

Review Article

Genetic Syndromes and Genes Involved in the Development of the Female Reproductive Tract: A Possible Role for Gene Therapy

Connell MT¹, Owen CM² and Segars JH^{3*}

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Truman Medical Center, Kansas City, Missouri ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania ³Program in Reproductive and Adult Endocrinology, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

Abstract

Müllerian and vaginal anomalies are congenital malformations of the female reproductive tract resulting from alterations in the normal developmental pathway of the uterus, cervix, fallopian tubes, and vagina. The most common of the Müllerian anomalies affect the uterus and may adversely impact reproductive outcomes highlighting the importance of gaining understanding of the genetic mechanisms that govern normal and abnormal development of the female reproductive tract. Modern molecular genetics with study of knock out animal models as well as several genetic syndromes featuring abnormalities of the female reproductive tract have identified candidate genes significant to this developmental pathway. Further emphasizing the importance of understanding female reproductive tract development, recent evidence has demonstrated expression of embryologically significant genes in the endometrium of adult mice and humans. This recent work suggests that these genes not only play a role in the proper structural development of the terus. As endometrial function is critical for successful implantation and pregnancy maintenance, these recent data suggest a target for gene therapy. Future research will be needed to determine if gene therapy may improve reproductive outcomes for patients with demonstrated deficient endometrial expression.

Keywords: Müllerian anomalies; Vaginal anomalies; Genetic syndromes; Gene therapy; HOX genes; Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome (MRKH)

Introduction

The first investigations into abnormal female reproductive tract development began centuries ago, however with the progress of modern molecular genetics only now are the underlying mechanisms of this complex process becoming elucidated. Several genes have been identified in the abnormal and normal development of the uterus, cervix, fallopian tubes, and vagina. Many anomalies are felt to be multifactorial; however there are case reports of familial inheritance suggesting that specific genetic mutations may cause these defects [1]. Furthermore, there are defined genetic syndromes that feature anomalies of the female reproductive tract.

Additionally, animal models have helped identify candidate genes involved in the development of these anomalies and cases of discordant monozygotic twins have also provided insight into the possible involvement of epigenetic mechanisms [2]. The most common of the Müllerian anomalies are uterine anomalies, which may be associated with either adverse or normal reproductive outcomes depending on the variant. In most cases of uterine anomalies, adverse outcomes are related to difficulty in maintaining pregnancy rather (e.g. recurrent pregnancy loss, late first or second trimester loss) than conceiving (e.g. primary infertility) [3,4]. This review will cover the normal embryologic development of the female reproductive tract, important genes involved in normal development, as well as genetic mutations associated with abnormal development and genetic syndromes featuring Müllerian and vaginal anomalies. Finally, a review of recent research demonstrating that continued adult expression of genes is critical to normal embryologic development suggests a role for gene therapy as a possible treatment modality for clinical sequelae of Müllerian anomalies in the future.

Normal Development of the Female Reproductive Outflow Tract

While distinctly separate from the urinary system, the genital system is linked with the urinary system in the embryological stages of development [5]. The urogenital system develops into the kidneys, gonads, and the urinary and reproductive tracts. The Wolffian (mesonephric) and Müllerian (paramesonephric) ducts are the primordia of the male and female reproductive tracts, respectively. Gonadal development is a separate developmental process that is determined by the sex chromosomes. Absence of anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH, normally produced by the male testes) will trigger stabilization of the Müllerian system and regression of the Wolffian system leading to development of the female reproductive tract. Normal development of the fallopian tubes, uterus, and upper vagina requires an intricate progression of Müllerian duct elongation, fusion, canalization, and septal resorption [6]. The Müllerian ducts originate from coelomic epithelium of the lateral walls of the urogenital ridge by week 6 of embryonic development. The Müllerian ducts elongate caudally and fuse in the midline crossing the Wolffian ducts to form a Y-shaped structure, which is the primordium of the uterovaginal

*Corresponding author: James H Segars, M.D, Program in Reproductive and Adult Endocrinology, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Building 10, CRC, Room E1-3140, 10 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA, Fax: (301) 402-0884; E-mail: segarsj@mail.nih.gov

Received February 22, 2013; Accepted March 05, 2013; Published March 08, 2013

Citation: Connell MT, Owen CM, Segars JH (2013) Genetic Syndromes and Genes Involved in the Development of the Female Reproductive Tract: A Possible Role for Gene Therapy. J Genet Syndr Gene Ther 4: 127. doi:10.4172/2157-7412.1000127

Copyright: © 2013 Connell MT, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Page 2 of 12

canal [7]. The cranial aspects of the Müllerian ducts remain open; as this forms the abdominal ostium of the oviduct. The urogenital sinus fuses with the caudal end of the fused Müllerian ducts by week 10 of development. Subsequent canalization of the Müllerian ducts results in two channels with a mid-line dividing septum. Typically by week 20, resorption of the septum in a caudal to cephalad direction has been completed.

The vaginal plate originates from proliferation of the sinovaginal bulbs which result from fusion of the Müllerian ducts and urogenital sinus. At approximately 20 weeks of development, the lumen of the lower vagina is then created as cells at the center of the vaginal plate degenerate in a caudal to cephalad direction. The hymenal membrane, whose central epithelial cells typically degenerate prior to birth, is the division of the vaginal lumen and the urogenital sinus. As evidenced above, there are many intricate steps involved in normal

development of the female reproductive tract and any failures of the described processes may result in congenital anomalies.

Genetic Mechanisms Regulating Development of the Female Reproductive Tract

The female reproductive tract is essential for the continuation of the human species. A range of developmental defects including agenesis, atresia, and septation of the reproductive tract, many of which have been associated with genetic syndromes, have been documented [8]. Despite the important effect of anomalies on reproduction, the molecular and cellular mechanisms that govern its normal and abnormal formation are incompletely understood [9]. Most knowledge of genes regulating development arises from human genetic syndromes that affect the female reproductive tract or mouse knockout studies; both of which have helped identify key genes in the development of this organ system [10-12]. In the sections to follow, candidate genes critical to the development of the female reproductive tract are reviewed as well as genetic syndromes that feature Müllerian anomalies.

Genes responsible for Müllerian duct formation and differentiation

Knock out mouse models have provided insight into the signaling molecules and transcription factors essential for Müllerian duct formation [10-12]. Development of the Müllerian ducts is considered a triphasic process consisting of initiation, invagination, and elongation [7]. Phase one involves coelomic epithelial cells being specified for a Müllerian duct fate. Mechanisms controlling this first phase have not been fully elucidated, however specification of cells can be recognized by the presence of Lim homeobox 1 (Lim1). Lim1 is key to the development of the Müllerian duct epithelium as absence of Lim1 in mice leads to a phenotype lacking oviducts, uterus, and an upper vagina [13]. Following initiation, invagination occurs through the expression of wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 4 (WNT4) [13]. This gene is known to antagonize the testis-determining factor and play a critical role in both the control of female development and the prevention of testes formation. This second phase ends when the invaginating Müllerian ducts contact the Wolffian ducts. The first two phases have been shown to be Wolffian duct independent [14]. On the contrary, the third phase of elongation requires the maintenance of the Wolffian ducts [15]. Conditional inactivation of Lim1 has been associated with Wolffian duct regression and subsequently results in incomplete development of the Müllerian ducts [14]. The elongation phase also involves proliferation of cells at the mesoepithelial tip of the Müllerian ducts which requires the presence of the Wolffian ducts

