

Farmed Salmon and Farmed Rainbow Trout - Excellent Sources of Vitamin D?

Jette Jakobsen^{1*} and Cat Smith²

¹National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Kemitorvet, DK-2800, Lyngby, Denmark

²Bantry Marine Research Station, Gearhies, Bantry, Co. Cork, Ireland

*Corresponding author: Jette Jakobsen, National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Kemitorvet DK-2800, Lyngby, Denmark, Tel: +45 2025 9192; E-mail: jeja@food.dtu.dk

Received date: April 22, 2017; Accepted date: June 05, 2017; Published date: June 12, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Jakobsen J, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Fatty fish are generally stated as having high vitamin D content and among these are salmon and trout. In the aquaculture industry of salmonids the two main species produced are *Salmo salar* (Atlantic salmon) and *Onchorhynchus mykiss* (rainbow trout). Published data have shown lower content of vitamin D in farmed than in wild species, but generally data on vitamin D in farmed salmon and rainbow trout are scarce. In commercial production facilities we aimed to study the variation of vitamin D in farmed salmon and rainbow trout prepared for sale to consumer. Thirteen organically produced salmon and 18 rainbow trout were sampled within the range 0.7-4.0 kg of gutted weight. All fish were ready for consumption, and analysed for content of vitamin D3, 25-hydroxyvitamin D3, and fat.

Mean vitamin D3 content in salmon and rainbow trout was 1.6 ± 0.5 , and $5.0 \pm 2.3 \mu g/100$ g, respectively. Compared to vitamin D3, the content of 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 was 11% and 3%, respectively. In farmed salmon a linear relationship with vitamin D3 being dependent on weight (P<0.05) as well as to fat content (P<0.05), while no similar relationship was found for farmed rainbow trout. Despite this, both species exhibit a linear correlation between fat and gutted weight (P<0.001).

The results indicate that there is a difference in the storage of vitamin D between the two salmonids, as 25hydroxyvitamin D3 amounted more to the vitamin D activity than in rainbow trout. The low content of vitamin D in i.e. found in the salmonids is challenging farmed salmon and farmed trout as an essential vitamin D source.

Keywords: Vitamin D; Salmon; Trout; Aquaculture

Introduction

Vitamin D is a fat-soluble vitamin that humans produce in skin when exposed to UV light (290-315 nm), and obtain through dietary intake. The vitamin D content of food is composed of the parent vitamin D, cholecalciferol (vitD3) and ergocalciferol (vitD2), and the metabolites, 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 (25OHD3) and 25-hydroxyvitamin D2 (25OHD2). There are several high risk groups associated with vitamin D deficiency: individuals who avoid sun exposure, people with dark-pigmented skin, older people and those in residential care or nursing homes [1,2]. Dietary intake is essential all year round for people in high risk groups and during winter for people living at latitudes above 35°. A recent review of dietary intake of vitamin D in adults and children in Europe shows that intake of vitamin D is generally inadequate compared to recommendations [3]. Fatty fish e.g. salmon is generally stated as having high vitamin D content, which for wild salmon has been reported to 8-55 µg vitD/100 g [4], but it has also been reported that the content of vitamin D in farmed salmon is lower than in wild salmon [5]. In fish, vitamin D is essential to preserve calcium and phosphate homoeostasis and the level rely on the intake in feed [6].

In the aqaucultural production salmon and trout is the largest single commodity by value [7]. The dominant farmed salmon and farmed trout are the Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* (*S. salar*) and the Pacific Ocean species of wild salmon *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (*O. Mykiss*),

respectively; *O. Mykiss* is also named rainbow trout [8,9].In terms of volume, *S. salar* is the most important aquacultured salmon species, as it covers >90% of the farmed salmon market and >50% of the total global salmon market [8]. The main producers of farmed salmon are Chile, Norway, Scotland and Canada, [8], while the main producers of farmed rainbow trout are Iran, Chile, Turkey and Norway [10].

