

Open Access

Morphological and Molecular Characterization of *Diplozoon kashmirensis; D. aegyptensis* and *D. guptai* Collected from Fishes of Kashmir Valley-India

Fayaz Ahmad¹, Khalid M Fazili², Tanveer A Sofi¹*, Bashir A Sheikh¹, Ajaz A Waza², Rabiya Rashid² and Tantry Tariq Gani³

¹Department of Zoology, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, India ²Department of Biotechnology, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, India ³Sheri Kashmir Institute of Medical Science-Soura, Srinagar, India

Abstract

The study reports the results of molecular characterization of the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) of ribosomal DNA of 3 *Monogenean* species using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), nucleotide sequencing and construction of phylogenetic trees from different fish hosts of Kashmir. The present study shows that the size of the amplified product is 873bp long for *D. kashmirensis*, 1120bp long in *D. aegyptensis* and 687bp long in *D. guptai* revealing that there are intraspecific differences in their base pair lengths. Guanine and Cytocine (G+C) content of three *Diplozoon* species was found nearly constant for three species i.e., 47% (*D. kashmirensis*); 47% (*D. aegyptensis*) and 48% (*D. guptai*), this GC richness contributes to physical attributes of RNA structures, as there is correlation between GC content and optimal growth temperature. An important observation during the present study has been noticed that *Schizothorax niger* is infected by all the three species of *Diplozoidae; D. kashmirensis;* D. aegyptensis and D. guptai, but when all six fishes were collected simultaneously, parasitism by all the parasite species was never observed. Phylogenetic trees Maximum Parsimony (MP), Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Neighbor Joining (NJ) showed that *D. kashmirensis* and *D. aegyptensis* share a common host *Carassius carassius* and *S. niger*.

Keywords: *Diplozoon*; Ribosomal DNA; Schizothorax; Kashmir; Phylogenetic trees.

Introduction

Monogeneans belonging to the Diplozoidae are common parasites on the gills of cyprinid fish. The life cycle is direct, including freeswimming oncomiracidia, larval stage (diporpa) and adult. Two larvae (diporpae) permanently fuse into a pair to form the sexually maturated adult. In the adult, the vitellaria and almost all the internal organs are situated in the anterior part of the body. The female and male reproductive organs and terminal part of the gut are situated in the posterior part. The attachment apparatus of adults consist of four pairs of clamps and a pair of small central hooks situated on the ventral side of the opisthaptor. Due to the complicated determination of several groups of monogenean parasites, molecular markers based on species-specific variability in the ribosomal DNA region (rDNA) their cytogenetics have been designed and shown to be useful for precise species identification [1-5]. The interspecific nucleic acid variability of Internal Transcribed Spacers of rDNA (ITS) has also been used to distinguish *diplozoid* parasites [6-11].

From the available data, it has been concluded that morphological and metrical differences in the clamp size, pharynx size, prohaptoral length, opisthohaptoral length, sucker distance, testis, ovary and egg size were the major criteria for species determination. Species determination of trematodes is difficult and demands great skill and experience. As the structures of taxonomic importance (central hooks, clamps etc.,) grow gradually and the measurements of sclerotized structures are variable, species determination of trematodes in different developmental stages is not always clear. There are still some unclear descriptions of trematode species that differ only by host species, and some studies that did not employ recommended criteria [12,13]. Molecular biology techniques have been used as objective methods to distinguish between parasite species. The rDNA genes, particularly the 28S gene, have been found generally useful in molecular taxonomy and phylogeny of parasites [14-16]. However, there are no published molecular studies of trematode genomes from the Kashmir valley. The present study reports the results of molecular analysis of the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) of ribosomal DNA of 3 *Monogenean* species namely *Diplozoon kashmirensis* Kaw, *Diplozoon aegyptensis* Fischthal et Kuntz, *Diplozoon guptai* [17] using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), nucleotide sequencing and construction of phylogenetic from different fish hosts of the Kashmir valley.

Materials and Methods

Parasite material

Parasite specimens of *Diplozoon* spp. were collected from the *Carassius carassius; Cyprinus carpio communis; C. c. specularis; Schizothorax niger; S. esocinus; S. curvifrons* and *S. plagiostomus* of Kashmir and were used for DNA extraction. Samples were immediately fixed in 70% alcohol after collecting from the gills, gill cover, mouth cavity, eyes & fins of host fish. These samples were remained in alcohol until the present study.

DNA isolation

Parasite specimens of three Diplozoon species were collected from fish hosts of Carassius carassius; Cyprinus carpio communis;

*Corresponding author: Tanveer A. Sofi, Department of Zoology, University of Kashmir, Srinagar-190006, India, Tel: 09797127214; E-mail: stanveer96@gmail.com

Received August 18, 2015; Accepted August 24, 2015; Published October 27, 2015

Citation: Ahmad F, Fazili KM, Sofi TA, Sheikh BA, Waza AA (2015) Morphological and Molecular Characterization of *Diplozoon kashmirensis; D. aegyptensis* and *D. guptai* Collected from Fishes of Kashmir Valley-India. Fish Aquac J 6: 147. doi:10.4172/2150-3508.1000147

Copyright: © 2015 Ahmad F, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Schizothorax curvifrons; Schizothorax esocinus; Schizothorax niger and Schizothorax plagiostomus from Wular lake, Anchar lake, Dal lake, Manasbal lake, River Jhelum and River Sindh of Kashmir valley preserved in 100% ethanol for genomic DNA extraction and stored at-20°C for good quality of DNA. For DNA extraction ethanol was removed from parasites as per the protocol given by [18] and as such, these specimens were air dried to remove ethanol. The resultant DNA was examined on 1.5% agrose-TAE gels, stained with ethidium bromide (EtBr) and visualized under UV light.

