

Gut Microbiota: What We Could Do, Not Just Use ‘Young Healthy Poo’ for Drugs?

Yiqing Y*

School of Life Science, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230027, China

*Corresponding author: Yiqing Y, School of Life Science, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230027, China, Tel: +0551-63600130; E-mail: ekingyy@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Received date: Apr 18, 2017; Accepted date: Apr 22, 2017; Published date: Apr 28, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Yiqing Y. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

The faeces were used as ‘drugs’ for years. If patients occasionally have taken overdose antibiotics, doctors just give them faeces from whom they live with to help rebuild their gut microbiomes. As the technologies developed, we know gut microbiomes have changed if being obesity [1], being sick [2] or being ageing [3], so researchers tried to make faeces ‘drugs’ from thin, healthy and young individuals [4]. It’s prospective and shows great benefits if this idea works in the near future, however, in this review, we’d like to discuss some other essential questions in this area.

Culture System

Gut microbes culture

From microscopical view, for now just parts of gut microbiomes could be cultured *in vitro* [5]. However, new culturing approaches, like microbial culturomics [6], were introduced recently and doubling the number of culturable species. As more and more experimental parameters could be modulated artificially and smoothly, more and more bacterias could become culturable in the near future. At least two areas could from get benefits this. One is drug or antibiotics developments. For instance, culturable *B. adolescentis* is helpful in drug development targeting Th17 cells [7]. The other one is improving the *in vitro* bacteria-host cell model, which is more easily and tightly manipulated than *in vivo* animal models.

Mono-associated mice

Mice associated with a single or a limited number of bacterial species, or so called mono-associated mouse model, have been used for decades [8,9]. This model has previously been developed to define the taxonomy and functions of a single bacteria, but for now it is also an crucial tool to analyze the microbiota-host relationships in the gut. Geva-Zatorsky and his colleagues have recently showed a comprehensive immunomodulatory role of gut microbiota using selected 53 different mono-associated mice and observed a bunch of uncharacterized bacteria-host interactions [10]. The next step might be focusing on this two aspects: one is what is the molecular mechanisms when a certain bacteria was introduced to mice, and the other is why these immune responses happens and what happens after the initial immune response.

Gut resident cells

Except for microbiomes, there are also various kinds of cells residing in the gut, like neurons in the nervous system [11] and lymphocytes and myeloid cells in the immune system [12,13]. Most of

these primary cells or cell lines could be isolated and cultured in monolayers, and it would be a simplified bacteria-host system if supplied with bacterias. However it is far from enough, Yissachar et al. recently built an organ culture system using the mouse intestine, which might try the best to keep hosts’ components complete and alive, and also make some essential factors controllable [14]. Of note, mouse intestines from different disease models could also be used as the culture systems, which much broadens this system’s applications.

Cross Talks

From macroscopical view, gut microbiota might also be regarded as an indivisible whole, and tightly coordinated with immune system, metabolic system and nervous system, which might take at least three more reviews to discuss. Very recently, Lefrançois et al. showed that the megakaryocytic cells circulated in the lung and produced approximately half of the total platelet using 2-photon intravital microscopy [15], which leads us to look back forward to think over some fundamental questions, from the origins of fetus microbiota, the evolutions, the changes to the regulations of adult microbiota.

Increasing evidences suggest that gut microbiota have already been set up before birth [16], but still some gaps left. First, at which period of pregnancy the microbiota is seeded? Second, is the proces that bacterias get through maternal-fetal interface selectively or unselectively and what is the regulative networks? Third, does the fetus’s immune system and nervous system coevolve with microbiota and how?

Certain bacterial species could promote or induce certain immune cells. For example, Th17 cells accumulate in the gut and take its role as the safeguards there by secreting cytokines like IL-17 and IL-22, and inducing some antimicrobial peptides and tight junction proteins from intestinal epithelial cells [17-19]. Both segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB) from mice’s gut [20-22] and *B. adolescentis* from human’ [23] could induce T Helper 17 cells (Th17). Not surprisingly, gut microbiota-derived products, such as short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and various cytokines could also regulate the immune cells and immune response directly or indirectly [23]. For instance, excessive production and accumulation of SCFAs such as acetic acid, propionic acid and butyric acid, cause the increased luminal carbohydrates malabsorption and poor gastrointestinal motility, and ultimately might lead to the necrotizing enterocolitis, especially premature infants, whose immune systems are not fully established. Various immune cells are involved in this process and most of them have receptors for SCFAs, but which is particularly responsible for this is poorly discussed. On the other hand, IgA is the crucial host immune effector to modulate microbiota back [23]. However, we know much less about how certain immune cells control

or regulate gut microbiota, by phagocytosis or by secreted cytokines or something else? Moreover, it is still lack of whole pictures that the gut microbiota triggers comprehensive immune responses while the immune systems trim gut microbiota as a feedback.