The dietary requirement of vitD3 for rainbow trout is 40-60 μ g/kg feed [11], while for *S. salar* the estimated required amount is 60 μ g vitD3/kg feed [12]. In Europe there are maximum limits for the addition of vitamin D to feed, namely 75 μ g/kg feed [13]. Fish are very tolerant of high contents of vitD3 in feed [6], e.g. no effect of excessive amount of vitD3, up to 25000 μ g/kg feed, for *O. mykiss* has been demonstrated [14].

In nine different species of fresh and salt water fish fattiness had no significant effect on content of vitamin D [15]. Similar results were obtained for herring (*Clupea harengus*) and mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*), as content of fat through the year varied from 2-32% and 11-35%, respectively, while content of vitamin D showed no association to fattiness [16]. Data on vitamin D in farmed salmon and trout are scarce. In commercial production facilities, we aimed to study the variation of vitamin D in farmed salmon and rainbow trout prepared for sale to consumer. The hypothesis was that the content of vitamin D depends on fat content and weight of the farmed fish.

Materials and Methods

Fish samples

Salmo salar-farmed salmon: Thirteen farmed salmon (0.7 kg to 3.9 kg gutted weight) were included in the study. The salmon fry hatched between Month 1-6, were put to sea in Month 12-16, and were harvested in Month 29, at an age of between two years and two years five months. The salmon were farmed by Murphy's Irish Seafood (Bantry, Ireland) using the organic feeding regiment Emerald Organic Feed (Skretting, Wincham, UK). One whole fillet from each of the 13 salmon was homogenized.

Oncorhynchus mykiss-farmed rainbow trout: Eighteen rainbow

trout (0.9 kg to 3.9 kg gutted weight) were included in the study. The fry hatched in Month 1, were put to sea in Month 25, and were harvested in Month 32 at an age of between two years six months and two years seven months. The trout were farmed by Musholm A/S, Reersø, Denmark. During the period at sea (from week 15 to harvest), Aller Superior (Aller Aqua A/S, Christiansfeld, DK) and Efico Enviro 939 (BioMar A/S, Brande, DK), were used for feeding. For practical reasons the Norwegian Qualtiy Cut (NQC) [17] was sampled for each of the 18 rainbow trout and homogenised.

Quality control materials for the analyses of vitamin D: Certified

reference materials, Milk powder (CRM421, IRMM, Geel, B), and proficiency testing materials, Milk Powder (FAPAS 2184, FAPAS, York, UK). In-house reference material, Control- salmon, is homogenised whole fillet of wild Atlantic salmon, divided into 50 individual 10 g portions and stored at max -20°C.

Homogenisation: All individual fish sampled were homogenised in a blender (Osterizer, Hohn Oster, WI, USA or 1094 Tecator, Höganäs. Sweden) for 30-60 seconds until homogenous. As a precaution against oxidation, the homogeniser instrument was purged with nitrogen before the homgenisation process was initiated. Homogenised samples were stored in plastic bags at maximum -20°C until analysis, which took place within 6 months.

Analytical methods

Vitamin D: The amounts of vitD3 and 25OHD3 in fish were detected and quantified by use of HPLC-UV/PDA [18,19] or liquid chromatography combined with triple quadrupole mass spectrometry and electrospray ionisation (LC-MS/MS-ESI) [20]. The equipment used being HPLC-UV/PDA from Waters (Alliance 2695; UV-detector 2487; PDA-detector 2996, Milford, MA) and LC-MS/MS from Agilent (LC 1200 and MS 6460, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). The trueness of the methods was secured by acceptable results for the analyses of a certified reference material and participation in proficiency test scheme. The consistency of the methods during the studies was checked by analysis of Control-salmon. The HPLC-UV/DAD method (n=8) found 15.4 μ g vitD3/100g ± 1.5%, and 0.268 μ g 25OHD3/100 g \pm 11%, which were similar values to the LC-MS/MS- method (n=18) being 15.2 μ g vitD3/100 g ± 5.3% and 0.266 μ g 25OHD3/100 g \pm 5.8%. All analyses were conducted in a laboratory accredited to performing the analyses according to [21].

Fat content: The content of fat in the fish was determined gravimetrically by a modified Schmid-Bondzynski-Ratslaff (SBR) procedure [22].

