

Research Article

Open Access

Intrathecal dexmedetomidine: Useful or not?

Sherif A Abdelhamid* and Mohamed H El-lakany

Lecturer of Anaesthesia and Surgical Intensive Care-Medical Research Institute-Alexandria University, Egypt

Abstract

Spinal anesthesia is used commonly intra operatively. However, local anesthetics are associated with relatively short duration of action. A number of adjuvants have been used to prolong the postoperative analgesia.

Objectives: To evaluate role of dexmedetomidine added to heavy bupivacaine 0.5% intrathecally for lower abdominal surgeries. Subjects and methods: Sixty two patients were randomly divided into one of two group, Group (D) received 3.5 mL volume of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine and 5 µg dexmedetomidine in 0.5 mL of preservative free normal saline intrathecally. Group (P) received 0.5 ml normal saline added to the same dose of heavy 0.5% bupivacaine and served as placebo.

Results: There was significantly less time needed to reach T8 sensory level, 2-segment regression, and time to reach Bromage 3in group (D) compared to group (P). There was significantly more time needed for first requirement of analgesia in group (D) compared to group (P). There was a significantly less analgesic dose requirement in group (D) compared to group (P).

Conclusion: Receiving Dexmedetomidine at a dose of 5 µg provides earlier sensory and motor blockade, less postoperative analgesic requirements, less shivering among patients of lower abdominal surgery under intrathecal anaesthesia with no sedation effect or neurologic complications.

Keywords: Intrathecal; Dexmedetomidine; Shivering; Spinal anaesthesia; Adjuvantst

Introduction

Spinal anesthesia is the most commonly used technique for lower abdominal and perineal surgeries. However, local anesthetics-when used alone-is associated with relatively short duration of action, thus early analgesic intervention is needed in the postoperative period.

A number of adjuvants have been used to prolong the postoperative analgesia [1,2]. Dexmedetomidine, a new highly selective α 2-agonist, is under evaluation as a neuraxial adjuvant. It provides stable hemodynamic condition, good quality of intra-operative analgesia and prolonged post-operative analgesia with minimal side effects [3].

Based on earlier human studies, it is hypothesized that intrathecal Dexmedetomidine would produce more postoperative analgesic effect with hyperbaric bupivacaine in spinal anaesthesia with minimal side effects [4,5].

In the current study, the aim is to evaluate the role of Dexmedetomidine when added to heavy Bupivacaine 0.5% intrathecally among patients subjected to lower abdominal surgeries.

Materials and Methods

A double blinded randomized controlled trial was started after the approval of the Ethical Committee of the Medical Research Institute, Alexandria University. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants. 62 patients presenting to indirect inguinal hernia repair surgery, during the period from 1st of January 2013 till end of March 2013 were included in the study.

Inclusion criteria were patients with American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I or II, of either sex, aged between 18 till 60 years, presenting for lower abdominal surgeries.

Exclusion criteria were patient allergic to any of the drugs used and/ or suffering from neurologic diseases, coagulopathy, cardiac diseases, obesity or hypertension. Patients were randomly divided into one of two groups using sealed envelope technique. The first group (D) received the drug under investigation while the second group (P) received a placebo.

All patients were preloaded with Ringer's solution 15 mL/kg. They were monitored with automated noninvasive blood pressure, pulse oximetry, and electrocardiogram. Patients received no premedication during the 24 hours prior to the study.

Following patient positioning in the sitting position and local skin infiltration using 2 ml of Lidocaine 2%, a 25 G pencil point spinal needles were introduced through L4-L5 interspaces under strict aseptic precautions. Group (D) patients received 3.5 ml volume of 0.5% hyperbaric Bupivacaine and 5 μg Dexmedetomidine in 0.5 ml of preservative free normal saline intrathecally. Meanwhile, Group (P) received normal saline added to the heavy Bupivacaine 0.5% which served as placebo. Medications were prepared by a third party (another colleague) so that both patient and investigator were blinded. Intrathecal injection in either group was given over approximately 10 seconds. Immediately after completion of injection, patients were made to lie supine. Oxygen (2 L/min) was applied to each patient via face mask. Patients were monitored intra-operatively for their mean blood pressure and pulse every 5 minutes for the first 30 minutes, then every 10 minutes thereafter till end of surgery.

