

**Research Article** 

**Open Access** 

# Effects of Dexmedetomidine on Postoperative Recovery Profile after Sevoflurane Anesthesia in Pediatric Patients: A Meta-analysis

Chen Jin-hui, Yu Yong-qi, Chu Hui-jun, Han He, Cao Ya and Dai Ze-ping\*

Department of Anesthesiology, Yijishan Hospital, Wannan Medical College, Wuhu, Anhui, China

## Abstract

**Objective:** We aim to evaluate whether dexmedetomidine can reduce incidence caused by sevoflurane anesthesia in pediatric patients systematically.

**Methods:** We have searched the Cochrane Library, PubMed, EBSCO, Springer, Chinese Journal Full-text Database (CNKI), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM) and WanFang Data (all the materials selected from these databases range from the date of establishment of the databases to April 2013). Reference lists of all studies have also been checked. Two valuators performed the process of RCT, quality assessment, and data extraction with inclusion and exclusion criteria, and conduct the Meta-analysis with the software RevMan5.2.

**Results:** The meta-analysis which including 27 randomized trials and 1882 children showed that dexmedetomidine extended the incidence of the children's recovery time [MD=2.39, 95% CI (1.27, 3.51)] and discharge time [MD=6.09, 95% CI (3.42, 8.77)] compared with placebo. Nevertheless, there were no obvious differences in extubation time [MD=0.75, 95% CI (0.45, 1.05)]. While reducing the incidence of early emergence agitation, dexmedetomidine showed a large advantage [RR=0.31, 95% CI (0.26, 0.38)] and could reduce agitation score [MD=-0.89, 95% CI (-1.04, -0.74)], also could reduce pain score [MD=-2.66, 95% CI (-3.81, -1.51)], as well as the need for painkillers [RR=0.34, 95% CI (0.22, 0.52)]. What's more, on reducing occurrence of nausea and vomiting [RR=0.59, 95% CI (0.36, 0.97)], occurrence of bucking [RR=0.39, 95% CI (0.23, 0.68)], dexmedetomidine also showed some preventive effects. There were no serious side effects on respiratory and circulatory system in all included studies.

**Conclusion:** Dexmedetomidine can be used safely in children and improve the awakening quality after sevoflurane anesthesia.

**Keywords:** Dexmedetomidine; Sevoflurane anesthesia; Child; Recovery period; Agitation; Meta-analysis

### Introduction

Sevoflurane is a popular inhalational anesthetic for general anesthesia in children. It is especially characterized by a lower blood/ gas partition coefficient, less irritation to the airway, less cardio depressive effect, lower hepatotoxicity and easier to obtain with children as compared with other volatile anesthetics. Anesthesiologists prefer those characteristics for pediatric use. However, concern has been raised over its propensity to cause significant emergence agitation and other adverse reactions during the immediate recovery phase of sevoflurane anesthesia [1,2]. Theoretically, Dexmedetomidine (DEX) is a new type of highly selective alpha2-adrenergic receptor agonist with sedative, analgesic, anti-sympathetic activity, and has minor respiratory inhibition, but the safety and efficacy of DEX in pediatric patients below 18 years old is still not clear in its package insert. Here in this case, whether dexmedetomidine can safely and effectively improve the children' wake quality remains uncertain. According to the methods of the Cochrane systematic review, we reviewed the Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) about dexmedetomidine for prevention of emergence agitation and other side effects in pediatric patients after sevoflurane anesthesia. These data are either in English or non-English language publications, and the main purpose of this meta-analysis is to evaluate whether dexmedetomidine can reduce postoperative agitation and other adverse reactions in children, thereby improve the quality of awakening, and provide an important basis for the clinical application and further research.

## Materials and Methods

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: The type of research: clinical Randomized

Controlled Trials (RCTs). Research object: the children who are below 12 years old received sevoflurane anesthesia. The children had no neurological disorders and behavioral disorders before operation. Intervention measures: experimental group, intravenous dexmedetomidine; control group only received placebo.

