

Panning for Long Noncoding RNAs to Improve Somatic Cell Nuclear Transfer Reprogramming Efficiency: Challenges and Opportunities

YanJun Huan and Hongbin He*

Dairy Cattle Research Center, Shandong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Jinan, China.

Commentary

Since the cloned sheep “Dolly” was born in 1997, somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) has been achieved in many species, however, to date, the overall cloning efficiency is still low, and this limits the large-scale application in basic research, agriculture and medicine, etc [1-3]. It is generally believed that low cloning efficiency is mainly due to aberrant epigenetic reprogramming [4]. In cloned embryos, DNA methylation, histone modifications and genomic imprinting, etc, are usually disrupted, and these incomplete epigenetic reprogramming causes continuous expression of tissue specific genes, no effective activation of genes related to early embryo development, and aberrant transcription of imprinted genes, etc, thereby leading to poor cloning efficiency [5-7].

Previous studies have displayed that incomplete epigenetic reprogramming in cloned embryos is due to the disrupted expression patterns of genes related to epigenetic modifications [4,8,9]. Recently, long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) have been shown to interact with epigenetic modification related enzymes and further regulate epigenetic reprogramming [10]. lncRNAs are non-protein coding transcripts longer than 200 nucleotides, once considered as dark matter, and confirmed to take part in diverse epigenetic regulatory progresses, including DNA methylation, histone modifications, genomic imprinting, etc [11-13].

It is known that DNA methylation reprogramming is regulated by DNA methylation and demethylation related enzymes, thus, lncRNAs-guided DNA methylation reprogramming includes the interplay between lncRNAs and these enzymes [10,12,14]. For gene activation, promoter-associated noncoding RNAs (pancRNAs) are typical lncRNAs during the progress of lncRNAs-mediated DNA demethylation, and pancRNAs can recruit DNA demethylation related enzymes, such as ten eleven translocation enzymes (Tets), to regulate DNA demethylation [15,16]. Similarly, Dnmt1-interacting RNAs and TARID also inhibit DNA methyltransferases (Dnmts) to block DNA methylation, or recruit DNA demethylation related enzymes to carry out DNA demethylation, thereby promoting gene expression [17,18]. When gene silence, lncRNAs, Dum as an example, interact with Dnmts to establish and maintain DNA methylation [19], and, increased levels of lncRNAs, such as HOTAIR and POU3F3, also promote DNA methylation [20,21]. During the progress of lncRNAs-guided histone modifications, HOTTIP has been shown to recruit Trithorax group (TrxG) proteins, and promote H3K4me3 and gene expression [22], while HOTAIR silences the Hoxc locus through the recruitment of Polycomb group (PcG) proteins and H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 catalyses. Also, other lncRNAs, such as Air and Kcnq1ot1, can recruit epigenetic silencing complexes to inhibit gene expression [23,24]. Thus, lncRNAs mediate histone modifications through TrxG and PcG proteins and regulate gene expression. Overall, the emerging links between lncRNAs and epigenetic modifications confirm that lncRNAs are key epigenetic regulators [10,13,25].

During cellular reprogramming, genome-wide epigenetic dynamics are necessary, and lncRNAs exert critical functions in reprogramming cell fate [26-28]. In induced pluripotent stem cells, lncRNAs have been shown to promote or inhibit somatic cell reprogramming [29,30]. In

cloned embryos, H19 and Xist, the best studied lncRNAs, are also known to participate in embryo development, and their disrupted expression patterns result in low cloning efficiency [31,32]. However, due to the limited number of SCNT embryos resulting from the complicated production and poor developmental competence, only a small number of lncRNAs have been identified. In view of the critical role of lncRNAs in the development of cloned embryos, methods for detection at the single-embryo level or at the level of a small number of embryos are needed to identify and characterize lncRNAs required for cellular reprogramming. Currently, available technologies to reveal the functions of lncRNAs in scarce materials, such as early embryos, are coming, and more surprises are to emerge [15,28]. Overall, lncRNAs are a “rich ore” to be mined, and panning for these “treasures” would enhance the developmental competence of cloned embryos.

In conclusion, disrupted epigenetic modifications cause low cloning efficiency, and lncRNAs can regulate epigenetic reprogramming, thus, to reveal the functions of lncRNAs in nuclear reprogramming induced by SCNT will broaden our knowledge of the mechanism underlying cellular reprogramming, and further improve cloning efficiency.

References

1. Galli C, Lagutina I, Perota A, Colleoni S, Duchi R, et al. (2012) Somatic cell nuclear transfer and transgenesis in large animals: current and future insights. *Reprod Domest Anim* 47 Suppl 3: 2-11.
2. Lee K, Prather RS (2013) Advancements in somatic cell nuclear transfer and future perspectives. *Animal Frontiers* 3: 56-61.
3. Ogura A, Inoue K, Wakayama T (2013) Recent advancements in cloning by somatic cell nuclear transfer. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 368: 20110329.
4. Huili J, Haosheng L, Dengke P (2014) Epigenetic reprogramming by somatic cell nuclear transfer: questions and potential solutions. *Yi Chuan* 36: 1211-1218.
5. Peat JR, Reik W (2012) Incomplete methylation reprogramming in SCNT embryos. *Nat Genet* 44: 965-966.
6. Matoba S, Liu Y, Lu F, Iwabuchi KA, Shen L, et al. (2014) Embryonic development following somatic cell nuclear transfer impeded by persisting histone methylation. *Cell* 159: 884-895.
7. Tian XC (2014) Genomic imprinting in farm animals. *Annu Rev Anim Biosci* 2: 23-40.
8. Zhao J, Whyte J, Prather RS (2010) Effect of epigenetic regulation during swine embryogenesis and on cloning by nuclear transfer. *Cell Tissue Res* 341: 13-21.

