

**Editorial****Open Access**

# Is sperm chromatin packaging relevant for IVF success?

**Elisabetta Tosti<sup>1\*</sup> and Adriana Fortunato<sup>2</sup>**<sup>1</sup>Stazione Zoologica, Naples, Italy<sup>2</sup>IDF centre, Naples Italy

Spermiogenesis is the last phase of the process that give rise to a mature and competent spermatozoon. It occurs from a dramatic morphological and structural change of the spermatid, in particular replacement of DNA-linked histones by protamines leading to a highly compact chromatin structure consisting of DNA and heterogeneous nucleoproteins. The target of a fertilizing spermatozoon is to deliver into the oocyte the paternal genome and regulatory factors that are required for proper embryonic development [1]. To do this the sperm must be capable of undergoing decondensation at a peculiar moment of the fertilization process.

Increasing evidence on the strong paternal effect on preimplantation embryo development [2] focus on the importance to identify a reliable sperm quality parameter. Although many different causes may give rise to male infertility [3], traditionally in IVF centres, routine laboratory investigations evaluate seminal parameters such as concentration, motility and morphology in order to assess semen quality prior to undergo assisted reproduction. Recent acquisitions correlate poor chromatin condensation to a failure in fertilization, embryo development and repeated miscarriages indicating even that sperm DNA damage over 30% impedes natural pregnancy [4-11].

Although it is described that oocytes and early embryos are able to repair sperm DNA damage [12], this seems to not occur in presence of an extensive percentage of damaged DNA in the sperm [13].

The aim of this editorial is to give readers a basis upon which to form an opinion on:

- i) the relationship between sperm chromatin condensation status and conventional semen parameters; ii) the need to include the chromatin condensation test as a new diagnostic tool in the routine spermogram; iii) the predictive value of this investigation in assessing fertility and outcome of pregnancy.
- i) in order to assess male factor for infertility, the main parameters investigated have been the sperm concentration, motility and morphology. Additional tests such as hypo-osmotic swelling test and sperm-mucus interaction have been used to corroborate the full diagnosis. However in the recent publication of WHO manual, a series of functional tests have been reported to be aimed at assessing the competence of human spermatozoa and it has been emphasized the importance of nuclear sperm chromatin structure assay. Literature reports contradictory results showing from no correlation between chromatin condensation and any of the parameters used for sperm analysis [14] to an high correlation with severe abnormal morphology [15-17] and a negative correlation between normal sperm head morphology and loosely packaged chromatin [7,18]. Similarly, oligospermic ejaculates appeared to be not correlated [19] with chromatin integrity, but our recent findings showed that low number of spermatozoa may also reflect their immaturity [20].
- ii) conventional semen analysis includes parameters such as concentration, motility and morphology assuming that sperm population falling within the standard values are also genetically adequate [21]. In particular it has been highlighted that sperm morphology represents one of the best discriminators for the fertilization potential of human spermatozoa [22]. At present

these parameters appear of limited value in determining the embryotrophic potential of spermatozoa [16]. The need to use new markers of sperm function is of recent acquisition [17,23,24] especially in the case of morphologically normal sperm that posses a limited fertilizing ability if it is accompanied by a low packaging quality.

In the last decade [15] it was claimed to consider chromatin integrity one of the complementary tests of semen analysis for the clinical assays of sperm quality; at present this view is reinforced by the evidence that sperm DNA damage analysis may reveal hidden abnormalities in men showing apparently normal standard semen parameters [10,25-27].

iii) the whole purpose of performing a diagnostic test to assist couples with fertility problems and especially in case of idiopathic infertility is to identify a threshold above and below which the test may exhibit a predictive value. Contrasting data exist in literature if the sperm chromatin integrity may predict fertility potential prior to ART. Starting from the late 90' many authors supported the utility of using the sperm chromatin structure as a diagnostic and prognostic tool in predicting fertilization and pregnancy rates following IVF [28-30]. They suggested in fact that optimal sperm chromatin packaging was necessary for full expression of the male fertility potential and that abnormal chromatin structure in semen, appeared to be potentially useful as predictor of fertilizing ability or pregnancy outcome [31,32]. More recently, apart a few exceptions claiming the limited value of sperm chromatin decondensation in assessing fertilization and pregnancy rates [6] it is advocated that this examination should be carried out in all cases of long-standing, unexplained male infertility before embarking upon IVF programmes.

