

FBXW7 Pathway Functions as a Promising Therapeutic Target of Cholangiocarcinoma

Hui Yang¹, Lili Chen², Yuxin Chen³ and Guangwei Wei^{4*}

¹Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Shandong Province Qianfoshan Hospital, Shandong University, 16766 Jingshi Road, Jinan, 250012, P.R China

²Department of Pathology, Jinan Forth People's Hospital, 50 Shifan Road, Jinan, 250012, P.R China

³Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, 107 Wenhua Xi Road, Jinan, 250012, P.R China

⁴Department of Anatomy and Key Laboratory of Experimental Teratology, Ministry of Education, Shandong University School of Medicine, 44 Wenhua Xi Road, Jinan, 250012, China

*Corresponding author: Guangwei Wei, Department of Anatomy and Key Laboratory of Experimental Teratology, Ministry of Education, Shandong University School of Medicine, 44 Wenhua Xi Road, Jinan, 250012, P.R China, Tel: +86 538 883823081284; E-mail: gwwei@yahoo.com

Received date: February 09, 2016; Accepted date: April 29, 2016; Published date: May 06, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Yang H, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Cholangiocarcinomas (CCAs) are a heterogeneous group of rare malignancies originating from the epithelial cells of the biliary tract, which can be classified anatomically as intrahepatic (IHCC), perihilar (PHCC), and distal (DCC) CCA. Although rare, the incidence of CCAs has increased worldwide over the past 3 decades, which may be caused by the following reasons: firstly, diagnosis of CCAs is usually in the 70's of age, its incidence is inevitably increased with the prosperity of aging society; secondly, more CCAs are detected in recent years because of the development of imagology, especially in developing countries. However, the risk factors of CCAs remain to be confirmed at present. In addition, the 5-year overall survival rate after diagnosis remains low at 10% [1,2].

Surgery is the only curative treatment for CCA patients; however, less than one-third of patients are resectable at diagnosis as metastasis already occurred [3]. Moreover, majority of patients with CCAs develop an early recurrence after resection. The relatively low resection rate and high relapse rate provide the rational of adjuvant strategies to improve prognosis of CCA patients. Unfortunately, the highly desmoplastic nature, extensive support by a rich tumor microenvironment, and profound genetic heterogeneity of CCAs all contribute to its therapeutic resistance [4]. Therefore, further investigation on the molecular mechanisms of CCA metastasis is eagerly needed to find new diagnostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets.

Discussion

CCAs are featured by prominent heterogeneous nature and highly desmoplastic and hypovascularized stroma [5]. The initiation and development of CCAs involve genetic and epigenetic alterations, chromosome aberrations and profound changes in oncogene and inflammatory signaling pathways [6]. Furthermore, IHCC, PHCC and DCC exhibited completely different phenotypes in tumor etiopathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment strategies and prognosis, which makes CCAs a group of rather complicated malignances.

Metastasis in early stage is another characteristic of CCAs. IHCC usually disseminated intrahepatically through venous system, while lymphatic system is the most common route for PHCC and DCC metastasis. EGFR has been identified as an independent risk factor for IHCC prognosis and is associated with clinical factors involved in PHCC and DCC progression and invasion. VEGF expression is

correlated with IHCC intrahepatic metastasis [7]. Several molecules, including NGF, NCAM, MMP, Ach and TGF have been reported to have prognostic significance, and offer clues to the mechanism of CCA neural invasion [8].

Although many advances have been made in the diagnosis and management of CCA, no standard adjuvant strategy for CCAs has been made at present as current evidences for adjuvant therapy in CCAs is poor. The vast majority of published literatures are statistically underpowered, nonrandomized, restricted to short-term follow-up, or demonstrated poor response rates [9]. Currently existing large-scale randomized clinical trials also have their own inherent limitations. The well-known large-scale randomized phase III trial of systemic therapy performed by Valle J et al. showed that gemcitabine plus cisplatin was associated with a significant survival advantage without the addition of substantial toxicity, as compared with gemcitabine alone (11.7 months vs 8.1 months) [10]. However, IHCC, PHCC and DCC were grouped together in this study despite their obvious differences in anatomic location, etiopathogenesis, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis [6,11]. Furthermore, adjuvant therapy agents, doses and scheduling varied greatly among different literatures [12-14]. In addition, the clinical data of CCAs are usually collected over many years with a limited number of patients for the rarity of this disease, which inevitably lead to bias for the variability of diagnosis and treatment strategy. All these factors contribute to the difficulty of making comparisons between series and preclude clinical practice guidelines in establishing a "standard of care" for patients with advanced CCAs [15]. More confirmed evidences and treatment strategies are eagerly awaited for CCA patients.

