

Last Line to Secure Transfusion Safety: Pathogen Inactivation/Reduction Methods in Blood Products-Current Approaches and Perspectives

Chunhui Yang, Peibin Zeng, Yujia Li, Shilin Li, Xiaoqiong Duan, Hong Yang, and Limin Chen*

Institute of Blood Transfusion, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China

Introduction

The residual risk of transfusion transmitted infection (TTI) had been decreased greatly since the donor screening methods, especially the nucleic acid testing (NAT), were introduced. NAT can shorten the period between infection and detection (window period) to several days after infection [1]. However, transfusion safety still faces challenges due to limitations of test sensitivity and more importantly the unexpected appearance of new pathogens. The newly discovered or re-emerging viruses such as West Nile virus, human parvovirus B19 and latest reported severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome bunya virus [2] in the donor blood endangered blood safety. Pathogen inactivation/ reduction (PI/PR) strategies provide last line of defence against various pathogens to secure transfusion safety.

PI/PR refers to any technology that inactivates or reduces all types of blood borne pathogens. Different methods were designed for different blood products such as plasma, platelets and red blood cells (RBCs). An ideal PI/PR is expected to inactivate all blood borne pathogens without damaging the quality of blood or blood products. Some common PI/PR methods include treatment with solvent/detergent (S/D), Methylene blue, Psoralens, Riboflavin. Some new technologies for PI/PR are under development.

PI/PR by Solvent/Detergent (S/D)

S/D technology is a combination of solvent and detergent. The most- commonly used protocol is: Treat blood sample with 1% tri-(Nbutyl)-phosphate (TNBP) and 1% Triton X- 100 for 4 hours at 30°C, then remove S/D reagents by vegetable oil extraction and subsequent reverse-phase chromatography on C18 resin. This method inactivates pathogen by disrupting the lipid enveloped membrane, so it can't be used for blood components with cellular structure. The S/D treatment was first licensed by the US FDA in 1985 for use in the manufacture of an anti-hemophilic factor (AHF) concentrate [3], and then applied in coagulation factors and pooled plasma. S/D can rapidly inactivate different lipid enveloped virus, such as vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) (virus titer reduction \geq 7.5log), sindbis virus (\geq 6.9log), HIV (\geq 6.2log), hepatitis B virus (HBV) (\geq 6log) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) $(\geq 5\log)$ [4]. However, S/D can't inactivate non-enveloped virus, such as hepatitis A virus (HAV) and parvovirus B19. Various studies [5-7] showed that treatment of S/D could reduce the activity of coagulation factors (CFs), inhibitors, immunoglobulins and other plasma proteins by about 5-20%. S/D treatment is safe and the final S/D reagents removal step ensures the final product is non toxic.

PI/PR by Methylene Blue

Methylene blue (MB) is a phenothiazine compound that can be activated by visible light to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), mostly singlet oxygen, through a Type II photodynamic reaction. These highly active molecules contribute to methylene blue's pathogeninactivating activity [8]. The first MB treatment system was developed by the Institute Springe in Germany. The conventional MB treatment included white blood cell (WBC)-reduction filtration, MB Pill dissolution, illumination and MB removal. Because of cell filtration step, this method was only applied to single donor plasma. The PI/PR efficacy of MB treatment for lipid enveloped virus is significant for both double and single- stranded RNA and DNA viruses, but for the non lipidenveloped virus, the effect is inconsistent. Non-lipid enveloped virus like human parvovirus B19 could get a 4log or more reduction, while others like HAV are not affected by MB treatment [9]. Although MB can cross cell membrane by simple diffusion, its low concentration within cells makes it impossible to inactivate intracellular viruses, bacteria and protozoa. While it is effective for some pathogens, MB treatment affects the activity of some plasma proteins, such as CF VIII and fibrinogen [10,11]. MB PI/PR was licensed for use in Europe, Brazil, and Austria. The safety profile of MB PI/PR was validated by many studies, although allergic reactions to MB have been reported [12].

PI/PR by Psoralens

Psoralen is a naturally occurring photoactive substance found in a number of plants. PI/PR by psoralen has been successfully developed as a commercial product-INTERCEPT system [13]. It utilizes amotosalen, a synthetic psoralen (formerly S-59-HCl) as active compound. The amotosalen contains a tricyclic molecule structure, thus it can pass cellular membranes and interact with nucleic acids freely. Upon the illumination of UVA light (300-400 nm), it forms covalent cross links to pyrimidines in RNA and DNA and blocks the replication and transcription of mRNA. After treatment, the residual amotosalen and photoproducts are absorbed by silicon which is fixed in the treatment set. The psoralens PR were proved effective against almost all blood borne pathogens, including viruses, bacteria and protozoa. This method was approved by several Europe countries and applied for plasma and platelets. Studies show that this treatment slightly influences the function of blood components without damaging the overall quality [14]. The toxicological studies and clinical trials demonstrated that this treatment is safe [15].