through Wnt9b signaling which is associated with regulation of cell fate and patterning during embryogenesis [7,16]. Paired box 2 (Pax2) is another gene that has been shown necessary for the second phase of Mullerian duct development. Knock out mouse models for Pax2 lack both a genital tract and kidneys in male and female animals [17]. It has been demonstrated in these knock out mice that coelomic epithelium invaginates, however the Wolffian ducts degenerate and hence the Müllerian ducts do not elongate leading to failure of the third phase [17]. Empty spiracles homeobox 2 (Emx2) is expressed in epithelial components of the urogenital system and absence of Emx2 leads to a complete absence of the urogenital system, which is obviously essential for normal development of the female reproductive outflow tract [18]. Emx2 mutant mice show abnormal expression of Lim1, Pax2, and Wnt4 in the intermediate mesoderm [18]. These related mechanisms suggest an underlying genetic pathway for the formation of the Müllerian ducts. Roles for the previously mentioned genes have been mostly elucidated; however Müllerian duct formation is not completely understood and other key genes in the pathway continue to be discovered. Retinoic acid appears to be involved in the anterior posterior patterning and in female reproductive tract development but few details are known. In the mouse model, compound mutations of retinoic acid receptors either demonstrate absence of the entire female reproductive tract or only the caudal portions [19,20]. The POU domain-containing transcription factor 2 (Tcf2) gene has been shown in mouse models to be expressed during the earliest steps of female reproductive tract formation [21]. Mutations of TCF2 in humans have been associated with bicornuate and didelphic uteri [22]. Similar abnormalities have been described in discs, large homolog 1 (Dlgh1) null mice, who experience aplasia of the cervix and vagina from failed lateral fusion of the Müllerian ducts [23]. Transcriptional cofactors dachshund homolog 1 and 2 (Dach1 and Dach2) seem to fit within this developmental cascade as the double knock out mouse model shows complete failure to develop Müllerian duct derivatives [24]. Following Müllerian duct formation, differentiation occurs along an antero-posterior (A-P) and radial axis. This includes the formation of the oviducts, uterus, cervix, and vagina. This occurs through interactions between the Müllerian duct epithelium and the surrounding mesenchyme [25]. This A-P patterning establishes histologically distinct segmental boundaries. The anterior boundary occurs between the oviduct and uterine body, and the posterior boundary is between the uterus and cervix [25]. This patterning is primarily regulated by Hoxa family homeobox transcription factors. Hoxa9, Hoxa10, Hoxa11, and Hoxa13 are expressed uniformly along the A-P axis (Figure 1). Hoxa9 is expressed in the oviduct whereas Hoxa10 and Hoxa11 are expressed in the uterus. Hoxa11 and Hoxa13 can be found in the cervix and anterior vagina [26]. Hoxa10 and Hoxa11, as expected, are required for patterning and differentiation of the uterus and their expression patterns overlap during embryogenesis [27]. For example, Hoxa10 mutants have demonstrated homeotic transformation of the anterior part of the uterus into oviduct like structures leading to reduced fertility [28]. Hoxall has been shown to be necessary for proper organization of uterine stroma where loss of this gene leads to thinner, shorter uteri and no endometrial glands suggesting a more anterior phenotype [11,29]. On the contrary, an alternative study demonstrated absence of uterosacral ligaments (USL) in Hoxa11 null mice suggesting a more posterior phenotype [30]. Hoxa13 null mice show agenesis of the distal portion of the Müllerian ducts indicating a role for Hoxa13 not only in differentiation but also in the formation of Müllerian ducts [31]. Temporal and spatial variation in expression of the Hoxa family genes may explain the diversity of uterine shapes seen that perhaps result from different degrees of Müllerian duct fusion [31]. Several genes



Tract: Tissue specific regulation by the Hoxa family homeobox transcription factors are important in the development in the oviducts (Hoxa9), uterus (Hoxa10 and Hoxa11), cervix (Hoxa11 and Hoxa13) and vagina (Hoxa11 and Hoxa13) as shown. Wnt family genes are believed to be involved in the anterior posterior as well as radial patterning. Specifically shown here is Wnt7a, which is required for maintenance of Hoxa10 and Hoxa11 expression in the uterus. Also illustrated is the gradient of BMP4 expression, strongest in the vagina and weakest in the uterus. The opposite gradient has been noted for Wnt7a

Figure 1: Schematic Diagram of Gene Expression in Development of Female Reproductive.

aside from the Hoxa family genes have also been shown to regulate Müllerian duct differentiation. Wnt family genes appear to control the A-P and radial patterning. Wnt7a null mutant mice exhibit several abnormalities including shortened and uncoiled oviducts, hypoplastic uterine horns, and a vaginal septum [32]. In addition, Wnt7a null mutant mice have been shown to have endometrial gland agenesis, disorganized myometrium, a reduction in the stromal compartment of the uterus and posterior appearing uteri [32]. In these mice, the posterior aspect of the oviduct resembles the uterus, and the uterus has similar characteristics to the vagina [32]. Wnt7a appears to be required for the maintenance of Hoxa10 and Hoxa11 as knockout of Wnt7a has shown decreased expression of Hoxa10 and Hoxa11 [32]. Wnt5a also appears to be important in this pathway as null mice die at birth secondary to improper A-P axis development [33]. Elegant grafting models have allowed for more precise study of the role of Wnt5a. Wnt5a mutant mice were shown to have short, coiled uterine horns; but lack defined cervical and vaginal structures [33]. This phenotype is similar to that of Hoxa13 mutants. Wnt5a mutants were also shown to have absent uterine glandular formation [33]. Both knock out models of Wnt5a and Wnt7a suggested an important role in glandular genesis. Both Wnt5a and Wnt7a are required for correct glandular genesis as these genes are expressed in the uterine stroma and uterine epithelium respectively [32,33]. In areas where uterine epithelial invaginations occur, Wnt7a was down regulated. Wnt5a appears to be critical in this down regulation leading to endometrial glandular formation [33]. This highlights the role of epithelial-mesenchymal interaction required for uterine development [34]. As noted above, knockout of Wnt5a was shown to be associated with glandular agenesis, however the luminal epithelium was noted to be intact [33]. Catenin (cadherin-associated protein), beta 1 (Ctnnb1) produces the protein β-catenin and is a downstream effector of the Wnt family genes. Knock out of this protein leads to absence of uterine glandular tissue and an epithelium that resembles that of the vagina [35]. Finally, forkhead box A2 (Foxa2) has been identified as an important regulatory gene in gland formation as ablation of Foxa2 leads to glandular agenesis [36]. The exact factors that interact upstream or downstream of Foxa2 are not currently known, but no change in Wnt5a or Wnt7a was observed in this ablation model [36]. However, Foxa2 expression was noted to be absent in the Wnt7a conditional knockout, suggesting that Foxa2 is downstream of Wnt7a [37]. The pathways that orchestrate Müllerian duct formation and differentiation are obviously complex. Several of the underlying genetic mechanisms have been described, but more research is needed to gain a fundamental understanding of the genetic basis of the female reproductive tract.

Page 3 of 12

Genes responsible for development of the Vagina

Controversy remains surrounding the developmental origins of the vagina. It has been a commonly held belief (based on murine studies) that the vagina is of dual origin. The Müllerian ducts form the cranial portion, the so-called "Müllerian vagina", while the urogenital sinus is the origin of the caudal portion, the so-called "sinus vagina" as reviewed by Cai [38] and Kurita [39]. However, based on murine studies Cai [38] concluded that the recent evidence supports a Müllerian duct origin for the entire vagina. More recent cell lineage tracing studies in humans have demonstrated that the Wolffian ducts, Müllerian ducts, and the urogenital sinus all play integral roles during the formation of the human vagina in a caudal to cranial manner [40]. The authors showed that cells of the urogenital sinus (staining positively for p63, a marker of squamous epithelium) migrated via the open Wolffian ducts to reach the caudal tips of the fused Müllerian ducts where proliferation of the cells formed the vaginal primordium [39,40]. Based on these findings, the authors hypothesized that the fused Müllerian ducts only contributed as the guiding structure for the developing vagina, rather than a cellular origin as previously suggested. The intricate genetic mechanisms controlling this process remain incompletely understood.

Müllerian Vagina

In murine models, Hoxa13 and bone morphogenetic protein 4 (BMP4) appear to be strongly expressed in the Müllerian vagina but not in the uterus [26,38,41]. In these murine models, Hoxa13 has been shown to up regulate BMP4 [42]. BMP4 appears to control the ventral mesodermal fate of the mesodermal primordium, while concurrently Wnt7a controls the dorsal signals [43,44]. Animal models have shown that BMP4 disrupts Wnt7a signaling [45]. A gradient of BMP4, strongest in the vagina and weakest in the uterus, has been demonstrated with the opposite gradient noted for Wnt7a (expressed in Mullerian epithelium). It has also been noted that Wnt7a subsequently disappears in the vagina when BMP4 appears [46]. It is believed that BMP4 is responsible for desensitizing the mesoderm to anti-Müllerian hormone and inducing a stratified squamous cell in the vagina [38]. Importantly, Wnt7a drives the expression of anti-Müllerian hormone receptor type II, which is responsible for Müllerian regression [47]. Thus BMP4 disrupts the Wnt7a signal making the primordium insensitive to anti-Müllerian hormone. BMP4, as previously noted, is also responsible for the differentiation of the vaginal epithelium to stratified squamous cells through activation of p63, also known as cytoskeleton-associated protein 4 [48,49]. p63 has numerous roles in the formation of the lower female reproductive tract including the differentiation from Müllerian epithelium to vaginal squamous epithelium, cloacal septation, and external genital modeling [50,51]. p63 null mice show cloacal abnormalities, and human genetic syndromes with associated genital anomalies have had p63 mutations identified [50,52].