In the era of precision medicine, targeted therapy based on gene sequence and expression provides a potentially effective way for CCA patients. Breakthroughs of immunologic therapies with antibodies targeting cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) and the programmed cell death protein 1 pathway (PD-1/PD-L1) have been made in a variety of malignancies [16-21]. Recent clinical trials of CCAs have focused on the agents targeted to specific genes such as EGFR and VEGFR, but promising clinical activity was not observed to date [22-24]. Further elucidation on tumor biology and molecular markers of CCAs is essential for future evaluation of targeted therapies.

In an article published in *Oncotarget*, Yang et al. [25] reported that FBXW7, a substrate recognition component of the SCF (complex of SKP1, CUL1 and F-box protein) complex, could suppress epithelial-mesenchymal transition, stemness and metastatic potential of CCA

cells. The expression of FBXW7 was deficient in CCA cell lines and tumor tissues compared with human intrahepatic biliary epithelial cell line and tumor adjacent tissues. Besides, FBXW7 expression was negatively correlates with tumor metastasis, TNM stage and histological grade of IHCC and PHCC. The role of FBXW7 in suppressing CCA metastasis, epithelial-mesenchymal transition and stemness was confirmed both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Mechanistically, mTOR/ZEB1 signaling pathway was investigated to mediate the function of FBXW7 in suppressing CCA metastasis. These results defined a critical function of FBXW7 in regulating CCA metastasis. Furthermore, mTOR could be a potential therapeutic target of CCAs, especially for patients with FBXW7 deficiency.

The ubiquitin ligase component FBXW7 is regarded as the most commonly deregulated ubiquitin-proteasome system protein in human cancers [26]. It mediates the ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis of numerous well-known oncoproteins, including Cyclin E, Notch, c-Jun, c-Myc and mTOR [27]. Most of these substrates are transcriptional regulators that control complex gene-expression programs and this extends FBXW7 impact far beyond its direct substrates [26]. It has been demonstrated to play an essential role in cell cycle progression, cell proliferation, differentiation, DNA damage response, maintenance of genomic stability, and neural cell stemness. Lessons learned from FBXW7-associated murine cancer models also convincingly demonstrated that it is a bona fide tumor suppressor gene with extensive functions [26]. Recent genetic profiles of human cancers based on high-throughput sequencing revealed that FBXW7 is among the most frequently mutated cancer genes [28-33]. Thus, FBXW7 has been illuminated to be a central mediator in tumorigenesis [34]. Interestingly, a recent report showed that FBXW7 could inhibit cancer metastasis in a non-cell-autonomous manner by modulating the recruitment of both monocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells and macrophages through FBXW7/NOTCH/CCL2 axis [35], implicating FBXW7 may also be a critical regulator in tumor microenvironment. With the roles and mechanisms of FBXW7 in suppressing tumorigenesis being further illuminated gradually, novel therapeutic strategies targeting FBXW7 pathway in cancer have been designed [26]. Furthermore, FBXW7 has been demonstrated to be a prognostic marker in colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, IHCC, hepatocellular carcinoma and T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia [36-40], indicating FBXW7 may be measured perioperatively for making adjuvant therapeutic regimen and evaluating prognosis. Yang et al. [25] demonstrated FBXW7 plays a pivotal role in suppressing CCA metastasis, which may serve as an essential clue for targeting FBXW7 pathway in CCA patients.