Patients were assessed for occurrence of shivering, time to reach

Received July 22, 2013; Accepted September 27, 2013; Published September 30, 2013

Citation: Abdelhamid SA, El-lakany MH (2013) Intrathecal dexmedetomidine: Useful or not? J Anesth Clin Res 4: 351. doi:10.4172/2155-6148.1000351

Copyright: © 2013 Abdelhamid SA, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

^{*}Corresponding author: Sherif A Abdelhamid, Lecturer of Anaesthesia and Surgical Intensive Care-Medical Research Institute-Alexandria University, Egypt; E-mail: dr_shereif@hotmail.com

T-8 sensory level (every 2 minutes) using loss of pin prick sensation, and loss of motor functions indicated by time to reach Bromage 3using Modified Bromage Scale.

Modified Bromage Scale is scored as follows: Bromage 0, the patient is able to move the hip, knee and ankle; Bromage 1, the patient is unable to move the hip but is able to move the knee and ankle; Bromage 2, the patient is unable to move the hip and knee but able to move the ankle; Bromage 3, the patient is unable to move the hip, knee and ankle [6].

Moreover, assessment was done for 2-segment regression, first time to require analgesia, and total analgesic consumption of intravenous Nalbuphine over 24 hours. Also, patients were assessed intraoperatively for occurrence of shivering, hypotension, bradycardia, nausea, vomiting, prurutis, sedation (using *Ramsay Sedation Scale*), and any other side-effects.

Hypotension was defined as a decrease of mean blood pressure by more than 30% from baseline or a fall of systolic blood pressure below 90 mmHg, and was treated with 8mg IV dose of ephedrine and IV fluid as required. Meanwhile Bradycardia is defined as heart rate <60/min.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of data was conducted using SPSS system files (SPSS package version 18). Descriptive statistics including frequency, distribution, mean, and standard deviation were used to describe different characteristics. Kolmogorov- Smirnov test was used to examine the normality of data distribution. Univariate analyses including: t-test and Mann Whitney test was used to test the significance of results of quantitative variables. Chi-Square test and Fisher's Exact test were used to test the significance of results of qualitative variables. The significance of the results was at the 5% level of significance.

Results

As regards personal characteristics, mean age of group (D patients was 39.7 ± 9.9 years compared to 37.9 ± 8.6 years among group (P) patients. Male patients constituted 58.1% among group (D) and 45.2% among group (P). Moreover, patients of both groups had nearly equal mean weight; 79.4 ± 5.8 kg among group (D) and 76.9 ± 5.5 kg among group (P).

Similarly, mean surgical time were 56.2 ± 6.1 minutes and 59.9 ± 10.4 minutes respectively. No significant differences were observed between both groups regarding any of these variables (Table 1).

Concerning block characteristics, the mean time to reach T8 sensory level and mean time to bromage 3 were significantly shorter

	Group D (n=31)	Group P (n=31)	Significance	
Age(year)				
Min-Max	25-56	22-50	<i>t</i> =0.779	
Mean ± SD	39.7 ± 9.9	37.9 ± 8.6	P=0.439	
Gender				
Male	18 (58.1%)	14 (45.2%)	X ² =1.03 P=0.309	
Female	13 (41.9%)	17 (54.8%)		
Weight (kg)				
Min-Max	68-88	65-85	t=1.724 P=0.09	
Mean ± SD	79.4 ± 5.8	76.9 ± 5.5		
Surgical time (min)				
Min-Max	47-65	44-75	<i>t</i> =1.712	
Mean ± SD	56.2 ± 6.1	59.9 ± 10.4	P=0.092	

t: t-test X²: Chi-Square test

Table 1: Demographic data of studied patients in the studied groups.

	Group D (n=31)	Group P (n=31)	Significance	
Time to T8 (min)				
Min-Max	6-10	6-14	Z=4.615	
Mean ± SD	7.7 ± 1.5	10.6 ± 2.4	P<0.0001*	
2 segment regression (min)				
Min-Max	90-140	80-110	t=9.208 P<0.0001*	
Mean ± SD	120.3 ± 13.8	92.3 ± 9.9		
Time to bromage 3 (min)				
Min-Max	6-10	8-12	Z=4.854 P<0.0001*	
Mean ± SD	7.9 ± 1.5	10.3 ± 1.6		

t: t-test Z: Mann Whitney test *Significant at $P \le 0.05$

 Table 2: Block characteristics among the studied groups.