Results

Morphological characterization

The present specimens having rectangular opisthaptor with four pairs of clamps and two individuals in form of a cross belongs to genus *Diplozoon* Nordmann, 1832. When compared *D. kashmirensis* with *D. aegyptensis*, they showed similarity in comparative size of clamps, size of eggs, form of oral suckers but differs in the proportion of body length to its breadth, shape of ovary, shape and position of testis. *D. kashmirensis* resembles *D. guptai* in egg size, absences of sticky glands in the anterior part, position of testis with respect to ovary, extent of vitellaria and in the arrangement of intestine in hind portion of the

worm but shows strong variations as regards total body length, size ratios, clamp size, the shape & size of testis and also prepharynx size. The variations of the three species can be regarded as intraspecific variations due to geographical isolation and are not sufficient for the creation of new species and thus the present specimens are described as *D. kashmirensis* Kaw, 1950; *D. aegyptensis* Fischthal et Kuntz, 1963 and *D. guptai* [17-24] (Table 1).

PCR amplification

The PCR amplified products of ITS regions of rDNA were successfully obtained using the primers (Table 2). PCR amplification was carried out to amplify ITS region of *Diplozoon* species (Table 3). The size of the amplified product was found to be 873bp long for *D. kashmirensis*; 1120bp long in *D. aegyptensis* and 687bp long in case of *D. guptai* (Figure 1). In BLAST search of these sequences, they showed similarity with other *Diplozoon* spp. (Table 3). In bioinformatics analysis, the results tallied with those of the earlier study; hence, the same are not repeated here in. Based on morphological studies, these species were identified as belonging to three *Diplozoon* species. The present results of the molecular analysis corroborate the species identification of these forms. Therefore, it can be assumed that the present species recovered from the different fish hosts of water bodies

Species Particu	ilars												
Particulars	Total Body Length	Forebody	Hind body	Length ratio between fore & hind body	Clamp size	Testis	Egg size	Anterior suckers	Prepharynx	Pharynx	Host	Site	Locality
D. kashmirensis Kaw, 1950	2.3-4.32	1.4-2.64 x 0.71-1.51	0.9 x 1.72 x 0.5-0.69	1:0.646	0.15 x 0.075 0.166 x 0.076 0.154 x 0.075 0.140 x 0.074	0.16 x 0.27- 0.29	0.27 x 0.29 x 0.07-0.09	0.063- 0.074 x 0.045- 0.063	0.065	0.065- 0.075	Schizothorax sp.	Gills	Dal Lake, Kashmir
<i>D. aegyptensis Fischthal</i> et Kuntz, 1963	4.529 (3.62- 5.77)	2.665 x 0.558 (1.879-3.452 x 0.299- 0.836)	1.128 x 0.178 (0.867- 1.871 x 0.130- 0.245)		0.070 x 0.097 0.065-0.079 x 0.092-0.102	0.136 x 0.08 (0.103- 0.19 x 0.063- 0.093)	0.292 x 0.107 (0.524- 0.313 x 0.081- 0.132)	0.038 x 0.07 (0.029- 0.046 x 0.065- 0.079)	0.027 (0.020- 0.034)	0.062 x 0.044 (0.051- 0.075 x 0.040- 0.050)	Labeo forskalii	Gills	Giza Fish Market, Giza Fish Market, Egypt
<i>D. aegyptensis</i> Fayaz et Chishti 1993	4.2 (3.95- 4.25)				0.114 (0.10- 0.124) x 0.045 (0.04-0.048)	0.155 (0.14- 0.17) x 0.105 (0.10- 0.11)	0.25 (0.22- 0.28) x 0.082 (0.076- 0.088)	0.048 (0.032- 0.064)	0.054 (0.041- 0.068)	0.064 (0.056- 0.072) x 0.047 (0.044- 0.05)	Schizothorax niger	Gills	Dal lake, Kashmir
<i>Diplozoon guptai</i> Fayaz et Chishti 1999	1.873 (1.28- 2.55)	1.66 x 0.604 (0.755-0.144 x 0.44-0.65)	0.603 x 0.395 (0.46- 0.76 x 0.289- 0.48)	1:0.523 (1:0.4- 0.639)	0.102 x 0.045 0.106 x 0.032 0.093 x 0.038 0.081 x 0.035	0.813 x 0.0786 (0.076- 0.12 x 0.052- 0.128)	0.245- 0.07 (0.228- 0.268 x 0.06- 0.08)	0.056 x 0.05 (0.04-0.08 x 0.032- 0.072)	0.0356 (0.03- 0.042)	0.057 x 0.025 (0.044- 0.069 x 0.02- 0.028)	Schizothorax niger; S. esocinus; Labeo sp. and Carasius carassius	Gills	Dal and Anchar Lake, Kashmir

Table 1: Comparative Morphological characteristics of Diplozoon species (measurements in mm).

Species	Primer Designed	GenBank Accession Number	Author and Year	
Diplozoon kachmironaia Kaw 1950	Forward			
Diplozoon kashmirensis Kaw, 1950	Cer5.8S 2249:5/GCTCACGTGACGATGAAGAG3/		Sicard et al., 2001	
Diplozoon aegyptensis Fischthal et Kuntz, 1963	Reverse	AF 369758 to AF 369761		
Diplozoon guptai Fayaz and Chishti, 1999				

Table 2: Primers used for Trematodes

Monogenea	Initial Denaturation	Denaturation for 30 cycles	Annealing	Extension	Final extension
Diplozoon kashmirensis; D. aegyptensis and D. guptai	95°C for 10 minutes	30 cycles at 95°C for 30 seconds	55°C for 30 seconds	72°C for 75 seconds	72°C for 10 minutes

Table 3: PCR assay of Monogeneans which were carried out in a thermocycler (Eppendorf Mastercycler Personal) under different conditions.

