

Journal of Clinical & Experimental **Ophthalmology**

Research Article

Open Access

Evaluation of Central Corneal Thickness and Corneal Endothelial Cell Parameters in Pseudoexfoliative Glaucoma

Gazala Ahmad^{1*}, Shahzada Sajid Bashir Banday², Junaid S Wani¹ and Shahzada Shahid Bashir Banday³

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Government Medical College, India

²Department of Paediatrics and Neonatology, Government Medical College, India

³Department of Paediatric Surgery, Government Medical College, India

*Corresponding author: Gazala Ahmad, Department of Ophthalmology, Government Medical College, India, Tel: +91-9906534588; Email: gazalaahmad.ga@gmail.com

Received date: May 09, 2017; Accepted date: June 28, 2017; Published date: July 05, 2017

Copyright: ©2017 Ahmad G, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Background: The characteristics of corneal endothelium in patients with pseudoexfoliation (PEX) have been unclear. This study aimed to evaluate the corneal endothelial cell density and morphology in eyes diagnosed with this syndrome at our institution.

Objective: To measure central corneal thickness and corneal endothelial cell parameters in pseudoexfoliative glaucoma.

Methods: Ocular examination in all patients was performed with slit lamp examination, gonioscopy with Goldmann two mirror indirect gonioscope and dilated fundus examination using +90 D lens.

Results: No significant differences were found in the demographic parameters (age and sex) among the patients of two groups. Mean CCT value was significantly lower in PXG group 556.4+28.95 than in control group (572.5+19.91). Mean percentage of Hexagonal cells and the coefficient of variation in PXG group (2239.5+254.33), (50.9+2.47) and (37.6+2.09) were also found to have statistically significant difference compared to control group (2554.2+164.65), (56.1+4.06) and (34.4+2.15).

Conclusion: The study confirms the existence of significant difference in various corneal morphometric parameters in PXG patients.

Keywords: Pseudoexfoliative glaucoma; Ocular examination; Eyes

Introduction

The cornea, with the anterior chamber and lens, refracts light, with the cornea accounting for approximately two-thirds of the eye's total optical power [1,2]. In humans, the cornea has a diameter of about 11.5 mm and a thickness of 0.5-0.6 mm in the center and 0.6-0.8 mm at the periphery. The cornea has no blood supply; it gets oxygen directly through the air. Oxygen first dissolves in the tears and then diffuses throughout the cornea to keep it healthy [3]. Corneal endothelial morphology and central corneal thickness (CCT) are important parameters for evaluating the cornea; particularly in the case of refractive surgery assessment [4-6]. Key corneal endothelial morphology parameters include the endothelial cell density (ECD), and the coefficient of variation of cell area (CV/polymegathism). Both of these measures can be affected by a broad range of disorders, such as contact lens complications [7,8], glaucoma [9,10], dry eye [11], and diabetes mellitus [12,13]. Furthermore, it is predictable that a normal healthy endothelium will have low CV values [14]. The conventional method to estimate ECD is by using slit-lamp biomicroscopy [15,16]; however, a disadvantage of this technique is that it is a manual assessment that requires subjective interpretation by the observer [17].

Measurement of central corneal thickness (CCT) has a very important value in glaucoma patients, if the central cornea is thinner then it suggests that the intraocular pressure is falsely low [18]. Patients classified as glaucoma suspects have been reported to have a higher CCT than individuals with chronic open angle glaucoma or healthy individuals with 42% of glaucoma suspects having a CCT of greater than 585 μ m [19-21]. In children the reported central corneal thickness ranges from roughly 540 μ m at 6 to 23 months of age to approximately 550 to 560 μ m for older children, with thinner central corneal thickness reported in white compared with black children [22].

Pseudoexfoliation glaucoma accounts for approximately 25% of all open angle glaucomas worldwide [23]. The prevalence of pseudoexfoliation glaucoma as reported by population-based surveys from South India vary between 7.5 and 13% [24,25]. Pseudoexfoliation glaucoma has a more serious clinical course and a worse prognosis than primary open angle glaucoma [26,27].

This study was done to evaluate central corneal thickness and corneal endothelial cell parameters in pseudoexfoliative glaucoma.

