

**Research Article** 

# Maternal and Nutritional Determinants of LBW Based: A Hospital-Based Study in SCCL Main Hospital Kothagudem

Ramisetty Neeharika<sup>\*</sup>, Sam David K, Srujana P, Nagaraju M, Dhanalakshmi M and Nagarajuna Reddy G

KLR Pharmacy College, Palwancha, Bhadradri District, Telangana, India

Corresponding author: Neeharika R, KLR Pharmacy College, Palwancha, Bhadradri District, Telangana, India, Tel: +919642310021; E-mail: neeharika.ramisetty94@gmail.com

Received date: May 29, 2019; Accepted date: June 21, 2019; Published date: June 28, 2019

**Copyright:** © 2019 Neeharika R, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License; which permits unrestricted use; distribution; and reproduction in any medium; provided the original author and source are credited.

## Abstract

**Background:** This is a prospective study aimed to assess the incidence and associated maternal risk factors of low birth weight among newborn babies born in SCCL main hospital, Kothagudem, Telangana.

**Method:** The present study was a prospective observational study. It was conducted for a period of 6 months from Jan 2018 to June 2018. A suitable data collection form was designed for study. As per the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the following data was collected from data sources. The collected data were compiled in Microsoft office access 2010 format. The data was analyzed using graph pad prism version 5.0.

**Results:** A total of two hundred and fifty (250) patients were studied. Data collection was done through a designed data collection form consisting of demographic characters, educational level.

Antenatal Data, Neonatal data. The results of the study showed that the Incidence of Low Birth Weight (LBW) was found to be 15%. Out of 250 cases, 212 cases (85%) accounted for Normal Birth Weight (NBW), weighing more than >2,500 grams 38 cases were found to be Low Birth Weight (LBW) accounting for 15%, weighing below <2,500. Male and female neonates percentage was found to be 34.2% (13 cases) and 65.7% (25 cases)4 respectively. Mother's Mean Hb% in LBW and NBW was  $10.32 \pm 1.06$ ,  $10.48 \pm 1.57$  respectively. Mean birth space was  $2.66 \pm 2.16$ ,  $4.0 \pm 2.73$  in LBW and NBW respectively. Mean gestational weeks was  $35.63 \pm 2.66$  and  $36.50 \pm 3.028$  in LBW and NBW respectively. Mean birth weight was  $2.02 \pm 0.304$ ,  $3.2 \pm 0.41$  in LBW and NBW respectively.

**Conclusion:** It was concluded that there is a relationship between maternal height, Gravida, Consanguinity, Mode of delivery, Gender of baby, Haemoglobin %, H/O previous abortions and H/O present illness with Low Birth Weight. In order to reduce LBW, there should be better education regarding the care to be taken for pregnant women.

**Keywords:** Low birth weight; Maternal risk factors; Illness at pregnancy

#### Introduction

Birth weight is a strong predictor of maternal and newborn health and nutrition. Birth weight is the first weight of the new-born babies obtained after birth. For live births, birth weight ideally measured within the first hour of life, before there is a significant postnatal weight loss has occurred. The birth weight of an infant is the associated and most important determinant of baby survival, illness, growth, and development. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), Low Birth Weight (LBW) is defined as an infant birth weight of less than 2,500 g, irrespective of the gestational age. World health organization estimates that 20 million (15.5%) LBW babies are born annually worldwide and 95% occur in developing countries and 7% in developed regions of the world. There is significant variation across the main geographic regions on low birth weight. There is a considerable variation of low birth weight ranging from 6% to 18% across the main geographic regions. Among these, there is the highest incidence of low birth weight in sub-region of South-central Asian 27%. There is a considerable variation in incidence which much lower within

subregions of Asia [1]. Low birth weight babies are grouped based on Birth weight, Weeks of gestation, Intrauterine growth retardation [2-4]. LBW is caused by premature birth, intrauterine growth restriction or both. The separation of these two conditions is a bit of complicated in low birth weight case [5-8]. The risk factors include demographic characteristics, clinical risks that can be diagnosed before being pregnant and those that may only be diagnosed at some point of pregnancy, behavioural and environmental factors, risks associated with health care (together with insufficient prenatal care), and a separate group of things whose relationship to low birth weight is more tenuous, together with stress, uterine irritability, and insufficient plasma volume expansion [9].

