

Oxidative Stress in Carcinogenesis and Therapy

Elie Hatem $^{1,2^{\star}}$ and Sandy Azzi $^{3,4^{\star}}$

¹Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, UMR3348 "Genotoxic Stress and Cancer", Centre Universitaire, Orsay, 91405, France

² Institut Curie, Centre de Recherche, Orsay, 91405, France

³INSERM UMR 1197, Hôpital Paul Brousse, Villejuif, France

⁴ Université Paris-Saclay, Paris Sud XI, Orsay, France

*Corresponding authors: Sandy Azzi, INSERM UMR1197, Hôpital Paul Brousse, 14 Avenue Paul Vaillant Couturier, 94807 Villejuif, France, Tel: 33145595325; E-mail: sandy.azzi@inserm.fr; Elie Hatem, CNRS UMR3348, Institut Curie, Bâtiment 110, Centre Universitaire, 91405 Orsay, elie.hatem@curie.fr

Received date: Oct 26, 2015; Accepted date: Nov 27, 2015; Published date: Dec 1, 2015

Copyright: ©2015 Hatem E, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Oxidative stress results from a disequilibrium between production and their elimination by cellular antioxidant systems. This leads to the accumulation of ROS that have a deleterious effect on vital biological macromolecules. It is now well established that cancer cells exhibit a pro-oxidant state due to metabolic and genetic abnormalities. The disequilibrium in redox homeostasis in cancer cells promotes genomic instability leading to the activation of oncogenes, mitochondrial dysfunction and an alteration in antioxidants activities. All these events can further escalate ROS levels, causing more DNA damage and genetic instability. This vicious cycle is "beneficial" for the process of carcinogenesis and numerous reports have indeed proven the pivotal role of ROS in cancer initiation, cell migration, invasion and metastasis. In order to cope with this sustained redox deregulation, cancer cells, very likely, utilize the full antioxidant capacity of their enzymatic and non-enzymatic systems. Cancer cells are thus highly dependent on their antioxidant systems and especially antioxidant enzymes. Keeping with this, targeting the enzymatic antioxidant system could be an efficient strategy to preferentially kill cancer cells by increasing intracellular ROS levels beyond a certain "threshold" of tolerance eventually leading to specific cancer cell death. In this review we present an overview on ROS generation and focus on the implication of ROS in cancer initiation, epithelial-mesenchymal transition, cell migration, invasion and metastasis, as well as the cancer stem-like phenotype. We finally present different therapeutic approaches that target the enzymatic antioxidant system in order to selectively kill cancer cells.

Keywords: ROS; Carcinogenesis; Epithelial mesenchymal transition; Invasion; Metastasis; Cancer stem-like cells; Antioxidant enzymes; Therapy

Introduction

Cancer is a complex disease in which multiple genomic and metabolic alterations are implicated in the process of tumor initiation and development. The cancer research field have attracted many researches over the years which lead to the development of numerous therapeutic strategies mostly based on targeting oncogenes or specific signaling pathways, since the disruption of one oncogene often leads to cancer cell arrest [1]. However recent studies have shed light on the metabolic abnormalities of cancer cells and highlighted the implication of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the process of cancer initiation and progression. ROS is a term used to qualify chemical species that are derived from molecular oxygen (dioxygen; O2) but are more reactive than the latter one. Aerobic organisms have developed different antioxidant systems in order to maintain ROS levels in a nontoxic range. Oxidative stress occurs when the antioxidants systems are overwhelmed by high ROS levels, originating from intracellular or extracellular sources. These reactive species can damage vital biological macromolecules like DNA causing genome alterations. In this review we will focus on ROS generation in mammalian cells and the implication of oxidative stress in cancer initiation, cell migration, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and the cancer stem-like cells (CSCs) phenotype. We will discuss signaling pathways that are

affected by ROS and implicated in the process of carcinogenesis. Finally, we will overview the recent use of an alternative and promising therapeutic strategy based on targeting the enzymatic antioxidant system to sensitize cancer cells.

ROS Generation

O₂ is vital for aerobic respiration and participates in numerous redox reactions. The chemical properties of O2 dictate its reactivity towards organic molecules. O₂ is a stable diradical at a triplet state, meaning that the two unpaired electrons in its antibonding orbitals have the same spin. This feature renders O₂ a non-reactive molecule which protects organic macromolecules such as polysaccharides, lipids, proteins and nucleic acids from spontaneous oxidation [2]. However, the activation of O₂ through the excitation of one of the two unpaired electrons or via a mono-electronic reduction leads to the formation of either singlet oxygen ¹O₂ (a highly reactive form of oxygen with two electrons with opposing spins) or superoxide anion $(O_2^{\bullet-})$ which is a precursor of a range of molecules and free radicals. The chemical species derived from oxygen are known as ROS and are capable of damaging biological macromolecules. We can distinguish an endogenous and an exogenous source of ROS. In mammalian cells ROS can be generated "inadvertently" during biochemical reactions or synthetized "deliberately" by different enzymes to serve a biological purpose. O2 •-, the precursor anion of different types of endogenous ROS, is primarily generated in mitochondria after a mono-electronic reduction of O2 due to electron leakage from different redox centers

(such as NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase (complex I) and ubiquinol-cytochrome c oxidoreductase (complex III)) of the mitochondrial respiratory chain during oxidative phosphorylation. This process accounts for the production of 160–320 mmol and 215–430 mmol of O_2^{\bullet} by the mitochondria of a 60 kg woman and 80 kg man respectively [3-5]. In a more deliberate way, O_2^{\bullet} is produced by different enzymes such as NADPH oxidases (NOX/DUOX) located on the cell membrane of phagocytes and different other cell types [6] and is a byproduct of the detoxifying activity of cytochrome P450 and b5 families of enzymes and of the catalytic activity of different soluble oxidases such as xanthine oxidase, aldehyde oxidase, dihydroorotate dehydrogenase, flavoprotein dehydrogenase and tryptophan dioxygenase [7].

As a charged and short-lived anion, O2^{•-} possesses low membrane permeability and oxidizes relatively few biological molecules. O2^{•-} may react with other radicals including nitric oxide (NO[•]) which is generated by nitric oxide synthase (NOS) or even by mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase under hypoxic conditions [8]. The product, peroxynitrite (ONOO-), and other oxidants derived from NO[•] are referred to as reactive nitrogen species (RNS) and their role in cellular signaling was reviewed by Poyton et al. [9]. A part from reacting with NO[•], the majority of O_2^{\bullet} generated at the mitochondrial level is rapidly dismutated to an uncharged and more stable derivative, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) [10]. The reaction is catalyzed by superoxide dismutases (SODs) with a rate constant estimated to be 3 x 10^9 M⁻¹.s⁻¹ [11,12]. Unlike O₂^{•-}, H₂O₂ is a stable molecule capable of diffusing across biological membranes. This compound has thus a dual role, serving both as an oxidant and as an essential signaling molecule that regulates cellular biological processes [13]. Although dismutation of O20- probably accounts for much of the H2O2 produced in eukaryotic cells, H₂O₂ can be directly produced in peroxisomes by H₂O₂ generating enzymes reviewed in [14,15]. Because of the stability of its oxygen-oxygen bond, H2O2 is a weaker oxidant than O2. However, according to Fenton reaction, H₂O₂ can react with transition metals such as iron or copper leading to the formation of hydroxyl radical HO[•]. HO[•] can be also produced following Haber-Weiss reaction where $O_2^{\bullet-}$ reduces H_2O_2 to form O_2 , HO^{\bullet} and the hydroxide anion HO⁻. With a life time in biological media of approximately 1 µs and a redox potential close to +2 V, HO^{\bullet} is a very powerful oxidant capable of oxidizing most organic molecules at diffusion-limited rates [16-18]. ROS can also be produced by different exogenous sources in cellular environment. Radiations (ionizing radiation and UVs) have a direct deleterious effect on biological macromolecules. However the damage caused can be mediated by ROS production. For instance UVA generates ¹O₂ and a series of radical species leading to DNA damage [19] and ionizing radiation, through radiolysis of water, generates in few nanoseconds different radicals such as aqueous ion (e-aq), HO[•] and H⁺ ion. Furthermore, ionizing radiation causes the decrease of NADH dehydrogenase (Complex I) activity which is responsible for mitochondrial dysfunction and persistent oxidative stress that promotes genome instability [20-22]. As mentioned earlier, transition metals play a cardinal role in Fenton and Haber-Weiss reactions and a variety of metals including cobalt (Co (II)) or nickel (Ni (II)) can enter theses reactions to generate directly ROS. On the other hand heavy metals like cadmium, lead and mercury damage cellular components via indirect ROS production by affecting different enzymes activities or antioxidants pools [23,24]. Apart from metals, different carcinogenic molecules exert their deleterious action through ROS generation. This is the case of paraquat, a commonly used herbicide worldwide, which undergoes cyclic single-electron reduction/oxidation leading to ROS

