



Patient Recruitment Strategies and Challenges in Clinical Trials

Sharon Jame*

Department of Clinical Pharmacy Division Laboratory and Pharmacy, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands

DESCRIPTION

Patient recruitment is one of the most important determinants of success in clinical trials. Without timely and adequate enrollment of participants, even well designed studies may fail to achieve statistical significance or may experience delays that increase costs and reduce efficiency. Recruitment also influences the quality and generalizability of trial outcomes, making it a central concern in clinical research planning and execution.

Despite its importance, recruitment remains a persistent challenge in clinical research. One of the most common barriers is lack of awareness among eligible patients. Many individuals who meet inclusion criteria are simply unaware that relevant clinical trials exist. Even when patients are informed, complex eligibility criteria can further reduce the pool of suitable participants, making enrollment difficult. Strict inclusion and exclusion criteria, while necessary for safety and study integrity, often limit participation.

Logistical challenges also play a significant role. Many patients are reluctant to travel long distances to trial sites or commit to frequent visits, especially when dealing with chronic or serious illnesses. Time constraints, work responsibilities and financial limitations further reduce willingness to participate. In addition, some patients may hesitate due to fear of side effects, mistrust of research processes, or lack of understanding of clinical trials. These psychological and social factors can significantly influence recruitment outcomes.

Healthcare providers also influence recruitment rates. Physicians are often the primary source of patient referrals, but they may not always be aware of ongoing trials or may lack time to discuss them with patients. In some cases, clinical workload and administrative burdens reduce the likelihood of active patient referral. This gap between research teams and healthcare providers contributes to under enrollment in many studies.

Traditional recruitment methods such as physician referrals, hospital registries and printed advertisements have been widely used for decades. While these approaches can be effective in certain settings, they are often limited in reach and efficiency.

They depend heavily on local patient populations and may not be sufficient for large scale or multi center trials. As clinical research has become more complex and global, these conventional methods alone are no longer adequate.

Advances in digital technology have significantly improved recruitment strategies. Electronic health records now allow researchers to identify eligible patients more efficiently by filtering large datasets based on predefined criteria. Social media platforms and online health communities have also expanded the reach of recruitment efforts, enabling direct communication with potential participants. In addition, artificial intelligence tools are increasingly being used to match patients to trials more accurately and quickly by analyzing structured and unstructured medical data.

Decentralized and hybrid clinical trial models have further transformed recruitment. By reducing the need for physical site visits, these approaches make participation more convenient and accessible, particularly for individuals in remote or underserved areas. Telemedicine, home based monitoring and mobile health applications have all contributed to improved patient engagement and retention. These innovations help reduce geographical and logistical barriers that traditionally limited recruitment.

A patient centered approach is also becoming increasingly important in improving enrollment. Clear communication about trial objectives, risks and benefits helps build trust and encourages participation. Simplifying consent forms and ensuring transparency throughout the trial process can reduce confusion and improve understanding. Support systems such as travel reimbursement, flexible scheduling and regular patient engagement activities further enhance recruitment and retention.

Ethical considerations remain central to recruitment practices. Informed consent must be obtained in a manner that ensures participants fully understand the study and are not subject to coercion. Privacy and confidentiality must be maintained, especially when using digital tools and electronic databases. Additionally, ensuring diversity in recruitment is essential to

Correspondence to: Sharon Jame, Department of Clinical Pharmacy Division Laboratory and Pharmacy, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands, E-mail: sharonjame@41.nl

Received: 31-Mar-2026, Manuscript No. JCTR-26-41717; **Editor assigned:** 01-Apr-2026, PreQC No. JCTR-26-41717 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 15-Apr-2026, QC No. JCTR-26-41717; **Revised:** 22-Apr-2026, Manuscript No. JCTR-26-41717 (R); **Published:** 29-Apr-2026, DOI: 10.35248/2167-0870.26.16.642

Citation: Jame S (2026). Patient Recruitment Strategies and Challenges in Clinical Trials. J Clin Trials. 16:642.

Copyright: © 2026 Jame S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

avoid bias and to ensure that trial results are applicable across different populations, including various age groups, genders, ethnicities and socioeconomic backgrounds.

Looking ahead, patient recruitment is expected to become more efficient through continued technological innovation and better integration of healthcare systems. Artificial intelligence, predictive analytics and real world data will likely play an even greater role in identifying eligible participants. Collaboration between researchers, healthcare providers and patient advocacy groups will also be important in improving awareness and participation rates.

In conclusion, patient recruitment is a complex but essential component of clinical trials. While traditional methods continue to play a role, modern digital tools and patient centered strategies are reshaping the recruitment landscape. Addressing barriers related to awareness, accessibility and trust will be key to improving enrollment outcomes and ensuring the success of future clinical research.

REFERENCES

1. Delgado-Alonso C, Valles-Salgado M, Delgado-Álvarez A, Yus M, Gómez-Ruiz N, Jorquera M, et al. Cognitive dysfunction associated with COVID-19: A comprehensive neuropsychological study. *J. Psychiatr.* 2022; 150:40-46.
2. Delgado-Alonso C, Díez-Cirarda M, Pagán J, Pérez-Izquierdo C, Oliver-Mas S, Fernández-Romero L, et al. Unraveling brain fog in post-COVID syndrome: Relationship between subjective cognitive complaints and cognitive function, fatigue, and neuropsychiatric symptoms. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 2025; 32(1):e16084.
3. Nicotra A, Masserini F, Calcaterra F, Di Vito C, Doneddu PE, Pomati S, et al. What do we mean by long COVID? a scoping review of the cognitive sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 2023; 30(12):3968-3978.
4. Lee JS, Choi Y, Joung JY, Son CG. Clinical and laboratory characteristics of fatigue-dominant long-COVID subjects: A cross-sectional study. *Am. J. Med.* 2025; 138(2):346-353.
5. Ceban F, Ling S, Lui LM, Lee Y, Gill H, Teopiz KM, et al. Fatigue and cognitive impairment in Post-COVID-19 Syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 2022;101:93-135.
6. Margalit I, Yelin D, Sagi M, Rahat MM, Sheena L, Mizrahi N, et al. Risk factors and multidimensional assessment of long coronavirus disease fatigue: A nested case-control study. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2022;75(10):1688-1697.
7. Constantinescu-Bercu A, Lobiuc A, Căliman-Sturdza OA, Oîță RC, Iavorschi M, Pavăl NE, et al. Long COVID: Molecular mechanisms and detection techniques. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023;25(1): 408.
8. Zheng C, Chen XK, Sit CH, Liang X, Li MH, Ma AC, et al. Effect of physical exercise-based rehabilitation on long COVID: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 2024;56(1):143-154.
9. Godfrey B, Shardha J, Witton S, Bodey R, Tarrant R, Greenwood DC, et al. A personalised pacing and active rest rehabilitation programme for post-exertional symptom exacerbation and health status in long COVID (PACELOC): A prospective cohort study. *J. Clin. Med.* 2024;14(1):97.
10. Varillas-Delgado D. Association of genetic profile with muscle mass gain and muscle injury prevention in professional football players after creatine supplementation. *Nutrients.* 2024;16(15): 2511.