

Open Access

Non-invasive Quantitative Characterization of Skeletal Metastasis in Carcinoma Prostate by Tc99m MDP Bone Scans Using Dr. V. Siva's Retention Ratio in Correlation with Serum PSA Levels

Sivasubramaniyan V* and Venkataramaniah K

Department of Physics, Sri Sathya Sai Institute of Higher Learning, Vidyagiri, Prasanthinilayam, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract

Background: In patients suffering from carcinoma prostate the incidence of skeletal metastases had been found to be very high. The presence of skeletal metastasis could be inferred by the multiple focal hotspots in the skeletal tissue. The metastatic nature of the hotspots could be inferred by multiple lesions, asymmetric distribution with increased tracer concentration. In the case of Solitary focal spot in the bone scan metastatic nature could not be attributed to it. The invasive biopsy procedure could only confirm or discard the metastatic involvement. A new non-invasive Scintimetric characterization and evaluation of skeletal hot spots in bone scans of carcinoma prostate patients was proposed and tested.

Materials and methods: The bone scan was done 4 and 24 hours after intravenous injection of 15 to 25 mCi of Tc99m Methylene Di-Phosponate with adequate hydration using the e-cam Siemens dual head gamma camera with e-cam whole body acquisition protocol in 75 patients with biopsy proven carcinoma prostate. Metastatic involvement was seen in 53 patients and was negative in 22. The Serum PSA levels were obtained from the Patient medical records were tabulated. The 185 focal hotspots in various sites in 34 patients were characterized using the temporal scintimetric method. Both 4 and 24hr bone scan images were selected using the general display protocol. Then with the help of the region ratio processing protocol the 4 and 24hr anterior and posterior images were selected separately. Maximum counts in the selected regions were then tabulated. Then the 4/24hr Dr. V. Siva's retention ratio as well. Similarly 4/24hr Dr.V.Siva's retention ratio of whole body scan total counts at 4 and 24hr scans was also calculated. The results were compared and analysed.

Results: The mean of 4/24hr Dr. V. Siva's retention ratio was found to be 12.32 ± 3.3 and that of 24/4hr Israel's ratio to be 0.08 ± 0.02 for Focal hot spot evaluation. The 4/24hr Dr. V. Siva's retention ratio was derived by dividing the total whole body counts at 4 and 24hr whole body bone scan was 12.21 ± 2.78 which wascloser to the Focal hot spot retention ratio. The Total PSA, Free PSA and the %PSA Values were 61.8, 19.2 and 26.8 in the Metastatic positive group and 34.5, 6.8 and 12.8 in the negative group respectively.

Conclusion: Scintimetric characterization of the skeletal hot spots provided a non-invasive means for identifying the underlying pathology to enable proper management decisions. The 4/24hr Dr. V. Siva's retention ratio was useful clinically because of its whole integer value, unlike the Israel's 24/4hr ratio which was in decimal value. The utility of the scintimetric characterization in inferring the metastatic nature of the lesion was confirmed through biopsy of the site afflicted followed by histopathological examination.

Keywords: Non-invasive characterization; Skeletal metastasis; Ca. prostate; Tc 99m MDP bone scans; Dr.V.Siva's retention ratio; Serum total PSA; Free PSA; %PSA

Introduction

The Carcinoma Prostate had been found to involve the skeletal tissues as their preferred metastatic sites during the course of the disease. The metastatic lesions predominantly appear as focal hot spots. In some rare cases they might appear as a Photopenic lesion as well. The focal hot spots showed multiplicity, asymmetrical distribution on both sides of the body in the metastatic involvement. The single Focal hot spot when seen in a bone scan cannot be attributed to the metastatic origin only as it could have been caused by other benign causes as well. The role of imaging in the evaluation of metastatic involvement in Carcinoma Prostate had been described by Langsteger et al. [1]. Hence various methods were resorted to quantify and characterize them. Soloway et al. [2] have classified them by visual inspection method based on the presence and number of focal hotspots as follows. No lesion -0, less than 6 - stage 1, 6 to 20 stage - 2, more than 20 without super scan stage - 3 and Super Scan - Stage 4. The staging by Amico similar but it had not taken the Super Scan appearance into account there by resulting in three stages only. Chylowski [3] proposed a simpler classification into negative, positive and intermediate stages. Dann et al. [4] had measured the 24hr whole body retention as an objective method. Erdi et al. [5] have established a computer analysis based Bone Scan Index using Image segmentation. Noguchi et al. [6] have devised a quantitative evaluation by measuring the percentage area of positive bone scan combining both visual and computer analysis. Elzabeth R Dennis et al. [7] have documented the utility of Bone Scan index as an effective measure of treatment response assessment in Castration resistant Ca. Prostate cases along with the bio-markers. However all these methods could not differentiate between the malignant and benign causes of the focal hot spots in a bone scan. The Temporal scintimetric method of

