

**Research Article** 

# Impact of Health Education Programs on Awareness of Glaucoma in a Tertiary Care Hospital in South India

#### Subashini Kaliaperumal, Niruban Ganesan<sup>\*</sup> and Bhuvaneshwari Kadirvel

Department of Ophthalmology, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Puducherry, India

\*Corresponding author: Niruban Ganesan, Department of Ophthalmology, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Puducherry, India, Tel: +919952819151; E-mail: drniruban@gmail.com

Received date: January 06, 2018; Accepted date: February 05, 2018; Published date: February 12, 2018

**Copyright:** ©2018 Kaliaperumal S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

#### Abstract

**Objective:** To assess the impact of health education programs on the awareness and knowledge of glaucoma among subjects attending the Ophthalmology office in a tertiary care hospital in Puducherry.

**Methods:** It is a cross sectional study with 1000 subjects of age >40 years attending the Ophthalmology outpatient department. A questionnaire was administered in English/Tamil to assess the awareness (heard about glaucoma) and knowledge (understanding the disease and risk factors) about glaucoma. Glaucoma awareness programs are being conducted in our hospital and in Puducherry since 2010.

**Results:** The awareness about glaucoma was found to be 41%. Majority of the people who were aware of glaucoma had a good level of knowledge (56.8%).

**Conclusion:** Our study concluded increase in awareness of glaucoma among the people attending the outpatient department post awareness programs. But the awareness was still less than that of cataract and diabetic retinopathy.

**Keywords:** Glaucoma; Awareness; Knowledge; Awareness programs

#### Introduction

Glaucoma is the second most common cause of blindness worldwide and has become a major health problem [1]. In India, glaucoma affects approximately 12 million individuals, responsible for 12.8% of blindness and is ranked as the third most common cause of blindness [2,3]. Prevalence of glaucoma in India ranges from 2.6% to 4.1% [4,5]. Blindness due to glaucoma is irreversible and 50% of the glaucoma patients are unaware of their condition [6]. Evidence indicates that delayed diagnosis of glaucoma is because of lack of awareness about the disease [7]. Almost one third of patients have visual impairment even before they seek medical attention [8-10]. Hence glaucoma patients need a constant follow up with the treating physician, which is possible only when the community is aware of glaucoma.

Proper health education and awareness about the disease helps in early detection of the disease. It not only alleviates needless suffering from blindness but also the economic burden on the community [11]. Awareness programs are being conducted in Puducherry from the year 2010, during the world glaucoma week. Thus by estimating the level of awareness and knowledge of glaucoma, the results of organizing such awareness programs are known and further health education programs can be planned. Literature analysis showed that awareness of glaucoma depends on a number of factors such as age, economic status and family history [12,13]. As there is paucity of Indian literature on this topic, the current study has been planned to know the level and determinants of awareness of glaucoma.

#### Methodology

Glaucoma awareness programs are being conducted since 2010, in the form of awareness rally and marathon during the glaucoma awareness week. Booklets prepared on glaucoma are being distributed to all patients attending the out-patient department (OPD) and intraocular pressure checked for all patients attending the OPD.

This study was a cross sectional study conducted between January 2012 and December 2015. Institutional ethical clearance was obtained for the study. The study population consisted of subjects selected randomly from the outpatient clinic. Informed consent was taken from all the participants of the study. Subjects less than 40 years of age and unwilling to participate in the study were excluded.

The demographic details of the participants such as age, sex, socioeconomic status, occupation and education status were collected. Coexisting morbidities like diabetes mellitus, hypertension and any other systemic illness were inquired. Patients were administered a questionnaire in Tamil or English to assess their awareness and level of knowledge of glaucoma. "Awareness" of a disease means not knowing the disease in detail but to know something about the disease. People who knew about the disease and risk factors were classified as having "knowledge" about glaucoma.

The questionnaire was administered either by the clinician or the ophthalmic assistants. The same questionnaire was administered to all participants who also included glaucoma patients. Details on the knowledge about glaucoma were obtained only from the patients who were aware of the disease. Subject's responses were recorded in the form of true, false or do not know. The subjects were provided with the

## Page 2 of 4

answers and explanation for all the questions at the end of the questionnaire.

