reduce human error and improve coverage in complex environments. In healthcare and laboratory settings, these innovations complement traditional methods, enhancing the overall effectiveness of disinfection protocols. However, technology alone cannot replace basic principles such as thorough cleaning, correct chemical selection and consistent training of personnel [9]. Education and awareness play a pivotal role in ensuring the success of disinfection methods. Individuals and organizations must understand not only how to apply disinfectants but also why these measures are necessary. Proper instruction on dilution, contact time, surface preparation and safety precautions fosters adherence and reduces the risk of accidents or ineffective disinfection. Public campaigns, workplace training programs and institutional protocols all contribute to creating a culture of hygiene and safety, reinforcing the importance of disinfection in preventing disease [10].

Correspondence to: Nolan Greybird, Department of Physical and Chemical Approaches to Disinfection, Faculty of Public Health, Diabetes Institute, Kuwait City, Kuwait, E-mail: nolan.greybird@gmail.com

Received: 02-Jun-2025, Manuscript No. JFMSH-25-39358; **Editor assigned:** 04-Jun-2025, PreQC No. JFMSH-25-39358 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 17-Jun-2025, QC No. JFMSH-25-39358; **Revised:** 24-Jun-2025, Manuscript No. JFMSH-25-39358 (R); **Published:** 01-Jul-2025. DOI: 10.35841/2476-2059.25.10.352

Citation: Greybird N (2025). Physical and Chemical Approaches to Disinfection. Food Microbial Saf Hyg. 10:352.

Copyright: © 2025 Greybird N. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

REFERENCES

1. Braakman I, Bulleid N.J. Protein Folding and Modification in the Mammalian Endoplasmic Reticulum. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2011;80:71-99.
2. Shibata Y, Hu J, Kozlov M.M, Rapoport T.A. Mechanisms Shaping the Membranes of Cellular Organelles. Annu. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol. 2009;25:329-354.
3. Obara C.J, Moore A.S, Lippincott Schwartz J. Structural Diversity within the Endoplasmic Reticulum From the Microscale to the Nanoscale. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol. 2023;15.
4. Westrate L.M, Lee J.E, Prinz W.A., Voeltz G.K. Form Follows Function: The Importance of Endoplasmic Reticulum Shape. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2015;84:791-811.
5. Schuck S, Prinz W.A, Thorn K.S, Voss C, Walter P. Membrane expansion alleviates endoplasmic reticulum stress independently of the unfolded protein response. J. Cell Biol. 2009;187:525-536.
6. Karagoz G.E, Acosta Alvear D, Walter P. The unfolded protein response: Detecting and responding to fluctuations in the protein folding capacity of the endoplasmic reticulum. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol.
7. Volmer R, Van Der Ploeg K, Ron D. Membrane lipid saturation activates endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response transducers through their transmembrane domains. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2013;110:4628-4633.
8. Walter P, Ron D. The unfolded protein response: From stress pathway to homeostatic regulation. Science. 2011;334.
9. Korennykh A, Walter P. Structural Basis of the Unfolded Protein Response. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 2012;28:251-277.
10. Tam A.B, Roberts L.S, Chandra V, Rivera I.G, Nomura D.K, Forbes D.J, et al. The UPR Activator ATF6 Responds to Proteotoxic and Lipotoxic Stress by Distinct Mechanisms. Dev. Cell. 2018;46:327-343.
11. Bommiasamy H, Back S.H, Fagone P, Lee K, Meshinchi S, Vink E, et al. ATF6α induces XBP1 independent expansion of the endoplasmic reticulum. J. Cell Sci. 2009;122:1626-1636.
12. Sriburi R, Jackowski S, Mori K, Brewer J.W. XBP1: A link between the unfolded protein response, lipid biosynthesis, and biogenesis of the endoplasmic reticulum. J. Cell Biol. 2004;167:35-41.