Sinus Vagina

The so-called sinus vagina is also formed through the influence

Page 4 of 12

of BMP4 expression in the surrounding mesenchyme. This influence occurs before Hoxa13 is present. At this point in development, Sonic Hedgehog (SHH) has been shown to be the activator of BMP4 guiding the Müllerian ducts caudally and playing a key role in the partitioning the cloaca [38]. Knockout animal models of SHH have shown improper partitioning of the cloaca [53].

Müllerian and Vaginal Anomalies in Genetic Syndromes affecting Humans

With that background, our focus will now turn to genetic syndromes affecting the female genital tract in humans. Much of the knowledge gathered from the murine models discussed thus far has been used to discern the genetic causes of these anomalies. In this section we will review select disorders, their familial aggregates, genetic mechanisms, and the available molecular data.

Vaginal atresia

Vaginal atresia is a rare condition. Its occurrence is 1:4000 to

1:10,000 females [54]. Vaginal atresia is most often characterized by absence of the hymen, and occasionally by absence of the vagina extending to the cervix. Physical exam of affected patients reveals normal Müllerian structures, including the cervix, uterus, and oviducts; but the vagina is replaced by fibrous tissue [1]. Familial aggregates of isolated vaginal atresia have not been reported in the literature. Therefore, it has been challenging to determine the developmental origins of this rare condition. However, there are several genetic syndromes that have been identified with vaginal atresia as a commonly associated malformation (Table 1). McKusick-Kaufman (MKKS) and Bardet-Biedl (BBS) are two autosomal recessive syndromes with significant overlap which have been associated with vaginal agenesis [1,55-58]. In females, MKKS is typically characterized by congenital heart malformations, postaxial polydactyl, and hydrometrocolpos. Hydrometrocolpos, a fluid filled dilated vagina and uterus, can be caused by obstruction from vaginal atresia, transverse vaginal septa, or an imperforate hymen. BBS is associated with all of the MKKS anomalies plus visual impairment, developmental delays, and obesity. Hence a suspected

Syndromes	Somatic Findings	Reproductive Anomaly	Etiology
Antley-Bixler	Craniosynostosis, choanal atresia, radiohumerus synostosis, gracile ribs, camptodactyly, renal defects	Vaginal Atresia	Autosomal Dominant FGFR2 mutation Autosomal Recessive POR mutation
Apert	Craniosynostosis and midface hypoplasias with syndactyly of hands and feet, cardiac and renal defects	Vaginal Atresia	Autosomal Dominant FGFR2 gene mutation
Bardet-Biedl	Mental retardation, pigmentary retinopathy, polydactyly, obesity, hypogonadotropic hypogonadism	Vaginal Atresia	Autosomal Recessive BBS1-14 mutations
del(1)(q12)	Growth and mental retardation, facial anomalies, neural tube defects, absence of corpus callosum	Vaginal Stenosis	Chromosomal
Ellis Van Creveld	Congenital heart defects, short limbs, postaxial polydactyly	Vaginal Atresia	Autosomal Recessive EVC/EVC2 mutations
Fraser	Cryptophalamos, nose and ear anomalies, laryngeal stenosis, renal agenesis, mental retardation	Vaginal Atresia	Autosomal Recessive FRAS1, FREM2, GRIP1 mutations
McKusick-Kaufman	Hydrometrocolpos, postaxial polydactyly, cardiac defects, esophageal atresia, anal atresia	Vaginal Atresia	Autosomal Recessive MKKS mutations
Pallister Hall	Hypothalamic hamartoblastoma, panhypopituitarism, craniofacial defects, postaxial polydactyly, renal and cardiac defects	Vaginal Atresia	Autosomal Dominant GLI3 mutation
Robinow	Mesomelic dwarfism, hypertelorism, cleft lip and palate, anteverted nares, hemivertebra, short digits	Vaginal Atresia	Autosomal Dominant WNT5A mutation Autosomal Recessive ROR2 mutation

Listed here are genetic syndromes which may be associated with vaginal atresia. Other phenotypic characteristics of the genetic syndromes, modes of inheritance, and known genetic mutations are presented.

Table 1: Syndromes associated with vaginal atresia.

diagnosis of MKKS made in the neonatal period may evolve to be BBS if developmental delays, visual impairment, and obesity become apparent [59]. The MKKS gene, responsible for the MKKS phenotype, has been mapped to chromosome 20p12 and has been associated with chaperone protein folding, processing, and assembly [59]. The MKKS gene has been identified in one sub-type of BBS, while several BBS gene family members as well as 10 other genes have been associated with the BBS phenotype [60]. The proteins encoded by these genes, which are structurally diverse, share roles in cilia formation and function [60]. No genes have been identified that are associated with isolated vaginal atresia.

Transverse vaginal septum

Transverse vaginal septa occur in about 1 per 75,000 females [61]. It is believed that transverse vaginal septa result from failure of the urogenital sinus and Müllerian ducts to fuse and canalize. Typically the superior aspect of the septum contains columnar epithelium classic of Müllerian epithelium. Conversely, the inferior aspect contains squamous epithelium typical of the urogenital sinus. This distinction in epithelium fuels the controversy over the developmental origin of the vagina, and supports the notion of a dual developmental origin. In the case of a transverse vaginal septum, the pelvic organs and lower vagina are typically normal [1]. However, we have encountered a case of bladder exstrophy with an upward anteriorly displaced bicornuate uterus and an associated incomplete transverse vaginal septum. With our understanding of the developmental pathway of the female reproductive tract, this association of anomalies suggests a genetic syndrome, which we refer to as DeCherney syndrome. To our knowledge, this is the first description of this association. Genetic syndromes previously discussed (Table 1) may feature transverse vaginal septa instead of vaginal atresia. The question, as with vaginal atresia, remains as whether or not similar genes are involved in isolated and syndromic cases of transverse vaginal septa [1]. Aside from the MKKS gene and the genes of the BBS family, there are no candidate genes for isolated transverse vaginal septa. Discovering the underlying genetic mechanisms leading to the formation of transverse vaginal septa would undoubtedly add to our understanding of the developmental origins of the vagina and perhaps resolve the controversy.

Longitudinal vaginal septum

A longitudinal vaginal septum may be in the coronal or most often, the sagittal plane. The diagnosis of longitudinal vaginal septum should be distinguished clinically from incomplete Müllerian fusion. Incomplete Müllerian fusion defects may extend caudally to produce a vaginal septum [1]. A few genetic syndromes and case reports have been identified with longitudinal vaginal septum. Camptobrachydactyly presents with brachydactyly, polydactyly, urinary incontinence, and longitudinal vaginal septa [62]. Johanson-Blizzard syndrome has been associated with multiple anomalies including longitudinal vaginal septa. In the first description of this syndrome a septate vagina was noted, however the report did not discuss the possibility of incomplete Müllerian fusion [1]. The gene for Johanson-Blizzard syndrome has been identified as ubiquitin protein ligase E3 component n-recognin 1 (UBR1), but the genetic mechanisms leading to the known phenotype are not fully understood as the gene is generally known to be involved in a proteolytic pathway of the ubiquitin system [63]. A third syndrome, hand-foot-genital syndrome is characterized by hand defects, urinary tract anomalies, and Müllerian ducts anomalies [64]. The Müllerian defects range from vaginal septa to uterine didelphys [64]. Hand- foot-genital syndrome is an autosomal dominant condition Page 5 of 12

with known mutations in HOXA13 [65]. As with vaginal atresia and transverse vaginal septa, currently only genes associated with genetic syndromes have been identified in the case of longitudinal vaginal septa. The underlying basic genetic mechanisms leading to formation of longitudinal vaginal septa remain to be determined.

Imperforate hymen

Imperforate hymen occurs in about 1 in 1000 females [61]. This condition is most often described as the absence of the central portion of the hymen. In cases of imperforate hymen leading to obstruction, vaginal and/or uterine distention with fluid may ensue (also known as hydrocolpos or hydrometrocolpos). As previously discussed, transverse vaginal septa or vaginal atresia may cause similar symptoms, however the presence of vulvar distension is uniquely suggestive of an imperforate hymen [1]. While most cases of imperforate hymen are sporadic, inherited cases have been described. McIlroy and Ward [66] as well as Usta et al. [67] have described cases of affected siblings suggesting an autosomal recessive inheritance. Stelling et al. [68] described a family of concordant monozygotic twins with one of the twins having an affected daughter suggesting an autosomal dominant inheritance. Some rare syndromes have been described which are characterized by imperforate hymen. Ulnar-mammary syndrome is associated with upper limb structures, apocrine/mammary hypoplasias, dental abnormalities, and genital anomalies including imperforate hymen [69]. Alterations in the TBX3 gene, a downstream target of retinoic acid, have been implicated as the cause of ulnar-mammary syndrome [70]. As discussed earlier, perturbations in retinoic acid leads to posterior defects in the female reproductive tract [19], thus giving a possible genetic explanation as to the cause of hereditary imperforate hymen in the ulnar-mammary syndrome. Unfortunately, no other candidate genes associated with imperforate hymen have been identified other than TBX3.