*Corresponding author: Sivasubramaniyan V, Department of Physics, Sri Sathya Sai Institute of Higher Learning, Vidyagiri, Prasanthinilayam, Andhra Pradesh, India, Tel: + 918106451843; E-mail: drvssm@gmail.com

Received March 08, 2016; Accepted May 27, 2016; Published June 05, 2016

Citation: Sivasubramaniyan V, Venkataramaniah K (2016) Non-invasive Quantitative Characterization of Skeletal Metastasis in Carcinoma Prostate by Tc99m MDP Bone Scans Using Dr. V. Siva's Retention Ratio in Correlation with Serum PSA Levels. Med Surg Urol 5: 164. doi:10.4172/2168-9857.1000164

Copyright: © 2016 Sivasubramaniyan V, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

characterizing the Skeletal Hotspots in a bone scan was first reported by Israel et al. [8]. The maximum counts in the focal hotspot in the 24hr Bone scan was divided by the maximum counts in the 4hr bone scan image. He had proved that clear cut differentiation could be established between the degenerative lesions, metastases and the treated metastatic group of patients. However the resultant ratio was in decimal fraction values and no useful cut off values could be derived. Hence it was not accepted for wide clinical usage.

Dr. V. Siva's retention ratio

In our method the procedure was reversed to get the whole number values. The maximum counts in the Focal hotspot region were obtained by using the region ratio protocol in both the 4hr and 24hr whole body bone scans. The retention ratio was calculated by dividing the 4hr counts by the 24hr counts for the focal hot spot sites. The method of calculating the Dr.V.Siva's retention ratio is depicted in Figure 1. The whole body total counts in the 4hr and 24hr also were tabulated and the retention ratio was calculated as mentioned above. This scintimetric characterization of the skeletal hotspots had been proved to have different values for the malignant and benign origin by us [9].

Materials and Method

The bone scan was done 4 and 24 hours after intravenous injection of 15 to 25 mCi of Tc99m Methylene Di-Phosponate with adequate hydration using the e-cam Siemens dual head gamma camera with e-cam whole body acquisition protocol in 75 patients with biopsy proven carcinoma prostate. Metastatic involvement was seen in 53 patients and was negative in 22. The Serum PSA levels were obtained from the Patient medical records was tabulated. The 185 focal hotspots in various sites in 34 patients were characterized using the temporal scintimetric method as the 24hr images were not available in the 12 patients of the positive group. Both 4 and 24hr bone scan images were selected using the general display protocol. Then with the help of the region ratio processing protocol the 4 and 24hr anterior and posterior images were selected separately. Maximum counts in the selected regions were then tabulated. Then the 4/ 24hr of Dr. V. Siva's retention ratio was derived by dividing the 4hr counts with 24hr counts along with the Israel's 24/ 4hr ratio as well. Then 4/ 24hr Dr. V. Siva's retention ratio derived by dividing the whole body counts of 4 and 24hr whole body bone scan. The results were compared and analysed.

Results

The details of the Focal hot spot sites, 4hr and 24hr counts, derived 24/ 4hr Israel's ratio and 4/24hr Dr.V.Siva's retention ratio values were tabulated and shown in the Table 1.

