

# Inclusive Education: Best Practices for Supporting Students with Learning Disabilities

Julia Aranda\*

Department of Education, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

## DESCRIPTION

Inclusive education has become a cornerstone of modern teaching, grounded in the belief that every student—regardless of ability—has the right to learn and participate in the general education environment. Among the most significant beneficiaries of inclusive practices are students with learning disabilities, who often face barriers in traditional classroom settings. These disabilities, which may affect reading, writing, mathematics, or other cognitive processes, do not reflect a student's intelligence or potential. Rather, they signal the need for tailored strategies and supports that allow students to thrive alongside their peers [1].

Creating an inclusive classroom starts with a shift in mind-set. Educators must move away from deficit-based thinking and toward a strengths-based approach. Instead of focusing on what a student cannot do, inclusive education emphasizes what the student can do—and how instruction can be adapted to support growth. For students with learning disabilities, this means recognizing their individual learning styles and providing flexible, responsive instruction [2].

One of the most effective tools in inclusive education is Universal Design for Learning (UDL). UDL is a framework that encourages multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression. In practice, this might mean offering students information through visual, auditory, and hands-on activities, or allowing them to demonstrate understanding in different ways—such as through oral presentations, written work, or artistic projects. UDL ensures that lessons are accessible from the start, reducing the need for retroactive accommodations [3].

Another essential practice is differentiated instruction. This involves adjusting the content, process, or product of learning to meet individual needs. For example, a student who struggles with reading may be given an audio version of a text, or a math student who needs extra support might work with visual aids and step-by-step guides. Differentiation allows all students to work toward the same learning goals, but in ways that suit their unique paths to success [4].

Collaboration between general and special education staff is also critical in an inclusive setting. Co-teaching models, where general and special educators share responsibility for planning, instruction, and assessment, can be highly effective. This team approach ensures that students with learning disabilities receive targeted support within the general classroom, fostering both academic progress and social belonging [5].

In addition, Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) must be thoughtfully developed and implemented. IEPs outline specific learning goals, accommodations, and support services for students with diagnosed disabilities. Teachers should be familiar with each student's IEP and integrate the outlined strategies into daily instruction. Regular communication with families and support staff ensures that the plan remains effective and evolves with the student's needs [6].

Creating an inclusive environment also means addressing the social and emotional well-being of students with learning disabilities. These students often face frustration, anxiety, or feelings of inadequacy, especially if they compare themselves to peers. Educators can foster a positive classroom culture by promoting empathy, celebrating diverse learning styles, and providing regular encouragement. Teaching strategies such as self-advocacy, goal-setting, and coping skills can empower students to take ownership of their learning journey [7].

Technology plays a growing role in inclusive education. Assistive tools like text-to-speech software, graphic organizers, and word prediction programs can support students with reading and writing challenges. Educational apps and platforms that allow for personalized pacing and practice also help students build skills at their own level [8].

However, technology should be viewed as one of many tools—not a replacement for human connection and individualized support. Finally, ongoing professional development is vital. Educators must continually deepen their understanding of learning disabilities and stay updated on evidence-based practices. Workshops, peer collaboration, and reflective teaching can all contribute to more inclusive, effective instruction [9,10].

**Correspondence to:** Julia Aranda, Department of Education, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina, E-mail: aranda@uba.ar

**Received:** 19-May-2025, Manuscript No. IJSCP-25-38616; **Editor assigned:** 21-May-2025, PreQC No. IJSCP-25-38616 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 04-Jun-2025, QC No. IJSCP-25-38616; **Revised:** 11-Jun-2025, Manuscript No. IJSCP-25-38616 (R); **Published:** 18-Jun-2025, DOI: 10.35248/2469-9837.25.12.458

**Citation:** Aranda J (2025). Inclusive Education: Best Practices for Supporting Students with Learning Disabilities. *Int J Sch Cogn Psycho*.12:458.

**Copyright:** © 2025 Aranda J. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## CONCLUSION

Supporting students with learning disabilities through inclusive education is not simply a matter of placing them in the same room as their peers. It requires intentional planning, flexible instruction, and a commitment to equity. When schools embrace inclusive practices, they don't just help students with disabilities—they create richer, more dynamic classrooms where all learners have the opportunity to succeed.

## REFERENCES

1. Plato CC, Cereghino JJ, Steinberg FS. Palmar dermatoglyphics of Down's syndrome: Revisited. *Pediatr Res.* 1973;7(3):111-118.
2. Rudd NA, Lennon SJ. Body image and appearance-management behaviors in college women. *Text Res J.* 2000;18(3):152-162.
3. Coren E, Ramsbotham K, Gschwandtner M. Parent training interventions for parents with intellectual disability. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018;7(7):CD007987.
4. Bem SL, Lenney E. Sex typing and the avoidance of cross-sex behavior. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 1976;33(1):48-54.
5. Tomaz RV, de Arruda Santos V, de Avó LR, Germano CM, Melo DG. Impact of moderate intellectual disability on family dynamics and quality of life: A clinical-qualitative study. *Cad Saude Pública.* 2017;33(11).
6. Ellis NC. Emergentism, connectionism and language learning. *Language learning.* 1998;48(4):631-664.
7. Kibby MY, Cohen MJ. Memory functioning in children with reading disabilities and/or attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a clinical investigation of their working memory and long-term memory functioning. *Child Neuro Psychol.* 2008;14(6):525-546.
8. Evans V. Lexical concepts, cognitive models and meaning-construction. *Cogn Linguist.* 2006;17(4).
9. Gibbs RW. Why do some people dislike conceptual metaphor theory. *Cogn Linguist.* 2009;5(1-2):14-36.
10. Einfeld SL, Ellis LA, Emerson E. Comorbidity of intellectual disability and mental disorder in children and adolescents: A systematic review. *J Intellect Dev Disabil.* 2011;36(2):137-143.