*Corresponding author: Hongbin He, Dairy Cattle Research Center, Shandong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, No.159 Industrial North Road, Jinan, Shandong Province, 250100, China, Tel: +86 531 88679268; Fax: +86 531 88679268; E-mail: hongbinh@hotmail.com

Received June 04, 2015; Accepted July 20, 2015; Published July 22, 2015

Citation: Huan Y, He H (2015) Panning for Long Noncoding RNAs to Improve Somatic Cell Nuclear Transfer Reprogramming Efficiency: Challenges and Opportunities. *Clon Transgen* 4: 140. doi:10.4172/2168-9849.1000140

Copyright: © 2015 Huan Y, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

9. Huan Y, Wang H, Wu Z, Zhang J, Liu Z, et al. (2015) The expression patterns of DNA methylation reprogramming related genes are associated with the developmental competence of cloned embryos after zygotic genome activation in pigs. *Gene Expr Patterns* 18: 1-7.
10. Marchese FP, Huarte M (2014) Long non-coding RNAs and chromatin modifiers: their place in the epigenetic code. *Epigenetics* 9: 21-26.
11. Huang Y, Regazzi R, Cho WC (2014) Out of darkness: long non-coding RNAs come of age. *Front Genet* 5: 388.
12. Holloch D, Moazed D (2015) RNA-mediated epigenetic regulation of gene expression. *Nat Rev Genet* 16: 71-84.
13. Quan M, Chen J, Zhang D, et al. (2015) Exploring the secrets of long noncoding RNAs. *Int J Mol Sci* 16: 5467-5496.
14. Lai F, Shiekhhattar R (2014) Where long noncoding RNAs meet DNA methylation. *Cell Res* 24: 263-264.
15. Hamazaki N, Uesaka M, Nakashima K, Agata K, Imamura T (2015) Gene activation-associated long noncoding RNAs function in mouse preimplantation development. *Development* 142: 910-920.
16. Schubert C (2015) Long Non-Coding RNAs Take Aim at Methyl Marks in Early Embryo. *Biol Reprod* 92: 112.
17. Arab K, Park YJ, Lindroth AM, Schäfer A, Oakes C, et al. (2014) Long noncoding RNA TARID directs demethylation and activation of the tumor suppressor TCF21 via GADD45A. *Mol Cell* 55: 604-614.
18. Di Ruscio A, Ebralidze AK, Benoukraf T, Amabile G, Goff LA, et al. (2013) DNMT1-interacting RNAs block gene-specific DNA methylation. *Nature* 503: 371-376.
19. Wang L, Zhao Y, Bao X, Zhu X, Kwok YK, et al. (2015) LncRNA Dum interacts with Dnmts to regulate Dppa2 expression during myogenic differentiation and muscle regeneration. *Cell Res* 25: 335-350.
20. Li D, Feng J, Wu T, Wang Y, Sun Y, et al. (2013) Long intergenic noncoding RNA HOTAIR is overexpressed and regulates PTEN methylation in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Am J Pathol* 182: 64-70.
21. Li W, Zheng J, Deng J, You Y, Wu H, et al. (2014) Increased levels of the long intergenic non-protein coding RNA POU3F3 promote DNA methylation in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cells. *Gastroenterology* 146: 1714-1726.
22. Wang KC, Yang YW, Liu B, Sanyal A, Corces-Zimmerman R, et al. (2011) A long noncoding RNA maintains active chromatin to coordinate homeotic gene expression. *Nature* 472: 120-124.
23. Nagano T, Mitchell JA, Sanz LA, Pauler FM, Ferguson-Smith AC, et al. (2008) The Air noncoding RNA epigenetically silences transcription by targeting G9a to chromatin. *Science* 322: 1717-1720.
24. Pandey RR, Mondal T, Mohammad F, Enroth S, Redrup L, et al. (2008) Kcnq1ot1 antisense noncoding RNA mediates lineage-specific transcriptional silencing through chromatin-level regulation. *Mol Cell* 32: 232-246.
25. Cao J (2014) The functional role of long non-coding RNAs and epigenetics. *Biol Proced Online* 16: 11.
26. Guan D, Zhang W, Zhang W, Liu GH, Belmonte JC (2013) Switching cell fate, ncRNAs coming to play. *Cell Death Dis* 4: e464.
27. Flynn RA, Chang HY (2014) Long noncoding RNAs in cell-fate programming and reprogramming. *Cell Stem Cell* 14: 752-761.
28. Kim DH, Marinov GK, Pepke S, Singer ZS, He P, et al. (2015) Single-cell transcriptome analysis reveals dynamic changes in lncRNA expression during reprogramming. *Cell Stem Cell* 16: 88-101.
29. Loewer S, Cabili MN, Guttman M, Loh YH, Thomas K, et al. (2010) Large intergenic non-coding RNA-RoR modulates reprogramming of human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Genet* 42: 1113-1117.
30. Bao X, Wu H, Zhu X, Guo X, Hutchins AP, et al. (2015) The p53-induced lincRNA-p21 derails somatic cell reprogramming by sustaining H3K9me3 and CpG methylation at pluripotency gene promoters. *Cell Res* 25: 80-92.
31. Huan Y, Zhu J, Huang B, Mu Y, Kong Q, et al. (2015) Trichostatin A rescues the disrupted imprinting induced by somatic cell nuclear transfer in pigs. *PLoS One* 10: e0126607.
32. Inoue K, Kohda T, Sugimoto M, Sado T, Ogonuki N, et al. (2010) Impeding Xist expression from the active X chromosome improves mouse somatic cell nuclear transfer. *Science* 330: 496-499.