

# Heterosis for Seed Fibre Quality Traits Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.)

## Rani Chapara, Sudharani Madugula\*

Department of Agriculture, Regional Agricultural Research Station, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Lam, Guntur, India

## ABSTRACT

Study of heterosis is very crucial to identify the promising hybrid combinations for further advancement of the material and also to exploit as such for commercial cultivation of hybrids. The objective of this research was to estimate the heterosis of all types i.e. mid parantal heterosis, heterobeltoisis and standard heterosis for fibre quality parameters. Nine genotypes and 20 F1 hybrids derived by crossing the parental genotypes in L × T design were sown in randomized complete block design along with standard check Suvin. It was observed that the line × tester interactions made greater contribution to the total variance for all the fibre quality traits studied. Proportional contribution of lines to total variance was very low for all the traits, while testers also followed similar pattern and contributed a minimum to the total variance. However, maximum variance was extended by line × tester interaction for all the fibre quality traits studied. Out of evaluated 20 hybrids, the cross combinations namely, TCH1716 × GJHV 516, BGDS1033 × HYPS152 and TCH1716 × HYPS152 were found to be promising for Upper half mean length mean length of the fibre as they showed highest mean performance and significant positive heterosis. Further, the hybrid F2423 × HYPS152 was found to be more fine fibre and showed highest heterosis. However, the most promising hybrids for strength were TCH1716 × L766 and TCH1716 × HYPS152, while the highest heterotic effect elongation per cent was shown by TCH1716 × GJHV516. It indicated larger scope for heterosis breeding for commercial exploitation of heterosis. These promising cross combinations showing desirable heterosis over standard check can be advanced for isolation for further exploitation to improve fibre quality traits.

Keywords: Cotton; Heterosis; Heterobeltoisis; Standard heterosis; Fibre quality traits

#### INTRODUCTION

Cotton (Gossypium spp.) popularly called "White Gold" is the most important renewable natural fibre crop of global importance. World cotton production is estimated at 118.93 million bales of 480 lb (AICCIP Annual Report, 2018-19). India is maintaining the position of leading cotton growers in the world, China leading in terms of cotton production. Although cotton is cultivated in 77 countries; the five countries-China, India, United States, Brazil and Pakistan, produces 78% of the total world production from 72% of the world gross cotton area. China and Bangladesh are being the largest net importers of cotton (19% each) of the total world import, followed by Vietnam (17%), Indonesia (8%) and Pakistan (7%). The United States maintaining leading exporter of cotton (36%) of the total world export, followed by Brazil (14%), India (10%) and Australia (9%). And the productivity front Australia leading with yield of 1814 kg/ha, followed by China (1726) and Brazil (1636) and India way behind at 507 kg lint/ha (AICCIP Annual Report, 2018-19).

Development of new variety with high yield and fibre quality is the primary objective of all cotton breeders. Heterosis breeding is an important genetic tool to facilitate yield enhancement and help to enrich many other desirable quantitative and qualitative traits in crops. Heterosis or hybrid vigour is the increment in performance of a hybrid (F1 generation) in relation to parental average and can assume positive or negative values. Exploitation of heterosis as hybrids and systematic varietal improvement through hybridization are the main tools to increase the cotton

Correspondence to: Dr. Sudharani Madugula, Department of Agriculture, Regional Agricultural Research Station, Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Lam, Guntur, India, E-mail: drranichapara@gmail.com

Received: February 24, 2021; Accepted: March 12, 2021; Published: March 19, 2021

Citation: Chapara R, Madugula S (2021) Heterosis for Seed Fibre Quality Traits Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). J Forest Res. 10:253.

**Copyright:** © 2021 Chapara R, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

production in India. It is an often cross pollinated crop and amenable for both heterosis breeding as well as hybridization followed by selection in subsequent generations. The phenomenon of heterosis has proven to be the most important genetic tool in boosting the yield of self as well as cross pollinated crops and is considered as the most important breakthrough in the field of crop improvement. The exploitation of hybrid vigour in cotton on commercial scale has become feasible and economical due to easy hand emasculation and pollination. The identification of specific parental combinations capable of producing the desired level of F1 heterotic effect is important in improving the yield potential of this crop [1]. Line × Tester analysis provides a systematic approach for the detection of appropriate parents and crosses in terms of investigated traits. This method was applied to improve self and cross-pollinated plants [2]. Hence, the present study was undertaken with an aim to identify high heterotic cross combinations for fibre quality traits.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study of estimation of heterosis of *G. hirsutum L.* genotypes and F1 hybrids of cotton was carried out at RARS, Lam, Guntur, and ANGRAU during 2017-18 and 2018-19. Out of nine genotypes chosen for the study, four were designated as lines (Females) and five as testers (Males). Crosses were effected in a line x tester ( $4 \times 5$ ) design to produce 20 hybrid combinations. Hybridization was carried out following hand emasculation and pollination. Flower buds, likely to open the next day were chosen for emasculation and anthers of selected buds were removed gently with the help of nail and covered with red colored straw tube to prevent natural out crossing. Emasculation was carried out between 3 and 6 PM.

