

Gene Editing Technologies in Disease Treatment

Isabella Rossi*

Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Milan, Milan, Italy.

ABOVE THE STUDY

Gene editing technologies have rapidly evolved from experimental molecular tools into powerful platforms with the potential to fundamentally transform disease treatment. In my opinion, this represents one of the most profound shifts in biomedical science, moving beyond symptom management toward direct correction of disease-causing genetic defects. Technologies such as CRISPR-Cas systems, base editing, and prime editing are redefining what is therapeutically possible, particularly for genetic disorders that were once considered untreatable.

At the center of this revolution is CRISPR-Cas9, a gene editing system derived from bacterial adaptive immunity. It allows precise targeting and modification of DNA sequences within living cells. By creating double-strand breaks at specific genomic locations, CRISPR enables gene disruption, correction, or insertion through cellular repair mechanisms. In my view, the simplicity, versatility, and efficiency of CRISPR have made it one of the most transformative tools in modern molecular medicine.

One of the most promising applications of gene editing is in monogenic diseases caused by single-gene mutations. Disorders such as sickle cell anemia, beta-thalassemia, and cystic fibrosis are prime candidates for curative interventions using gene editing. Early clinical trials targeting hematopoietic stem cells have shown that correcting defective genes *ex vivo* followed by reinfusion into patients can restore normal protein function and alleviate disease symptoms. In my opinion, these successes demonstrate that gene editing is no longer theoretical but a clinically viable strategy.

Beyond monogenic disorders, gene editing is also being explored in cancer therapy. Tumor cells often harbor genetic mutations that drive uncontrolled proliferation and resistance to treatment. CRISPR-based approaches can be used to disrupt oncogenes, enhance immune cell function, or engineer T cells for improved tumor targeting, as seen in CAR-T cell therapies. This ability to reprogram immune cells represents a powerful convergence of gene editing and immunotherapy, offering new avenues for personalized cancer treatment.

In infectious diseases, gene editing is being investigated both as a therapeutic and preventive tool. For example, CRISPR systems can be designed to target and degrade viral genomes within infected cells, potentially eliminating persistent infections such as hepatitis B. Additionally, gene editing can be used to modify host susceptibility genes, thereby reducing the likelihood of infection. In my view, this dual strategy targeting both pathogen and host highlights the versatility of gene editing technologies in combating infectious diseases.

A major advancement beyond CRISPR-Cas9 is the development of base editing and prime editing technologies. Base editing allows precise conversion of single nucleotides without creating double-strand breaks, reducing the risk of unintended genomic damage. Prime editing further expands this capability by enabling targeted insertions, deletions, and all possible base substitutions with greater accuracy. In my opinion, these next-generation tools represent a significant step toward safer and more precise genome manipulation.

Despite these advancements, gene editing raises important safety concerns. Off-target effects, where unintended genomic regions are modified, remain a significant challenge. Such unintended edits could potentially lead to oncogenesis or other adverse outcomes. Additionally, immune responses to gene-editing components and long-term genomic stability are still under investigation. In my view, ensuring safety and precision is the most critical barrier to widespread clinical adoption.

Another important consideration is the ethical dimension of gene editing. While somatic cell editing for therapeutic purposes is widely accepted, germline editing which involves changes that can be inherited by future generations remains highly controversial. Concerns about unintended consequences, equity of access, and potential misuse have led to global debates on regulatory frameworks. I believe that responsible governance is essential to ensure that gene editing technologies are used ethically and equitably.

Delivery systems also represent a key technical challenge. Efficiently transporting gene-editing machinery into target cells *in vivo* remains difficult. Viral vectors such as adeno-associated

Correspondence to Isabella Rossi, Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Milan, Milan, Italy. E-mail: isabella.rossi@unimi.it

Received: 17-Nov-2025, Manuscript No. JMPB-25-41773; **Editor assigned:** 19-Nov-2025, PreQC No. JMPB-25-41773 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 03-Dec-2025, QC No. JMPB-25-41773; **Revised:** 10-Dec-2025, Manuscript No. JMPB-25-41773 (R); **Published:** 17-Dec-2025. DOI: 10.35248/jmpb.25.6.234.

Citation: Rossi I (2025). Gene Editing Technologies in Disease Treatment. *J Mol Pathol Biochem*.6:234.

Copyright: © 2025 Rossi I. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

viruses are commonly used but have limitations in cargo size and immune response. Non-viral delivery systems, including lipid nanoparticles, are being actively developed to overcome these issues and improve tissue specificity.

In conclusion, gene editing technologies represent a paradigm shift in disease treatment, offering the potential to correct

genetic abnormalities at their source. In my opinion, their greatest promise lies in the transition from managing disease to curing it at the molecular level. While significant challenges remain in safety, delivery, and ethics, continued technological innovation and careful regulatory oversight are likely to establish gene editing as a cornerstone of future precision medicine.