External Genital Anomalies

Little is understood regarding the genetic mechanisms leading to external genital anomalies including congenital fusion of the labia, complete absence of the labia, and various cloacal malformations. Congenital fusion of the labia is typically associated with virilization from congenital adrenal hyperplasia [71]. However, cases of familial labial fusions not associated with adrenal hyperplasia have been reported [71]. Absence of the labia, has been reported in popliteal pterygium syndrome [1]. Cloacal malformations, as previously mentioned, have been linked to mutations in p63. Loss of p63 does not allow for the urorectal septum to form leading to a persistent cloaca [50]. Knockout mice for p63 exhibit hypoplastic genitals and a single cloacal opening [50]. In humans the genetic syndrome of ectrodactyly, ectodermal dysplasia, and facial clefts (ECC) results from p63 mutations [52]. ECC consists of a multitude of developmental anomalies that include genital anomalies [52]. Patients with cloacal anomalies should be tested for p63 mutations.

Atresia of the Cervix

Several case reports of congenital absence of the cervix exist [72]. The cervix may be absent in lieu of a normal uterus and vagina; however, some cases have reported atresia of the vagina and cervix suggesting close developmental origins of these structures [1,72]. To our knowledge there are no reported cases of familial cervical agenesis and no candidate genes have been identified to date.

Incomplete Müllerian fusion

Incomplete Müllerian fusion is difficult to estimate as many patients

are asymptomatic; however, the incidence is quoted as between 0.1-3% [61]. Traditionally, incomplete Müllerian fusion results in two hemiuteri each associated with one fallopian tube, but various forms of incomplete Müllerian fusion exist. Incomplete Müllerian fusion represents a range of conditions from a complete hemiuteri to an atreitic rudimentary horn [73]. There have been several case reports of familial aggregates of incomplete Müllerian fusion [1]. Several syndromes have been indentified with incomplete Müllerian fusion as a common component (Table 2). Contrary to the cases of vaginal atresia and vaginal septa, there have been several isolated or nonsyndromic cases of incomplete Müllerian fusion identified for study leading investigators to look for

candidate genes in these cases. HOXA10 and HOXA 11, previously discussed as being expressed in the uterus, have been investigated. Liatsikos et al. [74] examined 30 women with Müllerian defects. Only one patient was found to have a mutation in HOXA10, however her mother had a similar mutation but was notably phenotypically normal [74]. Cheng et al. [75] conducted a mutation analysis in 109 Chinese women with Müllerian anomalies and found one mutation (Y57C) was found in HOXA10 that affected the gene's ability to induce and repress other genes. This mutation was isolated in the affected patient's father as well. Wang et al. [76] found a rare variant in the PAX2 gene in a patient with uterus didelphys reinforcing the role of PAX2 in Müllerian

Syndromes	Somatic Findings	Uterine anomaly	Etiology
	Limb deficiencies,		
	diaphragmatic hernia,	Uterus didelphys	Autosomal recessive
	ectrodactyly of hand		
Acro-renal mandibular	and foot, absence of radius and		
	metacarpal		
	V, kidney dysplasia		
	Apert Craniosynostosis and		
	midface hypoplasias		Autosomal Dominant
Apert	with syndactyly of	Bicornuate uterus	FGFR2 gene
	hands and feet, cardiac		mutation
	and renal defects		
	Mental retardation,		
	Pigmentary retinopathy,	"Iltorue duplox	Autosomal rocossivo
Bardet-Biedl	polydactyly, obesity,	Versional conte"	PPS1 14 mutations
	hypogonadotropic	vaginai septa	BBS1-14 mutations
	hypogonadism		
	Omphalocele,		Imprinting
Realization Windomann	macroglossia,	IME	abnormality, hypo-,
Deckwith-wiedemann	overgrowth, clitoral		hypermethylation of
	enlargement		11p15.5
	Duplication of sacrum,		
Caudal duplication	lumbar vertebrae, anus,	Duplication of	Linknown
	large bowel, external	uterus and cervix	CIRIOWI
	genitalia		
Odel	Agenesis of sacral and	Duplication of	Linknown
	lumbar regions	uterus and cervix	CIRIOWI
	Common urogenital		
Cloacal exstrophy	sinus and rectum, renal	IMF	Unknown
	anomalies, vertebral defects		
	Growth retardation,		
de Lance	microcephaly, mental	IME	Mutations in NIPBL,
ue Lange	retardation, synophrys,		SMC1A, SMC3
	limb anomalies		
	Elfin facies, enlarged		
	ears, low-set ears,		Autosomal Recessive
Donohue	prominent breasts,	IMF	Insulin Receptor
	abnormal carbohydrate		gene mutations
	metabolism, insulin receptor defect		
Female	Genital ambiguity,		
nseudobermanbroditism	urologic and		
with renal and dastrointestinal	gastrointestinal anomalies, vertebral	Uterine Didelphys	Unknown
anomalies	and radial anomalies,		
	renal absence		
	Cryptophthalmos, nose		
Fraser	and ear anomalies,		Autosomal recessive
	laryngeal stenosis, renal	Bicornuate uterus	FRAS1, FREM2,
	agenesis, mental		GRIP1 mutations
	retardation		

Page 7 of 12

			1
	Coarse facies, cleft		Autosomal recessive
Fryns	palate, pulmonary hypoplasias,	Bicornuate uterus	No gene identified
	diaphragmatic defects		yet
	Digital hypoplasias,	Literine didelphys	Autosomal Dominant
Halal	upper limb shortening,	with	
	ectrodactyly	WIT	Onknown gene
	Hydrocephaly, neural		
	tube defects,		
	micrognathia, deep set		Autosomal recessive
Hydrolethalus	eyes, cleft palate,	"Uterus duplex"	KIF7 and HYLS1
	malformed respiratory		mutations
	tract, cardiac anomalies,		
	club feet, polydactyly		
	Spondylocostal dysostosis:		
	hemivertabrae, vertebral		
	absences and fusion,		Autosomal recessive MESP2 mutations
Jarcho-Levin	respiratory defects,	Uterine didelphys	
	cardiac defects, short		
	neck and chest, hernias		
			Autosomal recessive
	Encephalocele,		MSK1, TMEM216,
Meckel	postaxial polydactyly,	Bicornuate uterus	TMEM67, CEP290,
	dysplastic polycystic		RPGRIP1L,
	kidneys, male pseudohermaphroditism		CC2D2A mutations
	Pterygium of popliteal,		Autosomal Dominant
	antecubital, and crural		IRF6 mutations
Popliteal Pterygium	regions, cleft lip and	IMF	Autosomal Recessive
	palate, digital hypoplasia		RIPK4
	Tetraphocomelia,		
Roberts	craniofacial abnormalities, corneal	Bicornuate uterus	
	clouding, cardiac and renal anomalies		ESCO2 mutations
	Mental retardation,		
	coarse facies, bifid uvula, ureteral		
	stenosis,	Bicornuate uterus	Autosomal recessive Unknown gene
Rüdiger	thickened palms and		
	soles, inquinal hernias,		
	poor cartilaginous formation		
	Tetraphocomelia,		
Thalidomide	especially radius, tibia,	Septate uterus and	
embryopathy	femur, midline facial hemangioma, nerve	vagina	Teratogen
	palsies, and cardiac defects		
Urogenital adysplasia	Unilateral or bilateral		Unknown:
	renal agenesis, flattened	Unicornuate or	multifactorial,
	facies, pulmonary hypoplasias. limb	Bicornuate uterus	epigenetic
	deformations		inheritance
		1	

Listed here are genetic syndromes that may be associated with incomplete Müllerian fusion (IMF). Other phenotypic characteristics of the genetic syndromes, modes of inheritance, and known genetic mutations are presented.

Table 2: Syndromes associated with incomplete müllerian fusion (IMF).

duct development. Other candidate genes which have been investigated include PBX1, WNT7A, and LHX1. However, none have been linked with incomplete Müllerian fusion [77-79]. Some candidate genetic mutations have been reported in association with incomplete Müllerian fusion, but further research is needed as much is still unknown.