Statistical tests: Linear regression and t-test with the probability level of P=0.05 was used. Excel 2010 was used for all calculations and all results are given as average \pm standard deviation (x \pm SD).

Results

The fish sampled were divided into the five weight categories usually used by rainbow trout farmers being 0.7-1.4 kg, 1.4-1.9 kg, 1.9-2.5 kg, 2.5-3.0 kg, and 3.0-4.0 kg (personal communication, Musholm A/S, Reersø, Denmark). The mean of gutted weight, content of fat, vitD3, and 25OHD3 in salmon and in rainbow trout are given in Table 1.

| | Fa | armed salmon (S | S. salar) | | Farmed rainbow trout (O. mykiss) | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Weight kg | Fat g/100 g | Vitamin D3 µg/100 g | 25ОНD3 µg/100 g | Sample number | Weight kg | Fat g/100 g | Vitamin D3 µg/100 g | 25OHD3 μg/100 g | Sample number | | |
| 0.9 ± 0.3 | 2.9 ± 0.9 | 1.4 ± 0.5 | 0.10 ± 0.03 | 4 | 0.9 ± 0.0 | 7.6 ± 2.7 | 4.2 ± 1.3 | 0.09 ± 0.04 | 3 | | |
| 1.7 ± 0.2 | 5.5 ± 2.0 | 1.1 ± 0.4 | 0.14 ± 0.01 | 2 | 1.5 ± 0.2 | 7.6 ± 1.7 | 6.2 ± 3.2 | 0.09 ± 0.04 | 6 | | |
| 2.2 ± 0.2 | 4.9 ± 0.7 | 1.4 ± 0.6 | 0.14 ± 0.06 | 2 | 2.3 ± 0.1 | 7.5 ± 0.4 | 3.3 ± 2.1 | 0.09 ± 0.05 | 3 | | |
| 2.8 ± 0.2 | 8.5 ± 1.0 | 1.6 ± 0.1 | 0.26 ± 0.02 | 2 | 2.9 ± 0.0 | 10.9 ± 0.3 | 5.5 ± 0.5 | 0.11 ± 0.01 | 3 | | |
| 3.6 ± 0.3 | 7.9 ± 0.7 | 2.3 ± 0.3 | 0.24 ± 0.04 | 3 | 3.5 ± 0.5 | 12.0 ± 2.5 | 4.3 ± 1.1 | 0.17 ± 0.01 | 3 | | |
| 2.1 ± 1.1 | 5.6 ± 2.5 | 1.6 ± 0.5 | 0.17 ± 0.07 | 13 | 2.1 ± 0.9 | 8.9 ± 2.5 | 5.0 ± 2.3 | 0.10 ± 0.04 | 18 | | |

Table 1: Farmed salmon and farmed rainbow trout divided into five weight classes – content of vitamin D3, 25OHD3, and fat (mean ± SD).

In farmed salmon there was a linear correlation with fat being dependent on gutted weight (P<0.001). Additionally, content of vitD3 depends on gutted weight (P<0.05) and on content of fat (P<0.05). As for vitD3 content of 25OHD3 was found to depend on gutted weight (P<0.01) and content of fat (P<0.0001). The distribution of 25OHD3 amounted $11.0\% \pm 4.6\%$ of the content of vitD3.

In farmed trout there was a linear correlation with fat being dependent on gutted weight (P<0.001). No association was found between vitD3 and gutted weight or vitD3 and fat, while for 25O HD3 there was an association with gutted weight (P<0.01), but not with fat content. The relative amount of 25O HD3 compared to vitD3 was 2.4% \pm 1.1%.