Both descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyze the data. All the categorical data related to socio-demographic factors like age, gender and economic status were presented as frequencies and percentages. Level of knowledge of glaucoma was categorized into poor and good. Univariate chi-square and multivariate logistic regression analysis were used to identify the factors associated with the level of knowledge. All statistical analyses were carried out for two tailed significance at 5% level of significance and p value<0.05 was considered significant. Data analysis was performed using SPSS (version 20.0, SPSS Inc.).

#### Results

The age group of the subjects recruited in our study ranges from 40-88 years. The awareness about glaucoma among people who attended the ophthalmology OPD was 41%. Awareness was more in the younger age group [adjusted odds ratio (OR-1.3; 95% confidence interval (CI)=0.87-1.95). There was almost equal gender distribution. Males were more aware of glaucoma (OR-1.7; 95% CI=1.17-2.52) than females. There were more subjects in the earning group and they were more aware of glaucoma (OR-2.9; CI=1.96-4.31) compared to subjects from the non-earning group. Subjects from Puducherry were more aware of glaucoma compared to subjects from other places (OR-3.03; 95% CI=1.97-4.69). Rest of the results is tabulated in Tables 1-3.

Age Range (years)	40-88
40-59	643
>=60	357
Male: Female	509:491
Economic status-Earning: Not earning	412:588
Locality-Puducherry: others	335:75 50.3%, 22.5%
Subjects with family history of glaucoma	77
Subjects with knowledge about DR	468
Subjects with knowledge about cataract	596
Subjects working in health sector	172
Glaucoma patients	121
Awareness about glaucoma	410
Knowledge about glaucoma-poor (1-4)	177
Good (5-10)	233 56.8%

#### Table 1: Frequency distribution

SI.no	Variable	Awareness about glaucoma			
		Aware	Odds ratio (CI)		
			Unadjusted	Adjusted	