Page 3 of 9



Figure 1: (A). Whole specimen of *D. kashmirensis*, (B). Anteroir end showing prohaptor of *D. kashmirensis*, (C). Posteror end showing posthaphtors of *D. kashmirensis*, (D). Whole specimen of *D. aegyptensis*, (E). Forebody showing suckers & pharynx of *D. aegyptensis*, (F). Hindbody showing clamps & eggs of *D. aegyptensis*, (G). Whole specimen of *D. guptai*, (H). Posterior body showing posthaphtors of *D. guptai*.

S no	Monogenean Species	Host	GenBank Accession No.	Family	Base pair length	Authors	Country	Year
1.	D. kashmirensis Kaw, 1950*	Carassius Carassius, Cyprinus carpio cummunis, Schizothorax niger, S. esocinus, S. curvifrons	AF973616	Diplozoidea	873 bp	Present study	India	2015
2.	<i>D. aegyptensis</i> Fischthal et Kuntz, 1963*	Carassius Carassius, Schizothorax niger;	AF973617	Diplozoidea	1120 bp	Present study	India	2015
3.	D. guptai Fayaz and Chishti, 1999*	Schizothorax niger	AF973618	Diplozoidea	687 bp	Present study	India	2015
4.	D. bliccae (Glaser, 1965)	Blicca bjoerkna	AF369761	Diplozoidea	988 bp	Sicard et al.	France	2001
5.	D. paradoxum Nordmann, 1832	Abramis brama	AF369759 and AJ563372	Diplozoidea	769 bp	Matejusova	Czech Republic	2004
6.	D. homoion Bychowsky & Nagibina, 1959	Rutilus rutilus, Scardinius erythrophthalmus	AF369760	Diplozoidea	996 bp	Sicard et al.	France	2001

Table 4: Monogenean trematode species used for molecular comparison of ITS rDNA sequences along with their hosts, country and GenBank accession numbers for corresponding sequences (*Query sequence).

of Kashmir valley is *D. kashmirensis* Kaw, *Diplozoon aegyptensis* Fischthal et Kuntz, and *Diplozoon guptai* [24].

[Reagents for PCR: Taq DNA polymerase 3 U/ μ l, dNTP mixture 100 mM, primers 20 pmols, 10 × TaqDNA Polymerase buffer (Genei), PCR water (Sterile milli-Q)].

Sequences deposited in GenBank

GenBank: AF973616; Diplozoon kashmirensis, complete sequence.

GenBank: AF973617; Diplozoon aegyptensis, complete sequence.

GenBank: AF973618; Diplozoon guptai, complete sequence.

The three *monogenean* species of Trematodes viz., *Diplozoon kashmirensis* Kaw, 1950; *Diplozoon aegyptensis* Fischthal et Kuntz, 1963 and *Diplozoon guptai* [17] which were recovered during the present study are used for molecular study for the first time.

Nucleotide sequences

PCR products were visualized and documented, and the sizes of the sequences were estimated. The sequence obtained from three different *Diplozoon* species were submitted to GenBank and their accession number acquired were AF973616; AF973617 and AF973618 (Table 4). Sequences were compared with other sequences of *monogenean* species from GenBank. When the BLAST search was performed, the query sequence showed maximum similarity with 28S rDNA sequence of *Diplozoon* spp. The nucleotide sequences obtained and shown in (Figures 2-5) are as raw sequences (Table 5).

Pairwise alignment

Pairwise alignments of Diplozoon species were made by using

different softwares such as Gene Runner, DNA Dynamo, Chromas Pro. *D. kashmirensis* showed maximum similarity with those of *D. bliccae* where as *D. aegyptensis* showed maximum similarity with *D. paradoxum* and in case of *D. guptai* that showed maximum similarity to *D. homoin* (Tables 6-8).

Construction of phylogenetic tree

Phylogenetic trees were obtained by comparing the 28S rDNA sequences of the query parasite and other available sequences for related *monogenean* parasites. The E value was found to be zero up to the 100th sequence of BLAST search and the query coverage 95% and above. The species of *D. kashmirensis* and *D. aegyptensis* appeared to be the most closely related species, with well-supported clade by Neighbour joining and MP trees (Figures 6-8).

Above Table shows that *Diplozoon kashmirensis* having GenBank accession number AF973616 mostly resembles with *Diplozoon bliccae* with an accession number AF369761.1. Out of 867 base pairs of *Diplozoon kashmirensis*, 807bp match with that of *Diplozoon bliccae* i.e., 93.08% similarity with 15 gaps (1.73%).

From the Table 6 it is clear that *Diplozoon aegyptensis* having GenBank accession number AF973617 shows 94.13% similarity with *Diplozoon paradoxum* with an accession number AF369759.1. Out of 988 base pairs of *Diplozoon aegyptensis*, 930 bp match with that of *Diplozoon paradoxum* with 11 gaps (1.11%).

The present observation shows that *Diplozoon guptai* having GenBank accession number AF973618 shows 86.16% similarity with that of *Diplozoon homoion* having GeneBank accession mnumber AF369760.1 (Table 7). 585 bp of *Diplozoon guptai* matches with *Diplozoon homoion* with 15 gaps, out of total 679 base pairs.