The emasculated buds were pollinated on next day with pollen of male parent between 9 and 11 AM. Four to five flower buds of female parent were pollinated by one flower of male parent. After pollination, the staminal column was covered with white colored straw tube for prevention of cross pollination with undesirable pollen. A label with details of the cross was also tied on the pedicel for identification at harvest. The white colored straw tubes were removed after completion of fertilization i.e., four days after pollination. Sufficient care was taken to ensure nicking of parents and all recommended practices were adopted to obtain sufficient number of crossed bolls for each cross combination.

During 2018-19, the nine parents and 20 hybrids and standard check (Suvin) were sown in four rows measuring six meters in a RCB design with two replications along with parents and standard hybrid check RCH 659. The row and plant spacings adopted were 105 and 60 cm, respectively. Recommended cultural practices were carried out and the crop was grown under uniform field condition to minimize environmental variations to the maximum possible extent. The data were recorded from 10 plants/entry/replication for the traits *viz.*, Upper Half Mean Length (mm) (UHML), Mean Length (ML) (mm), fibre Uniformity Index (%) (UI), micronaire value (g/ inch) and fibre strength (g/tex). Forty well developed open bolls

were randomly hand harvested from each row of parents and F1's. The bulked bolls from each genotype were ginned. The L  $\times$  T analysis of heterosis was performed as suggested by [3]. Heterosis was calculated in terms of percent increase (+) or decrease (-) of the F1 hybrids against its mid parent, better parent and standard parent value as suggested.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Significant differences were detected among parents and F1 hybrids for fibre quality traits studied indicating the presence of sufficient genetic variability among them (Table 1). The proportional contributions of lines and testers and their interactions (Line × Tester) to the total variance were varied among the investigated characters. Results revealed that line × tester interactions made greater contribution to the total variance for all the fibre quality traits studied. Proportional contribution of lines to total variance was very low for all the traits, while testers also followed similar pattern and contributed a minimum to the total variance. However, maximum variance was extended by line × tester interaction for all the traits studied (Table 2).

Sour ce of varia tion	DF	DFF	PH (cm)	No. of mon opod s	No. of Sym podi a	No. of Bolls / Plan t	Boll wt (g)	Lint Inde x (g)	
Repli catio ns	1	0.27	177.2 5	0.12	2.16	0.01	0.62	0.3	0.58
Treat ment s	2.8	9.59	779. 66	0.51	7.04	135. 73	0.36	0.87	1.43
Pare nts	8	7.37	153. 68	0.59	0.66	54.0 5	0.23	0.92	0.41
Pare nts vs. Cros ses	1	13.0 4	2568 .37	0.06	6.02	188. 73	1.98	1.02	1.12
Cros ses	19	10.3 4	949. 09	0.5	9.78	167.3 4	0.32	0.84	1.87
Lines	3	27.75	1044 .9	0.44	14.13	213.7 7	0.57	0.19	3.64
Teste rs	4	8.27	1017. 64	0.48 8	13.3	275. 3	0.37	0.73	0.57
Lines x Teste rs	12	6.67	902. 27	0.52 7	7.51	119.7 4	0.24	1.04	1.86
Error	28	1.88	251.4	0.05 6	1.75	35.2 1	0.1	0.27	0.82

Total 57	5.64	509. 6	0.28	4.36	83.9 7	0.24	0.56	1.11
σ2 GCA	1.79	86.6 5	0.04 5		23.2 5	0.04 1	0.05 7	0.143
σ2 SCA	2.39	325. 4	0.23 5	2.88 1	42.2 6	0.07	0.02 1	0.52
σ2 GCA /σ2 SCA	0.74 9	0.26 6	0.191 4		0.55 2			0.29 5

 Table 1: Analysis of variance (mean squares) for combining ability for yield, yield components.