Methodology

After obtaining the ethical clearance from the Institutional Ethical Committee, this hospital based prospective observational comparative study, was done to evaluate central corneal thickness and corneal endothelial cell parameters in pseudoexfoliative glaucoma. After obtaining informed consent from the patients, ocular examination in all patients was performed with slit lamp examination, gonioscopy with Goldmann two mirror indirect gonioscope and dilated fundus examination using +90 D lens. The IOP was recorded with a Goldmann Applanation Tonometer. Visual Field Assessment (VFA) was performed using Humphrey's Field Analyser (HFA-II). Optical coherence tomography (OCT) for retinal nerve fibre layer (RNFL) was performed with ZEISS CIRRUS HD-OCT. The corneal endothelial parameters and central thickness were studied with TOPCON SP-1P Non-Contact Specular Microscope. The readings were taken by a single examiner.

The patients were seated at the instrument with the chin on the chin rest and the forehead against the forehead band. When the endothelium was in proper focus the instrument automatically took a picture of the endothelium. The parameters measured were central corneal thickness (CCT), endothelial cell density (ECD), percentage of hexagonal cells (HEX), and coefficient of variation of cell area (CV).

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Ver. 20) and Microsoft Excel were used to analyze the data obtained. A p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The demographic characteristics of the participants are summarized in Tables 1, 2 and 3. The mean ages in control group and PXG group were 73.6 year and 74.8 year respectively. No significant differences were found in between age and sex among the patients of two groups (Figures 1-3).

Age (years)	Normal		PXG	
	No.	age%	No.	%age
60-69	28	35.0	25	31.3
70-79	27	33.8	28	35.0
≥ 80	25	31.3	27	33.8
Total	80	100	80	100

Table 1: Age distribution of study groups.



Group	Mean	SD	Range	P-value	
Normal	73.6	8.68	60-93	0.416#	
PXG	74.8	9.89	60-94		
#: Statistically Non-significant Difference (P-value<0.05)					

Table 2: Showing mean age (years) in two groups.







Gender	Normal		PXG		P-value
	No.	age%	No.	age%	
Male	41	51.3	43	53.8	0.752#
Female	39	48.8	37	46.3	
Total	80	100	80	100	
#: Statistically Non-significant Difference (P-value<0.05)					

Table 3: Gender distribution of study groups.



The mean CCT (µm) value was significantly lower in PXG group than in control group (Table 4 and Figure 4).

Page 2 of 5

Group	Mean	SD	Range	P-value	
Normal	572.5	19.91	531-621	<0.001*	
PXG	556.4	28.95	494-652		
#: Statistically significant Difference (P-value<0.05)					

Table 4: Comparison based on Central Corneal thickness (CCT) in two groups (μm).



ECD (cells/mm²) in PXG group was significantly lower compared to control group (Table 5 and Figure 5).

Group	Mean	SD	Range	P-value	
Normal	2554.2	164.65	2168-3191	<0.001*	
PXG	2239.5	254.33	1440-2815	•	
#: Statistically significant Difference (P-value<0.05).					

 Table 5: Showing comparison based on endothelial cell density in two groups (cells/mm²).

Discussion

Patients enrolled in our study had mean age of 74.8 year which is comparable to previously published reports [24,25,28]. There are conflicting reports of gender differences in pseudoexfoliative glaucoma [29,30], however in our study there was no significant difference in gender distribution of the disease. There are a number of studies that describe the reduction of endothelial cells with age because these cells appear to have little or no possibility of dividing after birth. The loss of these cells involves an increase in size and a reduction of hexagonicity [31,32]. In our study the mean corneal endothelial cell density per mm² was 2239.5 \pm 254.33 which was similar to previous reports and showed a statistically significant difference against the normal group 2554.2 \pm 164.65 [33-35]. The mean percentage of hexagonal cells in PXG eyes in our study was 50.9 \pm 2.47 which was in accordance to previous studies as 54.9 \pm 10.9 [35], 56.4 \pm 7.5 [35], 57.1 \pm 7.1 [36].



Figure 5: Showing endothetial cell density (ECD) in two groups.

The mean percentage of Hexagonal cells showed a statistically significant difference between the two groups (Table 6 and Figure 6).

Group	Mean	SD	Range	P-value	
Normal	56.1	4.06	48-63	<0.001*	
PXG	50.9	2.47	45-57		
#: Statistically significant Difference (P-value<0.05)					

Table 6: Showing mean percentage of Hexagonal cells in two groups.