**Exclusion criteria:** Case reports with sample size of less than 10 studies. Simply medical examination rather than a surgical operation. Repeat published literature those that cannot obtain data directly or indirectly.

### Systematic search and strategy

A systemic search of the relevant literature was performed without any language limitation restricted to RCTs available in English. We searched the Cochrane Library, PubMed, EBSCO, Springer, Chinese Journal Full-text Database (CNKI), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM) and WanFang Data (all the materials selected from these databases range from the date of establishment of the databases to April 2013). Reference lists of all studies have also been checked.

\*Corresponding author: Dai Ze-ping, Chief physician, Department of Anesthesiology, Yijishan Hospital, Wannan Medical College, Wuhu, Anhui, China, Tel: 0553-3932598; E-mail: zpdai@wnmc.edu.cn

Received November 07, 2013; Accepted November 27, 2013; Published November 29, 2013

**Citation:** Jin-hui C, Yong-qi Y, Hui-jun C, He H, Ya C, et al. (2013) Effects of Dexmedetomidine on Postoperative Recovery Profile after Sevoflurane Anesthesia in Pediatric Patients: A Meta-analysis. J Anesth Clin Res 4: 369. doi:10.4172/2155-6148.1000369

**Copyright:** © 2013 Jin-hui C, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Published articles contained key words such as "dexmedetomidine", " $\alpha$ 2-adrenoceptoragonist", "sevoflurane", "anesthesia", "pediatric", "children", "postanesthesia", "postoperative", "PACU", "Recovery Room", "restlessness", "agitation", "delirium" in their titles or abstracts. The search strategy consisted of a combination of free text words such as: "dexmedetomidine or  $\alpha$ 2-adrenoceptor agonist" and "sevoflurane" and "children or pediatric" and "Recovery or postanesthesia or restlessness or agitation or delirium".

#### Data extraction and quality assessment

The two valuators independently categorized these data by reading the citations, abstracts and qualified the data left using a standardized data extraction by going through the whole article. A third reviewer resolved all the disagreements. If data needed clarification, we contacted the original authors.

The quality of each study was assessed according to the modified Jadad scale score, we assessed whether the patients were randomly assigned, whether the randomization procedures were appropriate, whether the study was double-blinded, whether the blinding was appropriate and whether the authors reported the numbers and reasons for dropouts. The study with the modified Jadad score 1 to 3 was divided into low-quality literature, and 4 to 7 was divided into high-quality literature.