Müllerian aplasia

Müllerian Aplasia is the absence of the uterus, cervix, and upper vagina. The lower third of the vagina is typically present secondary to normal formation of the sinus vagina and the external genitalia are normal. On occasions uterine remnants may be present. Most often the diagnosis of Müllerian aplasia is labeled as Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome [1]. MRKH may feature isolated Müllerian aplasia (type 1), or be associated with renal, skeletal, auditory, and cardiac defects (type II) [2]. The presence of other associated anomalies suggests that an initial insult to the intermediate mesoderm leads to alteration of the cervicothoracic somites and the pronephric ducts [80]. Most cases are sporadic; however several reports of familial clustering are suggestive of a genetic cause [81]. These cases of familial clustering appear to occur by autosomal dominant inheritance with incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity [82]. Investigations based on these cases have subsequently been directed to certain candidate genes [2]. AMH was one of the first genes to be investigated because of its role in regression of the Müllerian ducts. However, no abnormal expression of AMH or activating mutation of its associated receptor has been found in studies to date [83]. WT1 and PAX2, discussed earlier, have been

Page 8 of 12

identified as significant in early embryonic development; however, there have been no mutations in these genes linked to MRKH [84-86]. Notably, despite the association between MRKH with both galactosemia and cystic fibrosis, studies found no link between mutations in GALT and CFTR genes and the MRKH phenotype [87,88]. Investigations into Hoxa10, Hoxa11, and analysis of PBX1, a cofactor of HOX genes, have failed to determine a cause of Müllerian aplasia [74,78]. Subsets of MRKH, especially cases associated with genetic syndromes, have been attributed to specific genetic etiologies. WNT4 mutations are associated with Müllerian aplasia, hyperandrogenism, and renal malformations [89]. In this phenotype, failure to suppress androgens in the ovary occurs leading to Müllerian aplasia [90,91].

Mutations in HNF1β (also known as TCF2, previously discussed) have been associated with maturity-onset diabetes of the young renal dysfunction and Müllerian aplasia [92]. WNT7A mutations have recently been recognized as causing Al-Awadi/Raas-Rothschild/ Schinzel phocomelia syndrome; which is characterized as having several limb deformities and uterine hypoplasias/aplasia [93]. Other

syndromes listed in table 3 are associated with Müllerian aplasia. While some candidate genes have mutations known to cause MRKH, very few such mutations have been found. The recent advent of high-resolution array based studies has led to newly recognized variants at certain chromosome loci that harbor genes of interest in Müllerian aplasia [94]. Nik-Zainal et al. [94] identified three microdeletions at 16p11.2, 17q12, 22q11.2 that were significantly enriched when the syndromic Müllerian aplasia case population was compared to the control population. Nested in the 16p11.2 location, TBX6 was identified as an important gene in paraxial mesoderm development [94]. Nested in the 17q12 location are HNF1β and LHX1 (also known as LIM1, previously discussed) [94]. Ledig et al. [95] found recurrent deletions affecting TBX6, HNF1β, and LHX1 in their cohort of MRKH patients. Four other genes; RTDR1, RAB36, GNAZ, and BCR; were identified in the 22q11.2 location; however, no mutations in these genes have been described in humans [94]. Microdeletions at these loci had been previously described in association with other congenital malformations involving spine, genitourinary tract, and the cardiovascular system [94]. Duplication in the SHOX gene has recently been identified in two daughters

Syndromes	Somatic Findings	Uterine Anomaly	Etiology
Deletion 4p (Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome)	Microcephaly, mental retardation, growth retardation, cardiac anomalies	Absent uterus	Chromosomal [del (4)(p16.3]
Oculoauriculovertebral spectrum (Goldenhar syndrome)	Hypoplastic malar, maxillary and mandibular regions, microtia, hemivertebrae or hypoplastic vertebrae	"Rokitansky sequence"	Unknown: multifactorial, epigenetic inheritance, environmental disruption
Female pseudohermaphroditism, renal and gastrointestinal anomalies	Genital ambiguity, urologic and gastrointestinal anomalies, vertebral and radial anomalies, renal absence	Absence of uterus	Unknown
Al-Awadi/Raas- Rothschild	Absence or reduction of limbs, facial abnormalities, pelvic and genital abnormalities	Müllerian aplasia	Autosomal recessive WNT7A Mutation
Müllerian aplasia, Klippel-Feil anomaly	Short neck, low hairline, restricted mobility of upper spine, middle ear anomalies	Müllerian aplasia	Unknown
MURCS association	Müllerian aplasia, renal aplasia, cervicothoracic somite dysplasia	Müllerian aplasia	Unknown
Roberts	Tetraphocomelia, craniofacial abnormalities, corneal clouding, cardiac and renal anomalies	Agenesis of uterus and agenesis or atresia of vagina	Autosomal recessive ESCO2 Mutation
Thalidomide embryopathy	Tetraphocomelia, midline facial hemangioma, nerve palsies, cardiac defects	Müllerian aplasia	Teratogen
Mosaic trisomy 7	Cystic kidneys, oligohydramnios	Absence of uterus	Chromosomal
Urogenital dysplasia (hereditary renal dysplasia)	Potter facies, Pulmonary hypoplasias, limb deformations, renal dysplasia	Absence of uterus	Unknown: multifactorial, epigenetic inheritance

Listed here are genetic syndromes that may be associated with Müllerian aplasia. Other phenotypic characteristics of the genetic syndromes, modes of inheritance, and known genetic mutations are presented.

 Table 3: Syndromes associated with müllerian aplasia.

Page 9 of 12

with MRKH type I and their phenotypically normal father [96]. Microdeletions in 1q21.1 have also been found most often associated with thrombocytopenia-absent radius syndrome (TAR) [97]. The incidence of uterine and genital abnormalities is estimated to be 6% of cases of TAR syndrome. A few cases of TAR with associated MRKH in females have been described in the literature, but this is a rare occurrence [58,98,99]. The above chromosome loci and genes discussed may partially explain the familial and syndromic cases of Müllerian aplasia; however, most cases remain sporadic [82]. In one retrospective review of women with Müllerian aplasia undergoing IVF via a surrogate, no cases of Müllerian anomalies were reported in the reproductive offspring suggesting no dominant inheritance pattern [100]. Recent case reports of discordant monozygotic twins have suggested the involvement of epigenetic factors in MRKH [101]. Recently, Rall et al. [2] analyzed 8 MRKH patients and 8 controls using whole-genome expression and methylation. The authors found that the estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1), Wilms Tumor 1 (WT1), and GATA binding protein 4 (GATA4) had increased expression in MRKH patients [2]. This was a significant finding given that WT1 and GATA4 play significant roles in male sex differentiation via regulation of AMH and that estrogen has been reported to regulate AMH [102,103]. The authors hypothesized that the increased expression of the genes might lead to increased AMH promoter activity during development and subsequent Müllerian duct regression leading to a MRKH phenotype [2]. Gene expression clearly plays a significant role in development, and recently microRNAs have been shown to be important for post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression in the development and function of the female reproductive tract [104]. Conditional mouse knockouts of DICER1, an important RNAse for proper formation of miRNAs, demonstrated shortened uterine horns and oviductal diverticuli [105]. In these mice, 28 miRNAs were found to be downregulated [105]. Of the identified 28, 23 miRNAs were predicted to target previously mentioned important candidate genes such as Wnt5a, Hoxa9, and Hoxa10 [105]. This suggests an important role for miRNAs in the development and function of the female reproductive tract.

Endocrine Disruptors of Müllerian Duct Development

The developing female reproductive tract is highly sensitive to synthetic hormones and exposure to these substances in utero can lead to the development of Müllerian anomalies [9]. Some investigators have used these endocrine disruptors to help determine the genetic basis of development of the female reproductive tract. Diethylstilbestrol (DES), a synthetic form of estrogen, has been shown to downregulate Wnt7a, Hoxa10, and Hoxa11 through activation of ESR1 [106,107]. Post DES exposure, HOXA9 expression is shifted from the oviducts to the uterus while HOXA10 and HOXA11 expression in the uterus is decreased causing the characteristic 'T-shaped' uterus that resembles the human phenotype [2,9,108-110]. Clearly a greater understanding of endocrine disruptors in the environment and their role in the development of Müllerian defects may help elucidate the underlying genetic mechanisms.