The mean of 4/24 hr Dr. V. Siva's retention ratio was found to be 12.32 +/- 3.3 and that of 24/4hr Israel's ratio to be 0.08 +/- 0.02 in the focal hot spot evaluation. The retention ratios of the focal hotspots and the whole body bone scan total counts obtained were shown in Table 2.

The 4/24 hr Dr. V. Siva's retention ratio derived by dividing the whole body counts of 4 and 24hr whole body bone scan was 12.21 +/-2.78 which was identical to that of the Focal hot spot retention ratio. The serum Total PSA, Free PSA and the %PSA levels in the Bone scan metastasis positive and negative groups were shown in Tables 3 and 4.

The Total PSA, Free PSA and the %PSA Values are 61.8, 19.2 and 26.8 in the Metastatic positive group and 34.5, 6.8 and 12.8 in the negative group respectively.



Page 3 of 6

Site	4 Hr Counts	24 Hr Counts	Israel's 24/ 4 Hr	Dr. V. Siva's 4 /		lips	9588	878	0.09	10.9
				24 Hr		Stern	40265	3423	0.08	11.7
Pubic	46947	4356	0.09	10.7		Rt knee	10183	739	0.07	13.7
	07760	1750	0.06	15.0		L5	29209	1902	0.06	15.3
	27762	1755	0.06	15.6		Lt rib	23286	2321	0.09	10
L2 DS	20520	1240	0.06	10.4		Rt rib	32810	2002	0.06	16.3
F3	23704	2200	0.09	10.7		Stern	21174	2044	0.09	10.3
	21920	1629	0.07	13.4		Lt fem	16931	1383	0.09	10.6
L 5	37105	2619	0.07	14.1		Rt rib	11538	1016	0.08	11.3
Lt rib	14839	1124	0.07	13.2		Lt foot	7440	666	0.08	11
RT12	18141	1093	0.06	16.5		Lt rib	16931	1076	0.06	15.7
RI 5	14826	1289	0.08	11.5		L3	21257	1004	0.04	21.1
	6939	014	0.08	11.3		L3	15304	1462	0.09	10.4
L3	23400	2011	0.11	6.3		LPS	15688	1257	0.08	12.4
R SIJ	31138	3009	0.09	10.3		RT frontal	68905	6210	0.09	11
	18853	1816	0.09	10.3		Lt parei	17337	2095	0.12	8.2
L4	17642	1831	0.1	9.6		C7	40764	3922	0.09	10.3
	23790	1661	0.06	14.3		RT clav	23189	1871	0.08	12.3
RIFrontal	145552	10598	0.07	13.7		Rt rib	17829	1959	0.1	9.1
LIFoot	63036	5315	0.08	11.8		Stern	90704	7813	0.08	11.6
LT Pari	15157	1307	0.08	11.5		D12	81694	7033	0.08	11.6
D5	21945	1561	0.07	13.9		LT rib	16182	1620	0.1	9.9
RT Frontal	61375	4967	0.08	12.3		L2	44670	2542	0.05	17.5