formation [25]. Another example is asbestos that, when inhaled, causes inflammation in the lung a process linked with high ROS production. This inflammatory microenvironment promotes carcinogenesis and in this case enhances the risk of lung cancer after asbestos exposure [26].

Antioxidants in Mammalian Cells

An antioxidant is a compound capable of either delaying or preventing the oxidation of the substrate [27]. In mammalian cells antioxidants are the main defense against ROS and include both enzymatic and non-enzymatic compounds. The different enzymatic systems form the "first line of defense" against ROS and the enzymes implicated can be separated, according to their mechanism of detoxification, into thiol/selenothiol independent and thiol/selenothiol dependent enzymes. SODs and catalase are thiol/selenothiol independent enzymes which antioxidant activity is supported by a metallic ion. In humans, three isoforms of SODs exist and catalyze the dismutation of O2^{•-} to H2O2 and O2. SOD1 is a copper and zinc containing enzyme (Cu/Zn-SOD), primarily localized in the cytosol but has been detected in the nucleus, peroxisomes and mitochondrial intermembrane space (IMS). SOD2 is a manganese SOD localized to the mitochondrial matrix and SOD3 is an extracellular Cu/Zn-SOD (EC SOD) detected in plasma, lymph and synovial fluids. [28-31]. The H₂O₂ produced by intracellular SODs is eliminated by catalase and thiol/selenothiol peroxidases. Catalase is a heme containing enzyme, mainly found in peroxisomes of mammalian cells, capable of eliminating one million molecule of H2O2 per sec. However, the catalytic cycle of catalase requires the interaction of two molecules of H₂O₂ with a single active site which renders the enzyme very efficient, preferentially at high levels than low levels of H₂O₂ [32-34]. In these conditions, thiol/selenothiol peroxidases play an instrumental role in eliminating not only H₂O₂ but also a range of organic peroxides. Thiol/ selenothiol peroxidases belong either to the glutathione (a highly abundant thiol antioxidant present at millimolar range in eukaryotic cells) [35] or thioredoxin systems which both use NADPH as the final electron donor. Glutathione peroxidases (GPx) are the main peroxydases of the glutathione dependent redox network and use glutathione for the reduction of H₂O₂ or organic hydroperoxides to water or the corresponding alcohols. Eight human GPx have been described with GPx 1 (cytosolic and mitochondrial), 2 (present in the intestinal epithelium), 3 (in the plasma), 4 (membranic) and 6 (present in the olfactory epithelium) being selenoproteins with a selenocysteine (Sec) in the catalytic center while GPx5 (secreted in in the epididymis), 7 (present in the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum) and 8 (present in the membrane of the endoplasmic reticulum) are reported to have a cysteine instead of a Sec in the active center and have low GPx activity [36]. GPx1 was the first selenoprotein identified in mammals and is one of the most abundant members of the GPx family. GPx1 has also been reported to be more effective than catalase at removing intracellular peroxides under many physiological conditions [37]. Controversially, only GPx1 relies exclusively on glutathione as an electron donor while other GPxs such as GPx3 and GPx4 can be maintained in a reduced state by thioredoxins [36,38,39]. The glutathione system is complemented by the thioredoxin system in which peroxiredoxins (Prx) are responsible for the reduction of H₂O₂, organic peroxides, ONOO⁻ and different radicals using the reducing equivalents provided mainly by thioredoxins [40,41]. Mammalian cells express six isoforms of Prx divided in three subclasses based on the location and presence or absence of one (resolving cysteine) of the two conserved redox active cysteine residues in the catalytic center that is responsible for the peroxidase activity of the enzymes. Prx I (cytosolic),

Volume 1 • Issue 1 • 1000102

II (cytosolic), III (mitochondrial) and IV(endoplasmic reticulum) are typical two-cysteine Prxs (an intermolecular disulfide is formed between the peroxidatic cysteine that reacts with the peroxide and the resolving cysteine) Prx V (cytosolic, mitochondrial, and peroxisomal) is an atypical two-cysteine Prxs (an intramolecular disulfide is formed between the peroxidatic cysteine that reacts with the peroxide and the resolving cysteine) and Prx VI (mainly cytosolic) is a one-cysteine Prxs (the resolving cysteine) is absent and glutathione reduces the oxidized peroxidatic cysteine) [42]. Prxs are highly reactive towards low levels of H_2O_2 which makes these enzymes ideal H_2O_2 sensors. It is interesting to note that two-cysteine Prxs are inactivated by high levels of H_2O_2 . This is suggested to act as "floodgates", keeping resting levels of H_2O_2 low, while permitting higher levels during signal transduction [43].

The enzymatic system is assisted by a non-enzymatic system constituted of antioxidant molecules such as vitamin E, vitamin C, β -carotene, alpha-lipoic acid, reduced coenzyme Q, glutathione, ubiquinone, uric acid, bilirubin, melatonin etc. the mechanism of action of each antioxidant is different from one another but mainly antioxidants directly scavenge ROS or lead to their decomposition into less reactive species [27].

Oxidative Stress and Cancer Initiation

ROS and RNS generated endogenously or exogenously are counteracted by cellular antioxidant defenses. Under normal growth conditions, there is a balance between ROS/RNS generation and their detoxification by antioxidants systems which maintain redox homeostasis and protect vital macromolecules form irreversible oxidation. An enhancement in ROS/RNS production or a defect in the cellular antioxidant capacity shifts the balance towards higher ROS/RNS levels which exerts a deleterious effect on biological macromolecules. This situation is defined as oxidative stress and has been detected in numerous diseases especially cancer. In fact one of the significant features of cancer cells, when compared to the normal ones, is a persistent pro-oxidant state [44-46]. Despite the lack of a clear description of the biological pathways leading to ROS generation in cancer cells, several extrinsic and intrinsic mechanisms are believed to cause oxidative stress during cancer development and progression. Chronic inflammation is a clear example on how cellular microenvironment plays a role in neoplastic transformation. During inflammation processes, neutrophils and macrophages have been shown to release large quantities of $O_2^{\bullet-}$, H_2O_2 , and HO^{\bullet} [47] and different cell lines co-cultured with activated neutrophils had an elevated rate of DNA strand breaks, sister chromatid exchange and mutations [48-51]. These genomic alterations can cause the activation of oncogenes or the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes and can also alter nuclear DNA repair mechanisms, resulting in the generation of tumor-initiating cells. On the other hand, mitochondrial DNA is more prone to oxidation than nuclear DNA due to the absence of histones and a decreased activity of DNA repair enzymes in this cellular compartment [52,53]. This being said, mutations in mitochondrial genes encoding for proteins implicated in oxidative phosphorylation have been reported in several cancers [54,55] and almost all neoplastic cells show a deep alteration of their metabolic status. Early studies reported that initiated cells had pronounced decrease in oxidative phosphorylation in favor of aerobic glycolysis.