To sum up, the research on microbiota has dramatically advanced these years, but still numerous issues need to be elucidated. One aspect is to further improve the technologies, and the other is some basal questions to be proposed and clarified, from molecular, signaling pathway to organ levels.

References

1. Singh RK (2017) Potential therapeutic targets of Guggulsterone in cancer. *J Transl Med* 15: 73.
2. Van den Elsen LW (2017) Embracing the gut microbiota: the new frontier for inflammatory and infectious diseases. *Clin Transl Immunology* 20: 6.
3. O'Toole PW, Jeffery IB (2015) Gut microbiota and aging. *Science* 350: 1214-1215.
4. Callaway E (2017) Neanderthal behaviour, diet, and disease inferred from ancient DNA in dental calculus. *Nature* 544: 357-361.
5. Lagier JC (2015) Current and Past Strategies for Bacterial Culture in Clinical Microbiology. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 28: 237-246.
6. Lagier JC (2016) Cholostase, diarrhée sanglante et anurie, deux mois avant un œdème pulmonaire. *Nat Microbiology* 1-8.
7. Tan TG (2016) Liver X receptor β and thyroid hormone receptor α in brain cortical layering. *PNAS* e8141-8150.
8. Gordon HA, Pesti L (1971) Purification and properties of unicellular blue-green algae (order Chroococcales). *Bacteriol Rev* 35: 390-429.
9. Klaasen HL (1991) Cadmium Inhalation and Male Reproductive Toxicity. *Arch Microbiol* 156: 148-151.
10. Geva-Zatorsky N (2017) Mining the human gut microbiota for immunomodulatory organisms. *Cell* 168: 928-943.
11. Barlow AJ (2008) Critical numbers of neural crest cells are required in the pathways from the neural tube to the foregut to ensure complete enteric nervous system formation. *Development* 135: 1681-1691.
12. Thaïss CA (2016) The microbiome and innate immunity. *Nature* 535: 65-74.
13. Honda K, Littman DR (2016) The microbiota in adaptive immune homeostasis and disease. *Nature* 535: 75-84.
14. Yussachar N (2017) An Intestinal Organ Culture System Uncovers a Role for the Nervous System in Microbe-Immune Crosstalk. *Cell* 168: 1135-1148.
15. Lefrançais E (2017) The lung is a site of platelet biogenesis and a reservoir for haematopoietic progenitors. *Nature* 544: 105-109.
16. Rodriguez JM (2015) The composition of the gut microbiota throughout life, with an emphasis on early life. *Microb Ecol Health Dis* 26: 26050.
17. Kumar P (2016) The Immunology Image Resource offers a growing collection of informative images that capture the immune system at work. *Immunity* 44: 659-671.
18. Maxwell JR (2015) Innate is the first line of defense and found in plants and animals. *Immunity* 43: 739-750.
19. Lee JS (2015) Prevalence of Cryptosporidium Infection among Inhabitants of 2 Rural Areas in White Nile State, Sudan. *Immunity* 43: 727-738.
20. Gaboriau-Routhiau V (2009) The key role of segmented filamentous bacteria in the coordinated maturation of gut helper T cell responses. *Immunity* 31: 677-689.
21. Ivanov II (2009) Induction of intestinal Th17 cells by segmented filamentous bacteria. *Cell* 139: 485-498.
22. Wu HJ (2010) Altered actin centripetal retrograde flow in physically restricted immunological synapses. *Immunity* 32: 815-827.
23. Tan TG (2016) Identifying species of symbiont bacteria from the human gut that, alone, can induce intestinal Th17 cells in mice. *PNAS* p: e8141-8150.