Analgesia needs	Group D (n=31)	Group P (n=31)	Significance	
First time to require analgesic (min)				
Min-Max	360-400	240-280	Z=6.81	
Mean ± SD	381.0 ± 16.0	259.0 ± 14.1	P<0.0001*	
Total analgesic consumption (mg)				
Min-Max	6-10	10-18	Z=6.818	
Mean ± SD	8.0 ± 1.5	15.8 ± 2.2	P<0.0001*	

Z: Mann Whitney test *Significant at P ≤ 0.05

Table 3: First time to require analgesic and total analgesic consumption.

Intra-operative time	Mean bloo	t-test	
(minutes)	Group D (n=31)	Group P (n=31)	(P)
Baseline	96.7 ± 1.5	95.8 ± 2.1	0.057
5	84.9 ± 2.5	89.0 ± 3.2	<0.0001*
10	85.2 ± 3.4	89.3 ± 3.9	<0.0001*
15	75.4 ± 2.8	78.3 ± 2.3	<0.0001*
20	70.7 ± 4.8	78.5 ± 2.8	<0.0001*
25	66.7 ± 8.5	73.3 ± 2.5	<0.0001*
30	71.6 ± 4.9	71.7 ± 6.0	0.927
40	72.8 ± 4.8	72.7 ± 3.9	0.908
50	73.8 ± 2.5	75.5 ± 2.1	0.008*
60	73.7 ± 3.9	75.7 ± 2.5	0.018*
70	73.6 ± 3.3	75.3 ± 2.3	0.023*
80	73.1 ± 3.2	75.5 ± 2.4	0.001*

*Significant at P ≤ 0.05

 Table 4: Intra-operative assessment of mean blood pressure among the studied groups.

among group (D) patients (7.7 \pm 1.5, 7.9 \pm 1.5 minutes respectively)as compared to group (P), (10.6 \pm 2.4, 10.3 \pm 1.6 minutes respectively); (P<0.0001).

Meanwhile, the mean 2-segment regression time was significantly longer among group (D) patients (120.3 ± 13.8 minutes) compared to group (P), (92.3 ± 9.9 minutes); P<0.0001 (Table 2).

Analgesia requirements were significantly lowered among group (D) as compared to group (P) where a longer time was recorded to need first analgesia (381.0 \pm 16.0 minutes, 259.0 \pm 14.1 minutes respectively) and lower total analgesic consumption in 24 hours (8.0 \pm 1.5 mg, 15.8 \pm 2.2 mg respectively); (P<0.0001) Table 3.

Mean blood pressure assessed intra-operatively showed significantly lower results among group (D) compared to group (P) at all recorded timing except at 30 and 40 minutes intra-operatively (Table 4 and Figure 1).



Figure 1: Mean blood pressure assessed intra-operatively among the studied groups.



Similarly, the assessed heart rate intra-operatively showed significantly slower mean among group (D) compared to group (P) at all recorded timingexceptat20 and 25 minutes intra-operatively (Table 5 and Figure 2).

Occurrence of complications was significantly less encountered among group (D) patients (32.3%) I relation to group (P) patients (58.1%), P=0.041. The most frequent complications observed among group (D) were bradycardia (25.8%) and hypotension (25.8%). Meanwhile, the most frequent complications noticed among group (P) were vomiting (41.9%) and hypotension (19.4%).No significant differences were observed between both groups regarding any of the encountered complications except for shivering which was significantly more frequent among group (P) and bradycardia which was observed only among group (P). However sedation was absent in both studied groups (Table 6).

Discussion

Local anesthetics are commonly used for intrathecal anesthesia, but

the major problem is the relatively short duration of action, thus early analgesic intervention is needed in the postoperative period. A number of adjuvants, such as clonidine and midazolam, and others have been studied to prolong the effect of spinal anesthesia [1,2].

Dexmedetomidine, an imidazole compound, is the pharmacologically active dextroisomer of medetomidine that displays specific and selective α 2-adrenoceptor agonism. Activation of the receptors in the brain and spinal cord inhibits neuronal firing and results in symoathlytic effect, causing hypotension, bradycardia, sedation, and analgesia [7].