This phenomenon was defined as the "Warburg effect" first described by Otto Heinrich Warburg in 1927 [56]. In cancer cells, genes for glycolysis have been reported to be overexpressed which supports the idea that an increase in glycolysis is a compensatory mechanism for an impaired or damaged respiration [57,58]. Despite the metabolic shift towards aerobic glycolysis mitochondria still remain active in cancer cells and oxidative phosphorylation can even account for 80% of the total cellular energy. Matoba et al. presented a possible explanation for the "Warburg effect" by demonstrating that p53, one of the most frequently mutated genes in cancers, can modulate the expression of Synthesis of Cytochrome c Oxidase 2 (SCO2) which is required for the assembly of mitochondrial DNA-encoded COX II subunit into the COX (cytochrome c oxidase) complex. The disruption of p53 lead to a decrease in SCO2 protein expression, a reduced O₂ consumption and an increase in lactate production thus indicating a change in the mode of energy production to one favoring glycolysis [59]. The gain behind using glycolysis to produce cellular energy resides in the fact that this metabolic process lowers cellular dependency on oxygen. This plays a role in promoting tumorigenesis by allowing growth in hypoxic environment present inside solid tumors. Under these conditions glycolysis is sustained by hypoxia-inducible factor-1a (HIF-1 a) that induces the expression of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1 and most of the genes implicated in glucose uptake, glycolysis, and lactic acid production [60].

Oxidative Stress in Cell Migration, Invasion and Metastasis

Cell migration is a key process in development but also in pathological conditions such as tumor invasion and metastasis. To move and migrate, cells undergo complex changes involving cytoskeleton dynamics and modulation of adhesion molecules [61]. It is now well admitted that oxidative stress plays a crucial role in cell adhesion, migration and invasion. In this context, integrin activation directs changes in mitochondrial metabolism [62-64] and activates many oxidases including NOX and COX-2. It has been shown that Rac1 acts upstream of NOX, and elicits complex signaling axis to fine tune ROS production [65]. Rac1-induced ROS are involved in VEcadherin cell-cell junction control [66]. Indeed, ROS triggers a signaling route leading to the dismantlement of cell-cell interaction in endothelial cells. This phenomenon is associated to vascular dysfunctions such as elevated permeability, endothelial migration and angiogenesis [66,67] (Figure 1). Moreover Rac1-ROS signaling nexus is involved in the phosphorylation of β -catenin and p120-catenin by Src and Pyk2 kinases [68,69]. Accordingly, antioxidants compounds were shown to prune VEGF-mediated angiogenesis, by stabilizing VEcadherin surface expression, and preventing its phosphorylation and subsequent internalization [69].

ROS were also shown to promote aberrant matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) activation in several cancer cells including breast cancer [70], glioblastoma [71], and pancreatic cancer [72]. These are proteases that degrade the extracellular matrix (ECM), favoring thus cell migration and metastasis (figure 1). In this context, blocking ROS activity using the ROS scavenger N-acetylcysteine abrogates membrane-type 1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP)–mediated increase in cell migration and invasion [73].



Oxidative Stress and EMT

Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is another key step in tumor progression. EMT is a process during which epithelial cells lose their cell polarity and adhesion, and acquire mesenchymal, fibroblastlike properties. Even though EMT was first described to play an important role during embryonic development, it is now well admitted that EMT is instrumental in tumor progression, invasion and metastasis [74,75]. Mounting evidence have highlighted the possible involvement of ROS in controlling EMT in cancer cells. In this context, it has been described that elevated cellular ROS level drives metastatic skin squamous cell carcinoma into EMT [76]. In mammary carcinoma, miR-373 promotes EMT, migration, invasion and metastasis in a ROS dependent manner [77]. Moreover, numerous studies have linked ROS and TGF- β signaling in EMT. TGF- β is a key factor of the tumor microenvironment and plays an important role in cancer progression and EMT. It has been shown, that intracellular ROS production is increased upon TGF-ß stimulation. Released ROS trigger Smad2, p38 and ERK1/2 phosphorylation, together with α-SMA and fibronectin upregulation, and E-cadherin repression, favoring thus tumor cells to undergo epithelial mesenchymal transition [78]. In keeping with this, blocking the NADPH oxidase 4 (Nox4) in pancreatic cancer cells abolished TGF-β-induced EMT phenotype [79]. TGF-β can also affect iron homeostasis, leading indirectly to elevated ROS levels. In murine hepatocytes, TGF- β signaling decreases ferritin heavy chain (FHC) levels, translating into an increased intracellular labile iron pool (LIP), which in turn elevates the production of ROS, ultimately engaging cells in the EMT process [80]. Accordingly, both ROS elimination and FHC overexpression resulted in EMT blockade.

ROS are also involved in hypoxia-induced EMT. Indeed, ROS were shown to stabilize HIF-1 α , which results in the activation of the downstream transcription factors, such as the E-cadherin repressor Snail [81]. In mammary epithelial cells, MMP-3 drives Snail activation and EMT in a NF-B/ROS dependent manner [82]. Interestingly, Snail was shown to elevate ROS levels [83], enabling thus a positive feedback loop driving mesenchymal phenotype in epithelial cells.

Oxidative stress and Cancer Stem like Cells

Cancer stem-like cells (CSCs) or cancer initiating cells constitute a subpopulation of cells able to self-renew, sustain and recapitulate the tumor mass. Such cells have been isolated and characterized in hematopoietic and solid tumors [84-86]. It has been proposed that CSCs drive cancer aggressiveness, invasion and represent the main cause of relapse [87,88]. In this context, novel therapeutic strategies aim at eliminating CSCs in hope of a better outcome for the patients. CSCs are very resistant to conventional radio and chemotherapy [89], which basically function by elevating ROS levels, which ultimately result in cell death. Indeed, CSCs possess effective protection mechanism against ROS-induced stress. Accordingly, it has been proposed that CSCs exhibit low ROS levels when compared to the tumor bulk [90]. Moreover, pharmacological depletion of ROS scavengers in CSCs markedly decreases their clonogenicity and results in radio-sensitization [91], suggesting that ROS levels supervise CSCs identity and fate [92].

Among the numerous weapons employed by CSCs to maintain low ROS levels (summarized in Figure 2), the detoxifying enzyme Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1) arose as a general marker for stemness phenotype [93]. ALDH1 was proposed to protect CSCs against alkylating agents such as cyclophosphamide. Indeed ALDH1 inhibition, results in heightened ROS levels which causes DNA damage, leading thus to cell death [94].

Figure 2: Modulation of cancer stem-like phenotype by ROS. Cancer stem-like cells exhibit low ROS levels when compared to other tumor cells. This is due, in part, to the expression of the ABCG2 transporter that allows the efflux of glutathione outside the cell. CSCs also express the detoxifying enzyme ALDH1 that serves as a shield against alkylation agents. Finally CSCs fate and properties are supervised by oxidative stress. Indeed induction of miR-34 and miR-200 under stress conditions, results in loss of self-renewal potential in CSCs.

