

Peer Mentoring Programs and their Association with Metacognitive Skill Development in Secondary School Students

Sofia Lindgren *

Department of Educational and Developmental Psychology, Northern Lakes University, Helsinki, Finland

DESCRIPTION

Metacognition refers to an individual's awareness and understanding of personal thinking processes. It involves the ability to monitor learning, evaluate progress, select appropriate strategies, and make adjustments when challenges arise. Within educational settings, metacognitive abilities contribute significantly to academic achievement, self-directed learning, problem-solving, and intellectual independence. As schools seek methods to strengthen these skills, peer mentoring programs have emerged as an educational practice with considerable potential. Peer mentoring involves structured interactions in which more experienced or knowledgeable students provide guidance, support, and assistance to fellow learners. While these programs are often associated with social adjustment and academic support, they may also influence cognitive development in meaningful ways. This article examines the relationship between peer mentoring programs and metacognitive skill development among secondary school students [1]. The discussion considers cognitive mechanisms, educational benefits, developmental influences, and implications for school psychology practice.

Secondary education represents a period during which students encounter increasing academic complexity and personal responsibility. Learners are expected to manage assignments, organize study schedules, monitor understanding, and adapt learning strategies across diverse subjects. These expectations require more than subject knowledge alone. Students must also develop awareness of how they learn and how to regulate their thinking processes effectively. Metacognitive skills become increasingly important during this developmental stage because they support independent learning and academic adaptability [2].

Metacognition is commonly described as involving two broad dimensions. The first dimension concerns knowledge about cognition, including awareness of personal strengths, weaknesses, and learning preferences. The second dimension involves regulation of cognition, which includes planning,

monitoring, and evaluating learning activities. Together, these components enable students to make informed decisions about how to approach academic tasks. Peer mentoring programs create educational environments that may encourage metacognitive growth through social interaction and collaborative learning. Unlike traditional teacher-centered instruction, mentoring relationships often involve dialogue, shared experiences, and mutual reflection [3]. These interactions provide opportunities for students to discuss learning processes explicitly and examine strategies used to achieve academic goals.

One of the primary ways peer mentoring supports metacognitive development is through discussion of learning strategies. Mentors frequently share techniques they use to manage coursework, prepare for examinations, organize materials, and address academic difficulties. Such conversations expose mentees to a variety of approaches that they may not have considered previously. Awareness of multiple learning strategies contributes to metacognitive knowledge and encourages students to think critically about their own methods. Planning skills represent an important aspect of metacognitive regulation. Successful students often establish goals, identify necessary resources, and develop structured approaches for completing tasks [4]. Peer mentors can model these planning behaviors by explaining how they prepare for assignments and manage academic responsibilities. Observing and discussing planning processes helps mentees understand the value of intentional preparation and strategic organization [5].

Monitoring progress during learning activities is another essential metacognitive function. Students who monitor effectively can identify misunderstandings, recognize areas requiring additional effort, and adjust strategies when necessary [6]. Mentoring conversations often involve reflection on academic experiences, allowing students to examine what is working and what requires modification. Through these discussions, learners become more attentive to their own thinking processes.

Self-evaluation plays a central role in metacognitive development. After completing academic tasks, students benefit

Correspondence to: Sofia Lindgren, Department of Educational and Developmental Psychology, Northern Lakes University, Helsinki, Finland, E-mail: sofia.lindgren@nlu-academic.fi

Received: 25-May-2026, Manuscript No. IJSCP-26-42875; **Editor assigned:** 27-May-2026, PreQC No. IJSCP-26-42875 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 10-Jun-2026, QC No. IJSCP-26-42875; **Revised:** 17-Jun-2026, Manuscript No. IJSCP-26-42875 (R); **Published:** 24-Jun-2026, DOI: 10.35248/2469-9837.26.13.526

Citation: Lindgren S (2026). Peer Mentoring Programs and their Association with Metacognitive Skill Development in Secondary School Students. Int J Sch Cogn Psycho.13:526