Page 4 of 9



1 TGCTTACTGA CTTGAGCATC GATTTCTTGA ACGTGAATTG CGGCATTACC CTCTAATGAT 61 GCCACGCCTA GCCGAGTATC GGCATTAAAT CTAGCACGAC GCTTATTTGG TCCTGGCTTA 121 GAAAGTTGTC AGCCGTCGTG TTGTACTTGG CAACGTGTTG TTCTGTTGTC AAGTCGGCGG 181 TATTATTGAC GCTTGCCAAA TGTAATGGAG AGTTTGTATA TGCGAAATAT CTTCCGGTAG 241 CCTGTTGGTG TTGGCTACGC TGCCCCGTGT ATTTTTTATT TGCATTTTTG TGCATACCGA 301 TGGGGTGGTT AGCTTCTCGT CAGCAGTGCG TCCTTGCCGG TGGTGTCGTG GAATGGGAAT 361 TTCAATAAGC ATTTCTGAAT CCTAATTGTG AAATTGTCAT TTTATGTGCT GTTCTCTTGA 421 GCCGCATGGC CCACTTGTTG TGCGATGACC AGTGACGCTT TGAATGCGAG TGCATGCATG 481 CCAGGTCTCA GCCTATTTGT GATCGCGACA GTGCTTTGCT TGTGTTCTGC GTTTAATTTT 541 TGTCACTGTT TCCCGCGAAT GAGCGAGTCT GGCCCGAGAC GAGAGCATGT GCCCATGTCG 601 TGCTGTGCAG ACATTACTAC TCCATTCTTC GCTAAGTGTG TATCGGTGTC ACCCGTATTT 661 TACTGTACTT CTGTGGTGTA TGCACCTGAC CAAGGATTAG GCGTGATCAC CCGCTGAGCT 721 TAAGCATATC AATGGGCGGA GGAAAAGAAA CTAACCACTA TTCCCTTAGT AACGTCGAGT 781 GAACACCGAT TAGCAAAGCA CCGAAGCTGC GGTCTTTGG CCGTTCGGCA ATCCGGTGTT 841 TAGGTTATCA TACTCAGGCG ATGTACTGTG GTC

Figure 3: Raw nucleotide sequences of Diplozoon kashmirensis Kaw, 1950.

1 AACTGCAAAC TGCCTTGAGC AAATTAGTTG TGAAAGTAAA TTACGGCAGG AGGCTCCCCC 61 TGATAACACG CCTAGCCCCG TGTCGGCATT AAATCGATCA CGACGCTTAA TTGGTTGTGG 121 CTTAGTTTGT TGTCAGCCGT CGTGTTGTAC TTGGCAACGT GTTGTTCAGT TGTCAAGTAG 181 ACGGTATTAT TGACGCTTGC CAAATGTAAT GGAGAGTTAG NDATGCGAAA TATCCGCTGG 241 TAGCCTGTTG GTGTTGGCAA CGCTGGCCCG TGTATGGTTT ACTTGTTTTT TTGTGCATAC 301 TCATGGGGGC GGTTAACTTC GCGTCATCAG AGCGTGTTTG CCGGAAGTGT ATTGCAGTGG 361 CGTGGGAATT TCAATGAGCA TTTGTGAATG GTAATTGTTA AATTGCCATT TTATGTGCTG 421 TTCTCTTGAG CCTTTTGGCC CACGGGTTGT GCGGTGACCA GTGTTGCTTT GAATGCGTGC 481 GCATGCATGC CAGGTCGCAG CCTATTGTGA TCGCGACAGT GCTTTGCTTG TGTTCTGCGT 541 TTAATTTTTG TCACTCCCGC ACTGGTCGCT AAGTGCATGT CCCGAGATGA GATTGTGTGC 601 CCATGTCATG CTGGGCTGAC ATTACTACTC CACTGGTCGC TAAGTGCATG TCGGTGTCAT 661 CAGTATTCTA CTGTACTGCT GTGTTGTGTG TGCACCTGAC CTCGGATTAG GCGTGATTAC 721 CCGCTGAACT TAAGCATATC AATAAGCGGA GGATTAGAAA CTAACCAGGA TTCCCTTAGT 781 AACGGCGAGT GAACAGGGAT TAGCCCAGTT CCGAAGCTGC GGTCTTTTGG CCGTTCGGCA 841 ATGTTATGTT TAGGTTGGCA TACTCAGGCG ATGTACTGTG CTAAGTCCAT TCATGAATAT 901 GGCTAGCTAT CTGTTCCAGA GAGGGTGAAA GGCCCGTGAG CATAGTACGT TGTTCTGTCT 961 TAGCCAACCG TTGAGTCGGG GGTTTACTTG AGGCAGCCCA AAAAGTAGAC GGTATTATTG 1021 ACGCTTGCCA AATGTAATGG AGTTAGTGTG ACCCGAGATG AGATTGGTTG GCATACGCAG 1081 GCGATGTACT GTGCTAAGTC CAGGTGTTTG CATTATTAGT

Figure 4: Raw nucleotide sequences of Diplozoon aegyptensis Fischthal et Kuntz, 1963.