Cancer Stem-like Cells are also characterized by the expression of ATP-binding cassette 2 of the subfamily G (ABCG2), which plays an important role in the maintenance of their phenotype [95]. Indeed, ABCG2 functions as an efflux pomp transporting glutathione out of the cells, contributing to alleviate intracellular ROS levels [96]. Furthermore, ABCG2 expression was shown to protect cells from ROS-induced death and to inhibit ROS-induced expression of inflammatory genes as well as the activation of NF-B pathway in Alzheimer disease [97].

Many studies have highlighted the role of miRNAs in controlling CSCs fate and phenotype in a ROS-dependent fashion. For instance, oxidative stress was shown to increase the expression on miR-34, which in turn reduces self-renewal potential of pancreatic and glioma stem cells [98,99]. Keeping with this, oxidative stress also enhances the expression of two members of miR-200s family, miR-200a and miR-141. Indeed, it has been reported that miR-200 decreases the expression of Bmil- 1, Suz12, and Notch-1, key regulators of stem cells phenotype, consistent with the inhibition of CSC self-renewal capacity [100-102].

Targeting the Enzymatic Antioxidant System as Anti-Cancer Therapeutic Strategy

As we mentioned earlier, one of the significant features of cancer cells is a persistent pro-oxidant state. In cancer cells, the events such as activation of oncogenes, mitochondrial dysfunction and redox deregulation can increase ROS levels, leading to intrinsic oxidative stress. In order to cope with this sustained redox deregulation, cancer cells, very likely, utilizes the full antioxidant capacity of their enzymatic and non-enzymatic systems. Cancer cells are thus highly dependent on their antioxidant systems and especially antioxidant enzymes such as manganese SOD and Prxs (especially Prx I and III) that have been suggested to play an instrumental role in carcinogenesis [103-105]. Thus targeting the enzymatic antioxidant system could be an efficient strategy to preferentially kill cancer cells by increasing intracellular ROS levels. In fact it has been hypothesized that a further oxidative stress induced for example by ROS generating anticancer drugs could increase the intracellular ROS levels beyond a certain "threshold" of tolerance and eventually lead to cell death [106]. In this scope, different molecules have been developed and as shown in Figure 3 we will focus on those directed against the enzymatic antioxidant systems.

Glutathione is the central molecule in the glutathione antioxidant system and many molecules have been developed with the purpose of altering intracellular glutathione levels or redox homeostasis. Since glutathione is enzymatically formed from cysteine, glutamate, and glycine, altering the cellular availability of these amino acids especially glutamate and cysteine will lead to the diminishment of glutathione intracellular levels. Sulphasalazine is an inhibitor of xCT, a transporter subunit of the xC(-) system, which is responsible for the import of cystine, the precursor of cysteine, into the cell [107]. The use of sulphasalazine as an anticancer drug has been reported in several studies where sulphasalazine has been shown to decrease glutathione levels and reduces the growth and viability of human breast and pancreatic cancer cells [108,109]. On the other hand, glutamine is the precursor of glutamate that is converted subsequently into glutathione and drugs affecting glutamine metabolism such as L-asparaginase or molecule 968 that targets kidney-type glutaminase also referred to as glutaminase 1 (GLS), respectively show an anti-leukemic and antiproliferative effect [110,111]. Although it was not assessed by the authors, we can speculate that the use of L-asparaginase and 968 could alter glutathione intracellular levels and thus affect cellular redox homeostasis. On the other hand inhibiting the enzyme responsible for glutathione synthesis is a more direct way to decrease glutathione intracellular levels. Buthionine sulphoximine (BSO) is an inhibitor of glutamate cysteine ligase (GCL), the rate-limiting enzyme for glutathione synthesis [112]. This compound has been shown to cause glutathione depletion and exhibits anticancer activity in various types of cancer cells [113,114]. The depletion of glutathione can be also achieved by β-phenylethyl isothiocyanate (PEITC) or imexon two compounds that conjugate with glutathione via electrophilenucleophile interactions [115,116]. Furthermore glutathione depletion by PEITC was also reported to inhibit GPx activity leading to ROS increase in oncogenically transformed ovarian epithelial cells [117]. Another strategy to hamper the glutathione antioxidant system is to affect glutathione redox state. This is the mechanism of action of NOV-002, a glutathione disulfide mimetic that alters reduced/oxidized glutathione levels and ratio thus increasing oxidative stress [118].





Figure 3: Anticancer drugs targeting the enzymatic antioxidant system. There are two major groups of antioxidant enzymes responsible for the elimination of ROS (represented here in a nonexhaustive way by O2-, and H2O2). thiol/selenothiol independent enzymes such as SOD and catalase are responsible for the detoxification of O2-, and H2O2 respectively. Glutathione peroxidases (GPx) and peroxiredoxins (Prx) are thiol/selenothiol dependent enzymes and like catalase degrade H2O2. GPx and Prx belong to the glutathione and thioredoxin system respectively and are thus maintained in a reduced form either by glutathione or thioredoxins (Trx). In the thioredoxin system, Trx are maintained in a reduced form by thioredoxin reductases (TrxRs) that utilize NADPH as source of electrons. Glutathione is the heart of the glutathione dependent system. Glutathione is composed of glutamate, cysteine, and glycine and is synthesized intracellularly via two reactions catalyzed by glutamate cysteine ligase (GCL) and glutathione synthetase (GS). The reaction of glutamate with cysteine, catalyzed by GCL, produces y-glutamylcysteine which then reacts with glycine via the action of GS to form glutathione. Glutamine and cystine are the precursors for glutamate and cysteine. Glutaminase 1 (GLS1) and glutaminase 2 (GLS2) produce glutamate, and the xC(-) system ensures the import of cystine to generate cysteine. When oxidized, glutathione is regenerated by NADPH via glutathione reductase. Glutaredoxins (Grx) catalyze thiol/disulfide exchange reactions between glutathione and cysteine residues and glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) conjugate glutathione with a broad range of electrophile substrates and xenobiotics. The figure also shows the anticancer drugs that target different antioxidant systems and antioxidant proteins. Se: selenium; Cys: cysteine; BSO: Buthionine sulphoximine; PEITC: βphenylethyl isothiocyanate; PX-12: 1-methylpropyl 2-imidazolyl disulphide.

Parallel to the glutathione antioxidant system the thioredoxin system is one of the main thiol-dependent electron donor systems and participates actively in ROS detoxification. Thioredoxin reductase (TrxR) is a major actor of this system. This seleno-protein catalysis the NADPH dependent reduction of disulfide in oxidized thioredoxins (Trx) and some other proteins [119]. Furthermore, growing evidence indicates that TrxR is over-expressed or constitutively active in many tumor cells and exhibits protective effects against multiple cellular stresses and chemotherapeutic agents [120,121] Different electrophilic drugs such as 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (DNCB) and 1,2-[bis (1,2-Benzisoselenazolone-3 (2H) -ketone)]ethane (BBSKE) have been identified as capable of inhibiting TrxR both in vitro and in vivo [122-124]. But the most well-known inhibitors of TrxR are gold compounds aurothioglucose and auranofin that are commonly used in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis [125]. Recently, different groups showed an interest in repurposing auranofin to treat cancer through ROS modulation. In fact recent data demonstrated that auranofin induces lethal oxidative stress in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) [126] and chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) cells resistant to imatinib [127]. Furthermore, auranofin was found to be effective against various types of drug-resistant cancer cells such as human ovarian cells [128]. Concerning breast cancer cell models, auranofin has been recently reported to inhibit the proliferative growth of MDA-MB-231 cells [129] and combining selenocystine with auranofin induces apoptosis in MCF-7 cells [130]. Similar results were obtained in A549 human lung adenocarcinoma cells where selenocystine was used to enhance auranofin induced A549 apoptosis in vitro and in vivo through synergetic inhibition of TrxR1 [131]. Besides from targeting TrxR, specific inhibitors have been developed to target other antioxidant proteins of the thioredoxin system. This is the case for 1-methylpropyl 2-imidazolyl disulphide (PX-12) an inhibitor of Trx1 that was shown to induce ROS-mediated apoptosis in drug resistant multiple myeloma. [132]. Adenanthin is a diterpenoid isolated from the leaves of Rabdosia adenantha and was first reported by Liu et al. to induce differentiation of acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) cells [133]. Initially described as a specific Prx I and II inhibitor adenanthin was recently reported to form stable adducts with glutathione and to inhibit TrxR activity [134].