Page 3 of 5

Discussion

The farmed salmon and farmed rainbow trout were sampled at similar weights and ages. The analyses for farmed salmon were based on homogenization of the whole fillets, while for farmed trout the NQC was used. NQC is used in the assessment of vitamin D in fish for food composition data [17], and is a more practical approach in the homogenisation procedure. However, the difference in subsampling for homogenisation is a limitation in the interpretation of the results.

| Salmon, farmed (S. salar) | | | Salmon, farmed, organic (S. salar) | | | Trout, farmed (O. mykiss) | | | Trout, farmed, organic (O. mykiss) | | | Year | Ref |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|---------------------------|------------|--------|------------------------------------|------------|--------|------|-----|
| Vitamin D3 | | 250HD3 | Vitamin D3 | | 25OHD3 | Vitamin D3 | | 25OHD3 | Vitamin D3 | | 25OHD3 | | |
| Mean | (R) ± SD1 | Mean2 | Mean | (R)/ ± SD1 | Mean2 | Mean1 | (R)/ ± SD2 | Mean1 | Mean1 | (R)/ ± SD2 | Mean1 | | |
| | | | | | | 13 | (8-16) | na | | | | 1994 | 23 |
| | | | | | | 7.5 | (7.3-7.8) | 0.14 | | | | 1995 | 15 |
| | | | | | | 19 | (10-23) | na | | | | 1999 | 24 |
| 7.6 | (4.2-9.1) | na | 5.4 | (4.2-6.6) | na | 8.1 | (3.8-10.7) | na | 8.2 | (7.9-8.5) | na | 2006 | 25 |
| 10 | | 0.49 | | | | 6.9 | | 0,22 | | | | 2012 | 17 |
| | | | | | | 7.0 | | 0.18 | | | | 2013 | 26 |
| 5.9 | ± 3.6 | na | | | | 8.0 | ± 3.36 | | | | | 2015 | 27 |
| 5.8 | (3.6-8.2) | nd | | | | 1.6 | (1.4-1.8) | nd | | | | 2016 | 28 |
| 6.7 | | 0.38 | | | | | | | | | | 2017 | 29 |
| | | | 1.6 | ± 0.5 | 0.17 | 5.0 | ± 2.3 | 0.1 | | | | 2017 | * |
| ¹ (R)/±S | D: Range or ± | standard dev | iation as p | provided in ref | erence; | I | | 1 | 1 | <u> </u> | | | |
| ² na: not | t analyzed; nd: | not detected | ; | | | | | | | | | | |
| Data fr | om this study. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2: Farmed salmon (S. salar) and farmed rainbow trout (O. mykiss) – published data on content of vitamin D3 and 25OHD3 (µg/100 g).

The mean content of vitamin D3 in investigated salmon and rainbow trout was 1.6 \pm 0.5 and 5.0 \pm 2.3 $\mu g/100$ g, respectively. The level of vitD in salmon is surprisingly low, which might be due to the content of vitD in feed. We did not focus on feeding, as the sampled salmon and trout were taken from healthy stocks sold for consumption.

Data in the literature for content of vitamin D in farmed salmonids which include the species i.e. taxonomic name are shown in Table 2. Only vitD3 has been included in the majority of studies, as quantification of 25OHD3 has been an analytical challenge. Data for vitD content in raw samples of farmed salmon and farmed trout are scarce, and primarily analysed for food databanks [15,17,23-29]. The sampling system is therefore systematic to cover the market in the specific countries i.e. Denmark, Norway, United Kingdom, and the sampling and homogenization strategy make use of whole fillets as well as NQC. Our aim was different, but might be compared to the data established for food databanks. The content of vitamin D found in our study is currently sufficient to label these farmed fish with health claim "High content of vitamin D" in Europe [30]. However, it should be noticed that recommended dietary intake for vitamin D has increased from 5µg/day to 15µg/day over the last years [31,32]. The data in Table 2 indicate that content of vitamin D in farmed salmon and farmed trout seems to have decreased within the last 25 years. The change of feed based on fishmeal to vegetable feed [33] could cause such decrease. Fish products are essential for the dietary intake of vit D e.g.

in French diet the estimate is that 33% of the dietary intake of vitamin D derives from salmon [34]. An estimate which is based on the content of 15 μ g vitamin D/100 g salmon, which is almost 10 times higher than we find. The studies which did include quantification of vit D3 and 25OHD3 show that the relative amount of 25OHD3 compared to vitD3 is 5-11% for salmon and 2-3% for trout. We hypothesize that the distribution between vitD3 and 25OHD3 is species specific.