#### Statistical analysis

The software package RevMan 5.2 provided by the Cochrane

First author and year	Patient age(years)	Surgery type	D/C (No. of patients)	Intervention	Main outcome measures	New Jadad score	
				Dexmedetomidine group	Control group		
O"zcengiz [3] 2011	3~9	esophageal dilatation procedures	25/25	DEX 2.5 µg/kg, given orally	saline	5	6
Erdil [4] 2009	2~7	adenoidectomy	30/30	DEX 0.5 µg/kg IV after tracheal intubation	saline	1256	6
Guler [5] 2005	3~7	adenotonsillectomy	30/30	DEX 0.5 µg/kg IV,5 min before the end of surgery	placebo	12456	6
Jia-Yao [6] 2013	2~7	strabismus surgery	27/24	DEX 1 µg/kg, ĬV	saline	2356	7
Masami [7] 2010	1~9	same-day surgery or over-night stay surgery	39/42	DEX 0.3 µg/kg IV over 10 min after anesthetic induction	saline	356	6
Shukry [8] 2005	1~10	outpatient surgical procedures	23/23	A continuous perioperative infusion of 0.2 µg/kg/h DEX	saline	2356	7
Mauricio E [9] 2004	1~10	Inguinal hernia repair and circumcision	60/30	DEX 0.15 µg/kg OR 0.3 µg/kg IV after anesthetic induction	saline	135	6
Nidhi [10] 2013	8~12	spinal dysraphism	18/18	loading dose: DEX 1 μg/kg, 0.5 μg/kg/h to maintain	saline	1256	7
Qing-tao [11] 2012	5~13	tonsillectomy	80/40	loading dose: DEX 0.5 μg/kg OR 1 μg/kg IV over 10 minutes	lactated Ringer's saline	12356 456	7 1
Liu [12] 2012	3~11	not mention	42/21	DEX 0.2 µg/kg OR 0.4 µg/kg IV before the end of surgery	saline	5	1
Zhang [13] 2010	2~8	Lower abdominal surgery	40/20	DEX 0.3 µg/kg OR 0.5 µg/kg IV after the start of surgery	saline	256	1
Fang [14] 2010	3~10	Oral and ENT surgery	30/30	DEX 0.5 µg/kg IV before the surgery	saline	156	1
Wen [15] 2012	3~11	Ural and ENT surgery	30/30	DEX 0.5 µg/kg IV before the surgery	saline	5	1
Cao [10] 2012	3~0	sac and circumcision	40/20	DEX 0.5 µg/kg OR 0.7 µg/kg,iv	saline	123456	2
Zhu [17] 2011	3~8		30/30	anesthesia induction	saline	123456	2
Du [10] 2012	3~0	resection	28/28	anesthesia induction	saline	15	1
Gan [20] 2012	4~7	circumcision	150/150	of surgery	saline	25	2
Xue [21] 2011	3~9		40/40	anesthesia induction	saline	25	1
Jia [22] 2012	2~7	abdominal surgery adenoidectomy	30/30	start of surgery loading dose: DEX 1 µg/kg. 1	saline	1256	6
Jin [23] 2011	3~12	Ophthalmologic operation	40/40	μg/kg/h to maintain DEX 1 μg/kg IV after tracheal	saline	1356	1
Zhong [24] 2012	4~10	tonsillectomy	40/40	intubation loading dose: DEX 0.1 µg/kg,	saline	1256	2
Chen [25] 2012	2~8	High ligation of the	15/15	and 0.3 µg/kg/h to maintain DEX 1 µg/kg IV before	saline	2356	2
Wei [26] 2012	3~7	processus vaginalis Inguinal hernia repair	46/23	anesthesia induction DEX 0.15 µg/kg OR 0.3 µg/kg	saline	15	1
Huang [27] 2012	1~3	cleft lip and cleft palate	15/15	IV before the surgery DEX 1 µg/kg IV, 30 min before	saline	15	1
Huang [28] 2011	5~8	repair Hypospadias and ENT	30/30	the end of surgery DEX 0.2 µg/kg IV, 30 min	saline	125	1
Zhao [29] 2012	2~8	surgery High ligation of the hernia sac and Orchidopexy	20/20	before the end of surgery DEX 1 μg/kg, iv	saline	1256	1

Note: ① recovery time ② extubation time ③ discharge time ④ occurrence of bucking ⑤ emergence agitation or agitation score ⑥ other adverse events Table 1: Characteristics of included studies and Jadad score.

Page 3 of 7

Collaboration was used for analysis. We computed the Relative Risk (RR) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) for dichotomous outcome data, and Mean Difference (MD) with 95% CI for continuous outcomes data, using a fixed-effect model, if there were no heterogeneity present. Otherwise, a random-effects model was applied. Heterogeneity was judged with the I<sup>2</sup>-test, assuming heterogeneity if an I<sup>2</sup> value of more than 25% was observed. If severe heterogeneity was presented (I<sup>2</sup>  $\geq$  75%) and could not be explained by differences across the trials in terms of clinical or methodological features or by subgroup analysis, we did not combine the trials in the meta-analysis, but presented the results in a forest plot. Removal the low-quality literature for sensitivity analysis. If more than 10 trials were included: a funnel plot was used to assess publication bias. A P-value<0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

## Result

#### The basic characteristics of the included studies

Using electronic databases, we initially identified 216 articles for review after reading title, abstract and full text based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria and ultimately left 27 RCTs with 9 English articles and 18 Chinese articles, a total of 1882 pediatric patients were included. The basic characteristics and quality of the selected trials were summarized in Table 1 [3-29].