40-59263 (40.90)0.99 (0.760 1.286)1.306 (0.875-1.950)2 Gender>=60147 (41.2)I.40I2 Gender1523 (1.182)1.723 (1.174-2.528)1.1723 (1.174-2.528)2 GendorFemale176 (35.8)I.523 (1.182)1.723 (1.174-2.528)3 Economic =176 (35.8)I.523 (1.182)1.1723 (1.174-2.528)3 Economic =176 (35.8)3.559 (2.729)2.912 (1.967-4.311)4 Geographic168 (28.6)I.1641.9634 Geographic168 (28.6)I.1641.9634 Geographic75 (22.5)I.1970-4.690)1.970-4.6905 KnowledgeOther75 (22.5)I.1970-4.6905 KnowledgeOther75 (22.5)I.1970-4.6906 KnowledgeVer316 (53.0)3.722 (2.809) (1.365-3.228)1.136-3.228)6 KnowledgeVer323 (69)11.394 (8.424-15.410)S681 (3.02-8.489)7 SubjectsVerJassi (16.03)I.1994 (16.039- (8.776)S681 (16.039- (8.776)7 SubjectsVerJassi (36.3)I.1994 (16.039- (8.776)S6.812 (1.043-45.44)S6.982-9.7978 SubjectsVerJassi (36.3)I.1993 (1.434-45.45.44)S6.982-9.7979 GlaucomVerJassi (36.3)I.1993 (1.434-45.45.44)S6.982-9.692-9.7979 GlaucomVerJassi (36.3)I.1993 (1.253-64.33)S0.253 (9.564-64) (2.968)9 GlaucomVerJassi (36.31)I.993 (1.253-64.34)S0.253 (	1 Age (yr)					
>=60       147 (41.2)       I.dex       I.dex         2 Gender       2         2 Gender       234 (46)       1.523 (1.182)       1.723 (1.174-2.528)         1       Female       176 (35.8)       I.623 (1.182)       (1.174-2.528)         3 Economic =       176 (35.8)       I.623 (1.182)       (1.174-2.528)         3 Economic =       Earning       242 (58.7)       3.559 (2.729)       2.912 (1.967-4.311)         4 Geographic =       Not earning       168 (28.6)       Integer 2.912 (1.967-4.311)       (1.967-4.311)         4 Geographic =       Integer 2.912 (1.967-4.311)       1.967-4.311)       (1.967-4.311)       (1.967-4.311)         4 Geographic =       Integer 2.912 (1.967)       3.559 (2.729) (1.967-4.311)       (1.967-4.311)       (1.967-4.311)         4 Geographic =       Integer 2.912 (1.967)       3.559 (2.593) (1.967-4.901)       (1.967-4.901)       (1.967-4.901)         5 Knowledge       Integer 2.912 (1.961)       3.722 (2.809 (1.968) (1.965-3.228)       (1.967-3.228)       (1.967-3.228)         6 Knowledge       Integer 2.912 (1.961)       3.722 (2.809 (1.968) (1.968-3.228)       (1.962-3.228)       (1.962-3.228)         7 Subjects       Integer 2.912 (1.961)       1.931 (1.928-9.139)       (1.962-3.289)       (1.962-3.289)       (1.962-3.289)		40-59	263 (40.90)	0.99 (0.760- 1.286)	1.306 (0.875-1.950)	
2 Gender         Male         234 (46)         1.523 (1.182- 1.963)         1.723 (1.174-2.528)           i         Female         176 (35.8)         i         i           3 Economic status         i         i         i         i           3 Economic status         i         3.559 (2.729- 4.641)         i         i         i           4 Geographic distribution         188 (28.6)         i		>=60	147 (41.2)			
Male         234 (46)         1.523 (1.182- 1.963)         1.723 (1.174-2.528)           Female         176 (35.8)         I         I           3 Economic ====================================	2 Gender					
Permale       176 (35.8)       I.end       I.end <thi.end< th="">       I.end       I.end</thi.end<>		Male	234 (46)	1.523 (1.182- 1.963)	1.723 (1.174-2.528)	
3 Economic status         2       Earning       242 (58.7)       3.559 (2.729- 4.641)       2.912 (1.967-4.311)         Not earning       168 (28.6)           4       Geographic distribution           4       Geographic distribution       335 (50.3) $3.495$ (2.593- 4.711) $(1.967-4.311)$ 4       Geographic distribution       75 (22.5)            0       Other       75 (22.5)          3.039 (1.970-4.690)         5       Knowledge bout cataract             3.16 (53) $3.722$ (2.809- 4.931)       2.1 (1.365-3.228)         6       Knowledge about Diabetic retinopathy                 6       Knowledge about Diabetic retinopathy <td></td> <td>Female</td> <td>176 (35.8)</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Female	176 (35.8)			
Earning         242 (58.7) $3.559 (2.729 + 4.641)$ $2.912 (1.967-4.311)$ Not earning         168 (28.6)         Image:	3 Economic s	status				