LT Foot	38417	1900	0.04	20.2		SAC	29937	3743	0.12	7.9
RT Rib	20810	1990	0.09	10.4		RT ic	27544	3085	0.11	8.9
RT5Rib	37597	3765	0.1	9.9		LT ps	33425	4194	0.12	7.9
Rt rib	75984	7242	0.09	10.4		LT front	19667	3291	0.16	5.9
Lt rib	61015	6486	0.15	9.4		RT Front	31028	2457	0.07	12.6
L4	25655	2538	0.09	10.1		IC	7189	955	0.13	7.5
D1	56045	4049	0.07	13.8		RT Occi	13941	957	0.06	14.5
D7	82119	6260	0.07	13.1		C3	22692	2441	0.1	9.2
RT SIJ	114114	9839	0.08	11.5		RT clav	12266	1350	0.11	9
RT ISCH	49097	5884	0.11	10		LT rib	21919	2198	0.1	9.9
Rt rib	40830	2576	0.06	14		RT rib	26034	2611	0.1	9.9
Stern	188301	13654	0.07	13.7		L3	40277	4132	0.1	9.7
Rt foot	33202	3051	0.09	10.8		SAC	35916	4299	0.11	8.3
Lt rib	141923	12909	0.09	10.9		RIC	17560	2049	0.11	8.5
D4	50374	3615	0.07	13.7		LT isc	29557	3080	0.1	9.5
Rt fem	16615	1128	0.06	14.7		PS	46947	4356	0.09	10.7
Rt rib	10087	902	0.08	11.1		D11	27762	1753	0.06	15.8
Lt rib	9814	772	0.07	12.7		L2	20526	1246	0.06	16.4
scrot	6527	511	0.07	12.7		PS	23764	2208	0.09	10.7
Lt gt	10427	707	0.06	14.7		rib	38048	2908	0.07	13
Rt rib	11138	777	0.06	14.3		Ltfoot	51883	3109	0.05	26.6
D12	9011	1259	0.13	7.1		Ltrib	18896	1544	0.08	12.2
RT knee	5941	554	0.09	10.7		Rt rib	35695	2902	0.08	12.2
LT GT	7525	654	0.08	11.5		Lat	17885	1751	0.09	10.1
L3	89624	14065	0.15	6.3		Lat	10080	828	0.00	10.1
L4	97733	11548	0.11	8.4		Dib	6471	304	0.03	21.2
LT IC	158441	20594	0.12	7.6		Rib	11094	1010	0.04	10.9
RT IC	304334	29736	0.09	10.2			6260	504	0.09	10.0
L1	50118	5568	0.11	9	-		0309	1994	0.09	10.7
L3	121252	15169	0.12	7.9		14	20009	1021	0.06	14.7
LT sij	76088	7134	0.09	10.6	-		0185	010	0.09	10.1
LT isc	56785	6137	0.1	9.2		RUC	124/6	2559	0.2	4.8
cal	17232	1286	0.07	14.2	-	Rt act	6701	599	0.08	11.1
Lt shoul	13952	1187	0.08	11.7		Lt foot	10448	1161	0.11	16.6
Lt rib	21298	2178	0.1	9.7	-	L2	15982	1321	0.08	12
Rt spg	61808	7469	0.12	8.2		S1	30572	2346	0.07	13
Lt occi	5376	396	0.07	13.5		RT ic	20573	1595	0.07	12.8
Lt shoul	8671	621	0.07	13.9		Lt foot	36629	3374	0.09	10.8
Lt rib	20672	2578	0.12	8		Stern	35740	3309	0.09	10.8