#### Meta-analysis results

**Recovery time:** Sixteen RCTs (948 children) provided data on recovery time. The heterogeneity test showed a severe heterogeneity among the trials, a random-effects model was applied, the results

showed that compared with placebo, dexmedetomidine extended the recovery time [MD=2.39, 95% CI (1.27, 3.51)], [4,5,9-11,15-19,22-28] (Figure 1).

Sensitivity analysis: exclude low-quality literature with modified Jadad score less than 3 points to redo a meta-analysis, there were no heterogeneity present among the groups, so we used a fixed-effect model for Meta-analysis, the outcome remained similar [MD=1.39,95% CI (0.65,2.13)].

**Extubation time:** Sixteen RCTs (1183 children) compared both extubation time. There was no statistically significant heterogeneity present among the groups, so we used a fixed-effect model for statistical analysis, the results showed no statistically significant difference between DEX and placebo group [MD=0.75, 95% CI (0.45, 1.05)] [4-6,9-11,14,17,18,20-22,24,25,28,29] (Figure 2).

**Sensitivity analysis:** Exclude low-quality literature with modified Jadad score less than 3 points to redo a meta-analysis, there was still no statistically significant difference between the two groups [MD=0.95, 95% CI (0.27,1.63)].

**Funnel plot analysis:** no obvious asymmetric distribution, suggesting that little possibility of the publication bias (Figure 3).

**Recovery room residence time (discharge time):** Eight RCTs (447 children) compared both discharge time. There was significant heterogeneity among the 8 studies. Thus, a random-effects model was used for these statistical analyses. The results are shown: Compared with placebo, dexmedetomidine extended the recovery room

	Deumo	placebo b				Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subaroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Du 2012	18	7	28	15	6	28	4.5%	3 00 60 41 6 411	
Erdil 2009	127	32	30	12	42	30	6.4%	0 70 61 19 2 59	
Guler 2005	9.3	2.9	30	7.2	27	30	7.0%	2 10 10 68 3 521	-
Huang 2011	8.82	2.1	30	7.9	2.2	30	7.3%	0.92 -0.17 2.011	-
Huang2012	12	4.2	15	11	6	15	4.2%	1.00 [-2.71, 4.71]	_ <del></del>
Jia 2012	10.31	4.33	30	10.03	4.15	30	6.1%	0.28 [-1.87, 2.43]	
Jin 2011a	22.8	7.1	20	17.8	6.3	20	3.8%	5.00 (0.84, 9.16)	
Jin 2011b	24.2	4.4	20	15.6	4	20	5.5%	8.60 [5.99, 11.21]	
Mauricio E 2004	9.8	4	30	7.5	5	30	5.9%	2.30 [0.01, 4.59]	
Nidhi 2013	9.9	2.8	18	8.8	2.6	18	6.6%	1.10 [-0.67, 2.87]	
Qing-tao 2012	14	4.5	40	12.6	3.7	40	6.5%	1.40 [-0.41, 3.21]	
Wei 2012	10.8	4	23	8.5	4	23	5.9%	2.30 [-0.01, 4.61]	
Wen 2012	24.2	4.4	30	15.6	4.1	30	6.1%	8.60 [6.45, 10.75]	
Zhao 2012	5.9	1.9	20	5.4	2.2	20	7.1%	0.50 [-0.77, 1.77]	+
Zhong2012	16.1	4.9	40	15.6	5.1	40	6.0%	0.50 [-1.69, 2.69]	+
Zhu 2011	13	5	40	12	4	40	6.3%	1.00 [-0.98, 2.98]	+
Zhu 2012	14	6	30	10	7	30	4.7%	4.00 [0.70, 7.30]	_ <b>_</b>
Total (95% CI)			474			474	100.0%	2.39 [1.27, 3.51]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	4.13; Chi	<sup>2</sup> = 82.1	4, df = 1	6 (P < 0	0.0000	1); I <sup>2</sup> = 1	81%		-10 -5 0 5 10
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.20 (	P < 0.00	001)						Dexmedetomidine placebo

Figure 1: Effect of dexmedetomidine on children's recovery time.