Dexmedetomidine have been used in animal studies intrathecally with no adverse neurotoxicity or neurologic deficits [8,9]. Kanazi et al. used a small intrathecal dose of dexmedetomidine (3 μ g), in combination with bupivacaine on humans for spinal anesthesia. Results showed a shorter onset of motor block and a prolongation in the duration of motor and sensory block with hemodynamic stability and lack of sedation [10].

Administration of an α 2-agonist via an intrathecal or epidural route provides an analgesic effect in postoperative pain without severe sedation. This effect is due to the sparing of supraspinal CNS sites from excessive drug exposure, resulting in robust analgesia without heavy sedation [11]. At spinal cord level, activation of both α 2-C and α 2-ARs, in the neurons of superficial dorsal horn especially lamina II, directly reduces pain transmission, by suppressing the release of pro-nociceptive transmitter, substance P and glutamate from primary

Intra-operative time	Mean bloc	t-test		
(minutes)	Group D (n=31)	Group P (n=31)	(P)	
Baseline	95.3 ± 3.1	95.7 ± 2.2	0.537	
5	90.4 ± 2.6	93.4 ± 2.6	<0.0001*	
10	78.5 ± 3.1	84.1 ± 2.5	<0.0001*	
15	71.7 ± 2.9	78.5 ± 3.1	<0.0001*	
20	69.8 ± 2.4	69.9 ± 3.5	0.933	
25	64.2 ± 3.1	64.3 ± 3.3	0.968	
30	60.9 ± 2.1	71.0 ± 2.5	<0.0001*	
40	61.1 ± 2.6	70.1 ± 2.0	<0.0001*	
50	61.2 ± 2.2	70.2 ± 2.2	<0.0001*	
60	61.0 ± 2.4	70.2 ± 2.3	<0.0001*	
70	61.2 ± 2.3	66.1 ± 1.9	<0.0001*	
80	61.1 ± 2.2	66.5 ± 2.8	<0.0001*	

[P]: P value for Mann Whitney test P: P value for t-test *significant at $P \le 0.05$
Table 5: Intra-operative assessment of mean heart rate among the studied group

Complications	Group D (n=31)		Group P (n=31)		Significance
	No.	%	No.	%	
Absent	21	67.7	13	41.9	X ² =4.17 P=0.041*
Present	10	32.3	18	58.1	
#Type of complications					
Nausea	2	6.5	3	9.7	FE _P =0.631
Vomiting	0	0.0	2	6.5	FE _P =0.167
Shivering	2	6.5	12	41.9	X ² =8.96 P=0.003*
Bradycardia	8	25.8	0	0.0	FE _P =0.043*
Hypotension	8	25.8	6	19.4	X ² =0.09 P=0.766

X²: Chi-Square test FEP: Fisher's Exact test *Significant at P ≤ 0.05

*Categories are not mutually exclusively

Test of significance is conducted between complication and those who are free of complications

 Table 6: Intra-operative complications observed among the studied groups.

afferent terminals, and by hyperpolarizing spinal interneurons via G-protein-mediated activation of potassium channels [12,13].

In our study, we aimed to evaluate the role of dexmedetomidine added to heavy bupivacaine 0.5% intrathecally for lower abdominal surgeries.

In our study, the onset of the sensory block was earlier in group I (dexmedetomidine group), compared to group II. It ranged 7.7 ± 1.5 , and was significantly earlier than group II (control group). Similar to our results, Ogan et al. showed an earlier significant peak sensory block in the dexmedetomidine group compared to the other groups [14]. Shukla et al. also showed that the onset time to reach peak sensory level was shorter in dexmedetomidine group as compared with the control group [13]. In our study, time to Bromage 3 was 7.9 \pm 1.5 minutes, which was significantly less than in the control group. Shukla et al. showed that there was significant difference with the time to Bromage 3 [15]. Ogan SF et al. also showed significant reduction in the time to reach Bromage 1, compared to control group [14]. As regards the 2-segment regression, it ranged from 90-140 minutes in group I with a mean of 120.3 ± 13.8 minutes. Gupta et al. showed a mean of 125.6 \pm 16.5 minutes by adding 5 µg dexmedetomidine intrathecally to ropivacaine [16]. Eid et al. showed a prolongation of 2-segment regression time in her study after adding 10 µg dexmedetomidine to bupivacaine [17]. Moreover, her study showed a dose dependent increase of 2 segment regression time by increasing the dose from 10 μ g to 15 μ g of intrathecal dexmedetomidine (103 ± 28.7 minutes, 200.6 \pm 30.9 minutes respectively).