PI/PR by Riboflavin

Riboflavin (vitamin B2) is present in food and natural products. Riboflavin combined with UVA (280-360 nm) was used to inactivate various pathogens. The UVA light can damage the nucleic acids of

^{*}Corresponding author: Dr. Limin Chen, Institute of Blood Transfusion, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610052 China, Tel: 86-28-61648530; Fax: 86-28-68169146; E-mail: limin_chen_99@yahoo.com

Received September 02, 2013; Accepted October 08, 2013; Published October 10, 2013

Citation: Yang C, Zeng P, Li Y, Li S, Duan X, et al. (2013) Last Line to Secure Transfusion Safety: Pathogen Inactivation/Reduction Methods in Blood Products-Current Approaches and Perspectives. J Antivir Antiretrovir 5: 137-138. doi:10.4172/jaa.1000077

Copyright: © 2013 Yang C, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

pathogens directly. In addition, the riboflavin can intercalate between bases of DNA and RNA. Upon light exposure, it leads to a Type 1 photochemical reaction and damages nucleic acids of pathogens irreversibly [16]. TERUMOBCT (a private company) developed a riboflavin PR system (Mirasol) for treatment of plasma and platelets [17]. The process of riboflavin PR is similar to other photochemical methods except no removal step for residual drug as the riboflavin is classified as a Generally Regarded as Safe (GARS) compound by the US FDA, and the toxicological assessment of its photoproducts shows that they are free of safety concerns. Like the INTERCEPT system, it is effective against a wide range of enveloped and non-enveloped viruses, Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, parasites, although its ability to inactivate HBV and HAV is weak according to the result of standard in vitro assays for infectivity (TCID50). The reduction activity for the two viruses is 2.5log and 1.6log, respectively. The quality of the Riboflavin-treated products shows no significant difference compared with non-treated products [18].

In addition to pathogen reduction methods discussed above, there are some other PR methods under development. Physical methods such as heat treatment and Nanofiltration were applied in plasma fractions [19]. Harald et al. reported a method using short-wave ultraviolet light (UVC) to reduce pathogen in platelet concentrates by its direct interaction with nucleic acids [20]. Under the illumination of 0.4 J/ cm² UVC, no bacterial growth was observed 6 days after treatment. Bradley et al. investigated a pressure cycling technology derived from the industrial applications of food and vaccine PR to inactivate model pathogens in plasma [21]. With 2 minute cycles of 275 MPa or 345 MPa at -5° C, the phage titer of Lambda phage was reduced 4.2log or 6.9log, respectively. Since they only choose one pathogen to investigate, the method needs further evaluation on efficacy and safety.

In general, each PI/PR method has its advantages and disadvantages. Some methods have strong ability to inactivate specific pathogens but no broad spectrum, while others can inactivate a wide range of pathogens but the reduction activity is low. Up to now, PI/PR in plasma is well developed with many choices, but PI/PR methods for cellular components is still under development, particularly methods tailored for the red blood cells (RBCs) and whole blood. A chemical method using a compound (S-303) based on a derivative of quinacrine mustard was developed to inactivate pathogen in RBCs [22]. However, the development of antibody against neoantigens in transfused subjects stopped it in Phase III clinical trials. Another method using ethyleneimine (PEN110) to target RBCs PR [23] was also stopped in Phase III clinical trials with the same reason. Luckily, the INTERCEPT system has started Phase III clinical trials for RBCs and R. P. Goodrich et al investigated the Mirasol system for whole blood [24,25]. With the development of new technologies, PI/PR will provide last line of defense to ensure transfusion safety.

References

- 1. Grant PR, Busch MP (2002) Nucleic acid amplification technology methods used in blood donor screening. Transfus Med 12: 229-242.
- Yu XJ, Liang MF, Zhang SY, Liu Y, Li JD, et al. (2011) Fever with thrombocytopenia associated with a novel bunyavirus in China. N Engl J Med 364: 1523-1532.
- Klein HG, Dodd RY, Dzik WH, Luban NL, Ness PM, et al. (1998) Current status of solvent/detergent-treated frozen plasma. Transfusion 38: 102-107.
- Horowitz B, Bonomo R, Prince AM, Chin SN, Brotman B, et al. (1992) Solvent/ detergent-treated plasma: a virus-inactivated substitute for fresh frozen plasma. Blood 79: 826-831.
- 5. Doyle S, O'Brien P, Murphy K, Fleming C, O'Donnell J (2003) Coagulation

factor content of solvent/detergent plasma compared with fresh frozen plasma. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 14: 283-287.