Sour ce of varia tion	GOT (%)	SCY (Kg/ ha)	Lint yield (Kg/ ha)	UH ML (mm )	ML (mm )	UI (%)	Mic (ug/ inch)	Bun dle stren gth (g tex)	E (%)
Repli catio ns	8.55	4027. 3	7473. 2	10.0 9	20.5 2	1196 3.6	0.00 017	18.77	7.96
Treat ment s	10.2 2	2267 40.9	4096 8.2	8.83	8.91	912.9 5	0.20 6	7.2	0.32
Pare nts	12.3 3	1506 53.2	3304 1.9	12.9 9	13.5	2.12	0.27 8	6.17	0.13
Pare nts vs. Cros ses	37.56	1100 797	2543 00.1	11.88	20.2 2	2551 1.72	0.42	3.93	0.98
Cros ses	7.89	2127 74.9	3307 7.6	6.92	6.39	1.78	0.16	7.8	0.36
Lines	12.37	4557 55.3	2891 6.4	11.46	9.99	1.73	0.21	11.42	0.53
Teste rs	13.2 2	1323 48.5	3769. 57	8.42	7.7	1.75	0.28	14.5 6	0.43
Lines × Teste rs	5	1788 38.6	3258 0	5.29	5.05	1.81	0.11	4.65	0.3
Error	4.16	6376 5.36	1093 1.2	2.57	1.16	944. 56	0.03	3.66	0.83
Total	7.22	1427 75.5	2562 5.6	5.78	5.31	1122. 35	0.119	5.67	0.71
σ2 GCA	0.95 9	2558 7.3	2485 .8	0.818 8	0.85 3	-104. 75	0.02 39	1.03 6	-0.03 8

			-471. 4		
σ2 GCA /σ2 SCA			-0.22 22		

 Table 2: Analysis of variance (mean squares) yield components and quality parameters in cotton.

#### Upper half mean length (mm)

Estimation of heterotic effects is necessary to identify the new cross combinations that are suitable for direct exploitation. Presence of sizeable magnitude of heterosis is very crucial for its exploitation in crop improvement programme. Amount of heterosis in F1 is indication of genetic diversity among the parents involved in crosses [4]. Heterosis breeding has led to considerable yield improvements in a most of the cross as well as self-pollinated crops. Estimation of heterosis guides the breeder to identify the superior crosses that are likely to throw transgressive segregants [5]. The directions and magnitude of heterosis and type of gene action determines the further scope of exploitation. The measures of heterosis over better parent (heterobeltiosis) and over standard check (standard heterosis) are better rational parameters for assessing its practical utility.

Fibre fineness, uniformity, length and strength affect spinning efficiency. Fibre length is critical for textile processing and varies greatly for different cottons due to genetic differences. The fibres of long staple lengths produce smoother and stronger fabrics as cotton fibres of long staple lengths are finer, stronger and also more flexible than fibres of short staple length.

The mean performance of UHML ranged between 24.65 mm (GSHV179 × GJHV516) to 30.70 (TCH1716 × GJHV516). Out of 20 hybrids evaluated, nine hybrids manifested positive and significant heterosis over standard check and the maximum value was observed for the cross TCH1716 × GJHV516 (21.34 %) followed by BGDS 1033 × HYPS 152 (20.95%) and TCH1716 × HYPS152 (17.19%). Similarly, heterobeltiosis for UHML ranged between -20.73 (GSHV179 × L766) and 7.53 (TCH1716 × GJHV516). Out of 20 cross combinations, six hybrids showed significant negative heterosis over better parent. However, the cross combination TCH1716 × GJHV516 (11.13 %) also showed heterosis over mid parent. The results of heterosis are in conformity with the reports was shown in Table 3 [6-10].

Cross combin ation	UHML (mm)				ML (mm)				Mic (ug/inch)			
	Me an		BP	SC	Me an	M P		SC	Me an	~	B P	SC
	29. 1				24. 1						-4. 76	
TCH17 16 ×	30. 7		7.5 3		25. 65				3.7	-8. 64	-11 .9	-5.1 3

