Journal of Medical and Surgical Pathology

OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Short Communication

Linking Cellular Mechanics to Histopathological Features in Soft Tissue Sarcomas

Ganda Thomas*

Departments of Surgical Pathology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

DESCRIPTION

Soft tissue sarcomas are a heterogeneous group of mesenchymal neoplasms that originate from connective tissue elements such as muscle, fat, fibrous tissue, and vascular structures. These tumors display diverse histopathological patterns, variable clinical behavior, and complex interactions with the extracellular environment, which together influence prognosis and therapeutic response. Recent research has highlighted the critical role of cellular mechanics in the development, progression, and histopathological characteristics of soft tissue sarcomas [1]. Understanding how biophysical forces, cytoskeletal dynamics, and mechanotransduction pathways intersect with tumor morphology provides insight into tumor aggressiveness, invasion potential, and therapeutic vulnerabilities.

Cellular mechanics encompasses the physical properties of individual tumor cells, including stiffness, contractility, adhesion, and motility, which are mediated by cytoskeletal components, extracellular matrix interactions, and mechanosensitive signaling pathways. In soft tissue sarcomas, the biomechanical properties of cells are intimately linked to their histological features [2,3]. For instance, spindle cell sarcomas exhibit elongated, fusiform morphology, which is associated with actin cytoskeletal alignment, focal adhesion formation, and directional migration. In contrast, pleomorphic sarcomas display rounded or irregular cell shapes with altered cytoskeletal tension, which correlates with high mitotic activity, nuclear atypia, and aggressive behavior. These mechanical characteristics influence not only cell shape but also tissue architecture, extracellular matrix remodeling, and tumor-stroma interactions, which are observable in histopathological analysis.

The extracellular matrix provides structural support and biochemical cues that modulate cellular mechanics and tumor morphology [4]. In soft tissue sarcomas, matrix composition, density, and stiffness vary between tumor subtypes, influencing cell proliferation, migration, and differentiation. Dense collagenous stroma, commonly observed in fibrosarcomas and myxofibrosarcomas, increases tissue stiffness, promoting integrin-mediated mechanotransduction and cytoskeletal reorganization. These biomechanical signals induce elongation of tumor cells, alignment along stress fibers, and formation of fascicular arrangements characteristic of certain sarcomas. Conversely, tumors with a loose myxoid matrix exhibit reduced mechanical constraint, allowing cells to adopt rounded morphologies and display dispersed growth patterns. Histologically, these differences manifest as distinct architectural patterns, cellular density, and stromal organization, highlighting the interplay between cellular mechanics and morphological phenotype.

Mechanical forces also regulate nuclear architecture, which in turn affects gene expression and tumor behavior. Nuclear deformability and chromatin organization are influenced by cytoskeletal tension and extracellular mechanical cues [6-8]. In soft tissue sarcomas, spindle-shaped cells with elongated nuclei demonstrate aligned chromatin and reduced nuclear stiffness, facilitating transcriptional programs associated with migration and invasion. In pleomorphic sarcomas, nuclear irregularities, lobulation, and chromatin condensation reflect altered mechanical forces and contribute to genomic instability and aggressive clinical behavior. Histopathological evaluation of nuclear morphology, including size, shape, and mitotic figures, provides indirect insight into the underlying cellular mechanics and potential tumor behavior.

Activation of these pathways promotes cytoskeletal reorganization, increased contractility, and transcriptional programs that regulate proliferation, survival, and motility. Histologically, tumors with active mechanotransduction exhibit densely cellular regions, aligned spindle cells, prominent nucleoli, and increased mitotic activity. Conversely, tumors with reduced mechanotransduction signaling display disorganized architecture, scattered cell populations, and lower cellularity. Linking these molecular pathways to tissue morphology provides a mechanistic basis for observed histopathological diversity and informs potential therapeutic targets.