- Evensen SA, Rollag H (1993) Solvent/detergent-treated clotting factors and hepatitis A virus seroconversion. Lancet 341: 971-972.
- Hellstern P (2004) Solvent/detergent-treated plasma: composition, efficacy, and safety. Curr Opin Hematol 11: 346-350.
- Wagner SJ (2002) Virus inactivation in blood components by photoactive phenothiazine dyes. Transfus Med Rev 16: 61-66.
- Williamson LM, Cardigan R, Prowse CV (2003) Methylene blue-treated freshfrozen plasma: what is its contribution to blood safety? Transfusion 43: 1322-1329.
- Zeiler T, Riess H, Wittmann G, Hintz G, Zimmermann R, et al. (1994) The effect of methylene blue phototreatment on plasma proteins and in vitro coagulation capability of single-donor fresh-frozen plasma. Transfusion 34: 685-689.
- Aznar JA, Bonanad S, Montoro JM, Hurtado C, Cid AR, et al. (2000) Influence of methylene blue photoinactivation treatment on coagulation factors from fresh frozen plasma, cryoprecipitates and cryosupernatants. Vox Sang 79: 156-160.
- Mertes PM, Demoly P, Alperovitch A, Bazin A, Bienvenu J, et al. (2012) Methylene blue-treated plasma: an increased allergy risk? J Allergy Clin Immunol 130: 808-812.
- Irsch J, Lin L (2011) Pathogen Inactivation of Platelet and Plasma Blood Components for Transfusion Using the INTERCEPT Blood Systemâ, ¢ Transfus Med Hemother 38: 19-31.
- Infanti L, Stebler C, Job S, Ruesch M, Gratwohl A, et al. (2011) Pathogeninactivation of platelet components with the INTERCEPT Blood System â, ¢: a cohort study. Transfus Apher Sci 45: 175-181.
- Tice RR, Gatehouse D, Kirkland D, Speit G (2007) The pathogen reduction treatment of platelets with S-59 HCI (Amotosalen) plus ultraviolet A light: genotoxicity profile and hazard assessment. Mutat Res 630: 50-68.
- Kumar V, Lockerbie O, Keil SD, Ruane PH, Platz MS, et al. (2004) Riboflavin and UV-light based pathogen reduction: extent and consequence of DNA damage at the molecular level. Photochem Photobiol 80: 15-21.
- Ruane PH, Edrich R, Gampp D, Keil SD, Leonard RL, et al. (2004) Photochemical inactivation of selected viruses and bacteria in platelet concentrates using riboflavin and light. Transfusion 44: 877-885.
- Bihm DJ, Ettinger A, Buytaert-Hoefen KA, Hendrix BK, Maldonado-Codina G, et al. (2010) Characterization of plasma protein activity in riboflavin and UV light-treated fresh frozen plasma during 2 years of storage at -30 degrees C. Vox Sang 98: 108-115.
- Klein HG (2005) Pathogen inactivation technology: cleansing the blood supply. J Intern Med 257: 224-237.
- Mohr H, Steil L, Gravemann U, Thiele T, Hammer E, et al. (2009) A novel approach to pathogen reduction in platelet concentrates using short-wave ultraviolet light. Transfusion 49: 2612-2624.
- Bradley DW, Hess RA, Tao F, Sciaba-Lentz L, Remaley AT, et al. (2000) Pressure cycling technology: a novel approach to virus inactivation in plasma. Transfusion 40: 193-200.
- 22. Corash L (2001) Helinx technology for inactivation of infectious pathogens and leukocytes in labile blood components: from theory to clinical application. Transfus Apher Sci 25: 179-181.
- AuBuchon JP, Pickard CA, Herschel LH, Roger JC, Tracy JE, et al. (2002) Production of pathogen-inactivated RBC concentrates using PEN110 chemistry: a Phase I clinical study. Transfusion 42: 146-152.
- Goodrich RP, Doane S, Reddy HL (2010) Design and development of a method for the reduction of infectious pathogen load and inactivation of white blood cells in whole blood products. Biologicals 38: 20-30.
- Marschner S, Goodrich R (2011) Pathogen Reduction Technology Treatment of Platelets, Plasma and Whole Blood Using Riboflavin and UV Light. Transfus Med Hemother 38: 8-18.