The purpose of the study is to find the incidence of LBW, to explore associated risk factors of LBW babies [10].

## Methods

The present study was a prospective observational study. This study was conducted in the Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics; Singareni Collieries Company Limited Main Hospital, Kothagudem. The study was conducted for a period of 6 months from Jan 2018 to June 2018. Inclusion criteria involved all live born babies during the Citation: Neeharika R, Sam DK, Srujana P, Nagaraju M, Dhanalakshmi M, et al. (2019) Maternal and Nutritional Determinants of LBW Based: A Hospital-Based Study in SCCL Main Hospital Kothagudem. Pediatr Ther 9: 356.

Page 2 of 5

study period and Singleton babies. Exclusion criteria involved IUD babies and Stillborn babies.

Patient data were collected from Patient case sheet, Patient interview, antenatal reports. A suitable data collection form was designed for study. As per the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the following data was collected from data sources. Mother's Demographic Details, Mother's dietary habits, Antenatal Data, Neonatal data, the collected data were compiled in Microsoft office access 2010 format. The data was analyzed using graph pad prism version 5.0.

# Results

A total of 250 pregnant women were studied during the study period. Out of 250, 212 (85%) mothers delivered babies with Normal Birth Weight (NBW), 38 (15%) mothers delivered Low Birth Weight (LBW) (Figures 1 and 2) (Table 1).





**Figure 2:** Represents the percentage of LBW in the male and female neonate.

Variables	Sub Groups	Birth Weight		Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Significanc e
		LBW	NBW		
Haemoglobin %	<10 g%	14 (36.8)	89 (41.9)	-	X <sup>2</sup> =6.96 p=0.03*
	10.1 g%-11.0 g %	18 (47.3)	58 (27.3)	1.97 (0.91-4. 27)	

	11.1 g%-14 g%	6 (15.7)	65 (30.6)	0.58 (0.21-1. 6)	
H/O of previous abortions	0	22 (57.8)	167 (78.7)	-	X2=7.66 p=0.02*
	1	11 (28.9)	32 (15.9)	2.609 (1.153-5 .906)	
	2	5 (13.1)	13 (6.1)	2.920 (0.9494- 8.979)	
H/O of present illness	PIH	13 (34.2)	17 (8.0)	4.28 (1.59-11. 49)	X2=15.84 p=0.04*
	GDM	4 (10.5)	4 (1.8)	5.6 (1.19-26 .15)	
	Anemia	1 (2.6)	1 (0.47)	5.6 (032-97. 10)	
	Fever	1 (2.6)	1 (0.47)	5.6 (032-97. 10)	
	Hyperthyroidism	0 (0)	2 (0.9)	1.07 (0.04-24 .08)	
	Hypothyroidism	10 (26.3)	56 (26.4)	-	
	UTI	2 (5.2)	5 (2.3)	2.24 (0.38-13 .19)	
	Vomiting	0 (0)	4 (1.8)	0.59 (0.02-11. 96)	
	Typhoid	0 (0)	3 (1.4)	0.76 (0.03-16 .01)	

 Table 1: Various maternal health parameters and birth weight.

# Haemoglobin (%)

Haemoglobin (%) was divided into 3 categories (Figure 3)

(A) 0<10 g% (B) 10.1 g%-11.0 g% (C) 11.1 g%-14 g%

Significant low birth weight was seen more among Hb% 10.1 g %-11.0 g% (p=0.03<sup>\*</sup>). Hb%-10.1 g%-11.0 g% (OR=1.97,95% CI=0.91-4.27), 11.1 g%-14 g% (OR=0.58,95% CI=0.21-1.6) compared to <10 g% of Hb%.



# H/O previous abortions

Significant NBW was seen in mothers with no history of abortions  $(p=0.02^*)$  history of previous Abortions categorized into 0,1,2 (Figure 4). Abortions of 1- (OR=2.609,95% CI=1.153-5.906), 2- (OR=2.920,95% CI=0.9494-8.979) compared to zero abortions of previous history.