Chapara	R,	et	al.
---------	----	----	-----

-8. 68	-12 .76	8.1								
		8.1								
	.70	0.11	22. 6	-10 .05	-14 .56	10. 24	4.3	2.3 8	2.3 8	10. 26
4.4 6	2.6 3	15. 81	24. 3	4.5 2	2.1	18. 54	4.3	-3. 37	-8. 51	10. 26
-3. 42	-9. 74	17. 19	24. 75	-3. 6	-10 .16	20. 73	4.1	2.5	-2. 38	5.1 3
-0. 26	-2. 83	15. 42	24. 3	-0. 1	-2. 99	18. 54	4.3	4.8 8	0	10. 26
0.1 8	-2. 98	9.2 9	22. 9	0.6 6	-2. 97	11. 71	4.1	0	-4. 65	5.1 3
-5. 26	-9. 57	12. 06	23. 5	-6. 09	-11 .15	14. 63	4.1	-3. 53	-4. 65	5.1 3
2.5 9	0.8 8	13. 64	23. 9	3.2 4	1.2 7	16. 59	4.4	-2. 22	-6. 38	12. 828
-10 .51	-16 .44	8.8	22. 65			10. 49	4.1 5	2.4 7	-3. 49	6.4 1
			21. 1	-21 .2	-25 .97	2.9 3	4.4	7.3 2	2.3 3	12. 82
1.3	<i>-</i> 5. 8	15. 61	24. 35	-3. 37			4.4	7.3 2	2.3 3	12. 82
			20. 15			-1. 71	4.8 5	14. 12	12. 79	24. 36
2.0 5	-3. 7	18. 18	24. 95	-2. 54	-12 .46	21. 71	4.3	-4. 44	-8. 51	10. 26
-4. 23	-6. 85	20. 95	25. 7	-8. 3	-9. 82	25. 37	4	-1. 23	-6. 98	2.5 6
	-3. 42 -0. 26 0.1 8 -5. 26 -10 .51 -15 .38 1.3 -20 .35 -2.0 5 -4.	-3.       -9.         42       74         -0.       -2.         26       -2.         0.1       -2.         8       98         -5.       -9.         26       -57         27       0.8         9       -16         .51       -16         .51       -16         .38       -75         1.3       -5.         8       -20         .20       -20         .35       73         2.0       -3.         -4.       -6.	-3.       -9.       17.         42       74       19         -0.       2.       15.         26       83       142         0.1       -2.       9.2         8       98       9.2         -5.       -9.       9.2         26       9.1       12.         26       9.7       12.         27.5       8.8       13.         9       8.8       64         -10       -16       8.8         .51       -16       2.1         .38       .75       7         1.3       .5.       15.         .38       .73       18.         2.0       -3.       18.         5       7.       18.         4.4       -6.       20.	$\cdot 3.$ $42$ $\cdot 9.$ $74$ $17.$ $98$ $24.$ $75$ $\cdot 0.$ $26$ $\cdot 2.$ $83$ $15.$ $42$ $24.$ $3\cdot 0.26\cdot 2.8315.4224.3\cdot 0.2.6\cdot 2.889.29.29.224.3\cdot 0.-5.\cdot 2.9.89.29.224.3\cdot 0.-5.\cdot 2.-579.2-5724.-57\cdot 10-16\cdot 16-8.823.-9.2-11.\cdot 15-16.\cdot 16.-16.448.8-16.444\cdot 15-16.444\cdot 16.2.1-16.444\cdot 15-16.444\cdot 16.2.1-16.444\cdot 15-16.444\cdot 16.144424.-16.444\cdot 15-16.444\cdot 16.144444\cdot 15-16.4444\cdot 16.144444444444444444444444444444444444$	-3. $42$ $-9.$ $74$ $17.$ $19$ $24.$ $75$ $-3.$ $6$ $-0.$ $26$ $-2.$ $83$ $15.$ $42$ $24.$ $3.$ $-0.$ $12.$ $23.$ $57$ $-0.$ $6$ $0.1$ $8$ $-2.$ $98$ $9.2$ $9.2$ $22.$ $23.$ $6.$ $0.6$ $6$ $-5.$ $26$ $-9.$ $57$ $12.$ $23.$ $64$ $23.$ $9.2$ $-6.$ $69$ $2.5$ $9.8$ $-9.$ $8.8$ $13.$ $24.$ $9.4$ $23.$ $9.4$ $-6.$ $9.4$ $-10$ $.51$ $-16$ $.44$ $8.8$ $64.$ $22.$ $9.4$ $-11.$ $.21$ $-15$ $.38$ $-16$ $.75$ $2.1$ $7.1$ $21.$ $.21$ $-21.$ $.21$ $1.3$ $.5.$ $-15.$ $7.3$ $15.$ $24.$ $37.$ $-26.$ $.35.$ $-20$ $.73$ $-20.$ $7.1$ $-1.$ $18.$ $24.$ $25.$ $-20.$ $-3.$ $-3.$ $7.$ $18.$ $24.$ $-2.$ $-54.$	-3. $42$ $-9.$ $74$ $17.$ $19$ $24.$ $75$ $-3.$ $6$ $-10$ $.16$ $-0.$ $26$ $-2.$ $83$ $15.$ $42$ $24.$ $3$ $-0.$ $1$ $2.$ $990.12.889.29.222.9.29.20.697-2.97-5.26-9.989.29.222.9.29.20.697-2.97-5.-579.20623.-576.-1011.-17.-10-16-1613.-21.-21.-21.-21.-22.-27.1.2-27.-27.-10-15-16-16-162.1-17.-11.-21.-27.-27.-10-16-162.1-17.-11.-21.-27.-27.-10-16-162.1-16.21.-11.-21.-22.-27.-10-16.-16.-16.21.-17.-21.-22.-27.-10-16.-16.-16.21.-11.-21.-22.-27.-10-16.-16.-16.-27.-17.-26.-29.-20.-7.-11.-7.20.-7.-26.-29.-20.-7.-11.-7.22.-7.-27.-7.-20.-7.-11.-7.-26.-7.-27.-7.-20.-7.-11.-7.-26.-7.-27.-7.$	-3. $-9.$ $17.$ $24.$ $-3.$ $-10$ $20.$ $42$ $74$ $19$ $75$ $6$ $.16$ $20.$ $20.$ $22.$ $15.$ $24.$ $20.$ $16.$ $73$ $20.$ $22.$ $83.$ $42.$ $24.$ $20.$ $16.$ $73.$ $0.1$ $2.$ $9.2$ $22.$ $0.6$ $2.$ $11.$ $8.$ $98.$ $9.2$ $22.$ $0.6$ $2.$ $11.$ $5.$ $9.$ $12.$ $23.$ $6.$ $11.$ $14.$ $26.$ $97.$ $12.$ $23.$ $6.$ $11.$ $14.$ $26.$ $9.$ $12.$ $23.$ $6.$ $11.