Copyright: © 2026 Lindgren S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

from considering the effectiveness of their approaches and identifying areas for improvement. Peer mentoring relationships frequently encourage such reflection. Mentors may ask questions about study habits, preparation methods, or learning outcomes, prompting mentees to evaluate their performance thoughtfully [7]. This reflective process supports greater self-awareness and continuous improvement. The reciprocal nature of peer mentoring can also benefit mentors themselves. Explaining strategies and providing guidance requires mentors to articulate their thinking clearly. In doing so, they often gain deeper insight into their own cognitive processes. Teaching others frequently strengthens understanding because it demands conscious examination of previously automatic behaviors. Consequently, both mentors and mentees may experience metacognitive growth through participation [8].

The transition periods within secondary education may be particularly suitable for mentoring interventions. Students entering new educational environments often face unfamiliar expectations and increased responsibilities [9]. Guidance from experienced peers can help newcomers understand effective learning practices while encouraging development of self-monitoring and planning skills. Such support may facilitate smoother academic adjustment. Group mentoring formats offer additional opportunities for metacognitive engagement. In group settings, students are exposed to multiple perspectives and learning strategies. Discussions involving several participants encourage comparison of approaches and stimulate reflection on individual practices. Exposure to diverse viewpoints broadens understanding of effective learning methods and supports cognitive flexibility [10].

CONCLUSION

Peer mentoring programs offer meaningful opportunities for the development of metacognitive skills among secondary school students. Through discussions of learning strategies, goal setting, self-monitoring, reflection, and problem-solving, participants engage in processes that strengthen awareness and regulation of cognition. Both mentors and mentees may benefit from these experiences as they examine their thinking and refine their approaches to learning. Within the field of school and cognitive psychology, peer mentoring represents a valuable educational

practice that supports independent learning, academic adaptability, and long-term cognitive development. As schools continue seeking effective methods for promoting student success, peer mentoring remains a significant approach for encouraging metacognitive growth and educational achievement.

REFERENCES

1. Kharawala S, Hastedt C, Podhorna J, Shukla H, Kappelhoff B, Harvey PD. The relationship between cognition and functioning in schizophrenia: A semi-systematic review. *Schizophr Res Cogn*. 2021;27:100217.
2. Huang Y, Wang Y, Wang H, Liu Z, Yu X, Yan J, et al. Prevalence of mental disorders in china: A cross-sectional epidemiological study. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2019;6(3):211-224.
3. Leijala J, Kampman O, Suvisaari J, Eskelinen S. Daily functioning and symptom factors contributing to attitudes toward antipsychotic treatment and treatment adherence in outpatients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2021;21(1):37.
4. Seligman ME, Csikszentmihalyi M. Positive psychology. An introduction. *Am Psychol*. 2000;55(1):5-14.
5. Kim J, Na H. Effects of a positive psychotherapy program on positive affect, interpersonal relations, resilience, and mental health recovery in community-dwelling people with schizophrenia. *J Korean Acad Nurs*. 2017;47(5):638-650.
6. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Kunz R, Vist GE, Falck-Ytter Y, Schünemann HJJB. What is "quality of evidence" and why is it important to clinicians? *BMJ*. 2008;336(7651):995-998.
7. Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VJHW. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2019;10(10):ED000142.
8. McDonagh MS, Dana T, Kopelovich SL, Monroe-DeVita M, Blazina I, Bougatsos C, et al. Psychosocial interventions for adults with schizophrenia: An overview and update of systematic reviews. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2022;73(3):299-312.
9. Juul S, Gluud C, Simonsen S, Frandsen FW, Kirsch I, Jakobsen JC. Blinding in randomised clinical trials of psychological interventions: A retrospective study of published trial reports. *BMJ Evidence-Based Med*. 2021;26(3):109.
10. Castillo EG, Ijadi-Maghsoodi R, Shadravan S, Moore E, Mensah MO III, Docherty M, et al. Community interventions to promote mental health and social equity. *Focus (Am Psychiatr Publ)*. 2020;18(1):60-70.