Page 4 of 6

D12	20728	1451	0.07	14.2	RT rit)	22291		1932	(0.08	11.9
L4	30169	2181	0.07	13.8	RT an	k	57414		4525	(0.07	12.6
C7	91093	10047	0.11	9	LT sij		102150		6546	(0.06	15.6
L4	13851	817	0.05	16.9	RT an	k	76341		6536	(0.08	11.9
C7	57805	4290	0.07	13.4					Mean	(0.08	12.316
D2	56757	6130	0.1	9.2					STD	(0.02	3.35
D4	39575	3105	0.07	12.7			Table	1: Dr V	Siva's rete	ention rati	o values	
L5	35391	2122	0.05	16.6								
LS ij	39123	3224	0.08	12.1	RR	FHS		RRWB	S	RRFH	S	RRWBS
RT ps	92641	7388	0.07	12.5	13	3.5		13.2		11.6		10.5
LS ii	75121	7886	0.1	9.5	9.	96		9.3		13.4		10.3
RT ps	25139	1754	0.06	14.3	13	3.9		16.1		15		13.4
RT rib	9486	725	0.07	13	10).1		10.4		21.9		13.5
RT fem	19643	1667	0.08	11 7	1	1.1		9.9		15.7	,	10.1
I T rib	8676	856	0.09	10.1	12	2.9		12.9		12.8	,	9.7
LTrib	9446	864	0.09	10.1	11	1.8		9.7		7.4		8.8
LT may	1218/	956	0.03	12.7	8	.6		11.4		17.6		8.6
LTrib	0265	688	0.07	13.4	1'	1.2		12.5		12.7		12.1
Stern	10028	11/1	0.07	17.4	13	3.5		10		10.9		11
	1/676	1094	0.03	12.5	13	3.1		24.1		14.5		11.3
	25500	1560	0.07	16.0	10).8		11		13.6		11.4
	2000	1509	0.00	10.2	14	1.9		18		11.6		11.4
	4010	100	0.03	30.0	9	.3		8.5		12.4		11.4
	10002	630	0.05	10.7	13	3.4		14		14		16.1
K I IID	9021	572	0.06	10.7	1	6		15.7		13.6		13.6
	0941	490	0.05	10.2	12	2.5		15.6		13		11.6
	3812	512	0.13	7.4	1	13		14.8		10.8		11.3
LIC	4855	653	0.13	7.4	12	21		14.3		14.2		11.3
L5	10930	620	0.05	17.6	12	2.5		9.9		13.9		11.3
RTsho	64574	5248	0.08	12.3	12	2.8		10.2		11.9		11.7
LIC	126050	12001	0.09	10.5	13	3.8		13.3		12.6		10.9
RI fem	42374	4158	0.09	10.1	11	1 4	_	13.06		15.6		10.5
RIrib	61007	5044	0.08	12	1	4		14 1		11.0		14.4
LIfem	21778	1803	0.08	12	11	1.8		14 1		11.0		
L5	24929	1431	0.05	17.4	•			Mean		12.33	,	12 21
RISC	9134	890	0.09	10.2			_	Std De	v	3.3	-	2 78
RT ank	56009	4269	0.07	13.1								
Lt shoul	10930	604	0.05	18	Table 2: L	Dr. V. Si whe	va'S ret	ention ra	atio in foca	I hot spot	s - Rrfhs & v	whole body bone
LT rib	13158	986	0.07	13.3	30413-11	WD3.						
RT ank	48427	4044	0.08	11.9		Total	Free	%		Total		
D6	25068	1817	0.07	13.7	Result	PSA	PSA	PSA	Result	PSA	Free PSA	% PSA
LT sc	15258	1146	0.07	13.3	Positive	100	12.38		Positive	0.98		
RT rib	13170	1284	0.07	10.2	Positive	58.05	16.24	27.9	Positive	19.62	4.27	46.4
RT tib	14759	1185	0.08	12.4	Positive	0.55			Positive	65.98	11.33	17.17
RT rib	23790	1661	0.06	14.3	Positive	7.8	3.57	45.7	Positive	80.02	10.17	12.7
RT pat	145552	10598	0.07	13.7	Positive	18.7	6.45	34.49	Positive	17.08	2.91	17.03
LT foot	63056	5316	0.08	11.8	Positive	100	50		Positive	67.15	14.36	21.38
LT pari	15157	1309	0.08	11.5	Positive	0.01			Positive			
D5	21945	1569	0.07	13.9	Positive	65.59	10.8	16.4	Positive	29.64	1.29	4.35
LT foot	38417	1900	0.05	20.2	Positive	100	10.58		Positive	65.08	2.79	4.29
RT pari	61375	4967	0.08	12.3	Positive	100	16.84		Positive			
D1	10379	822	0.07	12.6	Positive	38.59	11.46	29.69	Positive	67.89	9.04	13.31
RT sca	17362	1227	0.07	14.1	Positive	100	22.69		Positive	5.91	2.34	39.5
RT rib	24939	2099	0.08	12.6	Positive	100	50		Positive	26.86	9.26	34.47
RT max	35474	2802	0.07	12.6	Positive	20.95	3.13	14.94	Positive	100	50	
L1	44987	3283	0.07	13.7	Positive	94.02	9.15	9.73	Positive	100	50	
RT fem	25504	2351	0.09	10.8	Positive	100	6.54		Positive	100	24.77	
CAL	17232	1206	0.07	14.2	Positive	30.68	2.77	9.02	Positive	100	5.59	
LT skul	13952	1187	0.08	11.7	Positive	100	39.41		Positive	23.04	6.24	27.03
LT occi	5376	396	0.07	13.5	Positive	100	50		Positive	100	34.84	
Lt shoul	8671	621	0.07	13.9	Positive	100	37.39		Positive	23.53	15.55	66.08
LT pr	9586	878	0.09	10.9	Positive	66.67	29.12	43.67	Positive	11.45	3.12	27.24
F												