Not earning         168 (28.6)         Indexter         Indexter           4 Geographic distribution         Puducherry $335 (50.3)$ $3.495 (2.593$ $1.970-4.690$ )           Quebeer         75 (22.5)         Image: Comparison of the comparison of		Earning	242 (58.7)	3.559 (2.729- 4.641)	2.912 (1.967-4.311)	
4 Geographic distribution         335 (50.3)         3.495 (2.593- 4.711)         3.039 (1.970-4.690)           Other         75 (22.5)         -         -           5 Knowledge about cataract         -         -         -           Yes         316 (53)         3.722 (2.809- 4.931)         2.1 (1.365-3.228)           No         94 (23.3)         -         -           6 Knowledge about Diabetic retinopathy         -         -         -           Yes         323 (69)         11.394 (8.424-15.410)         5.681 (3.802-8.489)           No         87 (16.4)         -         -           No         87 (16.4)         -         -           Yes         75 (97.4)         65.821 (16.059- 69.776)         22.355 (5.008-99.797)           No         335 (36.3)         -         -           Yes         158 (91.9)         25.796 (14.643-45.44 2)         7.103 (3.761-13.414)           9 Glaucoma patients         -         -         -           9 Glaucoma patients         110 (90.9)         19.3 (10.225-36.43 (0)         20.253 (9.546- 42.968)		Not earning	168 (28.6)			
Puducherry $335 (50.3)$ $3.495 (2.593-4.711)$ $3.039 (1.970-4.690)$ Other         75 (22.5)             5 Knowledge about cataract         Yes $316 (53)$ $3.722 (2.809-4.931)$ $2.1 (1.365-3.228)$ No         94 (23.3)            (1.365-3.228)           6 Knowledge about Diabetic retinopathy           (1.365-3.228) $6$ Knowledge about Diabetic retinopathy           (1.365-3.228) $6$ Knowledge about Diabetic retinopathy $7$ Subjects with family history of glaucoma $7$ Subjects with family history of glaucoma $7$ Subjects with family history of glaucoma $8$ Subjects with gin health sector $8$ Subjects with gin health sector	4 Geographic	c distribution				
Other75 (22.5)I.d.I.d.5 Knowledge about cataractYes $316 (53)$ $3.722 (2.809-4.931)$ $2.1 (1.365-3.228)$ No94 (23.3)I.d.I.d.I.d.6 Knowledge about Diabetic returopathyI.d. $5.681 (3.802-8.489)$ $323 (69)$ $11.394 (8.424-15.410 (3.802-8.489))$ 7 SubjectsYes $323 (69)$ $11.394 (8.424-15.410 (3.802-8.489))$ $5.681 (3.802-8.489)$ 7 SubjectsVes $87 (16.4)$ II7 SubjectsYes $75 (97.4)$ $65.821 (16.059-69.797) (5.008-99.797) (5.008-99.797) (5.008-99.797) (6.776)22.355 (5.008-99.797) (5.008-99.798)$		Puducherry	335 (50.3)	3.495 (2.593- 4.711)	3.039 (1.970-4.690)	
5 Knowledge about cataract       Yes       316 (53)       3.722 (2.809- 4.931)       2.1 (1.365-3.228)         No       94 (23.3)       Image: Comparison of		Other	75 (22.5)			
Yes316 (53) $3.722 (2.809-4.931)$ $2.1 (1.365-3.228)$ No94 (23.3)III6 Knowledge about Diabetic retinopathy5.681 (8.424-15.410)5.681 (3.802-8.489)No87 (16.4)II7 Subjects with family historyglaucomaIYes75 (97.4)65.821 (16.059-69.776)22.355 (5.008-99.797)No335 (36.3)II8 Subjects withig in health sectorIIYes158 (91.9)25.796 (14.643-45.44)7.103 (3.761-13.414)9 Glaucoma patientsIIIYes110 (90.9)19.3 (10.225-36.43)20.253 (9.546- 42.968)No300 (34.1)II	5 Knowledge	about cataract				
No94 (23.3)I.d.I.d.6 Knowledge bout Diabetic reinopathy $323 (69)$ $11.394 (8.424.15.410 (3.802-8.489))$ $5.681 (3.802-8.489) (3.802-8.489)$ No87 (16.4)III7 Subjects $47 (16.4)$ III7 Subjects $47 (16.4)$ IIINo335 (36.3)III8 Subjects $47 (16.4)$ III8 Subjects $188 (91.9)$ $25.796 (14.643-45.44 (3.761-13.414) (3.761-$		Yes	316 (53)	3.722 (2.809- 4.931)	2.1 (1.365-3.228)	
6 Knowledge about Diabetic retinopathy         11.394 (8.424-15.410)         5.681 (3.802-8.489)           No         87 (16.4)         5.681 (3.802-8.489)         3.802-8.489)           7 Subjects with family history of glaucoma         22.355 (5.008-99.797)         22.355 (5.008-99.797)           Yes         75 (97.4)         65.821 (16.059- 69.776)         22.355 (5.008-99.797)           No         335 (36.3)         20.253 (14.643-45.44 2)         7.103 (3.761-13.414)           S Subjects working in health sector         7.103 (3.761-13.414)         7.103 (3.761-13.414)         3.761-13.414)           No         252 (30.4)         20.253 (9.546- 42.968)         9.536.43 (9.546- 42.968)         20.253 (9.546- 42.968)		No	94 (23.3)			