$ $14.$ $9.$ $9.$ $23.$ $3.2$ $1.2$ $1.5$ $16.$ $9.$ $8.$ $13.$ $23.$ $3.2$ $1.2$ $1.5$ $16.$ $1.0$ $1.6$ $8.8$ $22.$ $.11.$ $.12.$ $2.97.$ $3.$ </td <td>-3.       -9.       17.       24.       -3.       -10       20.       4.1         -0.       -2.       15.       24.       -0.       -2.       18.       4.3         26       83       42       3.       1.       99       54       4.3         0.1       -2.       98       9.2       22.       0.6       -2.       11.       4.1         -5.       97       12.       23.       6.       -11       14.       4.1         -5.       97       0.6       57       0.6       57       15.       63       4.1         -5.       97       0.6       57       0.6       57       16.       4.1         -10       -16       8.8       22.       -11       -17.       10.       4.1         .51       .44       8.8       25.       .41       79       49       5         .13       .5.       15.       24.       .3.       .14       18.       4.4         .38       .75       7       1       .2       .97       3       4.4         .38       .75       7       1       .2       .97       3       &lt;</td> <td><math>-3</math><math>9</math><math>17</math><math>24</math><math>3</math><math>10</math><math>20</math><math>4.1</math><math>2.5</math><math>42</math><math>74</math><math>19</math><math>75</math><math>6</math><math>.16</math><math>73</math><math>4.1</math><math>2.5</math><math>20</math><math>22</math><math>15</math><math>24</math><math>0</math><math>2</math><math>18</math><math>4.3</math><math>4.8</math><math>26</math><math>83</math><math>42</math><math>3</math><math>0</math><math>2</math><math>11</math><math>99</math><math>54</math><math>4.3</math><math>4.8</math><math>0.1</math><math>2.</math><math>92</math><math>22</math><math>0.6</math><math>2.</math><math>11.</math><math>4.1</math><math>0</math><math>5</math><math>9</math><math>9</math><math>9</math><math>6</math><math>97</math><math>71</math><math>4.1</math><math>0</math><math>26</math><math>57</math><math>06</math><math>5</math><math>09</math><math>.15</math><math>63</math><math>4.1</math><math>2.</math><math>26</math><math>57</math><math>06</math><math>5</math><math>09</math><math>.15</math><math>63</math><math>4.1</math><math>2.</math><math>26</math><math>57</math><math>06</math><math>5</math><math>09</math><math>.15</math><math>63</math><math>4.1</math><math>2.</math><math>20</math><math>8</math><math>64</math><math>9</math><math>4.2</math><math>7^{2}</math><math>99</math><math>4.4</math><math>7.3</math><math>.10</math><math>.16</math><math>8.8</math><math>22.</math><math>.11</math><math>.17</math><math>10.</math><math>4.1</math><math>2.4</math><math>.51</math><math>.44</math><math>9</math><math>4.4</math><math>7.9</math><math>99</math><math>5</math><math>7</math><math>.13</math><math>.5.</math><math>15.</math><math>24.</math><math>-3.</math><math>-14</math><math>18.</math><math>4.4</math><math>7.3</math><math>.33</math><math>.75</math><math>78</math><math>12.</math><math>22.</math><math>27.</math><math>71.</math><math>4.3</math><math>4.</math><math>.33</math><math>.73</math><math>78.</math><math>20.</math><math>.26.</math><math>.29</math><math>1.</math><math>4.8</math><math>14.</math><math>.33</math><math>.73</math><math>78.</math><math>20.</math><math>.26.</math><math>.29.</math><td< td=""><td>-3.       -9.       17.       24.       -3.       -10       73       4.1       2.5       2.         -0.       2.3       15.       24.       -0.       -2.       18.       4.3       4.8       0         -0.       2.3       15.       24.       -0.       -2.       18.       4.3       4.8       0         0.1       -2.       9.2       22.       0.6       -2.       11.       4.1       0.       4.5         5.       -9.       12.       23.       6.0       -2.       11.       4.1       3.       4.5         2.5       9.8       13.       23.       3.2       1.2       16.       4.4       1.3       4.1         9       8       64       9       4.7       79       49       5.1       2.4       -3.         -10       -16       8.8       22.       -11       -17.       10.       4.1       2.3       3.2         -13       -16       2.1       21.       -21       -25       2.9       4.4       7.3       2.3         -13       -5.       15.       24.       -3.       37       56       78       4.</td></td<></td>	-3.       -9.       17.       24.       -3.       -10       20.       4.1         -0.       -2.       15.       24.       -0.       -2.       18.       4.3         26       83       42       3.       1.       99       54       4.3         0.1       -2.       98       9.2       22.       0.6       -2.       11.       4.1         -5.       97       12.       23.       6.       -11       14.       4.1         -5.       97       0.6       57       0.6       57       15.       63       4.1         -5.       97       0.6       57       0.6       57       16.       4.1         -10       -16       8.8       22.       -11       -17.       10.       4.1         .51       .44       8.8       25.       .41       79       49       5         .13       .5.       15.       24.       .3.       .14       18.       4.4         .38       .75       7       1       .2       .97       3       4.4         .38       .75       7       1       .2       .97       3       <	$-3$ $9$ $17$ $24$ $3$ $10$ $20$ $4.1$ $2.5$ $42$ $74$ $19$ $75$ $6$ $.16$ $73$ $4.1$ $2.5$ $20$ $22$ $15$ $24$ $0$ $2$ $18$ $4.3$ $4.8$ $26$ $83$ $42$ $3$ $0$ $2$ $11$ $99$ $54$ $4.3$ $4.8$ $0.1$ $2.$ $92$ $22$ $0.6$ $2.$ $11.$ $4.1$ $0$ $5$ $9$ $9$ $9$ $6$ $97$ $71$ $4.1$ $0$ $26$ $57$ $06$ $5$ $09$ $.15$ $63$ $4.1$ $2.$ $26$ $57$ $06$ $5$ $09$ $.15$ $63$ $4.1$ $2.$ $26$ $57$ $06$ $5$ $09$ $.15$ $63$ $4.1$ $2.$ $20$ $8$ $64$ $9$ $4.2$ $7^{2}$ $99$ $4.4$ $7.3$ $.10$ $.16$ $8.8$ $22.$ $.11$ $.17$ $10.$ $4.1$ $2.4$ $.51$ $.44$ $9$ $4.4$ $7.9$ $99$ $5$ $7$ $.13$ $.5.$ $15.$ $24.$ $-3.$ $-14$ $18.$ $4.4$ $7.3$ $.33$ $.75$ $78$ $12.$ $22.$ $27.$ $71.$ $4.3$ $4.$ $.33$ $.73$ $78.$ $20.$ $.26.$ $.29$ $1.$ $4.8$ $14.$ $.33$ $.73$ $78.$ $20.$ $.26.$ $.29.$ <td< td=""><td>-3.       -9.       17.       24.       -3.       -10       73       4.1       2.5       2.         -0.       2.3       15.       24.       -0.       -2.       18.       4.3       4.8       0         -0.       2.3       15.       24.       -0.       -2.       18.       4.3       4.8       0         0.1       -2.       9.2       22.       0.6       -2.       11.       4.1       0.       4.5         5.       -9.       12.       23.       6.0       -2.       11.       4.1       3.       4.5         2.5       9.8       13.       23.       3.2       1.2       16.       4.4       1.3       4.1         9       8       64       9       4.7       79       49       5.1       2.4       -3.         -10       -16       8.8       22.       -11       -17.       10.       4.1       2.3       3.2         -13       -16       2.1       21.       -21       -25       2.9       4.4       7.3       2.3         -13       -5.       15.       24.       -3.       37       56       78       4.</td></td<>	-3.       -9.       17.       24.       -3.       -10       73       4.1       2.5       2.         -0.       2.3       15.       24.       -0.       -2.       18.       4.3       4.8       0         -0.       2.3       15.       24.       -0.       -2.       18.       4.3       4.8       0         0.1       -2.       9.2       22.       0.6       -2.       11.       4.1       0.       4.5         5.       -9.       12.       23.       6.0       -2.       11.       4.1       3.       4.5         2.5       9.8       13.       23.       3.2       1.2       16.       4.4       1.3       4.1         9       8       64       9       4.7       79       49       5.1       2.4       -3.         -10       -16       8.8       22.       -11       -17.       10.       4.1       2.3       3.2         -13       -16       2.1       21.       -21       -25       2.9       4.4       7.3       2.3         -13       -5.       15.       24.       -3.       37       56       78       4.