## Conclusions

Abnormal chromatin condensation reflects sperm immaturity and may have a highly diversified aetiology such as age, smoke, pollution and oxidative stress [16,33,34]. In the latter case it has been shown that changes in chromatin structure may be amplified by the sperm preparation techniques for ART procedures [23] and cryopreservation [20].

In this editorial we have reported the increasing concern for the adverse impact of chromatin damage on male fertility potential and the following pregnancy outcomes. Nonetheless controversial data, literature reveals how is now of critical importance to develop new objective markers of sperm function to accompany and/or even to oppose to the standard semen analysis. The latter is in fact

\*Corresponding author: Elisabetta Tosti, Stazione Zoologica, Naples, Italy, E-mail: [tosti@szn.it](mailto:tosti@szn.it)

Received December 12, 2011; Accepted December 15, 2011; Published December 17, 2011

Citation: Tosti E, Fortunato A (2011) Is sperm chromatin packaging relevant for IVF success? J Fertiliz In Vitro 1:e107. doi:[10.4172/2165-7491.1000e107](https://doi.org/10.4172/2165-7491.1000e107)

Copyright: © 2011 Tosti E, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

considered not representative of the many biological properties of the spermatozoon and furthermore subjected to the observer variability.

We can *bone fide* conclude that especially in absence of pathophysiological diagnosis, chromatin decondensation test represents a modern tool for the assessment of male subfertility and a major predictor of reproductive outcomes in couples with suspected fertility problems [35].