Positive	72.53	22.58	31.13	Positive	2.7		
Positive	34.94	21.57	61.7	Positive	50.24	5.39	10.7
Positive	100	50	50	Positive			
Positive	100	22.54		Positive	100	50	
Positive	100	50		Positive	99.77	11.6	11.62
Positive	28	6.7	23.42	Mean	61.8804	19.2776087	26.82357143

Table 3: Serum PSA levels in bone metastasis positive group.

Result	Total PSA	Free PSA	% PSA		
Negative	26.81	5.68	21.18		
Negative	96.97	4.92	5.07		
Negative	69.12	4.64	6.71		
Negative	0.54				
Negative	31.66	10.19	32.1		
Negative	80.49	21.61	26.84		
Negative					
Negative	33.17	2.22	6.69		
Negative	0.58				
Negative	100	24.81			
Negative	11.1	1.82	16.39		
Negative	22.25	3.47	15.59		
Negative	60.15	11.83	19.66		
Negative	0.01				
Negative	32.28	2.16	6.69		
Negative	26.21	1.13	4.31		
Negative	15.21	1.74	11.43		
Negative	69.48	5.52	7.94		
Negative	9.06	0.97	10.7		
Negative	0.32				
Negative	21.4	1.58	7.3		
Negative	18.01	1.31	7.27		
Negative					
Mean	34.5152381	6.211764706	12.866875		

 Table 4: PSA level is in bone metastasis negative group.



Discussion

The counts in the focal hotspots represent the osteoblastic activity occurring at that site. The basic mechanism of localization of the radiotracer Tc99m MDP is termed as Chemisorption.

The ionic radius of the Tc99m MDP complex is equal to that of

the Calcium -hydroxy appetite crystals. Hence they are adsorbed to the basic building blocks of the skeletal tissue. The retention ratio of benign lesions has been shown to be between 1 to 5 numerical value as the metabolic bone turnover of the skeletal tissue is minimal and constant. Whereas the metastatic and malignant lesion which have increased and rapid metabolic bone turnover due to the underlying disease process always have the numerical value of 10 and above. The fact that the focal hotspot retention ratio and the whole body count retention ratios are identical values confirms the fact that the retention ration is the true representative of skeletal tissue turnover. The student t test evaluation of the focal retention ratio values and the whole body count retention ratio values confirms that there is no significant difference between them as shown in Figure 2.

Page 5 of 6

The roles of modern techniques in the detection of Prostate Cancer's Bone Metastasis have been well narrated and the question about the end of era of bone scan is discussed [10]. The role of serum PSA concentration in determining the need for bone scan was reported by John et al. [11]. The cut of level of serum PSA>8 ng/ ml was mentioned.

The good correlation between the serum PSA levels of >10 ng/ml and the presence of bone metastasis had been documented by Wojcieh Szot [12]. In a study of 1116 it had been proved that the chance of detecting bone metastasis was greater when the srum PSA level was >20 ng/ml by Lojanapiwat et al. [13]. In a study of 48 patients of Ca. Prostate the mean serum PSA level was >109.9 ng/ml in the positive bone metastasis group and it was >54.7 ng/ml in the negative group as documented by Oommen et al. [14]. The serum PSA level in the bone scan positive group was almost TWICE the serum PSA value in the negative bone scan group in this study too as shown by our reported values. Recently the elevated levels of serum miR-141 was shown to be well correlated with bone metastasis in Ca. Prostate patients than the serum PSA levels by Hai-Liang Zhang [15]. This clearly depicts the current situation of lack of implementation of quantitative measurements in the regular practice of bone scan studies.