# H/O present illness

It was categorised into PIH/GDM/Hyperthyroidism/Hypo thyroidism/UTI/Anemia/Fever/Vomiting/Typhoid (Figure 5). Among the mothers who have delivered LBW babies had PIH (34.2%), GDM (10.5%), Hypo thyroidism (26.3%), UTI (5.2%), anemia (2.6%), fever (2.6%) (p=0.04<sup>\*</sup>) (Table 2). H/O present illness PIH (OR=4.28,95%) CI=1.59-11.49), GDM (OR=5.6,95% CI=1.19-26.15),Anemia (OR=5.6,95% CI=032-97.10), Fever (OR=5.6,95% CI=032-97.10), Hyperthyroidism (OR=1.07,95% CI=0.04-24.08), UTI (OR=0.59,95% (OR=2.24,95% CI=0.38-13.19), Vomiting CI=0.02-11.96), Typhoid (OR=0.76,95% CI=0.03-16.01) compared to Hypo thyroidism.





	Sub	Birth Weight		Odda Datia	Significano
Variables	Sub Groups	LBW	NBW	(95% CI)	e
	Mixed	35 (92.1)	189 (89.1)	-	
Diet	Vegetarian s	3 (8.5)	29 (13.6)	0.55 (0.16-1.93)	X <sup>2</sup> =0.96 p=0.32
	Daily	27 (71)	149 (70.2)	-	
	Intermitten t	1 (2.6)	18 (8.4)	0.30 (0.039-2.39)	
	Irregular	1 (2.6)	1 (0.4)	5.51 (0.33-90.99)	~ 
	Not taken	2 (5.2)	10 (4.7)	1.10 (0.22-5.32)	
Milk intake	Weekly	7 (18.4)	33 (15.5)	1.17 (0.46-2.91)	X <sup>2</sup> =3.50 p=0.47
	Daily	24 (63.1)	119 (56.1)	-	
	Intermitten t	4 (10.5)	27 (12.7)	0.73 (0.23-2.29	~
	Irregular	0 (0)	3 (1.41)	0.69 (0.034-13.93)	
	Not taken	1 (2.6)	21 (9.9)	0.23 (0.03-1.84)	
Egg	Weekly	9 (23.6)	42 (19.8)	1.06 (0.45-2.46)	X <sup>2</sup> =3.11 p=0.52
	No	2 (5.2)	6 (2.8)	1.90 (0.37-9.82)	
Iron intake	Yes	36 (94.7)	206 (97.1)	-	X <sup>2</sup> =0.61 p=0.43
	No	3 (7.8)	14 (6.6)	1.21 (0.33-4.43)	
Calcium intake	Yes	35 (92.1)	198 (93.3)	-	X <sup>2</sup> =0.08 p=0.77

Table 2: Nutritional factors.

Citation: Neeharika R, Sam DK, Srujana P, Nagaraju M, Dhanalakshmi M, et al. (2019) Maternal and Nutritional Determinants of LBW Based: A Hospital-Based Study in SCCL Main Hospital Kothagudem. Pediatr Ther 9: 356.

#### Diet

Diet divided into two categories mixed and vegetarian (Figure 6). In our study, mothers who had a mixed diet gave birth to the high percentage of NBW neonates (89.1%) (OR=0.55,95% CI=0.16-1.93 p=0.32).



## Milk

It was categorized into:

(a) Daily; (b) Intermittent; (c) Irregular; (d) Not taken; (e) Weekly

Higher incidence of NBW was seen in mothers who had daily milk intake (70.2%) (p=0.47). Milk intake-Intermittent (OR=0.30,95% CI=0.039-2.39), Irregular (OR=5.51,95% CI=0.33-90.99), Not taken (OR=1.10,95% CI=0.22-5.32),Weekly (OR=1.17,95% CI=0.46-2.91) compared to daily milk intake.

#### Egg

It was categorized into:

(a) Daily; (b) Irregular; (c) Intermittent; (d) Not taken; (e) Weekly

Weekly Daily egg intake resulted in a lower incidence of LBW and increase percentage of NBW (56.1%) (p=0.52). Egg intake Intermittent (OR=0.73,95% CI=0.23-2.29), Irregular (OR=0.69,95% CI=0.034-13.93), Not taken (OR=0.23,95% CI=0.03-1.84) Weekly (OR=1.06,95% CI=0.45-2.46) compared to daily intake of egg.

## Iron intake

Mothers with regular iron intake gave birth to a higher incidence of NBW babies (97.1%) (OR=1.90,95% CI=0.37-9.82, p=0.43) (Table 3).