In the whole fillet from farmed salmon we found that content of fat increases in a linear matter with increase in weight, and vitD3 increased with increased content of fat. For the NQC in farmed trout similar correlation for content of fat and gutted weight occurred, but the content of vitD3 showed no association with fat. Whether this difference is species dependent or caused by the difference in subsampling has to be confirmed. However, if this is due to the subsampling of NQC the use of NQC could introduce a BIAS if used for analyses of vitD in fish for establishing data for food databanks. Previously other differences have been reported for S. salar and O. mykiss in studies aiming to increasing content of vitamin D in the two species by increasing vitD3 in the fish feed. Trout were fed 89-539 µg/kg for four months up to a weight of 618 g-1282 g and 7-14% fat [35], while salmon were fed 40-28680 µg/kg for 11 weeks to a weight of 450 g [36,37]. No effect was observed in trout, while content of vitamin D in salmon increased linearly with increased vitamin D in feed.

The limitation in our study is, apart from the differences in sampling strategy, that only one production cycle of each farmed

species was included. Furthermore, we did not focus on the content of vitamin D in feed, as the aim was to investigate the current production system, but the sampled salmon and trout were taken from healthy stocks sold for consumption.

Conclusion

The farmed produced salmon and the farmed rainbow trout were sampled at similar weights and ages. For analyses the whole filet was used for salmon while Norwegian Quality Cut was used for trout. The content of vitamin D3 was 1.1-2.3 μ g/100 g and 3.3-6.2 μ g/100 g, respectively. In farmed salmon a relationship was found for vitamin D3 to gutted weight as well as to fat content, while no similar relationship was found for farmed rainbow trout. Despite this, both species exhibit a linear correlation between fat and gutted weight. Compared to vitamin D3, the content of 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 was 11% and 3%, respectively. The low content of vitamin D found in the salmonids is challenging farmed salmon and farmed trout as essential vitamin D sources.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Musholm A/S, Reersø, Denmark for the farmed rainbow trout, and Kirsten Pinndal and Sofie Rye Jønsson for performing excellent laboratory work. Danish Veterinary and Food Administration funded part of the project.

Conflict of Interest

None of the authors have conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Lips P (2010) Worldwide status of vitamin D nutrition. Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 121: 297-300.
- 2. Jones G (2012) Metabolism and biomarkers of Vitamin D. Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation 72: 7-13.
- Kiely M, Black LJ (2012) Dietary strategies to maintain adequacy of circulating 25-Hydroxyvitamin D concentrations. Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation 72: 14-23.
- 4. Søndergaard H, Leerbeck E (1982) The content of vitamin D in Danish foods. Danish Food Administration, Mørkhøj Bygade 19, Søborg Denmark.
- 5. Chen TC, Chimeh F, Lu Z, Mathieu J, Person KS et al. (2007) Factors that influence the cutaneous synthesis and dietary sources of vitamin D. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 460: 213-217.
- 6. Lock EJ, Waagbø R, Bonga, SW, Flik G (2010) The significance of vitamin D for fish: a review. Aquaculture Nutrition 16: 100-116.
- 7. FAO (2016) In Brief The state of world fisheries and aquaculture.
- 8. Seafish (2012) Responsible sourcing guide: farmed Atlantic salmon. Grimsby, UK.
- Woynarovich A, Hoitsy G, Moth-Poulson T (2011) Small-scale rainbow trout farming. Food and Agriculture Organization of The United Nations, Rome.
- 10. FAO (2015) Fishery Statistical Collections. Global Aquaculture Production.
- NCR (2011) Nutrient requirements of fish and shrimp. Committee on the Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp. National Research Council. The National Academies Press, Washington, DC.
- 12. Woodward B (1994) Dietary vitamin requirements of cultured young fish, with emphasis on quantitative estimates for salmonids. Aquaculture 124: 133-168.