The coefficient of variation between the two groups also showed statistically significant difference as depicted in Table 7 and Figure 7.

Group	Mean	SD	Range	P-value
Normal	34.4	2.15	30-42	0.002*
PXG	37.6	2.09	34-44	

#: Statistically significant Difference (P-value<0.05)

 Table 7: Comparison based coefficient of variation in two groups.



The mean central corneal thickness in PXG patients has been reported as 493 ± 33 [37], 507 ± 25 [38], 528 ± 30 [39] which was comparable to our study group 556.4 \pm 28.95. In our study there was a significant difference in the CCT in PXG patients compared to the normal group 572.5 \pm 19.91.

Conclusion

The study thus confirms the existence of significant difference in various corneal morphometric parameters in PXG patients. Identifying these alterations in these patients prior to surgical procedure like cataract or trabeculectomy must lead to consider measures to minimize intra surgical endothelial loss and avoid post-surgery corneal decompensation. Also we should evaluate IOP in PXS eyes in consideration of CCT and carefully observe patients with glaucoma associated with PXS.

References

- 1. Cassin B, Solomon S (1990) Dictionary of Eye Terminology. Triad Publishing Company, Florida, USA.
- 2. Bruce GE (2007) Sensation and Perception (7thedn), Thompson Wadsworth, Canada.
- 3. Ridley F (1948) Development in contact lens theory-moulding, computation, and veiling. Trans Ophthalmol Soc 68: 385-401.
- 4. Maldonado M, Ruiz-Oblitas L, Munuera JM, Aliseda D, Garcia- Layana A, et al. (2000) Optical coherence tomography evaluation of the corneal cap and stromal bed features after laser in situ keratomileusis for high myopia and astigmatism. Ophthalmology 107: 81-87.
- 5. Edelhauser HF (2000) The resiliency of the corneal endothelium to refractive and intraocular surgery. Cornea 19: 263-273.
- American Academy of Ophthalmology (1997) Corneal endothelial photography; three-year revision (ophthalmic procedure assessment). Ophthalmology 104: 1360-1365.
- Solomon OD (1996) Corneal stress test for extended wear. CLAO J 22: 75-78.
- 8. Wiffen SJ, Hodge DO, Bourne WM (2000) The effect of contact lens wear on the central and peripheral corneal endothelium. Cornea 19: 47-51.