Yes         323 (69)         11.394 (8.424-15.410)         5.681 (3.802-8.489)           No         87 (16.4)             7 Subjects with family history of glaucoma              Yes         75 (97.4)         65.821 (16.059-69.776)         22.355 (5.008-99.797)           No         335 (36.3)              No         335 (36.3)              8 Subjects wrking in health sector           7.103 (3.761-13.414)            No         252 (30.4)               9 Glaucoma patients          110 (90.9)         19.3 (10.225-36.43 (9.546-42.968))         20.253 (9.546-42.968)           No         300 (34.1)	6 Knowledge	about Diabetic r	etinopathy			
No87 (16.4)7 Subjects with family history of glaucoma7 Subjects with family history of glaucomaYes75 (97.4) $65.821$ (16.059- 69.776)22.355 (5.008-99.797)No335 (36.3)8 Subjects working in health sectorYes158 (91.9) $25.796$ (14.643-45.44 2)7.103 (3.761-13.414)No252 (30.4)9 Glaucoma patientsYes110 (90.9) $19.3$ (10.225-36.43 0)20.253 (9.546- 42.968)No300 (34.1)		Yes	323 (69)	11.394 (8.424-15.410 )	5.681 (3.802-8.489)	
7 Subjects with family history of glaucoma         Yes       75 (97.4)       65.821 (16.059–69.776)       22.355 (5.008-99.797)         No       335 (36.3)       2       2         8 Subjects working in health sector       75 (97.4)       25.796 (14.643-45.44 2)       7.103 (3.761-13.414)         Yes       158 (91.9)       25.796 (14.643-45.44 2)       7.103 (3.761-13.414)         No       252 (30.4)       2       2         9 Glaucoma patients       110 (90.9)       19.3 (10.225-36.43 0)       20.253 (9.546-42.968)         No       300 (34.1)       1       1       1		No	87 (16.4)			
Yes         75 (97.4)         65.821 (16.059- 69.776)         22.355 (5.008-99.797)           No         335 (36.3)             8 Subjects working in health sector             Yes         158 (91.9)         25.796 (14.643-45.44 2)         7.103 (3.761-13.414)           No         252 (30.4)             9 Glaucoma patients           20.253 (9.546- 42.968)            No         300 (34.1)           20.253 (9.546- 42.968)	7 Subjects w	ith family history	of glaucoma			
No         335 (36.3)         Image: Marcon sector           8 Subjects working in health sector         7.103           Yes         158 (91.9)         25.796           No         252 (30.4)         7.103           9 Glaucoma patients         252 (30.4)         20           Yes         110 (90.9)         19.3           (10.225-36.43)         20.253 (9.546-           0)         No         300 (34.1)		Yes	75 (97.4)	65.821 (16.059– 69.776)	22.355 (5.008-99.797)	
8 Subjects working in health sector           Yes         158 (91.9)         25.796 (14.643-45.44 2)         7.103 (3.761-13.414)           No         252 (30.4)         -         -           9 Glaucoma patients         -         -         -         -           Yes         110 (90.9)         19.3 (10.225-36.43 0)         20.253 (9.546- 42.968)         -           No         300 (34.1)         -         -         -		No	335 (36.3)			
Yes         158 (91.9)         25.796 (14.643-45.44 2)         7.103 (3.761-13.414)           No         252 (30.4)             9 Glaucoma patients              Yes         110 (90.9)         19.3 (10.225-36.43 0)         20.253 (9.546- 42.968)           No         300 (34.1)	8 Subjects w	orking in health s	ector	1	1	
No         252 (30.4)         Image: Constraint of the state of the		Yes	158 (91.9)	25.796 (14.643-45.44 2)	7.103 (3.761-13.414)	
9 Glaucoma patients         Yes         110 (90.9)         19.3 (10.225-36.43)         20.253 (2.253)         (9.546- 42.968)           No         300 (34.1) <td></td> <td>No</td> <td>252 (30.4)</td> <td></td> <td></td>		No	252 (30.4)			
Yes         110 (90.9)         19.3 (10.225-36.43)         20.253 42.968)         (9.546- 42.968)           No         300 (34.1)         Image: Comparison of the second se	9 Glaucoma patients					
No 300 (34.1)		Yes	110 (90.9)	19.3 (10.225-36.43 0)	20.253 (9.546- 42.968)	
		No	300 (34.1)			

