Journal of Fertilization: In Vitro - IVF-Worldwide, Reproductive Medicine, Genetics & Stem Cell Biology

OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Opinion Article

Endometrial Receptivity Dynamics Assessed through Non-Invasive Molecular Profiling in Assisted Reproduction

Sophia Cruz*

Department of Reproductive Biology, North Danube University, Bratislava, Slovakia

DESCRIPTION

Successful implantation in assisted reproductive treatment depends not only on embryo competence but also on the synchronized readiness of the uterine lining. The endometrium undergoes cyclical structural and molecular changes driven by ovarian steroid hormones, creating a limited period during which embryo attachment is possible. This interval is commonly described as the receptive phase, and its accurate identification has become an area of growing scientific attention. Traditional assessment methods, including histological dating and ultrasound evaluation, provide indirect information, yet they may not capture the full molecular complexity underlying receptivity.

Non-invasive molecular profiling has emerged as a developing approach for evaluating endometrial status without requiring tissue biopsy. This strategy relies on analysis of uterine fluid, cervical secretions, or peripheral blood markers to infer gene expression patterns associated with implantation readiness. The endometrium secretes a variety of proteins, cytokines, microRNAs, and extracellular vesicles into the uterine cavity, many of which reflect cellular activity within the tissue. By examining these secreted components, researchers aim to construct a functional picture of receptivity.

Gene expression patterns within the endometrium are tightly regulated by estrogen and progesterone signaling. During the proliferative phase, estrogen promotes cellular proliferation, glandular growth, and vascular expansion. Following ovulation, progesterone induces decidual transformation, glandular secretion, and immune modulation. Disruption in hormonal responsiveness may alter expression of key implantation-associated molecules, including adhesion factors, growth regulators, and immune mediators. Conditions such as endometriosis, adenomyosis, and chronic inflammatory disorders may interfere with this hormonal coordination.

One of the most studied components of endometrial receptivity is the array of adhesion molecules expressed on epithelial surfaces. These molecules contribute to embryo attachment during the initial stages of implantation. Variability in expression levels has been associated with differences in implantation success among patients undergoing assisted reproduction. Molecular profiling techniques aim to detect such variations through analysis of secreted markers rather than direct tissue sampling.

Immune regulation within the endometrium is another essential aspect of implantation readiness. The uterine environment contains specialized immune cells that contribute to tissue remodeling and tolerance of the semi-allogenic embryo. Uterine natural killer cells, macrophages, and regulatory lymphocytes interact with endometrial stromal cells and trophoblasts to support controlled invasion of the embryo. Imbalances in immune signaling may lead to implantation failure or early pregnancy loss. Molecular signatures associated with immune activation or suppression can be detected in uterine fluid samples and may reflect underlying receptivity status.

MicroRNA profiles have gained attention as potential indicators of endometrial function. These small non-coding Ribonucleic Acid (RNA) molecules regulate gene expression post-transcriptionally and are involved in cellular differentiation, inflammation, and hormonal response pathways. Altered microRNA expression patterns have been observed in patients with recurrent implantation failure. Because microRNAs are stable in bodily fluids, they represent attractive candidates for non-invasive diagnostic approaches.

Extracellular vesicles released by endometrial cells also contribute to intercellular communication during the implantation window. These vesicles carry proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids that may influence embryo-endometrium interactions. Studies suggest that vesicle composition changes across the menstrual cycle, reflecting shifts in cellular activity. Analysis of these vesicles in uterine fluid may provide insight into endometrial readiness and help identify deviations from expected physiological patterns. Peripheral blood markers have also been explored as indirect indicators of uterine receptivity. Hormone levels, inflammatory cytokines, and circulating microRNAs may reflect systemic changes associated with endometrial preparation. However, the relationship between

Correspondence to: Sophia Cruz, Department of Reproductive Immunology and Molecular Diagnostics, St. Helena Institute of Medical Sciences Cape Town, South Africa, E-mail: s.dcruz@ivfglobalmail.org

Received: 28-Nov-2025, Manuscript No. JFIV-25-41821; **Editor assigned:** 01-Dec-2025, PreQC No. JFIV-25-41821 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 15-Dec-2025, QC No. JFIV-25-41821; **Revised:** 22-Dec-2025, Manuscript No. JFIV-25-41821 (R); **Published:** 29-Dec-2025, DOI: 10.35841/2375-4508.25.13.432

Citation: Cruz S (2025). Endometrial Receptivity Dynamics Assessed through Non-Invasive Molecular Profiling in Assisted Reproduction. J Fertil *In Vitro* IVF World w Reprod Med Gent Stem Cell Biol. 13:432.

systemic circulation and local uterine environment remains complex, limiting the precision of blood-based assessments.

One of the challenges in evaluating endometrial receptivity lies in temporal variability. The receptive window may shift between individuals and even between cycles within the same individual. This variability complicates timing of embryo transfer in assisted reproductive treatment. Personalized embryo transfer strategies aim to align embryo development stage with individual receptivity timing, though accurate prediction of this window remains technically demanding. Chronic endometrial inflammation has been associated with impaired receptivity in some patients. Low-grade inflammatory activity may alter epithelial function, disrupt stromal differentiation, and interfere with immune tolerance mechanisms. Detection of inflammatory markers in uterine fluid may assist in identifying patients who could benefit from targeted treatment prior to embryo transfer. However, distinguishing pathological inflammation from normal physiological immune activity remains a challenge.

Hormonal stimulation used in ovarian induction cycles may also influence endometrial gene expression. Supraphysiological estrogen levels can advance or delay endometrial maturation, potentially leading to asynchrony between embryo development and uterine readiness. This phenomenon has contributed to increased use of frozen embryo transfer cycles in some clinical settings, allowing hormonal normalization of the endometrium before implantation. Artificial intelligence tools are being explored to integrate molecular, hormonal, and clinical data for improved prediction of implantation success. Computational models may identify patterns that are not easily recognized through conventional analysis. Despite these advances, clinical validation across diverse populations is necessary to ensure reliability and avoid overfitting to specific datasets.

CONCLUSION

Endometrial receptivity remains a complex and dynamic process influenced by hormonal, immune, metabolic, and environmental factors. Non-invasive molecular profiling offers promising avenues for improving understanding of uterine readiness, though current methods remain in developmental stages. Continued investigation into molecular communication within the uterine environment may contribute to more refined approaches in assisted reproductive medicine.