As regards the first time to require analgesia, and total analgesic consumption of nalbuphine in 24 hours, group I showed a significant increase in time to first analgesic dose (381.0 ± 16.0 , versus 259.0 ± 14.1 in the control group), and significant decrease in the total analgesic consumption. In agreement with our results, Eid and colleagues, showed a significantly longer time to first analgesic request compared to control group [17]. Ashraf and colleagues also showed a significant longer time to first analgesic request (3.30 ± 0.87 hours,) compared to control group (0.23 ± 0.11 hours) [7].

As regards the intraoperative side effects, shivering occurred in 2 patients in the group I, and in 12 patients in group II. An explanation of the decreased incidence of shivering in the dexmedetomidine group, is the decrease shivering threshold by 2 degrees [18]. In agreement with our results, Usta et al. stated in their study that intravenously administered dexmedetomidine infusion inhibited shivering under spinal anaesthesia [19]. Karaman and colleagues showed that intravenous loading dose followed by infusion of dexmedetomidine decreased incidence of shivering compared to placebo. Moreover, the intensity of shivering in the 3 observed cases was lower in the dexmedetomidine group than in the placebo group (P>0.05) [20].

Bradycardia occurred in 8 cases compared to none of the study patients in the control group. Bradycardia in the dexmedetomidine group is believed to be due to postsynaptic activation of central alpha 2 adrenoceptors (α 2-ARs) results in sympatholytic effect, leading to hypotension and bradycardia, an effect judiciously used to attenuate the stress response of surgery [21].

Dexmedetomidine evokes a biphasic blood pressure response: A short hypertensive phase and subsequent hypotension. The two phases are considered to be mediated by two different α 2-AR subtypes: the α -2B AR is responsible for the initial hypertensive phase, whereas hypotension is mediated by the α 2A-AR. The initial response lasts for 5 to 10 minutes and is followed by a decrease in blood pressure of

In our study, 8 (25.8%) patients developed hypotension starting from 20 minutes following the spinal injection in group I, versus 6 (19.4%) in group II with insignificant differences between the two groups. However, it responded well to intravenous ephedrine and fluid. In agreement with our results, Kanazi et al. showed insignificant effect of dexmedetomidine on mean blood pressure when added to intrathecal bupivacaine [5]. Al-Mustafa and colleagues, using 5 μg , and 10 μg dexmedetomidine, found a dose dependent, but still insignificant, decrease on the mean blood pressure when compared to the bupivacaine (control) group [4].

Nausea with or without vomiting was associated with the hypotensive episodes. This may be explained by the fact that increased vagal activity after sympathetic block causes increased peristalsis of the gastrointestinal tract, which leads to nausea [23]. In agreement with our results, kang et al. showed that incidence of intraoperative nausea during spinal anaesthesia for ceasarean section correlated well with hypotension [24].

Dexmedetomidine at a dose of 5 μg provided earlier sensory and motor blockade, less postoperative analgesic requirements, less shivering for patients under intrathecal anaesthesia for lower abdominal surgery with no sedation.