#### Calcium intake

High incidence of NBW was observed in mothers taking calcium supplements (93.9%). (OR=1.21,95% CI=0.33-4.43 p=0.77) (Table 3).

Variables	LBW	NBW
Mean Hb (g%)	10.32 ± 1.06	10.48 ± 1.57
Mean Gestational Weeks	35.63 ± 2.66	36.50 ± 3.028
Mean Birth Weight	2.02 ± 0.304	3.2 ± 0.41

 Table 3: Association with birth weight factors mean and standard deviation.

Mean Hb% in LBW and NBW was 10.32  $\pm$  1.06, 10.48  $\pm$  1.57 respectively. Mean gestational weeks was 35.63  $\pm$  2.66 and 36.50  $\pm$  3.028 in LBW and NBW respectively. Mean birth weight was 2.02  $\pm$  0.304, 3.2  $\pm$  0.41 in LBW and NBW respectively.

## Discussion

The present prospective study was undertaken to estimate the incidence and determine the factors responsible for LBW. Incidence of Low birth weight (LBW) in this study was found to be 15%. In this study incidence of LBW with a history of maternal abortion was found to be statistically significant (p=0.02\*\*). It is found that Mother's having a single history of abortion are having more than a two-fold risk of delivering an LBW baby than a mother with no history of abortions (OR=2.609,95% of CI: 1.153-5.906). The results of our study are in contrary to the results obtained by S. Ganesh Kumar et al. [11], Johnson AR et al. [12] and Margaret T. Mandelson et al. where these two studies found no association between history of having abortion and LBW [13]. Our study found a significant association between LBW and Haemoglobin (p=0.03<sup>\*</sup>). This result is in accordance with studies conducted by S Ganesh Kumar et al. [11] and Bonti Bora et al. [14]. There is a significant association between maternal risk factors like PIH/ GDM/ Hyperthyroidism/Hypothyroidism/UTI/Anemia/ Fever and LBW (p=0.04<sup>\*</sup>) value was found to be significant. Similar results were seen in various studies [15-17].

In our study, the mean birth space is  $2.66 \pm 2.16$  years for LBW and for NBW is 4.0 ± 2.73 years. There is no significant association between birth space and LBW. Similar results were observed in the study conducted by Madhur Borah et al. [18]. A significant association was found between mode of delivery and LBW. Higher NBW neonates were seen among mothers with normal vaginal delivery (92.1%, OR=0.2706,95% of CI: 0.079-0.91,p=0.02<sup>\*</sup>). In contrast to a study done by Modesta Mitao et al. Cesarean section delivery (RR 1.4; 95% CI 1.3-1.5) significantly associated with the delivery of low birth weight infants [19]. More than 50% of the low birth weight babies born were female (65.7%) and had a significant association between gender of baby and birth weight (p=0.03\* OR=2.195,95% of CI: 1.066-4.521). Two studies concluded that female infant had a higher risk of having an LBW infant compared with a male infant [20,21]. In contrast, a study done by Anshumali Jyotishi et al. Showed that there is a better correlation among low birth weight males as compared to females and normal weight [22].

#### Conclusion

This prospective study was conducted to determine the impact of various maternal and nutritional determinate on the incidence of low birth neonates. There was a remarkable relation between Haemoglobin %, H/O previous abortions and H/O present illness with Low Birth Weight. There is a need for us to educate the pregnant women on the importance of compliance of antenatal visits where such medical illness can be treated or controlled. Further, both the partners should be educated regarding the care to be taken along the care to be provided to pregnant women. Hence there is a need to strengthening the existing maternal services at the basic level of community health services.