 Table 2: Awareness about glaucoma (CI-confidence interval, The values in () indicate percentages, Unadjusted odds ratio was calculated using chi-square test, Adjusted odds ratio was calculated using multivariate logistic regression analysis)

Citation: Kaliaperumal S, Ganesan N, Kadirvel B (2018) Impact of Health Education Programs on Awareness of Glaucoma in a Tertiary Care Hospital in South India. J Clin Exp Ophthalmol 9: 713. doi:10.4172/2155-9570.1000713

	Variable	Knowledge about glaucoma			
SI.no		5-10		Odd s ratio (CI)	
			<=4	Unadjusted	Adjusted
1.	Age (yrs) 40-59	154 (58.6)	109	0.822 (0.548-1.235)	1.317 (0.835-2.077)
	>=60	79 (53.7)	68		
2.	Gender Male	126 (53.8)	108	1.329 (0.894-1.977)	0.905 (0.586-1.396)
	Female	107 (60.8)	69		
3.	Economic status Earning	135 (55.8)	107	1.110 (0.745-1.652)	0.852 (0.549-1.323)
	Not earning	98 (58.3)	70		
4.	Geographic distribution Puducherry	198 (59.1)	137	0.605 (0.366-1.001)	1.189 (0.665-2.126)
	Other	35 (46.7)	40		
5.	Knowledge about cataract Yes	191 (60.4)	125	0.529 (0.332-0.841)	1.376 (0.785-2.412)
	No	42 (44.7)	52		
6.	Knowledge about Diabetic retinopathy Yes	200 (61.9)	123	0.376 (0.231-0.612)	1.991 (1.117-3.549)
	No	33 (37.9)	54		
7.	Subjects with family history of glaucoma Yes	55 (73.3)	20	0.412 (0.237-0.718)	2.067 (1.137-3.757)
	No	178 (53.1)	157		
8.	Subjects working in health sector Yes	117 (74.1)	41	0.299 (0.194-0.461)	2.924 (1.808-4.728)
	No	116 (46)	136		
9.	Glaucoma patients Yes	71 (64.5)	39	0.645 (0.410-1.013)	1.982 (1.178-3.336)
	No	162 (54)	138		

 Table 3: Knowledge about glaucoma (CI-confidence interval. The values in () indicate percentages, Unadjusted odds ratio was calculated using chi-square test, Adjusted odds ratio was calculated using multivariate logistic regression analysis)

## Discussion

Glaucoma awareness in a developing country like India is very less compared to that of a developed country. It is well known that early diagnosis and prompt treatment can delay the progression of glaucoma. Blindness due to glaucoma can be alleviated to a limited extent by educating the people about the condition and thereby ensuring the individuals at risk to seek ophthalmic care and intervention [11]. Various governmental and non-governmental organizations are taking measures to impart health education about various ocular diseases, thereby make people aware of such diseases. To the best of our knowledge, only three studies in India have analyzed the degree of awareness of glaucoma [14-16]. Studies have shown that the age and sex adjusted glaucoma awareness rate among general urban population was only 13.5% [16] and awareness of glaucoma was very limited in the rural areas of southern India [17].

The awareness about glaucoma in our study was 41%. This percentage of awareness of glaucoma in our study is more compared to the studies done by Rakhi Dandona et al. [14] (2.3%) and Sannapaneni krishnaiah et al. [15] (0.32%) in urban and rural population of southern India. It is also more when compared to the study done by

Ramesh Ve Sathyamangalam et al. [16](13.5%) in the Chennai glaucoma study and considerably less when compared to the studies done by Gasch et al. [13] and S-M Saw et al. [7] in Singapore Chinese patients.

Out of the total 59.6% of subjects who were aware about cataract, only 53% of them were aware of glaucoma (OR-2.1; 95% CI=1.36-3.22). Out of the total 46.8% of subjects who were aware about diabetic retinopathy, only 69% of them were aware of glaucoma (OR-5.6; CI=3.8-8.48). Among subjects who had a family history of glaucoma, 2.6% of them were not aware of glaucoma. In our study, 9.1% of the glaucoma patients themselves were not aware of the disease they have. In addition to this, 8.2% of the subjects working in medical field were also not aware of glaucoma.