Page 5 of 9

1 TGCTGCAAAC TGCCTTGAAA ATCTTCTTCT TGAACGCGAA TCGCGGTATT AGGTACTGCC 61 TGATGCCACG CCTAGCCGAG TGTTGGCATT ATATCTATCA CGACGCTTAA TTGGTCGTGG 121 CTTAGGCGGT TGTCCTCCGT CGTGTTTTAC TTTGCAACGT GTTGCTCAGT TGTACTGTCG 181 ACGGTATTAT TGACGCTTGC CAAATGTAAT GGAGAGTGTG TATATGCGAA ATTTCTGCCG 241 GTAGCCTGTT GGCTGCGGCG ACGCTGCCCC GTGGCCGGTT TACTTGCATT TTTGTATCTA 301 CCGATTGGGG CGGTTAGCTT GTATTCATCA GCCCGTGTTT GCCGGTGGTG ACTCGTGGTG 361 GCGTGGGAAT TTCAATAAGC ATTACTGAAT GGTAATTAAT AAATTGCCAT TATATATGCT 421 GTGCGCTTGA GCCTTTTGGC CCACGGGTTG TATTGTGACC AGTGTTGCTT TGAATGCGCT 481 CGCAAGCATG CCAGGTCTCA GCCTATGGTG ATCGAGACAG TTCTTTGCTT GTGTTATGCG 541 TTTAGGTGTT GTCACCTCTA CTTGCATATG TGCTAGTGT TACGCGGAAT GAGCTTTGT 601 GCCCATGTCA TGCTGTGCTG ACGCTACTTC TCCACTGGTC CAGAAGTGCA TGTCGGGGTC 661 ACCATAACTT TGCTGTATTG TGGGTGC

Figure 5: Raw nucleotide sequences of Diplozoon guptai [24].

	Diplozoon kashmirensis	Diplozoon aegyptensis	Diplozoon guptai
Length	873 bp	1120 bp	687 bp
Α	177	237	123
С	191	224	148
G	226	312	188
Т	279	345	228
G+C	47%	47%	48%
Total No. of Amino Acids	280	353	219
Molecular Weight	30827 Da	38825 Da	24323 Da

Table 5: Summary of base pairs and amino acids of Diplozoon kashmirensis Kaw, 1950, Diplozoon aegyptensis Kuntz, 1963 and Diplozoon guptai Fayaz et Chishti, 1999.

D. kashmirensis	6		65
D. bliccae	6	GCTGACTTGAGCATCGATTTCTTGAACGTGAATTGCGGCATTACCCTCTAATGATGCCAC	65
D. kashmirensis	66		125
D. bliccae	66	GCCTAGCCGAGTATCGGCATTAAATCTAGCACGACGCTTATTTGGTCCTGGCTTAGAAAG	125
D. kashmirensis	126	TTGTCAGCCGTCGTGTTGTACTCAACGTGTTGTTCAGTTGTCAAGTCGACGGTATTA	185
D. bliccae	126	TTGTCAGCCGTCGTGTTGTACTTGGCAACGTGTTGTTCTTTTGTCAAGTCGGCGGTATTA	185
D. kashmirensis	186		245
D. bliccae	186	TTGACGCTTGCCAAATGTAATGGAGAGTTTGTATATGCGAAATATCTTCCGGTAGCCTGT	245
D. kashmirensis	246	TGGTGTTGGCTACGCTGCCCCGTGTATGGTTTATTTGCATTTTGTGCATACCGATGGGG	305
D. bliccae	246	TGGTGTTGGCTACGCTGCCCCGTGTATTTTTTATTTGCATTTTTGTGCATACCGATGGGG	305
D. kashmirensis	306		365
D. bliccae	306	TGGTTAGCTTCTCGTCAGCAGTGCGTCCTTGCCGGTGGTGTCGTGGAATGGGAATTTCAA	365
D. kashmirensis	366	TAAGCATTTCTGAATGGTAATTGTGAAATTGTCATATGTGCTGTTCTCTTGAGCCTT	425
D. bliccae	366	TAAGCATTTCTGAATCCTAATTGTGAAATTGTCATTTTATGTGCTGTTCTCTTGAGCCGC	425
D. kashmirensis	426		485
D. bliccae	426	ACGGCCCACTTATTGTGCGATGACCAGTGACGCTTTGAATGCGAGTGCATGCA	485
D. kashmirensis	486	TCGCAGCCTATTTGTGATCGCGAC-GTGCTTTGCTTGTGTTCTGCGTTTAATTTTTGTCA	545
D. bliccae	486	TCTCAGCCTATTTGTGATCGCGGACAGTGCTTTGCTTGTGTTCTGCGTTTAATTTTTGTCA	545
D. kashmirensis	546		605
D. bliccae	546	CTGTTTCCCGCGAATGAGCGAGTCTGGCCCGAGACGAGA	605
D. kashmirensis	606		665
D. bliccae	606	TGCAGACATTACTACTCCATTCTTCGCTAAGTGTGTATCGGTGTCACCCGTATTTTACTG	665
D. kashmirensis	666		725
D. bliccae	666	TACTTCTGTGGTGTATGCACCTGACCAAGGATTAGGCGTGATCACCCGCTGAGCTTAAGC	725

Page 6 of 9

D. kashmirensis	726		785
D. bliccae	726	IIIIIIIIII ATATCAATGGGCGGAGGAAAAGAAACTAACCACTATTCCCTTAGTAACGTCGAGTGAACA	785
D. kashmirensis	786		845
D. bliccae	786	CCGATTAGCAAAGCACCGAAGCTGCGGTCTTTTGGCCGTTCGGCAATCCGGTGTTTAGGT	845
D. kashmirensis	846		873
D. bliccae	846	TATCATACTCAGGCGATGTACTGTGCCC	873

Table 6: Pairwise alignments of the 28S rDNA ITS consequences of Diplozzon kashmirensis and Diplozoon bliccae, numbering refers to ITS sequences.