Conclusion

The current study proves that the skeletal metastatic lesions could be characterised correctly by the non-invasive quantitative Dr.V.Siva's retention ratio as they show the value to above 10. This will help in the characterization of solitary hotspots as metastatic lesion or not, so that appropriate correct treatment could be decided without resorting to invasive bone biopsy. Similarly the benign degenerative lesion that might be interspersed along with metastatic lesions in a proven case of Carcinoma Prostate could be identified and treated accordingly. However the method is dependent on the correct method of procedure in both 4 and 24hr scans, identical and exact drawing of the region of focal hot spots in both the images for the best results. Any error in these will hamper the outcome of the results. More over this single institutional study must be put to test in more institutions for assessing its universal applicability. It can be concluded that the non-invasive quantitative scintimetric characterization of skeletal metastasis in Carcinoma Prostate patients deserves a place in the proper management protocol.

References

- Langsteger W, Haim S, Knauer M, Waldenberger P, Emmanuel K, et al. (2012) Imaging of bone metastases in prostate cancer: an update. Q J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 56: 447-458.
- Soloway MS, Hardeman SW, Hickey D, Raymond J, Todd B, et al. (1988) Stratification of patients with metastatic prostate cancer based on extent of disease on initial bone scan. Cancer 61: 195-202.

Page 6 of 6

- Chybowski FM, Keller JL, Bergstralh EJ, Oesterling JE (1991) Predicting radionuclide bone scan findings in patients with newly diagnosed untreated prostate cancer: prostate specific antigen is superior to all other clinical parameters. J Urol 145: 313-318.
- Dann J, Castronovo FP Jr, McKusick KA, Griffin PP, Strauss HW, et al. (1987) Total bone uptake in management of metastatic carcinoma of the prostate. J Urol 137: 444-448.
- Erdi YE, Humm JL, Imbriaco M, Yeung H, Larson SM (1997) Quantitative bone metastases analysis based on image segmentation. J Nucl Med 38: 1401-1406.
- Noguchi M, Kikuchi H, Ishibashi M, Noda S (2003) Percentage of the positive area of bone metastasis is an independent predictor of disease death in advanced prostate cancer. Br J Cancer 88: 195-201.
- Dennis ER, Jia X, Mezheritskiy IS, Stephenson RD, Schoder H, et al. (2012) Bone scan index: a quantitative treatment response biomarker for castrationresistant metastatic prostate cancer. J ClinOncol 30: 519-524.
- Israel O, Front D, Frenkel A, Kleinhaus U (1985) 24-hour/4-hour ratio of technetium-99m methylene diphosphonate uptake in patients with bone metastases and degenerative bone changes. J Nucl Med 26: 237-240.
- 9. Sivasubramaniyan V, Venkataramaniah K (2016) Temporal scintimetric

characterization of skeletal hot spots in bone scan by Dr.V.Siva's retention ratio. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing 433: 297-305.

- Tombal B, Lecouvet F (2012) Modern detection of prostate cancer's bone metastasis: is the bone scan era over? Advances in Urology 2012: 893193.
- Freitas JE, Gilvydas R, Ferry JD, Gonzalez JA (1991) The clinical utility of prostate-specific antigen and bone scintigraphy in prostate cancer follow-up. J Nucl Med 32: 1387-1390.
- Szot W, Kostkiewicz M, Zajac J, Owoc A, Bojar I (2014) Prostate Cancer in patients from rural and suburban areas-PSA value, Gleason score and presence of metastases in bone scan. Ann Agric Enviornmental Medicine 21: 888-892.
- 13. Lojanapiwat B, Antrakulchai W, Chongruksut W, Udomphot C (2014) Correlation and diagnostic performance of the prostate-specific antigen level with the diagnosis, aggressiveness and bone metastasis of prostate cancer in clinical practice. Prostate International 2: 133-139.
- Oommen R, Geethanjali FS, Gopalakrishnan G, Chacko N, John S, et al. (1994) Correlation of serum prostate specific antigen levels and bone scintigraphy in carcinoma prostate. Br J Radiol 67: 469-471.
- Zhang HL, Qin XJ, Cao DL, Zhu Y, Yao XD, et al. (2013) An elevated serum miR-141 level in patients with bone-metastatic prostate cancer is correlated with more bone lesions. Asian J Androl 15: 231-235.