Reproductive Outcomes and a possible role for Gene Therapy in patients with Müllerian

Anomalies

Despite the relative rarity of Müllerian anomalies, they can significantly impact reproductive outcomes usually affecting the maintenance of pregnancy rather than conception [3,4]. As previously stated, the most commonly encountered are uterine anomalies that occur in approximately 3-4% of women (despite fertility), 5-10% of women with recurrent pregnancy loss, and up to 25% of women with preterm delivery or late first or second trimester loss [4,6,111-113]. Understanding the underlying genetic mechanisms of abnormal development of the female reproductive tract may help us understand these adverse outcomes. It has been recently suggested that Hox family genes may not simply be important to the understanding of our embryologic development, but may also serve a regionally specific regulatory role in the adult female reproductive tract [114]. For example in adult mice, Hoxa10 expression in the endometrium has been shown to be critical for successful implantation and subsequent fertility [115-117]. This begs the question, could alterations in HOX gene expression in the endometrium of humans explain implantation and maintenance of pregnancy problems seen in humans affected by Müllerian anomalies? Rackow et al. [118,119] have demonstrated that in the case of both submucosal uterine fibroids and endometrial polyps, both acquired structural abnormalities of the uterine cavity known to adversely affect reproductive outcome, that HOX gene expression is globally decreased in the uterus of affected individuals compared to controls. These significant findings indicate an ongoing role for HOX gene expression in the normal physiological changes of the adult. It is unclear whether the 'adult' functions are a continuance of the original embryonic pathways, or if there are new patterns of gene expression regulated by HOX in the adult [114].

Many patients affected by Müllerian anomalies may have normal reproductive outcomes; however, intervention is recommended in the event of adverse obstetric outcomes. Surgical intervention may be necessary for many of these patients (i.e. septate uterus), but perhaps there is role for gene therapy to increase HOX expression in the other patients with uterine anomalies and poor reproductive outcomes that are at this time difficult to explain. Future research should be aimed at determining whether HOX gene expression is altered in patients with Müllerian anomalies and if this expression demonstrates an association with reproductive outcomes.

Conclusions

Recent focus on the genetic basis of female reproductive tract malformations has provided insight into the underlying molecular mechanisms that govern this process crucial to the survival of our species. Several genes thought to play a significant role in this developmental pathway have been identified by analysis of knock out mouse models and through identification of genetic syndromes that feature anomalies of the female reproductive tract. Müllerian anomalies can significantly impact reproductive outcomes; therefore, future studies should focus on continuing to uncover the underlying genetic and molecular mechanisms of the development of the female reproductive tract as many of these embryologically defined genes may have a role in adult reproductive functions. In the not to distant future, perhaps gene therapy could be used to target these genes with maintained expression in the adult to change reproductive outcomes for patients.

Acknowledgements

Supported in part by NIH intramural funding: ZO1HD008737-12. The authors acknowledge Dr. DeCherney and Dr. Driscoll for their help and support.

References

- Simpson JL (1999) Genetics of the female reproductive ducts. Am J Med Genet 89: 224-239.
- 2. Rall K, Barresi G, Walter M, Poths S, Haebig K, et al. (2011) A combination

Page 10 of 12

of transcriptome and methylation analyses reveals embryologically-relevant candidate genes in MRKH patients. Orphanet J Rare Dis 6: 32.

- Lin PC, Bhatnagar KP, Nettleton GS, Nakajima ST (2002) Female genital anomalies affecting reproduction. Fertil Steril 78: 899-915.
- Grimbizis GF, Camus M, Tarlatzis BC, Bontis JN, Devroey P (2001) Clinical implications of uterine malformations and hysteroscopic treatment results. Hum Reprod Update 7: 161-174.
- Sadler TW, Langman J (2010) Langman's medical embryology. Wolters Kluwer Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia.
- Rackow BW, Arici A (2007) Reproductive performance of women with müllerian anomalies. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol 19: 229-237.
- Orvis GD, Behringer RR (2007) Cellular mechanisms of Müllerian duct formation in the mouse. Dev Biol 306: 493-504.
- Gidwani G, Falcone T (1999) Congenital malformations of the female genital tract : diagnosis and management. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia.
- 9. Spence JE (1998) Vaginal and uterine anomalies in the pediatric and adolescent patient. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol 11: 3-11.
- 10. Kobayashi A, Behringer RR (2003) Developmental genetics of the female reproductive tract in mammals. Nat Rev Genet 4: 969-980.
- Massé J, Watrin T, Laurent A, Deschamps S, Guerrier D, et al. (2009) The developing female genital tract: from genetics to epigenetics. Int J Dev Biol 53: 411-424.
- 12. Yin Y, Ma L (2005) Development of the mammalian female reproductive tract. J Biochem 137: 677-683.
- Kobayashi A, Shawlot W, Kania A, Behringer RR (2004) Requirement of Lim1 for female reproductive tract development. Development 131: 539-549.
- Kobayashi A, Kwan KM, Carroll TJ, McMahon AP, Mendelsohn CL, et al. (2005) Distinct and sequential tissue-specific activities of the LIM-class homeobox gene Lim1 for tubular morphogenesis during kidney development. Development 132: 2809-2823.
- Gruenwald P (1941) The relation of the growing Müllerian duct to the Wolffian duct and its importance for the genesis of malformations. The Anatomical Record 81: 1-19.
- 16. Carroll TJ, Park JS, Hayashi S, Majumdar A, McMahon AP (2005) Wht9b plays a central role in the regulation of mesenchymal to epithelial transitions underlying organogenesis of the mammalian urogenital system. Dev Cell 9: 283-292.
- Torres M, Gómez-Pardo E, Dressler GR, Gruss P (1995) Pax-2 controls multiple steps of urogenital development. Development 121: 4057-4065.
- Miyamoto N, Yoshida M, Kuratani S, Matsuo I, Aizawa S (1997) Defects of urogenital development in mice lacking Emx2. Development 124: 1653-1664.
- Mendelsohn C, Lohnes D, Décimo D, Lufkin T, LeMeur M, et al. (1994) Function of the retinoic acid receptors (RARs) during development (II). Multiple abnormalities at various stages of organogenesis in RAR double mutants. Development 120: 2749-2771.
- Kastner P, Mark M, Ghyselinck N, Krezel W, Dupé V, et al. (1997) Genetic evidence that the retinoid signal is transduced by heterodimeric RXR/RAR functional units during mouse development. Development 124: 313-326.
- 21. Reber M, Cereghini S (2001) Variant hepatocyte nuclear factor 1 expression in the mouse genital tract. Mech Dev 100: 75-78.
- Bingham C, Ellard S, Cole TR, Jones KE, Allen LI, et al. (2002) Solitary functioning kidney and diverse genital tract malformations associated with hepatocyte nuclear factor-1beta mutations. Kidney Int 61: 1243-1251.
- Iizuka-Kogo A, Ishidao T, Akiyama T, Senda T (2007) Abnormal development of urogenital organs in Dlgh1-deficient mice. Development 134: 1799-1807.
- Davis RJ, Harding M, Moayedi Y, Mardon G (2008) Mouse Dach1 and Dach2 are redundantly required for Müllerian duct development. Genesis 46: 205-213.
- 25. Cunha GR (1976) Stromal induction and specification of morphogenesis and cytodifferentiation of the epithelia of the Mullerian ducts and urogenital sinus during development of the uterus and vagina in mice. J Exp Zool 196: 361-370.
- 26. Taylor HS, Vanden Heuvel GB, Igarashi P (1997) A conserved Hox axis in the mouse and human female reproductive system: late establishment and

persistent adult expression of the Hoxa cluster genes. Biol Reprod 57: 1338-1345.