D. aegyptensis	1		59
D. paradoxum	4	TGCAAACTGCCTTGAGCCTCGACTTCCCGAACGTAAATTACGGCATTAGGCTCTGCCTGA	63
D. aegyptensis	60		119
D. paradoxum	64	TGCCCGACCTAGCCGAGTGTCGGCATTAAATCTATCACGACATAATATTGGTCGTGGCTT	123
D. aegyptensis	120	AGTTTGTTGTCAGCCGTCGTGTTGTACTTGGCAACGTGTTGTTCAGTTGTCAAGTCGACG	179
D. paradoxum	124		183
D. aegyptensis	180	GTATTATTGACGCTTGCCAAATGTAATGGAGAGTTTGTATATGCGAAATATCTGCCGGTA	239
D. paradoxum	184	GTATTATTGACGCTTGCCAAATGTAATGGAGAGTTAG-NDATGCGAAATATCCGCTGGTA	242
D. aegyptensis	240	GCCTGTTGGTGTTGGCTACGCTGCCCCGTGTATGGTTTATTTGCATTTTTGTGCATACCG	299
D. paradoxum	243	GCCTGTTGGTGTTGGCAACGCTGTCCCGTGTATGGTTTACTTGCATTTTTGTGCATACCG	302
D. aegyptensis	300		353
D. paradoxum	303	ATGGGGGCGGTTAGCTTCGCGTCATCAGAGCGTGTTTGCCGGTGGTGTATTGCAGTGGCG	362
D. aegyptensis	354		413
D. paradoxum	363	TGGGAATTTCAATGAGCATTTGTGAATGGTAATTGTTAAATTGCCATTTTATGTGCTGTT	422
D. aegyptensis	414		473
D. paradoxum	423	CTCTTGAGCCTTTTGGCTTTCGGGTTGTGCGGTGACCAGTGTTGCTTTGAATGCGTGCG	482
D. aegyptensis	474		533
D. paradoxum	483	ATGCATGCCAGGTCGCAGCCTA-TTGTGATCGCGACAGTGCTTTGCTT	541
D. aegyptensis	534	TAATTTTTGTCACTGTTTCTTGCGAATGAGCGAGTCTGGCCCGAGACGAGATTATGTGCC	593
D. paradoxum	542	TAATTTTTGTCACTGCCGCTTGCGTATGTGCGAGTGTGACCCGAGATGAGATTGTGTGCC	601
D. aegyptensis	594		653
D. paradoxum	602	CATGTCATGCTGTGCTGACATTACTACTCCACTGGTCGCTAAGTGCATGTCGGTGTCATC	661
D. aegyptensis	654	CGTATTCTACTGTACTGCTGTG-GTGTGTGCACCTGACCTCGGATTAGGCGTGATTACC	711
D. paradoxum	662	AGTATTCTACTGTACTGCTGTGTGTGTGTGTGCACCTGACCTCGGATTAGGCGTGATTACC	721
D. aegyptensis	712		771
D. paradoxum	722	CGCTGAACTTAAGCATATCAATAAGCGGAGGAAAAGAAACTAACCAGGATTCCCTTAGTA	781
D. aegyptensis	772		831
D. paradoxum	782	ACGGCGAGCAGGGATTAGCCCAGCACCGAAGCTGCGGTCTTTTGGCCGTTCGGCAA	841
D. aegyptensis	832	TGTGGTGTTTAGGTTGGCATACTCAGGCGATGTACTGTGCTAAGTCCATTCATGAATATG	891
D. paradoxum	842	TGTGGTGTTTAGGTTGGCATACTCAGGCGATGTACTGTGCTAAGTCCATTCATGAATATG	901
D. aegyptensis	892		951
D. paradoxum	902	GCTAGCTATCTGGCCCAGAGAGGGTGAAAGGCCCGTGAGCATAGTACGTTGTTCTGTCTT	961
D. aegyptensis	952		988
D. paradoxum	962	AGCCAACCGTTGAGTCGGGTTGTTTAGTAATGCAGCA	998

Table 7: Pairwise alignment of the 28S rDNA ITS consequences of Diplozoon aegyptensis and Diplozoon paradoxum, numbering refers to ITS sequences.

Page 7 of 9

D. guptai	9	ACTGCCTTGAGCATCGACTTCTAACGTAAATCGCGGTATTAGGCTCTGCCTGATGCCA	68
D homoion	6		64
D. Homolon	0		04
D. guptai	69		128
D. homoion	65	CGCCTAGCCGAGTATCGGCATTAAATCTAGCACGACGCTTATTTGGTCCTGGCTTAGAAA	124
D. guptai	129		188
D. homoion	125	GTTGTCAGCCGTCGTGTTGTACTTGGCAACGTGTTGTTCTGTTGTCAAGTCGGCGGTATT	184
D. guptai	189		248
D. homoion	185	ATTGACGCTTGCCAAATGTAATGGAGAGTTTGTATATGCGAAATATCTTCCGGTAGCCTG	244
D. guptai	249	TTGGCGTTGGCGACGCTGCCCCGTGTATGGTTTACTTGCATTTTTGTGCATACCGATTGG	308
D. homoion	245	TTGGTGTTGGCTACGCTGCCCCGTGTATTTTTATTTGCATTTTTGTGCATACCGA-TGG	303
D. guptai	309		368
D. homoion	304	GGTGGTTAGCTTCCGTCAGCAGTGCGTCCTTGCCGGTGGTGTCGTGGAATGGGA	358
D. guptai	369		428
D. homoion	359		418
D. guptai	429		488
D. homoion	419	GAGCCGCATGGCCCACTTGTTGTGCGATGACCAGTGACGCTTTGAATGCGAGTGCATGCA	478
D. guptai	489	TGCCAGGTCGCAGCCTA-TTGTGATCGCGACAGTGCTTTGCTT	547
D. homoion	479	TGCCAGGTCTCAGCCTATTTGTGATCGCGACAGTGCTTTGCTTGTGTTCTGCGTAATT	538
D. guptai	548	GTTGTCACTGCTACTTGCATATGTGCGAGTGTGTACCCGGAATGAGATTTTGTGCCCATG	607
D. homoion	539	TTTGTCACTGTTTCCCGCGAÄTGAGCGAGTCTGG-CCCGAGACGAGAGCATGTGCCCATG	597
D. guptai	608		667
D. homoion	598	TCGTGCTGTGCAGACATTACTACTCCATTCTTCGCTAAGTGTGTATCGG-GTCACCCGTA	657
D. guptai	668	CTTTGCTGTA-TTGTG-T	687
	0.55		
D. homoion	658	IIIIACIGIACIICIGIGGI	677