mTOR is a well-known ubiquitination target of FBXW7 [41]. Several mTOR inhibitors (sirolimus, everolimus and temsirolimus) have been approved by FDA with indications for cancer treatment [42]. Due to the fundamental role that mTOR plays in major cell processes, mTOR inhibitors are usually used in combination with other adjuvant therapy agents. Recently, several preclinical experiments have obtained positive results in enhancing the anti-tumor effects by combining mTOR inhibitors with gemcitabine in treating advanced pancreatic cancer [43,44]. Moreover, phase I clinical trial has demonstrated the favorable toxicity profile of the combination in pancreatic cancer patients [45]. As known, CCAs share many similarities with pancreatic adenocarcinoma in tumor phenotypes, including extremely stroma-rich and resistant to chemotherapy. As mentioned above, gemcitabine is one fundamental agent in CCA chemotherapy. Moreover, mTOR inhibitor, combined with other antitumor agents or not, could inhibit CCA development, and mTOR

has been considered to be a potential therapeutic target of CCAs in recent reports [46-48]. Especially, it has been validated that inhibition of mTOR signaling pathway could protect FBXW7-deficient mice from radiation-induced tumor development [49]. Similar result was observed in a clinical case harboring an FBXW7 mutation both clinically and radiographically benefited from treatment with the mTOR inhibitor temsirolimus [50]. Thus, it is promising to combine mTOR inhibitors with gemcitabine in CCA adjuvant therapeutic regimen, especially for patients with FBXW7 deficiency.

Conclusion

In conclusion, in spite of the significant progress in cancer research and management, the treatment strategy and prognosis of CCA patients are still poor now. More accurate and optimal evidence are needed for discovering novel therapeutic targets and making standard treatment strategy. Considering the metastatic feature of CCAs and the mechanistic and therapeutic roles of FBXW7 and mTOR in CCAs revealed by Yang et al. and others, FBXW7-mTOR pathway may provide a potential therapeutic target of CCAs, which deserves further investigation.

References

1. Tyson GL, El-Serag HB (2011) Risk factors for cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology* 54: 173-184.
2. Everhart JE, Ruhl CE (2009) Burden of digestive diseases in the United States Part III: Liver, biliary tract, and pancreas. *Gastroenterology* 136: 1134-1144.
3. Khan SA, Davidson BR, Goldin RD, Heaton N, Karani J, et al. (2012) Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of cholangiocarcinoma: an update. *Gut* 61: 1657-1669.
4. Razumilava N, Gores GJ (2014) Cholangiocarcinoma. *Lancet* 383: 2168-2179.
5. Sirica AE, Gores GJ (2014) Desmoplastic stroma and cholangiocarcinoma: clinical implications and therapeutic targeting. *Hepatology* 59: 2397-2402.
6. Rizvi S, Gores GJ (2013) Pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management of cholangiocarcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 145: 1215-1229.
7. Yoshikawa D, Ojima H, Iwasaki M, Hiraoka N, Kosuge T, et al. (2008) Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of EGFR, VEGF, and HER2 expression in cholangiocarcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 98: 418-425.
8. Shen FZ, Zhang BY, Feng YJ, Jia ZX, An B, et al. (2010) Current research in perineural invasion of cholangiocarcinoma. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 29: 24.
9. Howell M, Valle JW (2015) The role of adjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy for cholangiocarcinoma. *Best practice & research Clinical gastroenterology* 29: 333-343.
10. Valle J, Wasan H, Palmer DH, Cunningham D, Anthoney A, et al. (2010) Cisplatin plus gemcitabine versus gemcitabine for biliary tract cancer. *N Engl J Med* 362: 1273-1281.
11. Blechacz B, Gores GJ (2008) Cholangiocarcinoma: advances in pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. *Hepatology* 48: 308-321.
12. Wirasorn K, Ngamprasertchai T, Khuntikeo N, Pakkhem A, Ungarerevittaya P, et al. (2013) Adjuvant chemotherapy in resectable cholangiocarcinoma patients. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 28: 1885-1891.
13. Neoptolemos JP, Moore MJ, Cox TF, Valle JW, Palmer DH, et al. (2012) Effect of adjuvant chemotherapy with fluorouracil plus folinic acid or gemcitabine vs observation on survival in patients with resected perihilar adenocarcinoma: the ESPAC-3 periampullary cancer randomized trial. *JAMA* 308: 147-156.
14. McNamara MG, Walter T, Horgan AM, Amir E, Cleary S, (2015) Outcome of adjuvant therapy in biliary tract cancers. *American journal of clinical oncology* 38: 382-387.