- Sihota R, Lakshmaiah NC, Titiyal JS, Dada T, Agarwal HC (2003) Corneal endothelial status in the subtypes of primary angle closure glaucoma. Clin Exp Ophthalmol 31: 492-495.
- Copt RP, Thomas R, Mermoud A (1999) Corneal thickness in ocular hypertension, primary open-angle glaucoma, and normal tension glaucoma. Arch Ophthalmol 117: 14-16.
- 11. Liu Z, Pflugfelder SC (1999) Corneal thickness is reduced in dry eye. Cornea 18: 403-407.
- Larsson LI, Bourne WM, Pach JM, Brubaker RF (1996) Structure and function of the corneal endothelium in diabetes mellitus type I and type II. Arch Ophthalmol 114: 9-14.
- Keoleian GM, Pach JM, Hodge DO, Trocme SD, Bourne WM (1992) Structural and functional studies of the corneal endothelium in diabetes mellitus. Am J Ophthalmol 113: 64-70.
- 14. Doughty MJ, Aakre BM (2008) Further analysis of assessments of the coefficient of variation of corneal endothelial cell areas from specular microscopic images. Clin Exp Optom 5: 438-446.
- Rose GE (1986) Clinical assessment of corneal endothelial cell density: an original system of grading using a slit-lamp bio-microscope. Br J Ophthalmol 70: 510-515.
- Kim T, Sorenson AL, Krishnasamy S, Carlson AN, Edelhauser HF (2001) Acute corneal endothelial changes after laser in situ keratomileusis. Cornea 20: 597-602.
- McLaren JW, Bourne WM, Patel SV (2010) Automated assessment of keratocyte density in stromal images from the confoscan 4 confocal microscope. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 51: 1918-1926.
- Alpeza-Dunoto Z, Novak-Stroligo M, Kovacevic D, Caljkusic Mance T (2011) Corneal thickness in pseudoexfoliative glaucoma. Coll Antropol 35: 303-304.
- Argus WA (1995) Ocular hypertension and central corneal thickness. Ophthalmology 102: 1810-1812.
- Herndon LW, Choudhri SA, Cox T, Damji KF, Shields MB, et al. (1997) Central corneal thickness in normal, glaucomatous, and ocular hypertensive eyes. Arch Ophthalmol 115: 1137-1141.
- Shah S, Chatterjee A, Mathai M, Kelly SP, Kwartz J, et al. (1999) Relationship between corneal thickness and measured intraocular pressure in a general ophthalmology clinic. Ophthalmology 106: 2154-2160.
- 22. Dai E, Gunderson CA (2006) Pediatric Central Corneal thickness variation among major ethnic populations. J AAPOS 10: 22-25.
- 23. Ritch R (1994) Exfoliation syndrome-the most common identifiable cause of open-angle glaucoma. J Glaucoma 3: 176-177.
- 24. Arvind H, Raju P, Paul PG, Baskaran M, Ramesh SV, et al. (2003) Pseudoexfoliation in South India. Br J Ophthalmol 87: 1321-1323.
- 25. Krishnadas R, Nirmalan PK, Ramakrishnan R, Thulasiraj RD, Katz J, et al. (2003) Pseudoexfoliation in a rural population of southern India: the Aravind Comprehensive Eye Survey. Am J Ophthalmol 135: 830-837.
- 26. Ritch R (2001) Exfoliation syndrome. Curr Opin Ophthalmol 12: 124-130.
- 27. Konstas AG, Stewart WC, Stroman GA, Sine CS (1997) Clinical presentation and initial treatment patterns in patients with exfoliation glaucoma versus primary open-angle glaucoma. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers 28: 111-117.
- Ritch R, Schrehardt S (2000) Exfoliation Glaucoma. In: Weinber RN, Kitazawa Y (eds). Glaucoma in the 21st century. Harcourt Health Communications: Mosby International, London, pp: 171-179.
- Ringvold A, Blika S, Elsås T, Guldahl J, Brevik T, et al. (1988) The Middle-Norway eye-screening study. I. Epidemiology of the pseudo-exfoliation syndrome. Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh) 66: 652-658.
- Mitchell P, Wang JJ, Hourihan F (1999) The relationship between glaucoma and pseudoexfoliation: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. Arch Ophthalmol 117: 1319-1324.

Page 5 of 5

- Quiroga L, Lansingh VC, Samudio M, Peña FY, Carter MJ (2010) Characteristics of the corneal endothelium and pseudoexfoliation syndrome in patients with senile cataract. Clin Exp Ophthalmol 38: 449-455.
- 32. Niederer RL, Perumal D, Sherwin T, McGhee CN (2007) Age-related differences in the normal human cornea: a laser scanning in vivo confocal microscopy study. Br J Ophthalmol 91: 1165-1169.
- Knorr HL, Ju"nemann A, Ha"ndel A, Naumann GOH (1991) Morphometric and qualitative changes in corneal endothelium in pseudoexfoliation syndrome. Fortschr Ophthalmol 88: 786-789.
- 34. Seitz B, Mu¨ller EE, Langenbucher A, Kus MM, Naumann GO (1995) Endothelial keratopathy in pseudoexfoliation syndrome: quantitative and qualitative morphometry using automated video image analysis. Klin Monatsbl Augenheilkd 207: 167-175.
- Hattori Y (1990) Corneal endothelial examination of pseudoexfoliation syndrome. Nippon Ganka Gakkai Zasshi (Acta Soc Ophthalmol Jpn) 94: 957-963.
- Miyake K, Matsuda M, Inaba M (1989) Corneal endothelial changes in pseudoexfoliation syndrome. Am J Ophthalmol 108: 49-52.
- Bechmann M, Thiel MJ, Roesen B, Ullrich S, Ulbig MW, et al. (2000) Central corneal thickness determined with optical coherence tomography in various types of glaucoma. Br J Ophthalmol 84: 1233-1237.
- Ventura AC, Bo"hnke M, Mojon DS (2001) Central corneal thickness measurements in patients with normal tension glaucoma, primary open angle glaucoma, pseudoexfoliation glaucoma, or ocular hypertension. Br J Ophthalmol 85: 792–795.
- **39.** Puska P, Vasara K, Harju M, Setala K (2000) Corneal thickness and corneal endothelium in normotensive subjects with unilateral exfoliation syndrome. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 238: 659-663.