Table 8: Pairwise alignments of the 28s rDNA ITS consequences of Diplozzon guptai and Diplozoon homoion, numbering refers to ITS sequences.



Discussion

The rDNA second Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS2) was amplified using primers Cer5.8S2249 and Cer28S3116 [7] for 3 species of *diplozoids*. Analysis of the ITS2 region following sequencing clearly allowed us discrimination at the species level and produced the same results as species identification made by using morphological structures. During the present study it was observed that the alignment of nucleotide sequences with those of other *Diplozoon* species of *D. bliccae*; *D. paradoxum* and *D. homoion* [2,6,7], clearly revealed the boundaries of the 5.8S and 28S rDNA genes, as the sequences in these species closely resembles to those of *D. kashmirensis*, *D. aegyptensis* and

Page 8 of 9





D. guptai. As noted in comparison of ITS2 sequences of *Monogenean* species, the first part of the ITS2 is also highly conserved, with only 6 variable sites in the first 65 nucleotides of the *diplozoid* sequences.

Species discrimination of *diplozoids* based on the shape of clamp sclerites and the length of the central hook can be difficult because of similarities in the shape of certain sclerites and overlapping ranges of central hook measurements. The PCR product of 3 species of *diplozoids: D. kashmirensis; D. aegyptensis* and *D. guptai* were clearly discriminated on the basis of nucleotide sequences which were different in their length of base pairs. The length of the PCR product could be useful to distinguish *Diplozoids* from the genus Eu *Diplozoon* and Para *Diplozoon* from other *Diplozoids* [2,6]. Length differences in the ITS2 have also been recorded in the genus *Gyrodactylus* [2,6] but are not generally as large as those found in the ITS1 region of *Lamellodiscus* and *Gyrodactylus* [2,6,19,20]. During the present study there are length difference of PCR products of three *Diplozoon* species i.e., *D.*

kashmirensis contains 873bp; *D. aegyptensis* contains 1120bp and *D. guptai* contains 687bp of 28S rDNA genes, so on the basis of length of base pairs the three *diplozoid* species can be discriminated. ITS region have been found to be useful species markers for *monogenean* parasites [1,2-6] so, this method was performed to distinguish the *diplozoid* species. During the present study, the intraspecific variations within *diplozoid* species were studied and differences were detected in the ITS regions, but [2,6] studied that ITS region lacks intraspecific variation in groups of Monogenea which is due to the same species recovered from different hosts.

Diplozoids are generally considered parasites of Cyprinid species but the host specificity differs and relates to geographical origin. In Eurasia, *diplozoid* occurrence is restricted to host fishes from the Cyprinidae and Perciformes families [2,6,8,10,21,22]. However, in Africa they also parasitize members of the Characidae [20,22]. All *diplozoid* species described in the present study are also host specific.

ML, MP and NJ trees showed that D. kashmirensis; D. aegyptensis and D. guptai are closely related species, and this mirrors the close relationship of their hosts, thus all of these species are found in cyprinids from the same genus Schizothorax. These species have been described morphologically based on clamp shape, total body length, sucker, and pharynx length [17,24]. The present observations on molecular characterization demonstrate sufficient genetic variations between parasites from different hosts to confirm the validity of these species and that they appear to be host specific, as are many monogenean parasites. It may be speculated that the similarity of these species is a result of a relatively recent divergence of one from the other following a host-switching event. An important observation during the present study has been noticed that Schizothorax niger is infected by all the three species of Diplozoidae: D. kashmirensis; D. aegyptensis and D. guptai, but on all six fishes collected, simultaneous parasitism by all the parasite species was never observed. Two types of factors can be involved in the constitution of such a host-parasite system.

(a) Competition hypothesis: the installation of a first *Diplozoon* species prevents any other species from settling on the same gill. (b) Since natural hybridization has been reported between the two fishes, the introgression of genes from *Carassius carassius* into the genome of *S. niger* allows a host capture of the latter by *D. aegyptensis* and *D. guptai* but excludes the infestation by its natural parasite *D. kashmirensis*.

Conclusion

The present study has confirmed the existence of 3 species of *diplozoids* from 6 species of *cyprinid* fishes from the water bodies of Kashmir valley. All the species were clearly distinguished by differences in nucleic acid sequences within the second ribosomal DNA Internal Transcribed Spacer region (ITS2). Analysis of additional specimens from different cyprinid hosts by molecular methods may be helpful to clarify the systematics of this fascinating family *Diplozoidae*.

Acknowledgment

The authors extend their thanks to the authorities of the Department of Zoology and Biotechnology, University of Kashmir for providing laboratory facilities. TAS is also highly thankful to Prof. Fayaz Ahmad for giving valuable suggestions while compiling this paper.