- Branford WW, Benson GV, Ma L, Maas RL, Potter SS (2000) Characterization of Hoxa-10/Hoxa-11 transheterozygotes reveals functional redundancy and regulatory interactions. Dev Biol 224: 373-387.
- Benson GV, Lim H, Paria BC, Satokata I, Dey SK, et al. (1996) Mechanisms of reduced fertility in Hoxa-10 mutant mice: uterine homeosis and loss of maternal Hoxa-10 expression. Development 122: 2687-2696.
- Gendron RL, Paradis H, Hsieh-Li HM, Lee DW, Potter SS, et al. (1997) Abnormal uterine stromal and glandular function associated with maternal reproductive defects in Hoxa-11 null mice. Biol Reprod 56: 1097-1105.
- Connell KA, Guess MK, Chen H, Andikyan V, Bercik R, et al. (2008) HOXA11 is critical for development and maintenance of uterosacral ligaments and deficient in pelvic prolapse. J Clin Invest 118: 1050-1055.
- 31. Warot X, Fromental-Ramain C, Fraulob V, Chambon P, Dollé P (1997) Gene dosage-dependent effects of the Hoxa-13 and Hoxd-13 mutations on morphogenesis of the terminal parts of the digestive and urogenital tracts. Development 124: 4781-4791.
- Miller C, Sassoon DA (1998) Wnt-7a maintains appropriate uterine patterning during the development of the mouse female reproductive tract. Development 125: 3201-3211.
- Mericskay M, Kitajewski J, Sassoon D (2004) Wnt5a is required for proper epithelial-mesenchymal interactions in the uterus. Development 131: 2061-2072.
- 34. Hayashi K, Yoshioka S, Reardon SN, Rucker EB 3rd, Spencer TE, et al. (2011) WNTs in the neonatal mouse uterus: potential regulation of endometrial gland development. Biol Reprod 84: 308-319.
- Jeong JW, Lee HS, Franco HL, Broaddus RR, Taketo MM, et al. (2009) betacatenin mediates glandular formation and dysregulation of beta-catenin induces hyperplasia formation in the murine uterus. Oncogene 28: 31-40.
- Jeong JW, Kwak I, Lee KY, Kim TH, Large MJ, et al. (2010) Foxa2 is essential for mouse endometrial gland development and fertility. Biol Reprod 83: 396-403.
- Dunlap KA, Filant J, Hayashi K, Rucker EB 3rd, Song G, et al. (2011) Postnatal deletion of Wnt7a inhibits uterine gland morphogenesis and compromises adult fertility in mice. Biol Reprod 85: 386-396.
- Cai Y (2009) Revisiting old vaginal topics: conversion of the Müllerian vagina and origin of the "sinus" vagina. Int J Dev Biol 53: 925-934.
- 39. Kurita T (2011) Normal and abnormal epithelial differentiation in the female reproductive tract. Differentiation 82: 117-126.
- 40. Fritsch H, Richter E, Adam N (2012) Molecular characteristics and alterations during early development of the human vagina. J Anat 220: 363-371.
- 41. Post LC, Innis JW (1999) Infertility in adult hypodactyly mice is associated with hypoplasia of distal reproductive structures. Biol Reprod 61: 1402-1408.
- Suzuki M, Ueno N, Kuroiwa A (2003) Hox proteins functionally cooperate with the GC box-binding protein system through distinct domains. J Biol Chem 278: 30148-30156.
- Jones CM, Lyons KM, Hogan BL (1991) Involvement of Bone Morphogenetic Protein-4 (BMP-4) and Vgr-1 in morphogenesis and neurogenesis in the mouse. Development 111: 531-542.
- 44. Parr BA, McMahon AP (1995) Dorsalizing signal Wnt-7a required for normal polarity of D-V and A-P axes of mouse limb. Nature 374: 350-353.
- 45. Hirsinger E, Duprez D, Jouve C, Malapert P, Cooke J, et al. (1997) Noggin acts downstream of Wnt and Sonic Hedgehog to antagonize BMP4 in avian somite patterning. Development 124: 4605-4614.
- 46. Miller C, Pavlova A, Sassoon DA (1998) Differential expression patterns of Wnt genes in the murine female reproductive tract during development and the estrous cycle. Mech Dev 76: 91-99.
- Parr BA, McMahon AP (1998) Sexually dimorphic development of the mammalian reproductive tract requires Wnt-7a. Nature 395: 707-710.
- Aberdam D, Gambaro K, Rostagno P, Aberdam E, de la Forest Divonne S, et al. (2007) Key role of p63 in BMP-4-induced epidermal commitment of embryonic stem cells. Cell Cycle 6: 291-294.

Page 11 of 12

- Kurita T, Cunha GR (2001) Roles of p63 in differentiation of Müllerian duct epithelial cells. Ann N Y Acad Sci 948: 9-12.
- Ince TA, Cviko AP, Quade BJ, Yang A, McKeon FD, et al. (2002) p63 Coordinates anogenital modeling and epithelial cell differentiation in the developing female urogenital tract. Am J Pathol 161: 1111-1117.
- Kurita T, Mills AA, Cunha GR (2004) Roles of p63 in the diethylstilbestrolinduced cervicovaginal adenosis. Development 131: 1639-1649.
- 52. Celli J, Duijf P, Hamel BC, Bamshad M, Kramer B, et al. (1999) Heterozygous germline mutations in the p53 homolog p63 are the cause of EEC syndrome. Cell 99: 143-153.
- 53. Mo R, Kim JH, Zhang J, Chiang C, Hui CC, et al. (2001) Anorectal malformations caused by defects in sonic hedgehog signaling. Am J Pathol 159: 765-774.
- 54. Evans TN, Poland ML, Boving RL (1981) Vaginal malformations. Am J Obstet Gynecol 141: 910-920.
- Mckusick VA, Bauer RI, Koop CE, Scott RB (1964) Hydrometrocolpos as a Simply Inherited Malformation. JAMA 189: 813-816.
- Chitayat D, Hahm SY, Marion RW, Sachs GS, Goldman D, et al. (1987) Further delineation of the McKusick-Kaufman hydrometrocolpos-polydactyly syndrome. Am J Dis Child 141: 1133-1136.
- Beales PL, Warner AM, Hitman GA, Thakker R, Flinter FA (1997) Bardet-Biedl syndrome: a molecular and phenotypic study of 18 families. J Med Genet 34: 92-98.
- Behera M, Couchman G, Walmer D, Price TM (2005) Mullerian agenesis and thrombocytopenia absent radius syndrome: a case report and review of syndromes associated with Mullerian agenesis. Obstet Gynecol Surv 60: 453-461.
- David A, Bitoun P, Lacombe D, Lambert JC, Nivelon A, et al. (1999) Hydrometrocolpos and polydactyly: a common neonatal presentation of Bardet-Biedl and McKusick-Kaufman syndromes. J Med Genet 36: 599-603.
- 60. Waters AM, Beales PL (2011) Bardet-Biedl Syndrome. Bardet-Biedl Syndrome.
- 61. Lentz G, Lobo R, Gershenson D, Katz V (2012) Comprehensive Gynecology. Elsevier, Philadelphia, PA.
- 62. Edwards JA, Gale RP (1972) Camptobrachydactyly: a new autosomal dominant trait with two probable homozygotes. Am J Hum Genet 24: 464-474.
- Almashraki N, Abdulnabee MZ, Sukalo M, Alrajoudi A, Sharafadeen I, et al. (2011) Johanson-Blizzard syndrome. World J Gastroenterol 17: 4247-4250.
- 64. Goodman FR, Bacchelli C, Brady AF, Brueton LA, Fryns JP, et al. (2000) Novel HOXA13 mutations and the phenotypic spectrum of hand-foot-genital syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 67: 197-202.
- 65. Mortlock DP, Innis JW (1997) Mutation of HOXA13 in hand-foot-genital syndrome. Nat Genet 15: 179-180.
- McIlroy DL, Ward IV (1930) Three Cases of Imperforate Hymen Occurring in One Family. Proc R Soc Med 23: 633-634.
- Usta IM, Awwad JT, Usta JA, Makarem MM, Karam KS (1993) Imperforate hymen: report of an unusual familial occurrence. Obstet Gynecol 82: 655-656.
- Stelling JR, Gray MR, Davis AJ, Cowan JM, Reindollar RH (2000) Dominant transmission of imperforate hymen. Fertil Steril 74: 1241-1244.
- 69. Schinzel A (1987) Ulnar-mammary syndrome. J Med Genet 24: 778-781.
- Bamshad M, Lin RC, Law DJ, Watkins WC, Krakowiak PA, et al. (1997) Mutations in human TBX3 alter limb, apocrine and genital development in ulnar-mammary syndrome. Nat Genet 16: 311-315.
- Klein VR, Willman SP, Carr BR (1989) Familial posterior labial fusion. Obstet Gynecol 73: 500-503.
- Fujimoto VY, Miller JH, Klein NA, Soules MR (1997) Congenital cervical atresia: report of seven cases and review of the literature. Am J Obstet Gynecol 177: 1419-1425.
- Acién P, Acién MI (2011) The history of female genital tract malformation classifications and proposal of an updated system. Hum Reprod Update 17: 693-705.
- 74. Liatsikos SA, Grimbizis GF, Georgiou I, Papadopoulos N, Lazaros L, et al.

(2010) HOX A10 and HOX A11 mutation scan in congenital malformations of the female genital tract. Reprod Biomed Online 21: 126-132.