## References

1. Yanagimachi R (1995) Is an animal model needed for intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) and other assisted reproduction technologies? *Hum Reprod* 10: 2525–2526.
2. Janny L and Menezo YJ (1994) Evidence for a strong paternal effect on human preimplantation embryo development and blastocyst formation. *Mol Reprod Dev* 38:36-42.
3. Nikolettos N, Küpker W, Demirel C, Schöpper B, Blasig C et al. (1999) Fertilization potential of spermatozoa with abnormal morphology. *Hum Reprod* 14:77-80.
4. Agarwal A and Said TM (2003) Role of sperm chromatin abnormalities and DNA damage in male infertility. *Hum Reprod Update* 9:331-345.
5. Aitken RJ and De Iuliis GN (2007) Value of DNA integrity assays for fertility evaluation. *Soc Reprod Fertil Suppl* 65:81-92.
6. Caglar GS, Hammadeh M, Asimakopoulos B, Nikolettos N, Diedrich K, et al. (2005) In vivo and in vitro decondensation of human sperm and assisted reproduction technologies. *In Vivo* 19:623-630.
7. Esterhuizen AD, Franken DR, Lourens JG, Prinsloo E, van Rooyen LH (2000) Sperm chromatin packaging as an indicator of in-vitro fertilization rates. *Hum Reprod* 15:657-661.
8. Esterhuizen AD, Franken DR, Becker PJ, Lourens JG, Müller II (2002) Defective sperm decondensation: a cause for fertilization failure. *Andrologia* 34:1-7.
9. Lin MH, Kuo-Kuang Lee R, Li SH, Lu CH, Sun FJ et al. (2008) Sperm chromatin structure assay parameters are not related to fertilization rates, embryo quality, and pregnancy rates in vitro fertilization and intracytoplasmic sperm injection, but might be related to spontaneous abortion rates. *Fertil Steril* 90: 352-359.
10. Kazerooni T, Asadi N, Jadid L, Kazerooni M, Ghanadi A et al. (2009) Evaluation of sperm's chromatin quality with acridine orange test, chromomycin A3 and aniline blue staining in couples with unexplained recurrent abortion. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 26:591-596.
11. Spanò M, Bonde JP, Hjøllund HI, Kolstad HA, Cordelli E (2000) Sperm chromatin damage impairs human fertility. The Danish First Pregnancy Planner Study Team. *Fertil Steril* 73:43-50.
12. Genescà A, Caballín MR, Miró R, Benet J, Germà JR, et al. (1992). Repair of human sperm chromosome aberrations in the hamster egg. *Hum Genet* 89:181–186.
13. Ahmadi A and Ng SC (1999) Fertilizing ability of DNA-damaged spermatozoa. *J Exp Zool* 284: 696–704.
14. Saleh RA, Agarwal A, Nelson DR, Nada EA, El-Tonsy MH (2002) Increased sperm nuclear DNA damage in normozoospermic infertile men: a prospective study. *Fertil Steril* 78:313-318.
15. Franken DR, Franken CJ, de la Guerre H, de Villiers A (1999) Normal sperm morphology and chromatin packaging: comparison between aniline blue and chromomycin A3 staining. *Andrologia* 31:361–366.
16. Belloc et al. (2009) RBM online 19:264-269.
17. Virro MR, Larson-Cook KL, Evenson DP (2004) Sperm chromatin structure assay (SCSA) parameters are related to fertilization, blastocyst development, and ongoing pregnancy in in vitro fertilization and intracytoplasmic sperm injection cycles. *Fertil Steril* 81: 1289-1295.
18. Bianchi PG, Manicardi GC, Urner F, Campana A, Sakkas D (1996) Chromatin packaging and morphology in ejaculated human spermatozoa: evidence of hidden anomalies in normal spermatozoa. *Mol Hum Reprod* 2:139-144.
19. Hammadeh ME, Strehler E, Zeginiadou T, Rosenbaum P, Schmidt W (2001) Chromatin decondensation of human sperm in vitro and its relation to fertilization rate after ICSI. *Arch Androl* 47: 83-87.
20. Fortunato (2012) Zygote, in press.
21. Zini A, Phillips S, Courchesne A, Boman JM, Baazeem A (2009) Sperm head morphology is related to high deoxyribonucleic acid stainability assessed by sperm chromatin structure assay. *Fertil Steril* 91:2495-2500.
22. Sotaniemi M, Pulliainen V, Hokkanen L, Pirttilä T, Hallikainen I et al. (2011) CERAD-neuropsychological battery in screening mild Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neurol Scand*
23. Evenson DP, Larson KL, Jost LK (2002) Sperm chromatin structure assay: its clinical use for detecting sperm DNA fragmentation in male infertility and comparisons with other techniques. *J Androl* 23:25-43.
24. Lefèvre L, Bedu-Addo K, Conner SJ, Machado-Oliveira GS, Chen Y et al. (2007) Counting sperm does not add up any more: time for a new equation? *Reproduction* 133:675-684.
25. Roux C, Tripogney C, Joanne C, Bresson JL (2004) Nuclear quality of the spermatozoon: exploration tests of the chromatin of human spermatozoa (nuclear proteins) *Gynecol Obstet Fertil* 32: 792-798.
26. Lazaros LA, Vartholomatos GA, Hatzi EG, Kaponis AI, Makrydimas GV et al. (2011) Assessment of sperm chromatin condensation and ploidy status using flow cytometry correlates to fertilization, embryo quality and pregnancy following in vitro fertilization. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 28: 885-891.
27. Manochantr S, Chiamchanya C, Sobhon P (2011) Relationship between chromatin condensation, DNA integrity and quality of ejaculated spermatozoa from infertile men. *Andrologia*.
28. Haidl G and Schill WB (1994) Assessment of sperm chromatin condensation: an important test for prediction of IVF outcome. *Arch Androl*. 32:263-266.
29. Hammadeh ME, Stieber M, Haidl G, Schmidt W (1998) Association between sperm cell chromatin condensation, morphology based on strict criteria, and fertilization, cleavage and pregnancy rates in an IVF program. *Andrologia* 30: 29-35.
30. Evenson DP, Jost LK, Marshall D, Zinaman MJ, Clegg E et al. (1999) Utility of the sperm chromatin structure assay as a diagnostic and prognostic tool in the human fertility clinic. *Hum Reprod* 14:1039-1049.
31. Salsabili N, Mehrsai A, Jalalizadeh B, Pourmand G, Jalaie S (2006) Correlation of sperm nuclear chromatin condensation staining method with semen parameters and sperm functional tests in patients with spinal cord injury, varicocele, and idiopathic infertility. *Urol J* 3: 32-37.
32. Potts RJ, Newbury CJ, Smith G, Notarianni LJ, Jefferies TM (1999) Sperm chromatin damage associated with male smoking. *Mutat Res* 423:103–111.
33. Ward WS (2010) Function of sperm chromatin structural elements in fertilization and development. *Mol Hum Reprod* 16: 30-36.
34. Oller M, Gil-Guzman E, Lopez MC, Sharma RK, Agarwal A et al. (2001) Characterization of subsets of human spermatozoa at different stages of maturation: implications in the diagnosis and treatment of male infertility. *Hum Reprod* 16: 1912-1921.
35. Esteves SC and Agarwal A (2011) Novel concepts in male infertility. *Int Braz J Urol* 37: 5-15.