F 2453 × L 765								
F 2453 × GJHV 516	•	•					11. 54	
F 2453 × GISV 164								
F 2453 × L 766							-10 .64	
F 2453 × HYPS 152								0

 Table 3: Estimates of Mean performance and heterosis for 20 F1

 hybrids for fibre quality parameters in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.).

#### Mean length (mm)

The mean performance for mean length of fibre ranged from 19.80 (F2453 × GJHV516) to 25.65 (TCH1716 × GJHV516). The estimate of heterosis for mean length over standard check varied between -3.41 (F2453 × GJHV516) and 25.37 per cent (BGDS 1033 × HYPS 152). Out of 20 hybrids evaluated, 13 hybrids registered positive and significant standard heterosis for mean length. The highest value was observed for the cross BGDS1033 × HYPS 152 (25.37%) followed by TCH1716 × GJHV516 (25.12%) and BGDS1033 × L766 (21.71%). The results of heterosis are in agreement with the earlier findings [11,12].

### Micronaire value (ug/inch)

Fibre fineness or micronaire and fibre strength are very important characteristic of the fibre quality of cotton and are extremely useful for textile industry. Negative heterosis is desirable for this trait as more micronaire value indicates the roughness of the fibre. Lower the micronaire value, the finer would be the fibre. In the present study, the fibre fineness ranged between 3.70 ug/inch (TCH1716 × GJHV 516) and 4.90 ug/inch (F 2453 × GISV 164). Among hybrids tested, 11 hybrids showed significant and negative heterosis over standard check, which indicated that the greater the micronaire value, the lower the fineness [13,14]. The cross combinations TCH1716 × GJHV 516 (-21.28%), F 2453 × HYPS 152 (-17.02%) and TCH1716 × L 765 and BGDS 1033 × GISV 164 (-14.89%) showed significant and negative standard heterosis. However, the hybrids TCH1716 × GJHV 516, TCH1716 × L 766, GSHV179 × GISV 164, BGDS 1033 × L 766 expressed heterobeltiosis and mid parental heterosis for micronaire value. These results were supported by earlier studies [15].

Fibre strength is one of the most important fibre properties and it is quantitatively inherited. Stronger, longer, finer and more uniform cotton fibres are desired for modern textile industries. The mean strength of hybrids stretched between 25.20 (F2453 × GISV164) and 31.15 (TCH1716 × L766). Out of 20 hybrids, the cross combination TCH1716 × L766 expressed significant standard heterosis in positive direction for fibre strength (15.37%) followed by TCH1716 × HYPS152 (15.19%). No other hybrids could realize the positive heterosis of any kind in the present study and few of male sterility based hybrids for fibre strength, and these are conformed in the present study results [16,17].

#### Elongation (%)

The mean performance for elongation per cent of fibre ranged from 4.90 (BGDS1033 × GJHV516) to 6.3 % (TCH1716 × GJHV516). The estimate of heterosis for elongation per cent over standard check varied between 2.80 (BGDS1033 × GJHV516) and 31.25 per cent (TCH1716 × GJHV516). All the hybrids evaluated registered positive standard heterosis for elongation per cent. Further, it is observed that none of the hybrid could record significant superiority of heterosis of any type. However, positive heterobeltiosis was registered by 13 hybrids and 12 hybrids recorded positive heterosis over mid parent indicating that improvement in elongation of the fibre is a little. Similarly, low per cent elongation [18].