- Cheng Z, Zhu Y, Su D, Wang J, Cheng L, et al. (2011) A novel mutation of HOXA10 in a Chinese woman with a Mullerian duct anomaly. Hum Reprod 26: 3197-3201.
- Wang P, Zhao H, Sun M, Li Y, Chen ZJ (2012) PAX2 in 192 Chinese women with Müllerian duct abnormalities: mutation analysis. Reprod Biomed Online 25: 219-222.
- Xia M, Zhao H, Qin Y, Mu Y, Wang J, et al. (2012) LHX1 mutation screening in 96 patients with müllerian duct abnormalities. Fertil Steril 97: 682-685.
- Ma J, Qin Y, Liu W, Duan H, Xia M, et al. (2011) Analysis of PBX1 mutations in 192 Chinese women with Müllerian duct abnormalities. Fertil Steril 95: 2615-2617.
- Dang Y, Qin Y, Tang R, Mu Y, Li G, et al. (2012) Variants of the WNT7A gene in Chinese patients with müllerian duct abnormalities. Fertil Steril 97: 391-394.
- Duncan PA, Shapiro LR, Stangel JJ, Klein RM, Addonizio JC (1979) The MURCS association: Müllerian duct aplasia, renal aplasia, and cervicothoracic somite dysplasia. J Pediatr 95: 399-402.
- Wottgen M, Brucker S, Renner SP, Strissel PL, Strick R, et al. (2008) Higher incidence of linked malformations in siblings of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser-syndrome patients. Hum Reprod 23: 1226-1231.
- Morcel K, Camborieux L; Programme de Recherches sur les Aplasies Müllériennes, Guerrier D (2007) Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser (MRKH) syndrome. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2: 13.
- 83. Oppelt P, Strissel PL, Kellermann A, Seeber S, Humeny A, et al. (2005) DNA sequence variations of the entire anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) gene promoter and AMH protein expression in patients with the Mayer-Rokitanski-Kuster-Hauser syndrome. Hum Reprod 20: 149-157.
- 84. Van Lingen B, Reindollar R, Davis A, Gray M (1998) Molecular genetic analysis of the PAX2 gene in patients with congenital absence of uterus and vagina (abstract). Fertil Steril 70(S402).
- 85. van Lingen BL, Reindollar RH, Davis AJ, Gray MR (1998) Further evidence that the WT1 gene does not have a role in the development of the derivatives of the müllerian duct. Am J Obstet Gynecol 179: 597-603.
- Sultan C, Biason-Lauber A, Philibert P (2009) Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome: recent clinical and genetic findings. Gynecol Endocrinol 25: 8-11.
- 87. Klipstein S, Bhagavath B, Topipat C, Sasur L, Reindollar RH, et al. (2003) The N314D polymorphism of the GALT gene is not associated with congenital absence of the uterus and vagina. Mol Hum Reprod 9: 171-174.
- Timmreck LS, Gray MR, Handelin B, Allito B, Rohlfs E, et al. (2003) Analysis of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene mutations in patients with congenital absence of the uterus and vagina. Am J Med Genet A 120A: 72-76.
- Biason-Lauber A, Konrad D, Navratil F, Schoenle EJ (2004) A WNT4 mutation associated with Müllerian-duct regression and virilization in a 46,XX woman. N Engl J Med 351: 792-798.
- Philibert P, Biason-Lauber A, Gueorguieva I, Stuckens C, Pienkowski C, et al. (2011) Molecular analysis of WNT4 gene in four adolescent girls with mullerian duct abnormality and hyperandrogenism (atypical Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome). Fertil Steril 95: 2683-2686.
- 91. Philibert P, Biason-Lauber A, Rouzier R, Pienkowski C, Paris F, et al. (2008) Identification and functional analysis of a new WNT4 gene mutation among 28 adolescent girls with primary amenorrhea and müllerian duct abnormalities: a French collaborative study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 93: 895-900.
- 92. Lindner TH, Njolstad PR, Horikawa Y, Bostad L, Bell GI, et al. (1999) A novel syndrome of diabetes mellitus, renal dysfunction and genital malformation associated with a partial deletion of the pseudo-POU domain of hepatocyte nuclear factor-1beta. Hum Mol Genet 8: 2001-2008.
- Woods CG, Stricker S, Seemann P, Stern R, Cox J, et al. (2006) Mutations in WNT7A cause a range of limb malformations, including Fuhrmann syndrome and Al-Awadi/Raas-Rothschild/Schinzel phocomelia syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 79: 402-408.
- 94. Nik-Zainal S, Strick R, Storer M, Huang N, Rad R, et al. (2011) High incidence of recurrent copy number variants in patients with isolated and syndromic Müllerian aplasia. J Med Genet 48: 197-204.

Page 12 of 12

- Ledig S, Schippert C, Strick R, Beckmann MW, Oppelt PG, et al. (2011) Recurrent aberrations identified by array-CGH in patients with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. Fertil Steril 95: 1589-1594.
- Gervasini C, Grati FR, Lalatta F, Tabano S, Gentilin B, et al. (2010) SHOX duplications found in some cases with type I Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome. Genet Med 12: 634-640.
- 97. Toriello HV (2011) Thrombocytopenia-absent radius syndrome. Semin Thromb Hemost 37: 707-712.
- Ahmad R, Pope S (2008) Association of Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome with Thrombocytopenia Absent Radii syndrome: a rare presentation. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 139: 257-258.
- Greenhalgh KL, Howell RT, Bottani A, Ancliff PJ, Brunner HG, et al. (2002) Thrombocytopenia-absent radius syndrome: a clinical genetic study. J Med Genet 39: 876-881.
- 100. Petrozza JC, Gray MR, Davis AJ, Reindollar RH (1997) Congenital absence of the uterus and vagina is not commonly transmitted as a dominant genetic trait: outcomes of surrogate pregnancies. Fertil Steril 67: 387-389.
- Duru UA, Laufer MR (2009) Discordance in Mayer-von Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser Syndrome noted in monozygotic twins. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol 22: e73-75.
- 102. Miyamoto Y, Taniguchi H, Hamel F, Silversides DW, Viger RS (2008) A GATA4/ WT1 cooperation regulates transcription of genes required for mammalian sex determination and differentiation. BMC Mol Biol 9: 44.
- 103. Chen G, Shinka T, Kinoshita K, Yan HT, Iwamoto T, et al. (2003) Roles of estrogen receptor alpha (ER alpha) in the regulation of the human Müllerian inhibitory substance (MIS) promoter. J Med Invest 50: 192-198.
- 104.Nothnick WB (2012) The role of micro-RNAs in the female reproductive tract. Reproduction 143: 559-576.
- 105. Nagaraja AK, Andreu-Vieyra C, Franco HL, Ma L, Chen R, et al. (2008) Deletion of Dicer in somatic cells of the female reproductive tract causes sterility. Mol Endocrinol 22: 2336-2352.
- 106. Ma L, Benson GV, Lim H, Dey SK, Maas RL (1998) Abdominal B (AbdB) Hoxa genes: regulation in adult uterus by estrogen and progesterone and repression in müllerian duct by the synthetic estrogen diethylstilbestrol (DES). Dev Biol 197: 141-154.

- 107. Miller C, Degenhardt K, Sassoon DA (1998) Fetal exposure to DES results in de-regulation of Wnt7a during uterine morphogenesis. Nat Genet 20: 228-230.
- 108. DU H, Taylor HS (2004) Molecular regulation of mullerian development by Hox genes. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1034: 152-165.
- 109. Miyagawa S, Sato M, Iguchi T (2011) Molecular mechanisms of induction of persistent changes by estrogenic chemicals on female reproductive tracts and external genitalia. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 127: 51-57.
- 110. Block K, Kardana A, Igarashi P, Taylor HS (2000) In utero diethylstilbestrol (DES) exposure alters Hox gene expression in the developing müllerian system. FASEB J 14: 1101-1108.
- 111. Acién P (1997) Incidence of Müllerian defects in fertile and infertile women. Hum Reprod 12: 1372-1376.
- 112. Raga F, Bauset C, Remohi J, Bonilla-Musoles F, Simón C, et al. (1997) Reproductive impact of congenital Müllerian anomalies. Hum Reprod 12: 2277-2281.
- 113. Simón C, Martinez L, Pardo F, Tortajada M, Pellicer A (1991) Müllerian defects in women with normal reproductive outcome. Fertil Steril 56: 1192-1193.
- 114. Morgan R (2006) Hox genes: a continuation of embryonic patterning? Trends Genet 22: 67-69.
- 115. Lim H, Ma L, Ma WG, Maas RL, Dey SK (1999) Hoxa-10 regulates uterine stromal cell responsiveness to progesterone during implantation and decidualization in the mouse. Mol Endocrinol 13: 1005-1017.
- Bagot CN, Troy PJ, Taylor HS (2000) Alteration of maternal Hoxa10 expression by in vivo gene transfection affects implantation. Gene Ther 7: 1378-1384.
- 117. Satokata I, Benson G, Maas R (1995) Sexually dimorphic sterility phenotypes in Hoxa10-deficient mice. Nature 374: 460-463.
- 118. Rackow BW, Jorgensen E, Taylor HS (2011) Endometrial polyps affect uterine receptivity. Fertil Steril 95: 2690-2692.
- 119. Rackow BW, Taylor HS (2010) Submucosal uterine leiomyomas have a global effect on molecular determinants of endometrial receptivity. Fertil Steril 93: 2027-2034.