Least but not last, specific inhibitors have been developed to target SODs and catalase. ATN-224 is a copper chelator that targets SOD1 leading to the inhibition of endothelial cell proliferation *in vitro*, attenuation of angiogenesis *in vivo* and apoptotic death of multiple myeloma cells [135]. On the other hand 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole is a catalase inhibitor reported to cause the reduction of catalase activity by 75% in rat 36B10 glioma cell which sensitizes them to further oxidative stress [136].

Conclusion

Continuous ROS production due to an oxidative environment and the saturation of the intracellular detoxification system leads to oxidative stress. This will damage biological macromolecules, eventually causing genomic instability as well as mitochondrial dysfunction. Maintaining high ROS levels favors cancer initiation and progression since ROS are implicated in EMT, cell migration, invasion, metastasis and CSCs phenotype. Although no specific gene mutation or chromosomal abnormality is common to all cancers [137], nearly all cancer cells exhibit high ROS levels and are thus highly dependent on the antioxidant systems in order to cope with this intrinsic oxidative state. Hampering the activity of antioxidant enzymes, especially those that are overexpressed in cancers, could lead to specific cancer cells death. Furthermore combining this approach with ROS generating drugs can be an efficient strategy to eliminate highly resistant cancer cells and eventually CSCs. ROS based anti-cancer approaches have flourished these past 10 years and repurposing U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved drugs as anticancer agents, based on ROS modulation mechanisms, will most probably lead to a fast emergence of new anticancer therapies in the years to come.

Page 6 of 10

Acknowledgement

Elie Hatem is supported by la Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer, Sandy Azzi is supported by Fondation de France, and Fondation Tourre.

References

- 1. Weinstein IB, Joe A (2008) Oncogene addiction. Cancer Res 68: 3077-3080.
- Imlay JA (2003) Pathways of oxidative damage. Annu Rev Microbiol 57: 395-418.
- Cadenas E, Davies KJ (2000) Mitochondrial free radical generation, oxidative stress, and aging. Free Radic Biol Med 29: 222-230.
- 4. Treberg JR, Quinlan CL, Brand MD (2010) Hydrogen peroxide efflux from muscle mitochondria underestimates matrix superoxide production a correction using glutathione depletion. FEBS J 277: 2766-2778.
- St-Pierre J, Buckingham JA, Roebuck SJ, Brand MD (2002) Topology of superoxide production from different sites in the mitochondrial electron transport chain. J Biol Chem 277: 44784-44790.
- 6. Lambeth JD (2004) NOX enzymes and the biology of reactive oxygen. Nat Rev Immunol 4: 181-189.
- Thannickal VJ, Fanburg BL (2000) Reactive oxygen species in cell signaling. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 279: L1005-1028.
- Castello PR, David PS, McClure T, Crook Z, Poyton RO (2006) Mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase produces nitric oxide under hypoxic conditions: Implications for oxygen sensing and hypoxic signaling in eukaryotes. Cell Metab 3: 277-287.
- 9. Poyton RO, Castello PR, Ball KA, Woo DK, Pan N (2009) Mitochondria and hypoxic signaling: a new view. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1177: 48-56.
- Hawkins BJ, Madesh M, Kirkpatrick CJ, Fisher AB (2007) Superoxide flux in endothelial cells via the chloride channel-3 mediates intracellular signaling. Mol Biol Cell 18: 2002-2012.
- 11. Fridovich I (1995) Superoxide radical and superoxide dismutases. Annu Rev Biochem 64: 97-112.
- 12. Liochev SI, Fridovich I (2003) Reversal of the superoxide dismutase reaction revisited. Free Radic Biol Med 34: 908-910.
- Malinouski M, Zhou Y, Belousov VV, Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN (2011) Hydrogen peroxide probes directed to different cellular compartments. PLoS One 6: e14564.
- Boveris A, Oshino N, Chance B (1972) The cellular production of hydrogen peroxide. Biochem J 128: 617-630.
- Schrader M, Fahimi HD (2006) Peroxisomes and oxidative stress. Biochim Biophys Acta 1763: 1755-1766.
- 16. Prousek J (2007) Fenton chemistry in biology and medicine. Pure Appl Chem 79: 2325-2338.
- 17. Haber F, Weiss J (1934)The Catalytic Decomposition of Hydrogen Peroxide by Iron Salts. Proc R Soc A Math Phys Eng Sci 147: 332-351.
- Hippeli S, Elstner EF (1999) Transition metal ion-catalyzed oxygen activation during pathogenic processes. FEBS Lett 443: 1-7.
- Kanavy HE, Gerstenblith MR (2011) Ultraviolet radiation and melanoma. Semin Cutan Med Surg 30: 222-228.
- Riley PA (1994) Free radicals in biology: oxidative stress and the effects of ionizing radiation. Int J Radiat Biol 65: 27-33.
- 21. Daly MJ (2009) A new perspective on radiation resistance based on Deinococcus radiodurans. Nat Rev Microbiol 7: 237-245.
- 22. Yoshida T, Goto S, Kawakatsu M, Urata Y, Li TS (2012) Mitochondrial dysfunction, a probable cause of persistent oxidative stress after exposure to ionizing radiation. Free Radic Res 46: 147-153.
- 23. Patrick L (2006) Lead toxicity part II: the role of free radical damage and the use of antioxidants in the pathology and treatment of lead toxicity. Altern Med Rev 11: 114-127.
- 24. Jomova K, Valko M (2011) Advances in metal-induced oxidative stress and human disease. Toxicology 283: 65-87.