Tumor heterogeneity further complicates the relationship between cellular mechanics and histopathology [9]. Within a single sarcoma, subpopulations of cells may exhibit distinct mechanical properties, leading to variable morphology, stromal interactions, and invasive potential. This heterogeneity is

Correspondence to: Ganda Thomas, Departments of Surgical Pathology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, E-mail: gandia@iata.csic.uk

Received: 26-Feb-2025, Manuscript No. JMSP-25-39058; **Editor assigned:** 28-Feb-2025, PreQC No. JMSP-25-39058 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 14-Mar-2025, QC No. JMSP-25-39058; **Revised:** 21-Mar-2025, Manuscript No. JMSP-25-39058 (R); **Published:** 28-Mar-2025, DOI: 10.35248/2472-4971.25.10.318

Citation: Thomas G (2025). Linking Cellular Mechanics to Histopathological Features in Soft Tissue Sarcomas. J Med Surg Pathol. 10:318.

reflected in histological sections, where areas of spindle cell fascicles may coexist with pleomorphic, multinucleated, or rounded cells. Spatial variation in matrix composition, stiffness, and vascularization further influences local mechanics, resulting in heterogeneous histopathological patterns. Recognizing this heterogeneity is critical for accurate diagnosis, grading, and prognostication, and underscores the importance of integrating mechanical principles into histopathological interpretation.

Experimental approaches, including atomic force microscopy, traction force microscopy, and three-dimensional culture models, allow direct assessment of cellular mechanics and its impact on tissue architecture. Integration of these techniques with traditional histopathology enhances understanding of how physical forces shape tumor morphology. For example, sarcoma cells cultured in matrices of varying stiffness adopt morphologies and alignment patterns consistent with those observed demonstrating the predictive value of mechanical analysis [10]. Combining mechanical measurements with immunohistochemical and molecular profiling provides a comprehensive framework linking cellular mechanics to histopathological features, improving both diagnostic accuracy and mechanistic insight.

CONCLUSION

Soft tissue sarcomas exhibit a complex interplay between cellular mechanics and histopathological characteristics. Cytoskeletal dynamics, nuclear architecture, cell-matrix and cell-cell adhesion, extracellular matrix properties, and mechanotransduction pathways collectively influence tumor morphology, growth pattern, and clinical behavior. Histopathological features such as cell shape, alignment, nuclear morphology, stromal organization, and mitotic activity provide visible manifestations of these underlying mechanical processes. Understanding the linkage between biophysical forces and tissue architecture enhances diagnostic precision, informs prognostic assessment, and identifies potential therapeutic targets. Integration of cellular mechanics into the study of soft tissue sarcomas represents a promising frontier in both research and clinical practice, providing a more comprehensive understanding of tumor biology and guiding improved patient management.

REFERENCES

1. Hart J, Mandavilli S. Epithelioid angiosarcoma: A brief diagnostic review and differential diagnosis. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2011;135:268-272.
2. Meis-Kindblom JM, Kindblom LG. Angiosarcoma of soft tissue: A study of 80 cases. Am J Surg Pathol. 1998;22:683-697.
3. Sakamoto A, Takahashi Y, Oda Y, Iwamoto Y. Aggressive clinical course of epithelioid angiosarcoma in the femur: A case report. World J Surg Oncol. 2014;12:281.
4. Deshpande V, Rosenberg AE, O'Connell JX, Nielsen GP. Epithelioid angiosarcoma of the bone: A series of 10 cases. Am J Surg Pathol. 2003;27:709-716.
5. Goldblum JR, Rice TW. Epithelioid angiosarcoma of the pulmonary artery. Hum Pathol. 1995;26:1275-1277.
6. Lund L, Amre R. Epithelioid angiosarcoma involving the lungs. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2005;129:7-10.
7. Liu H, Huang X, Chen H, Wang X, Chen L. Epithelioid angiosarcoma of the kidney: A case report and literature review. Oncol Lett. 2014;8:1155-1158.
8. Long M, Shali W, Huang Q, Bao Y, Chen Y, et al. Epithelioid angiosarcoma of the liver: report of two cases and review of the literature. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2018;11:3191.
9. Pandey M, Sutton GR, Giri S, Martin MG. Grade and prognosis in localized primary angiosarcoma. Clin Breast Cancer. 2015;15:266-269.
10. Young RJ, Brown NJ, Reed MW, Hughes D, Woll PJ. Angiosarcoma. Lancet Oncol. 2010;11:983-991.