15. Moeini A, Sia D, Bardeesy N, Mazzaferro V, Llovet JM (2015) Molecular pathogenesis and targeted therapies of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Clinical cancer research* 22: 291-300.
16. Postow MA, Callahan MK, Wolchok JD (2015) Immune Checkpoint Blockade in Cancer Therapy. *J Clin Oncol* 33: 1974-1982.
17. Ansell SM, Lesokhin AM, Borrello I, Halwani A, Scott EC, et al. (2015) PD-1 blockade with nivolumab in relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* 372: 311-319.
18. Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob JJ, Cowey CL, et al. (2015) Combined nivolumab and ipilimumab or monotherapy in untreated melanoma. *The New England journal of medicine* 373: 23-34.
19. Borghaei H, Paz-Ares L, Horn L, Spigel DR, Steins M, et al. (2015) Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. *The New England journal of medicine* 373: 1627-1639.
20. Motzer RJ, Escudier B, McDermott DF, George S, Hammers HJ, et al. (2015) Nivolumab versus everolimus in advanced renal-cell carcinoma. *The New England journal of medicine* 373: 1803-1813.
21. Garon EB, Rizvi NA, Hui R, Leighl N, Balmanoukian AS, et al. (2015) Pembrolizumab for the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 372: 2018-2028.
22. El-Khoueiry AB, Rankin CJ, Ben-Josef E, Lenz HJ, Gold PJ, et al. (2012) A phase ii study of sorafenib in patients with unresectable or metastatic gallbladder carcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma. *Investigational new drugs* 30: 1646-1651.
23. El-Khoueiry AB, Rankin C, Siegel AB, Iqbal S, Gong IY, et al. (2014) S0941: a phase 2 SWOG study of sorafenib and erlotinib in patients with advanced gallbladder carcinoma or cholangiocarcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 110: 882-887.
24. Lubner SJ, Mahoney MR, Kolesar JL, Loconte NK, Kim GP, et al. (2010) Report of a multicenter phase ii trial testing a combination of biweekly bevacizumab and daily erlotinib in patients with unresectable biliary cancer: A phase ii consortium study. *Journal of clinical oncology* 28: 3491-3497.
25. Yang H, Lu X, Liu Z, Chen L, Xu Y, et al. (2015) Fbxw7 suppresses epithelial-mesenchymal transition, stemness and metastatic potential of cholangiocarcinoma cells. *Oncotarget* 6: 6310-6325.
26. Davis RJ, Welcker M, Clurman BE (2014) Tumor suppression by the Fbw7 ubiquitin ligase: mechanisms and opportunities. *Cancer Cell* 26: 455-464.
27. Wang L, Ye X, Liu Y, Wei W, Wang Z (2014) Aberrant regulation of FBW7 in cancer. *Oncotarget* 5: 2000-2015.
28. Muller E, Brault B, Holmes A, Legros A, Jeannot E, et al. (2015) Genetic profiles of cervical tumors by high-throughput sequencing for personalized medical care. *Cancer medicine* 4: 1484-1493.
29. Ross JS, Badve S, Wang K, Sheehan CE, Boguniewicz AB (2015) Genomic profiling of advanced-stage, metaplastic breast carcinoma by next-generation sequencing reveals frequent, targetable genomic abnormalities and potential new treatment options. *Archives of pathology & laboratory medicine* 139: 642-649.
30. Aydin IT, Melamed RD, Adams SJ, Castillo-Martin M, Demir A, et al. (2014) FBXW7 mutations in melanoma and a new therapeutic paradigm. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 106: dju107.
31. Heestand GM, Kurzrock R (2015) Molecular landscape of pancreatic cancer: implications for current clinical trials. *Oncotarget* 6: 4553-4561.
32. Le Gallo M, O'Hara AJ, Rudd ML, Urlick ME, Hansen NE, et al. (2012) Exome sequencing of serous endometrial tumors identifies recurrent somatic mutations in chromatin-remodeling and ubiquitin ligase complex genes. *Nature genetics* 44: 1310-1315.
33. Santarpia L, Qi Y, Stemke-Hale K, Wang B, Young EJ, et al. (2012) Mutation profiling identifies numerous rare drug targets and distinct mutation patterns in different clinical subtypes of breast cancers. *Breast cancer research and treatment* 134: 333-343.