References

- Elia N, Culebras X, Mazza C, Schiffer E, Tramèr MR (2008) Clonidine as an adjuvant to intrathecal local anesthetics for surgery: systematic review of randomized trials. Reg Anesth Pain Med 33: 159-167.
- Boussofara M, Carlès M, Raucoules-Aimé M, Sellam MR, Horn JL (2006) Effects of intrathecal midazolam on postoperative analgesia when added to a bupivacaine-clonidine mixture. Reg Anesth Pain Med 31: 501-505.
- Al-Ghanem SM, Massad IM, Al-Mustafa MM, Al-Zaben KR, Qudaisat IY, et al. (2009) Effect of Adding Dexmedetomidine versus Fentanyl to Intrathecal Bupivacaine on Spinal Block Characteristics in Gynecological Procedures: A Double Blind Controlled Study. Am J Appl Sci 6: 882-887.
- Al-Mustafa MM, Abu-Halaweh SA, Aloweidi AS, Murshidi MM, Ammari BA, et al. (2009) Effect of dexmedetomidine added to spinal bupivacaine for urological procedures. Saudi Med J 30: 365-370.
- Kanazi GE, Aouad MT, Jabbour-Khoury SI, Al Jazzar MD, Alameddine MM, et al. (2006) Effect of low-dose dexmedetomidine or clonidine on the characteristics of bupivacaine spinal block. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 50: 222-227.
- Bromage PR (1965) A comparison of the hydrochloride and carbon dioxide salts of lidocaine and prilocaine in epidural analgesia. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand Suppl 16: 55-69.
- Mohamed AA, Fares KM, Mohamed SA (2012) Efficacy of Intrathecally Administered Dexmedetomidine Versus Dexmedetomidine With Fentanyl in Patients Undergoing Major Abdominal Cancer Surgery. Pain Physicial 15: 339-348.
- Horvath G, Joo G, Dobos I, Klimscha W, Toth G, et al. (2001) The synergistic antinociceptive interactions of endomorphin-1 with dexmedetomidine and/or S(+)-ketamine in rats. Anesth Analg 93: 1018-1024.
- Shimode N, Fukuoka T, Tanimoto M, Tashiro C, Tokunaga A, et al. (2003) The effects of dexmedetomidine and halothane on Fos expression in the spinal dorsal horn using a rat postoperative pain model. Neurosci Lett 343: 45-48.
- Kanazi GE, Aouad MT, Jabbour-Khoury SI, Al Jazzar MD, Alameddine MM, et al. (2006) Effect of low-dose dexmedetomidine or clonidine on the characteristics of bupivacaine spinal block. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 50: 222-227.
- 11. Tamsen A, Gordh T (1984) Epidural clonidine produces analgesia. Lancet 2: 231-232.

- 12. Grewal A (2011) Dexmedetomidine: New avenues. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 27: 297-302.
- Ishii H, Kohno T, Yamakura T, Ikoma M, Baba H (2008) Action of dexmedetomidine on the substantia gelatinosa neurons of the rat spinal cord. Eur J Neurosci 27: 3182-3190.
- Ogan SF, Job OG, Enyindah CE (2012) Comparative Effects of Single Shot IntrathecalBupivacaine with Dexmedetomidine and Bupivacaine withFentanyl on Labor Outcome. ISRN Anesthesiology 816984.
- Shukla D, Verma A, Agarwal A, Pandey HD, Tyagi C (2011) Comparative study of intrathecal dexmedetomidine with intrathecal magnesium sulfate used as adjuvants to bupivacaine. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 27: 495-499.
- Gupta R, Bogra J, Verma R, Kohli M, Kushwaha JK, et al. (2011) Dexmedetomidine as an intrathecal adjuvant for postoperative analgesia. Indian J Anaesth 55: 347-351.
- Eid HA, Shafie M, Yousef H (2011) Dose-Related Prolongation of Hyperbaric Bupivacaine Spinal Anesthesia by Dexmedetomidine. Ain Shams Journal of Anesthesiology 4: 83-95.
- Gertler R, Brown HC, Mitchell DH, Silvius EN (2001) Dexmedetomidine: a novel sedative-analgesic agent. Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent) 14: 13-21.

- Usta B, Gozdemir M, Demircioglu RI, Muslu B, Sert H, et al. (2011) Dexmedetomidine for the prevention of shivering during spinal anesthesia. Clinics (Sao Paulo) 66: 1187-1191.
- Karaman S, Gunusen T, Ceylan MA, Karaman Y, Esra Nur C, et al. (2013) Dexmedetomidine infusion prevents postoperative shivering in patients undergoing gynecologic laparoscopic surgery. Turk J Med Sci 43: 232-237.
- Candiotti KA, Bergese SD, Bokesch PM, Feldman MA, Wisemandle W, et al. (2010) Monitored anesthesia care with dexmedetomidine: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, multicenter trial. Anesth Analg 110: 47-56.
- Gertler R, Brown HC, Mitchell DH, Silvius EN (2001) Dexmedetomidine: a novel sedative-analgesic agent. Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent) 14: 13-21.
- Tarkkila P, Isola J (1992) A regression model for identifying patients at high risk of hypotension, bradycardia and nausea during spinal anesthesia. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 36: 554-558.
- Kang YG, Abouleish E, Caritis S (1982) Prophylactic intravenous ephedrine infusion during spinal anesthesia for cesarean section. Anesth Analg 61: 839-842.

Page 5 of 5