Most of the subjects who were aware of glaucoma had good knowledge about glaucoma (56.8%). Subjects who were aware of diabetic retinopathy (OR-1.9; CI=1.11-3.54), subjects with family history of glaucoma (OR-2; CI=1.13-3.75) and glaucoma patients themselves (OR-1.9; CI=1.17- 3.33) were around 2 times more likely to have good knowledge about glaucoma. Subjects working in health sector were three times more likely to have good knowledge about glaucoma (OR-2.9; CI=1.8-4.72).

The reason for increased awareness of glaucoma among people attending the Ophthalmology office can be attributed to a number of glaucoma awareness programs conducted every year in the hospital and in Puducherry. They include organizing rallies and screening all subjects for glaucoma during the world glaucoma week. This clearly signifies the role of conducting public awareness programs in improving the awareness of glaucoma. The need for more awareness programs to further increase the awareness of glaucoma stands unimpeded.

## Acknowledgements

I acknowledge Dr.Gilbert in helping me with the statistics of this study. I also acknowledge Dr Praveen Kumar for motivating me to perform this study.

## References

 Resnikoff S, Pascolini D, Etyaale D, Pararajasegram, Pokharel GP, et al. (2004) Global data on visual impairment in year 2002. Bull World Health Organ 82: 844-851.

- Dandona R, Dandona L (2001) Review of findings of Andhra Pradesh Eye Disease Study: policy implications for eye care services. Indian J Ophthalmol 49: 215-234.
- 3. Rekhi GS, Kulshreshta OP (1991) Common causes of blindness: A pilot survey in Jaipur, Rajasthan. Indian J Ophthalmol 39: 108-111.
- Jacon A, Thomas R, Koshy SP, Braganza A, Muliyil J (1998) Prevalence of primary glaucoma in an urban south Indian population. Indian J Ophthalmol 46: 81-86.
- Ramakrishnan R, Nirmalan PK, Krishnadas R, Thulasiraj RD, Teilsch JM, et al. (2003) Glaucoma in a rural population of southern India, The Aravind comprehensive eye survey. Ophthalmology 110: 1484-1490.
- Quigley HA (1996) Number of people with glaucoma world-wide. Br J Ophthalmol 80: 389-393.
- Saw SM, Gazzard G, Friedman D, Foster PJ, Devereux JG, et al. (2003) Awareness of glaucoma and health beliefs of patients suffering primary acute angle closure. Br J Ophthalmol 87: 446-449.
- 8. Grant WM, Burke JF (1982) Why do some people go blind from glaucoma? Ophthalmology 89: 991-998.
- 9. Elkingston AR, Lewry J, Mackean J, Sargent P (1982) A collaborative hospital glaucoma survey. Res Clin Forums 4: 31-40.
- Coffey M, Reidy A, Wormaid R, Wu XX, Wright L, et al. (1993) Prevalence of glaucoma in the west of Ireland. Br J Ophthalmol 77: 17-21.
- 11. Javitt JC (1995) Preventing blindness in Americans: The need for eye health education. Surv Ophthalmol 40: 41-44.
- 12. Landers J, Goldberg I, Graham SL (2002) Factors affecting awareness and knowledge of glaucoma among patients presenting to an urban emergency department. Clin Exp Ophthalmol 30: 104-109.
- 13. Gasch AT, Wang P, Pasquale LR (2000) Determinants of Glaucoma awareness in General Eye Clinic. Ophthalmology 107: 303-308.
- Dandona R, Dandona L, John Rk, Mc Carty CA, Rao GN (2001) Awareness of eye diseases in urban population in south India. Bull WHO 79: 96-102.
- Krishnaian S, Korai V, Srinivas M, Shamana NR, Rao GN, et al. (2005) Awareness of glaucoma in rural population of south India. Indian J Ophthalmol 53: 205-208.
- Sathyamangalam RV, Paul PG, George R, Baskaran M, Arvind H, et al. (2009) Determinants of glaucoma awareness and knowledge in urban Chennai. Indian J Ophthalmol 57: 355-360.
- Gogate P, Deshpande R, Chelerkar V, Deshpande S, Deshpande M (2011) Is glaucoma blindness a disease of deprivation and ignorance? A case control study for late presentation of glaucoma in India. Indian J Ophthalmol 59: 29-35.