Official Journal of the European Union (2004) List of the authorised

- additives in feedingstuffs published in application of Article 9t (b) of Council Directive 70/524/EEC concerning additives in feedingstuffs. C50 1-144.
- Hilton JW, Ferguson HW (1982) Effect of excess vitamin-D3 on calciummetabolism in rainbow-trout salmo-gairdneri Richardson. Journal of Fish Biology 21: 373-379.
- Mattila P, Piironen V, Uusi-Rauva E, Koivistoinen P (1995) Cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol contents in fish and fish products. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis 8: 232-243.
- 16. Jakobsen J, Japelt RB (2012) Vitamin D. Handbook of Analysis of Active Compounds in Functional Foods. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group. Myhre JB, Borgejordet A, Nordbotten A, Loken EB, Fagerli RA (2012)
- Nutritional composition of selected wild and farmed raw fish. Norwegian Food Safety Authority and Directorate of Health and Social Affairs. Nutrient analysis. Raw fish.
- Jakobsen J, Clausen I, Leth T, Ovesen L (2004) A new method for the determination of vitamin D3 and 25-OH vitamin D3 in meat. Journal of Food Composition and Analyses 17: 777-787.
- 19. Jakobsen J, Maribo H, Bysted A, Sommer HM, Hels O (2007) 25hydroxyvitamin D3 affect vitamin D status similar to vitamin D3 in pigsbut the meat produced has a lower content of vitamin D. British Journal of Nutrition 98: 908-913.
- Burild A, Frandsen HL, Poulsen M, Jakobsen J (2015) Tissue content of vitamin D3 and 25-hydroxy vitamin D3 in minipigs after cutaneous synthesis, supplementation and deprivation of vitamin D3. Steroids 98: 72-79.
- 21. ISO (2005) General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization Central Secretariat.
- 22. NMKL (1989) Nordic Committee on Food Analysis. Fat Determination according to SBR in meat and meat products. Norwegian Veterinary Institute, Oslo, N.
- 23. Jacobsen J, Leth T (1994) Nutritional monitoring system. Levnedsmiddelstyrelsen, Mørkhøj Bygade Søborg, Denmark.
- Jacobsen J, Knuthsen P (1999) Food monitoring system for nutrients. FødevareRapport. Food Directorate, Mørkhøj Bygade 19, 2860 Søborg, Denmark.
- Ostermeyer U, Schmidt T (2006) Vitamin D and provitamin D in fish -Determination by HPLC with electrochemical detection, European Food Research and Technology 222: 403-413.
- 26. Roe M, Church S, Pinchen H, Finglas P (2013) Nutrient analysis of fish and fish products. Analytical Report. Department of Health.
- Malesa-Ciecwierz M-C, Usydus Z (2015) Vitamin D: Can fish food-based solutions be used for reduction of vitamin D deficiency in Poland? Nutrition 31: 187-192.
- Padula D, Greenfield H, Cunningham J, Kiermeier A, McLeod C (2016) Australian seafood compositional profiles: A pilot study. Vitamin D and mercury content. Food Chemistry 193: 106-111.
- 29. Knuthsen P, Hansen KS, Saxholt E, Jakobsen J (2017). Fish and fish products-Nutrient content. Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark.
- EP (2006) Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 December 2006 on nutrition and health claims made on foods.
- NRC (1989) Recommended dietary allowances, 10th Ed. National Research Council. Food and Nutrition Board, Commission of Life. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- 32. IOM (2010) Dietary reference intakes for calcium and vitamin D. Institute of Medicine.
- **33.** Bell JG, Waagbø R (2008) Safe and nutritious aquaculture produce: Benefits and risks of alternative sustainable aquafeeds. Aquaculture in the Ecosystem.
- 34. Bourre JM, Paquotte P (2008) Contributions of marine and fresh water products to the French dietary intakes of vitamins D and B12, selenium,

iodine and docosahexaenoic acid: impact on public health. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition 59: 491-501.

- 35. Mattila P, Piironen V, Hakkarainen T, Hirvi T, Uusi-Rauva et al. (1999) Possibilities to raise vitamin D content of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) by elevated feed cholecalciferol contents. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 79: 195-198.
- Horvli O, Lie O, Aksnes L (1998) The distribution of vitamin D3 in Atlantic salmon Salmo salar: effect of dietary level. Aquaculture Nutrition 4: 127-131.

Page 5 of 5