References

- Cunningham CO (1997) Species Variation within the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) Region of *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) Ribosomal RNA Genes. The Journal of Parasitology 83: 215-219.
- Matejusova I, Gelnar M, McBeath AJA, Collins CM, Cunningham CO (2001a) Molecular markers for gyrodactylids (Gyrodactylidae: Monogenea) from five fish families (Teleostei). Int J Parasitol 31: 738-745.
- Huyse T, Volckaert FAM (2002) Identification of host-associated species complex using molecular and morphometric analyses, with the description of *Gyrodactylus rugiensoides* n. sp. (Gyrodactylidae, Monogenea). Int J Parasitol 32: 907-919.
- Zietara MS, Huyse T, Lumme J, Volckaert FAM (2002) Deep divergence among subgenera of *Gyrodactylus* inferred from rDNA ITS region. Parasitology 124: 39-52.
- Simkova A, Matejusova I, Cuningham CO (2006) Molecular phylogeny of the Dactylogyridae sensu. Kritsky & Boeger (Monogenea) using the D1-D3 domains of large ribosomal subunit rDNA. Parasitology 133: 43-53.

 Matejusova I, Koubkova B, D'Amelio S, Cunningham CO (2001b) Genetic characterization of six species of diplozoids (Monogenea; Diplozoidae). Parasitology 123: 465-474.

Page 9 of 9

- Sicard M, Desmarais E, Lambert A (2001) Molecular characterisation of Diplozoidae populations on five Cyprinidae species: consequences for host specificity. C R Acad Sci Paris, Sciences de la vie/Life Sciences 324: 709-717.
- Matejusova I, Koubkova B, Gelnar M, Cunningham CO (2002) Paradiplozoon homoion Bychowsky & Nagibina, versus P. gracile Reichenbach-Klinke, (Monogenea): two species or phenotypic plasticity? Syst Parasitol 53: 39-47.
- Sicard M, Desmarais E, Vigneux F, Shimazu T, Lambert A (2003) Molecular phylogeny of the Diplozoidae (Monogenea, Polyopisthocotylea) parasitizing 12 species of Cyprinadea (Teleostei): new data about speciation. Combes C, Jourdane J. Taxonomy, ecology and evolution of metazoan parasites. Universitaires de Perpignan, Perpignan pp. 199-211.
- Matejusova I, Koubkova B, Cunningham CO (2004) Identification of European diplozoids (Monogenea, Diplozoinae) by restriction digestion of ribosomal RNA internal transcribed spacer. J Parasitol 90: 817-822.
- Gao Q, Chen MX, Yao WJ, Gao Y, Song Y, et al. (2007). Phylogeny of diplozoids in five genera of the subfamily Diplozoinae *Palombi*, as inferred from ITS-2 rDNA sequences. Parasitology 134: 695-703.
- Jiang NCH, Wu BH, Wang SX (1985) Four new species of parasitic *Diplozoon* from freshwater fishes of the subfamily Gobioninae. Acta Zootaxonomica Sinica 10: 239-245.
- 13. Kritscher E (1991) Diplozoon bileki nov. spec. (Plathelhminthes: Monogenea: Diplozoidae), ein neues Doppeltier von den Kiemen von Barbus plebejus euboicus Stephanidis, (Pisces: Cyprinidae), gesammelt auf der Insel Euba (Grienchenland). Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museum in Wien, Serie B Botanik und Zoologie 92: 251-255.
- 14. Blair D, Barker SC (1993) Affinities of the Gyliauchenidae: Utility of the 18S rRNA gene for phylogenetic inference in the digenea (Platyhelminthes). International journal for Parasitology 23: 527-532.
- Cunningham CO, McGillivray DM, Mackenzie K (1995) Phylogenetic analysis of *Gyrodactylus salaries* Malmberg, based on the small subunit 18S ribosomal RNA gene. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 71: 139-142.
- Zhu X, Gasser RB, Chilton NB (1998) Differences in the 5.8S rDNA sequences among ascarid nematodes. International Journal for Parasitology 28: 617-622.
- Fayaz A, Chishti MZ (1999) Fish Trematode Parasites of Kashmir. Genus Diplozoon Nordmann, (Monogenea, Polyophisthocotylea) Orient Sci 4: 79-91.
- Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- Cable J, Harris PD, Tinsley RC, Lazarus CM (1999) Phylogenetic analysis of *Gryrodactylus* spp. (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) using ribosomal DNA sequences. Canadian Journal of Zoology 77: 1439-1449.
- Desdevises Y, Jovelin R, Jousson O, Morand S (2000) Comparision of ribosomal DNA sequences of *Lamellodiscus* spp. (Monogenea, Diplectanidae) parasiting *Pagellus* (Sparidae, Telostei) in the North Mediterranean Sea: species divergence and coevolutionary interactions. International Journal for Parasitology 30: 741-746.
- 21. Khotenovsky IA (1985) Fauna of the USSR. Monogenea. Nauka, Leningrad.
- 22. Yildirim YB, Zeren A, Genc E, Erol C, Konas E (2010) Parasitological investigation on commercially important fish and crustacean species collected from the TIGEM (Dortyol Turkey) ponds. J Anim Vet Adv 9: 1597-1602.
- Lambert A, Le Brun N (1988) Hypothese sur l'origine biogeographique de Diplozoon (Monogenea, Polyopisthocotylea). Ann Parasit Hum Comp 63: 99-102.
- 24. Bakshi SA (1999) Fish parasites of some lakes of Kashmir with an analysis of seasonality of incidence and their maturation with regard to different ecological factors. Doctoral Thesis, University of Kashmir, India.