#### CONCLUSION

Fibre quality parameters of cotton, fibre length and fineness have a vital influence on the yarn strength. The increasing fibre length results in improved yarn strength because a long fibre generates a greater frictional resistance to an external force. High fibre length and the tensile strength of the fibres becomes the controlling factor of yarn strength. The developing high fibre length and strength cultivars or hybrids are essential to current modernized spinning mills. Therefore, the present study was carried out for improving fibre quality traits from upland cotton by line × tester design. Out of evaluated 20 hybrids, the cross combinations namely, TCH1716 × GJHV 516, BGDS1033 × HYPS152 and TCH 1716 × HYPS152 were found to be promising for Upper half mean length mean length of the fibre as they showed highest mean performance and significant positive heterosis. Further, the hybrid F2423 × HYPS152 was found to be more fine fibre and showed maximum heterosis. However, the most promising hybrids for strength were TCH 1716 × L766 and TCH1716 × HYPS152 while the highest heterotic effect elongation per cent wasTCH1716 × GJHV516. It indicates larger scope for heterosis breeding for commercial exploitation of heterosis. These promising cross combinations showing desirable heterosis over standard check can be advanced for isolation for further exploitation to improve fibre quality traits.

#### REFERENCES

 Kumar KA, Kumar SK, Ravikesavan R. Heterosis studies for fibre quality of upland cotton in line x tester design. Afr J Agric Res. 2013;8(48):6359636.

- 2. Carvalho LD, Moraes CF, Cruz CD. Combining ability and heterosis in upland cotton. Revista Ceres. 1994;41(3):514-527.
- Fehr WR. Principles of cultivar development. Theory and technique. Macmillan Pub. Co. Inc. New York. USA. 1987; pp. 115-119.
- Iraddi V, Kajjidoni S. A Comparative study on heterosis for productivity and fibre quality traits in intra-herbaceum and interspecific (G. herbaceum x G. arboreum) crosses of diploid cotton. J Res Angrau. 2009;37(3-4):35-43.
- Karademir C, Karademir E, Gencer O. Yield and fiber quality of F1 and F2 Generations of Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) under drought stress conditions. Bulg J Agric Sci. 2011;17(6):795-805.
- Karademir E, Gencer O (2010). Combining Ability and Heterosis for Yield and Fiber Quality Properties in Cotton (G. hirsutum L.) obtained by Half Diallel Mating Design. Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobot Cluj. 38(1):222-227.
- Kempthorne O. An Introduction to Genetic Statistics. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York. 1957;458:471.
- Khan UQ. Studies of heterosis in fibre quality traits of cotton. Asian J Plant Sci. 2002;1(5):593-595.
- Kumar KS. Diallel analysis in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 2007;3596365.
- Nidagundi JM. Genetic studies on productivity and quality features in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.), Ph.D. Thesis, Univ Agric Sci, Dharwad. India. 2010.
- Patel DH, Patel DU, Kumar V. Heterosis and combining ability analysis in tetraploid cotton (G. hirsutum L. and G.barbadense L.). Electronic J Plant Breed. 2014;5(3):408-414.
- Patel NA, Patel BN, Bhatt JP, Patel JA. Heterosis and combining ability for seed cotton yield and component traits in inter-specific cotton hybrids (Gossypium hirsutum L. × G. barbadense L.). Madras Agri J. 2012;99(10-12):649656.
- 13. Rauf S, Khan TM, Nazir S. Combining ability and heterosis in (G. hirsutum L.). Int J Agric Biol. 2005;7(1):109-113.
- Singh P. Note on useful heterosis in upland cotton. Indian J Agric Sci. 1982;52(1):29-31.
- Somashekhar D. Genetic studies on reciprocal selection for combining ability to improve hybrid performance in cotton. Ph.D Thesis, Univ Agric Sci, Dharwad. India. 2006.
- Soomro AR. Assessment of useful heterosis in glandless Gossypium hirsutum cotton strains through their performance in hybrid combination. Pakistan J Bot. 2000;32(1):5-68.
- 17. Tuteja OP, Kumar S, Hasan H, Singh M. Heterosis and interrelationship between seed cotton yield and qualitative characters in upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum). Indian J Agric Sci. 2005;75(3):167-171.
- Usharani KS, Vindhiyavarman P, Balu AP, Boopathi NM. Heterosis studies for fibre quality traits in diallel crosses of upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). The Bioscan, 2015;10(2):793-799.