34. Welcker M, Clurman BE (2008) FBW7 ubiquitin ligase: a tumour suppressor at the crossroads of cell division, growth and differentiation. *Nat Rev Cancer* 8: 83-93.
35. Yumimoto K, Akiyoshi S, Ueo H, Sagara Y, Onoyama I, et al. (2015) F-box protein FBXW7 inhibits cancer metastasis in a non-cell-autonomous manner. *J Clin Invest* 125: 621-635.
36. Malyukova A, Dohda T, von der Lehr N, Akhondji S, Corcoran M, et al. (2007) The tumor suppressor gene hcdc4 is frequently mutated in human t-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia with functional consequences for notch signaling. *Cancer research* 67: 5611-5616.
37. Yokobori T, Mimori K, Iwatsuki M, Ishii H, Onoyama I, et al. (2009) p53-Altered FBXW7 expression determines poor prognosis in gastric cancer cases. *Cancer Res* 69: 3788-3794.
38. Enkhbold C, Utsunomiya T, Morine Y, Imura S, Ikemoto T, et al. (2014) Loss of fbxw7 expression is associated with poor prognosis in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology research* 44: E346-352.
39. Yuan L, Lu L, Yang Y, Sun H, Chen X, et al. (2015) Genetic mutational profiling analysis of t cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia reveal mutant fbxw7 as a prognostic indicator for inferior survival. *Annals of hematology* 94: 1817-1828.
40. Tu K, Yang W, Li C, Zheng X, Lu Z, et al. (2014) Fbxw7 is an independent prognostic marker and induces apoptosis and growth arrest by regulating yap abundance in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Molecular cancer* 13: 110.
41. Mao JH, Kim IJ, Wu D, Climent J, Kang HC, et al. (2008) FBXW7 targets mTOR for degradation and cooperates with PTEN in tumor suppression. *Science* 321: 1499-1502.
42. Eiden AM, Zhang S, Gary JM, Simmons JK, Mock BA (2016) Molecular Pathways: Increased Susceptibility to Infection Is a Complication of mTOR Inhibitor Use in Cancer Therapy. *Clin Cancer Res* 22: 277-283.
43. Zhi X, Chen W, Xue F, Liang C, Chen BW, et al. (2015) OSI-027 inhibits pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cell proliferation and enhances the therapeutic effect of gemcitabine both in vitro and in vivo. *Oncotarget* 6: 26230-26241.
44. Duluc C, Moatassim-Billah S, Chalabi-Dchar M, Perraud A, Samain R, et al. (2015) Pharmacological targeting of the protein synthesis mtor/4e-bp1 pathway in cancer-associated fibroblasts abrogates pancreatic tumour chemoresistance. *EMBO molecular medicine* 7: 735-753.
45. Joka M, Boeck S, Zech CJ, Seufferlein T, Wichert G, et al. (2014) Combination of antiangiogenic therapy using the mtor-inhibitor everolimus and low-dose chemotherapy for locally advanced and/or metastatic pancreatic cancer: A dose-finding study. *Anti-cancer drugs* 25: 1095-1101.
46. Yothaisong S, Dokduang H, Techasen A, Namwat N, Yongvanit P, et al. (2013) Increased activation of pi3k/akt signaling pathway is associated with cholangiocarcinoma metastasis and pi3k/mtor inhibition presents a possible therapeutic strategy. *Tumour biology : the journal of the International Society for Oncodevelopmental Biology and Medicine* 34: 3637-3648.
47. Ewald F, Grabinski N, Grottko A, Windhorst S, Norz D, et al. (2013) Combined targeting of akt and mtor using mk-2206 and rad001 is synergistic in the treatment of cholangiocarcinoma. *International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer* 133: 2065-2076.
48. Moolthiya P, Tohtong R, Keerachamroen S, Leelawat K (2014) Role of mTOR inhibitor in cholangiocarcinoma cell progression. *Oncol Lett* 7: 854-860.
49. Liu Y, Huang Y, Wang Z, Huang Y, Li X, et al. (2013) Temporal mTOR inhibition protects Fbxw7-deficient mice from radiation-induced tumor development. *Aging (Albany NY)* 5: 111-119.
50. Villaruz LC, Socinski MA (2014) Temsirolimus therapy in a patient with lung adenocarcinoma harboring an FBXW7 mutation. *Lung Cancer* 83: 300-301.