- 25. He X, Wang L, Szklarz G, Bi Y, Ma Q (2012) Resveratrol inhibits paraquat-induced oxidative stress and fibrogenic response by activating the nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 pathway. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 342: 81–90.
- 26. Liu G, Cheresh P, Kamp DW (2013) Molecular basis of asbestos-induced lung disease. Annu Rev Pathol 8: 161-187.
- 27. Pisoschi AM, Pop A (2015) The role of antioxidants in the chemistry of oxidative stress: A review. Eur J Med Chem 97: 55-74.
- Marklund SL, Bjelle A, Elmqvist LG (1986) Superoxide dismutase isoenzymes of the synovial fluid in rheumatoid arthritis and in reactive arthritides. Ann Rheum Dis 45: 847-851.
- Fukai T, Ushio-Fukai M (2011) Superoxide dismutases: role in redox signaling, vascular function, and diseases. Antioxid Redox Signal 15: 1583-1606.
- 30. Banci L, Bertini I, Cantini F, Kozyreva T, Massagni C, et al. (2012) Human superoxide dismutase 1 (hSOD1) maturation through interaction with human copper chaperone for SOD1 (hCCS). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109: 13555-13560.
- 31. Holley AK, Dhar SK, Xu Y, St Clair DK (2012) Manganese superoxide dismutase: beyond life and death. Amino Acids 42: 139-158.
- 32. Alfonso-Prieto M, Vidossich P, Rovira C (2012) The reaction mechanisms of heme catalases: an atomistic view by ab initio molecular dynamics. Arch Biochem Biophys 525: 121-130.
- Fourquet S, Huang ME, D'Autreaux B, Toledano MB (2008) The dual functions of thiol-based peroxidases in H2O2 scavenging and signaling. Antioxid Redox Signal 10: 1565-1576.
- 34. Kang SW, Chang TS, Lee TH, Kim ES, Yu DY, et al. (2004) Cytosolic Peroxiredoxin Attenuates The Activation Of Jnk And P38 But Potentiates That Of Erk In Hela Cells Stimulated With Tumor Necrosis Factor alpha. J Biol Chem 279: 2535-2543.
- Schafer FQ, Buettner GR (2001) Redox environment of the cell as viewed through the redox state of the glutathione disulfide/glutathione couple. Free Radic Biol Med 30: 1191-1212.
- Toppo S, Flohé L, Ursini F, Vanin S, Maiorino M (2009) Catalytic mechanisms and specificities of glutathione peroxidases: variations of a basic scheme. Biochim Biophys Acta 1790: 1486-1500.
- Antunes F, Han D, Cadenas E (2002) Relative contributions of heart mitochondria glutathione peroxidase and catalase to H(2)O(2) detoxification in in vivo conditions. Free Radic Biol Med 33: 1260-1267.
- Pfeifer H, Conrad M, Roethlein D, Kyriakopoulos A, Brielmeier M, et al. (2001) Identification of a specific sperm nuclei selenoenzyme necessary for protamine thiol cross-linking during sperm maturation. FASEB J 15: 1236-1238.
- Brigelius-Flohé R, Maiorino M (2013) Glutathione peroxidases. Biochim Biophys Acta 1830: 3289-3303.
- Chae HZ, Chung SJ, Rhee SG (1994) Thioredoxin-dependent peroxide reductase from yeast. J Biol Chem 269: 27670-27678.
- Bryk R, Griffin P, Nathan C (2000) Peroxynitrite reductase activity of bacterial peroxiredoxins. Nature 407: 211-215.
- Rhee SG, Woo HA, Kil IS, Bae SH (2012) Peroxiredoxin functions as a peroxidase and a regulator and sensor of local peroxides. J Biol Chem 287: 4403-4410.
- **43**. Wood ZA, Poole LB, Karplus PA (2003) Peroxiredoxin evolution and the regulation of hydrogen peroxide signaling. Science 300: 650-653.
- 44. Szatrowski TP, Nathan CF (1991) Production of large amounts of hydrogen peroxide by human tumor cells. Cancer Res 51: 794-798.
- 45. Toyokuni S, Okamoto K, Yodoi J, Hiai H (1995) Persistent oxidative stress in cancer. FEBS Lett 358: 1-3.
- 46. Kawanishi S, Hiraku Y, Pinlaor S, Ma N (2006) Oxidative and nitrative DNA damage in animals and patients with inflammatory diseases in relation to inflammation-related carcinogenesis. Biol Chem 387: 365-372.
- Trush MA, Kensler TW (1991) An overview of the relationship between oxidative stress and chemical carcinogenesis. Free Radic Biol Med 10: 201-209.

- 49. Weitberg AB (1989) Effect of combinations of antioxidants on phagocyteinduced sister-chromatid exchanges. Mutat Res 224: 1-4.
- Chong YC, Heppner GH, Paul LA, Fulton AM (1989) Macrophagemediated induction of DNA strand breaks in target tumor cells. Cancer Res 49: 6652-6657.
- 51. Yamashina K, Miller BE, Heppner GH (1986) Macrophage-mediated induction of drug-resistant variants in a mouse mammary tumor cell line. Cancer Res 46: 2396-2401.
- Horton TM, Petros JA, Heddi A, Shoffner J, Kaufman AE, et al. (1996) Novel mitochondrial DNA deletion found in a renal cell carcinoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 15: 95-101.
- Doudican NA, Song B, Shadel GS, Doetsch PW (2005) Oxidative DNA damage causes mitochondrial genomic instability in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 25: 5196-5204.
- 54. Yakes FM, Van Houten B (1997) Mitochondrial DNA damage is more extensive and persists longer than nuclear DNA damage in human cells following oxidative stress. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94: 514-519.
- 55. Guerra F, Kurelac I, Cormio A, Zuntini R, Amato LB, et al. (2011) Placing mitochondrial DNA mutations within the progression model of type I endometrial carcinoma. Hum Mol Genet 20: 2394-2405.
- 56. Warburg O, Wind F, Negelein E (1927) THE METABOLISM OF TUMORS IN THE BODY. J Gen Physiol 8: 519-530.
- 57. WARBURG O (1956) On the origin of cancer cells. Science 123: 309-314.
- 58. Altenberg B, Greulich KO (2004) Genes of glycolysis are ubiquitously overexpressed in 24 cancer classes. Genomics 84: 1014-1020.
- 59. Matoba S, Kang JG, Patino WD, Wragg A, Boehm M, et al. (2006) p53 regulates mitochondrial respiration. Science 312: 1650-1653.
- 60. Semenza GL (2007) Oxygen-dependent regulation of mitochondrial respiration by hypoxia-inducible factor 1. Biochem J 405: 1-9.
- 61. Hurd TR, DeGennaro M, Lehmann R (2012) Redox regulation of cell migration and adhesion. Trends Cell Biol 22: 107-115.
- 62. Kheradmand F, Werner E, Tremble P, Symons M, Werb Z (1998) Role of Rac1 and oxygen radicals in collagenase-1 expression induced by cell shape change. Science 280: 898-902.
- Werner E, Werb Z (2002) Integrins engage mitochondrial function for signal transduction by a mechanism dependent on Rho GTPases. J Cell Biol 158: 357-368.
- 64. Taddei ML, Parri M, Mello T, Catalano A, Levine AD, et al. (2007) Integrin-mediated cell adhesion and spreading engage different sources of reactive oxygen species. Antioxid Redox Signal 9: 469-481.
- 65. Lee SB, Bae IH, Bae YS, Um HD (2006) Link between mitochondria and NADPH oxidase 1 isozyme for the sustained production of reactive oxygen species and cell death. J Biol Chem 281: 36228-36235.
- 66. Monaghan-Benson E, Burridge K (2009) The regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor-induced microvascular permeability requires Rac and reactive oxygen species. J Biol Chem 284: 25602-25611.
- Gavard J, Gutkind JS (2006) VEGF controls endothelial-cell permeability by promoting the beta-arrestin-dependent endocytosis of VE-cadherin. Nat Cell Biol 8: 1223-1234.
- Inumaru J, Nagano O, Takahashi E, Ishimoto T, Nakamura S, et al. (2009) Molecular mechanisms regulating dissociation of cell-cell junction of epithelial cells by oxidative stress. Genes Cells 14: 703-716.
- 69. Lin MT, Yen ML, Lin CY, Kuo ML (2003) Inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factor-induced angiogenesis by resveratrol through interruption of Src-dependent vascular endothelial cadherin tyrosine phosphorylation. Mol Pharmacol 64:1029-1036.
- Pelicano H, Lu W, Zhou Y, Zhang W, Chen Z, et al. (2009) Mitochondrial dysfunction and reactive oxygen species imbalance promote breast cancer cell motility through a CXCL14-mediated mechanism. Cancer Res 69: 2375-2383.
- 71. Chiu WT, Shen SC, Chow JM, Lin CW, Shia LT, et al. (2010) Contribution of reactive oxygen species to migration/invasion of human

glioblastoma cells U87 via ERK-dependent COX-2/PGE(2) activation. Neurobiol Dis 37: 118-129.