## Recommendations

• A further study on the large population is needed to detect an indepth association of factors along with multicentric

- An adequate knowledge providing programs are to be taken for pregnant women in bringing awareness of the use of medicine, intake of food, going for check-ups regularly, along with the monthly changes of the baby and precautions taken by the mother
- Both the partners are counseled on contraceptive methods, birth spacing, and infections at pregnancy along with complications with the care to be provided
- Teenage counseling did both to parents along with child regarding marriage age

## References

- 1. UNICEF, WHO (2004) Low Birth weight: Country, regional and global estimates, UNICEF, New York.
- Cutland CL, Lackritz EM, Mallett-Moore T, Bardají A, Chandrasekaran R, et al. (2017) Low birth weight: Case definition and guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of maternal immunization safety data. Vaccine 35: 6492-6500.
- Quinn JA, Munoz FM, Gonik B, Frau L, Cutland C, et al. (2016) Preterm birth: Case definition and guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of immunization safety data. Vaccine 34: 6047-6056.
- 4. Norris T, Johnson W, Farrar D, Tuffnell D, Wright J, et al. (2015) Smallfor-gestational age and large-for-gestational-age thresholds to predict infants at risk of adverse delivery and neonatal outcomes: Are current charts adequate? An observational study from the born in bradford cohort. BMJ Open 5: e006743.
- 5. Kana S, Ogihara A (2018) Mini review-qualitative review on the causes of low birth weight. Prog Asp in Pediatric and Neonat 3: 39-41.
- 6. Committee to study the prevention of low birthweight, division of health promotion and disease prevention (1985) National Academies Press, Washington DC, United States.
- 7. Toshniwal S, Toshniwal P, Bhabhor N, Bhabhor A, Chaudhary R (2017) A community based cross sectional study on the prevalence of low birth weight neonates and maternal factor responsible for the low birth weight. Asian Pac J Health Sci 4: 239-244.
- 8. Sharma M, Kumar D, Huria A, Gupta P (2008) Maternal risk factors of low birth weight in Chandigarh India. Internet J Health 9: 1-4.
- 9. Bhimwa RK, Makwana M, Chouhan HK, Gupta M, Lal K, et al. (2017) A study of various determinates and incidence of low birth weight babies

born in Umaid hospital, Jodhpur (Western Rajasthan). Int J Contemp Pediatr 4: 1302-1309.

Page 5 of 5

- 10. Institute of Medicine (1985) Preventing low birthweight: Summary. The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, United States.
- 11. Ganesh Kumar S, Harsha Kumar HN, Jayaram S, Kotian MS (2010) Determinants of low birth weight: A case control study in a district hospital in Karnataka. Indian J Paediatr 77: 87-89.
- 12. Johnson AR, Surekha A, Dias A, William NC, Agrawal T (2015) Low birth weight and its risk factors in a rural area of South India. Int J Community Med Public Health 2: 339-344.
- 13. Mandelson MT, Maden CB, Daling JR (1992) Low birth weight in relation to multiple induced abortions. Am J Public Health 82: 391-394.
- Bonti Bora (2015) The effect of maternal age, parity and heamoglobin level on neonatal birth weight-A fru based prevalence study. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 59: 285-289.
- 15. Prudhivi S, Bhosgi R (2015) Maternal factors influencing low birth weight babies. Int J Contemp Pediatr 2: 287-296.
- 16. Malhotra N, Upadhyay RP, Bhilwar M, Choy N, Green T (2014) The role of maternal diet and iron-folic acid supplements in influencing birth weight: Evidence from India's national family health survey. J Trop Pediatr 60: 454-460.
- 17. Bhaskar Rk, Deo KK, Neupane U, Bhaskar SC, Yadav BK, et al. (2015) A case control study on risk factors associated with low birth weight babies in Eastern Nepal. Int J Pediatr 1-7.
- Borah M, Agarwalla R (2016) Maternal and socio demographic determinants of LBW: A community based study in a rural block of Assam. J Postgrad Med 62: 178-181.
- 19. Mitao M, Philemon R, Obure J, Mmbaga BT, Msuya S, et al. (2016) Risk factors and adverse perinatal outcome associated with low birth weight in Northern Tanzania: A registry-based retrospective cohort study. Asian Pac J Reprod 5: 75-79.
- Iltaf G, Shahid B, Khan MI (2017) Incidence and associated risk factors of low birth weight babies born in Shaikh Khalifa Bin Zayad Al-Nayan Hospital Muzaffarabad, Azad Jammu and Kashmir. Pak J Med Sci 33: 626-630.
- 21. Andayasari L, Opitasari C (2016) Parity and risk of low birth weight infant in full term pregnancy. Health Sci J Indones 7.
- 22. Jyotishi A, Kumar PR, Mishra S (2015) Correlation of maternal factors with birth weight of neonates of Odisha. Int J Physiol 3: 17-22.