- Binker MG, Binker-Cosen AA, Richards D, Oliver B, Cosen-Binker LI (2009) EGF promotes invasion by PANC-1 cells through Rac1/ROSdependent secretion and activation of MMP-2. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 379: 445-450.
- 73. Nguyen HL, Zucker S, Zarrabi K, Kadam P, Schmidt C, et al. (2011) Oxidative stress and prostate cancer progression are elicited by membrane-type 1 matrix metalloproteinase. Mol Cancer Res 9: 1305-1318.
- 74. Thiery JP, Sleeman JP (2006) Complex networks orchestrate epithelialmesenchymal transitions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 7: 131-142.
- 75. Giannoni E, Parri M, Chiarugi P (2012) EMT and oxidative stress: a bidirectional interplay affecting tumor malignancy. Antioxid Redox Signal 16: 1248-1263.
- 76. Lam CR, Tan C, Teo Z, Tay CY, Phua T, et al. (2013) Loss of TAK1 increases cell traction force in a ROS-dependent manner to drive epithelial-mesenchymal transition of cancer cells. Cell Death Dis 4: e848.
- 77. Chen D, Dang BL, Huang JZ, Chen M, et al. (2015) MiR-373 drives the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and metastasis via the miR-373-TXNIP-HIF1α-TWIST signaling axis in breast cancer. Oncotarget 6: 32701-32712.
- 78. Rhyu DY, Yang Y, Ha H, Lee GT, Song JS, et al. (2005) Role of reactive oxygen species in TGF-beta1-induced mitogen-activated protein kinase activation and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in renal tubular epithelial cells. J Am Soc Nephrol 16: 667-675.
- 79. Hiraga R, Kato M, Miyagawa S, Kamata T (2013) Nox4-derived ROS signaling contributes to TGF-β-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in pancreatic cancer cells. Anticancer Res 33: 4431-4438.
- Zhang K, Tian H, Gao X, Lei W, Hu Y, et al. (2009) Ferritin Heavy Chain -Mediated Iron Homeostasis and Subsequent Increased Reactive Oxygen Species Production Are Essential for Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition. Cancer Res 69: 5340-5348.
- Comito G, Calvani M, Giannoni E, Bianchini F, Calorini L, et al. (2011) HIF-1α stabilization by mitochondrial ROS promotes Met-dependent invasive growth and vasculogenic mimicry in melanoma cells. Free Radic Biol Med 51: 893-904.
- Cichon MA, Radisky DC (2014) ROS-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in mammary epithelial cells is mediated by NF-kB-dependent activation of Snail. Oncotarget 5: 2827-2838.
- Barnett P, Arnold RS, Mezencev R, Chung LW, Zayzafoon M, et al. (2011) Snail-mediated regulation of reactive oxygen species in ARCaP human prostate cancer cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 404: 34-39.
- Dick JE (2005) Acute myeloid leukemia stem cells. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1044: 1-5.
- Clarke MF, Dick JE, Dirks PB, Eaves CJ, Jamieson CHM, et al. (2006) Cancer stem cells - Perspectives on current status and future directions: AACR workshop on cancer stem cells. Cancer Res 66: 9339-6944.
- Bussolati B, Bruno S, Grange C, Ferrando U, Camussi G (2008) Identification of a tumor-initiating stem cell population in human renal carcinomas. FASEB J 22: 3696-3705.
- Lugli A, Iezzi G, Hostettler I, Muraro MG, Mele V, et al. (2010) Prognostic impact of the expression of putative cancer stem cell markers CD133, CD166, CD44s, EpCAM, and ALDH1 in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 103: 382-390.
- Charafe-Jauffret E, Ginestier C, Iovino F, Wicinski J, Cervera N, et al. (2009) Breast cancer cell lines contain functional cancer stem cells with metastatic capacity and a distinct molecular signature. Cancer Res 69: 1302-1313.
- Alison MR, Lim SM, Nicholson LJ (2011) Cancer stem cells: problems for therapy? J Pathol 223: 147-161.
- Shi X, Zhang Y, Zheng J, Pan J (2012) Reactive oxygen species in cancer stem cells. Antioxid Redox Signal 16: 1215-1228.

- Diehn M, Cho RW, Lobo NA, Kalisky T, Dorie MJ, et al. (2009) Association of reactive oxygen species levels and radioresistance in cancer stem cells. Nature 458: 780-783.
- 92. Sato A, Okada M, Shibuya K, Watanabe E, Seino S, et al. (2014) Pivotal role for ROS activation of p38 MAPK in the control of differentiation and tumor-initiating capacity of glioma-initiating cells. Stem Cell Res 12: 119-131.
- 93. Huang EH, Hynes MJ, Zhang T, Ginestier C, Dontu G, et al. (2009) Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 is a marker for normal and malignant human colonic stem cells (SC) and tracks SC overpopulation during colon tumorigenesis. Cancer Res 69: 3382-3389.
- 94. Chiba T, Suzuki E, Yuki K, Zen Y, Oshima M, et al. (2014) Disulfiram eradicates tumor-initiating hepatocellular carcinoma cells in ROS-p38 MAPK pathway-dependent and -independent manners. PLoS One 9:1-11.
- 95. Zhou S, Schuetz JD, Bunting KD, Colapietro AM, Sampath J, et al. (2001) The ABC transporter Bcrp1/ABCG2 is expressed in a wide variety of stem cells and is a molecular determinant of the side-population phenotype. Nat Med 7: 1028-1034.
- Brechbuhl HM, Gould N, Kachadourian R, Riekhof WR, Voelker DR, et al. (2010) Glutathione transport is a unique function of the ATP-binding cassette protein ABCG2. J Biol Chem 285: 16582-16587.
- Shen S, Callaghan D, Juzwik C, Xiong H, Huang P, et al. (2010) ABCG2 reduces ROS-mediated toxicity and inflammation: a potential role in Alzheimer's disease. J Neurochem 114: 1590-1604.
- Nalls D, Tang SN, Rodova M, Srivastava RK, Shankar S. (2011) Targeting epigenetic regulation of miR-34a for treatment of pancreatic cancer by inhibition of pancreatic cancer stem cells. PLoS One 6: e24099.
- 99. Sun L, Wu Z, Shao Y, Pu Y, Miu W, et al. (2012) MicroRNA-34a suppresses cell proliferation and induces apoptosis in U87 glioma stem cells. Technol Cancer Res Treat 11: 483-490.
- 100. Bao B, Wang Z, Ali S, Kong D, Li Y, et al. (2011) Notch-1 induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition consistent with cancer stem cell phenotype in pancreatic cancer cells. Cancer Lett 307: 26-36.
- 101. Iliopoulos D, Lindahl-Allen M, Polytarchou C, Hirsch HA, Tsichlis PN, et al. (2010) Loss of miR-200 inhibition of Suz12 leads to polycombmediated repression required for the formation and maintenance of cancer stem cells. Mol Cell 39: 761-772.
- 102. Leal JA, Lleonart ME (2013) MicroRNAs and cancer stem cells: therapeutic approaches and future perspectives. Cancer Lett 338: 174-183.
- 103. Oberley TD (2002) Oxidative damage and cancer. Am J Pathol 160: 403-408.
- 104. Neumann CA, Krause DS, Carman CV, Das S, Dubey DP, et al. (2003) Essential role for the peroxiredoxin Prdx1 in erythrocyte antioxidant defence and tumour suppression. Nature 424: 561-565.
- 105. Young TW, Mei FC, Yang G, Thompson-Lanza JA, Liu J et al. (2004) Activation of antioxidant pathways in ras-mediated oncogenic transformation of human surface ovarian epithelial cells revealed by functional proteomics and mass spectrometry. Cancer Res 64:4577-4584.
- 106. Kong Q, Beel JA, Lillehei KO (2000) A threshold concept for cancer therapy. Med Hypotheses 55: 29-35.
- 107. Bannai S (1986) Exchange of cystine and glutamate across plasma membrane of human fibroblasts. J Biol Chem 261: 2256-2263.
- 108. Narang VS, Pauletti GM, Gout PW, Buckley DJ, Buckley AR (2007) Sulfasalazine-induced reduction of glutathione levels in breast cancer cells: enhancement of growth-inhibitory activity of Doxorubicin. Chemotherapy 53: 210-217.
- 109. Lo M, Ling V, Low C, Wang YZ, Gout PW (2010) Potential use of the anti-inflammatory drug, sulfasalazine, for targeted therapy of pancreatic cancer. Curr Oncol 17: 9-16.
- 110. Reinert RB, Oberle LM, Wek SA, Bunpo P, Wang XP, et al. (2006) Role of glutamine depletion in directing tissue-specific nutrient stress responses to L-asparaginase. J Biol Chem 281: 31222-31233.

- 111. Wang JB, Erickson JW, Fuji R, Ramachandran S, Gao P, et al. (2010) Targeting mitochondrial glutaminase activity inhibits oncogenic transformation. Cancer Cell 18: 207-219.
- 112. Griffith OW (1982) Mechanism of action, metabolism, and toxicity of buthionine sulfoximine and its higher homologs, potent inhibitors of glutathione synthesis. J Biol Chem 257: 13704-13712.
- 113. Lewis-Wambi JS, Kim HR, Wambi C, Patel R, Pyle JR, et al. (2008) Buthionine sulfoximine sensitizes antihormone-resistant human breast cancer cells to estrogen-induced apoptosis. Breast Cancer Res 10:R104.
- 114. Sobhakumari A, Love-Homan L, Fletcher EV, Martin SM, Parsons AD, et al. (2012) Susceptibility of human head and neck cancer cells to combined inhibition of glutathione and thioredoxin metabolism. PLoS One 7: e48175.
- 115. Xu K, Thornalley PJ (2001) Involvement of glutathione metabolism in the cytotoxicity of the phenethyl isothiocyanate and its cysteine conjugate to human leukaemia cells in vitro. Biochem Pharmacol 61: 165-177.
- 116. Dvorakova K, Payne CM, Tome ME, Briehl MM, McClure T, et al. (2000) Induction of oxidative stress and apoptosis in myeloma cells by the aziridine-containing agent imexon. Biochem Pharmacol 60: 749-758.
- 117. Trachootham D, Zhou Y, Zhang H, Demizu Y, Chen Z, et al. (2006) Selective killing of oncogenically transformed cells through a ROSmediated mechanism by beta-phenylethyl isothiocyanate. Cancer Cell 10: 241-252.
- 118. Townsend DM, He L, Hutchens S, Garrett TE, Pazoles CJ, et al. (2008) NOV-002, a glutathione disulfide mimetic, as a modulator of cellular redox balance. Cancer Res 68: 2870-2877.
- 119. Nordberg J, Arnér ES (2001) Reactive oxygen species, antioxidants, and the mammalian thioredoxin system. Free Radic Biol Med 31: 1287-1312.
- 120. Biaglow JE, Miller RA (2005) The thioredoxin reductase/thioredoxin system: novel redox targets for cancer therapy. Cancer Biol Ther 4: 6-13.
- 121. Soini Y, Kahlos K, Näpänkangas U, Kaarteenaho-Wiik R, Säily M, et al. (2001) Widespread expression of thioredoxin and thioredoxin reductase in non-small cell lung carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 7: 1750-1757.
- 122. Arnér ES, Björnstedt M, Holmgren A (1995) 1-Chloro-2,4dinitrobenzene is an irreversible inhibitor of human thioredoxin reductase. Loss of thioredoxin disulfide reductase activity is accompanied by a large increase in NADPH oxidase activity. J Biol Chem 270: 3479-3482.
- 123. Nordberg J, Zhong L, Holmgren A, Arner ES (1998) Mammalian thioredoxin reductase is irreversibly inhibited by dinitrohalobenzenes by alkylation of both the redox active selenocysteine and its neighboring cysteine residue. J Biol Chem 273:10835-10842.
- 124. Zhao F, Yan J, Deng S, Lan L, He F, et al. (2006) A thioredoxin reductase inhibitor induces growth inhibition and apoptosis in five cultured human carcinoma cell lines. Cancer Lett 236: 46-53.
- 125. Kean WF, Kean IR (2008) Clinical pharmacology of gold. Inflammopharmacology 16: 112-125.
- 126. Fiskus W, Saba N, Shen M, Ghias M, Liu J, et al. (2014) Auranofin induces lethal oxidative and endoplasmic reticulum stress and exerts potent preclinical activity against chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Cancer Res 74: 2520-2532.
- 127. Chen X, Shi X, Zhao C, Li X, Lan X, et al. (2014) Anti-rheumatic agent auranofin induced apoptosis in chronic myeloid leukemia cells resistant to imatinib through both Bcr / Abl-dependent and -independent mechanisms. Oncotarget 5: 9118.
- 128. Marzano C, Gandin V, Folda A, Scutari G, Bindoli A, et al. (2007) Inhibition of thioredoxin reductase by auranofin induces apoptosis in cisplatin-resistant human ovarian cancer cells. Free Radic Biol Med 42: 872-881.
- 129. Kim NH, Park HJ, Oh MK, Kim IS (2013) Antiproliferative effect of gold(I) compound auranofin through inhibition of STAT3 and telomerase activity in MDA-MB 231 human breast cancer cells. BMB Rep 46: 59-64.
- 130. Liu C, Liu Z, Li M, Li X, Wong YS, et al. (2013) Enhancement of auranofin-induced apoptosis in MCF-7 human breast cells by

selenocystine, a synergistic inhibitor of thioredoxin reductase. PLoS One 8: e53945.

- 131. Fan C, Zheng W, Fu X, Li X, Wong YS, et al. (2014) Enhancement of auranofin-induced lung cancer cell apoptosis by selenocystine, a natural inhibitor of TrxR1 in vitro and in vivo. Cell Death Dis 5: e1191.
- 132. Raninga PV, Di Trapani G, Vuckovic S3, Bhatia M1, et al. (2015) Inhibition of thioredoxin 1 leads to apoptosis in drug-resistant multiple myeloma. Oncotarget 6: 15410-15424.
- 133. Liu CX, Yin QQ, Zhou HC, Wu YL, Pu JX, et al. (2012) Adenanthin targets peroxiredoxin I and II to induce differentiation of leukemic cells. Nat Chem Biol 8: 486-493.
- 134. Soethoudt M, Peskin AV, Dickerhof N, Paton LN, Pace PE, et al. (2014) Interaction of adenanthin with glutathione and thiol enzymes: selectivity

for thioredoxin reductase and inhibition of peroxiredoxin recycling. Free Radic Biol Med 77: 331-339.

- 135. Juarez JC, Betancourt O, Pirie-Shepherd SR, Guan X, Price ML, et al. (2006) Copper binding by tetrathiomolybdate attenuates angiogenesis and tumor cell proliferation through the inhibition of superoxide dismutase 1. Clin Cancer Res 12: 4974-4782.
- 136. Smith PS, Zhao W, Spitz DR, Robbins ME (2007) Inhibiting catalase activity sensitizes 36B10 rat glioma cells to oxidative stress. Free Radic Biol Med 42: 787-797.
- 137. Seyfried TN, Shelton LM (2010) Cancer as a metabolic disease. Nutr Metab (Lond) 7: 7.