	dexme	pla	acebo			Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		
Chen 2012	7.9	2.8	15	7.2	3.5	15	1.8%	0.70 [-1.57, 2.97]	- <u>+</u>		
Erdil 2009	8.5	3.6	30	9.5	3.8	30	2.6%	-1.00 [-2.87, 0.87]	-+		
Fang 2010	7.6	5.2	30	6.5	4.7	30	1.4%	1.10 [-1.41, 3.61]	+		
Gan 2011	9.2	2.3	150	8.5	2.5	150	30.8%	0.70 [0.16, 1.24]			
Guler 2005	5.03	2.3	30	3.3	1.3	30	10.2%	1.73 [0.78, 2.68]	-		
Huang 2011	7.6	2.3	30	6.1	1.5	30	9.4%	1.50 [0.52, 2.48]	-		
Jia 2012	8.9	3.99	30	8.15	4.06	30	2.2%	0.75 [-1.29, 2.79]	+		
Jia-Yao 2013	1.5	1.3	27	1.3	0.9	24	24.6%	0.20 [-0.41, 0.81]	•		
Nidhi 2013	9.7	2.8	18	9.2	2.6	18	2.9%	0.50 [-1.27, 2.27]	+-		
Qing-tao 2012	15.6	11.2	40	14.3	8.2	40	0.5%	1.30 [-3.00, 5.60]			
Shukry 2005	10.3	4.4	23	10.4	4.9	23	1.3%	-0.10 [-2.79, 2.59]			
Xue 2011	8	5	40	7	5	40	1.9%	1.00 [-1.19, 3.19]	+		
Zhao 2012	7.1	2.3	20	6.4	2.4	20	4.3%	0.70 [-0.76, 2.16]	+		
Zhong2012	8.5	3.6	40	8.1	3.4	40	3.9%	0.40 [-1.13, 1.93]	+-		
Zhu 2011	16	6	40	14	5	40	1.6%	2.00 [-0.42, 4.42]	<u>+</u>		
Zhu 2012	16	7	30	11	8	30	0.6%	5.00 [1.20, 8.80]			
Total (95% CI)			593			590	100.0%	0.75 [0.45, 1.05]	•		
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	19.57, df	= 15 (P :	= 0.19);	I <sup>2</sup> = 239	6						
Test for overall effect	Z = 4.86 (	P < 0.00	0001)						-10 -5 U 5 10		
			,						dexmedetomidine placebo		
Figure 2: Effect of dexmedetomidine on extubation time.											

Page 4 of 7









residence time [MD=6.09, 95% CI (3.42, 8.77)], as shown in Figure 4 [6,8,9,11,17,18,23,25].

**Sensitivity analysis:** exclude low-quality literature and redo statistical analysis, the outcomes remained similar [MD=6.57, 95% CI (1.99, 11.15)].

## Cough, nausea and vomiting

There are 4 studies and 5 studies which compared DEX with placebo about the incidence of cough or nausea and vomiting. No heterogeneity present in each group, the results showed: dexmedetomidine reduced the occurrence of cough [RR=0.39, 95% CI (0.23, 0.68)] and the

occurrence of nausea and vomiting [RR=0.59, 95% CI (0.36, 0.97)] (Figure 5) [4-7,10,12,17,18].

#### Pain score

Four studies adopt "mean  $\pm$  SD" pattern to provide data on pain score. A severe heterogeneity among studies, a random-effects model was used for meta-analysis, the results showed that DEX can reduce pain score [MD=-2.66, 95% CI (-3.81, -1.51)], [10,11,17,29] (Figure 6).

#### The demand of painkillers

Six studies compared DEX with placebo on children' demand

### Page 5 of 7





	devmedetom	nlacel	10		Rick Patio	Rick Patio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M.H. Fixed, 95% CL	M.H. Fixed, 95% Cl
Cao 2012	5	40	13	20	4.7%	0 19 0 08 0 461	
Chen 2012	2	15		15	2.2%	0.25 (0.06, 0.40)	
Du 2012	Â	28	ă	28	2.5%	0.44 [0.15, 1.28]	
Erdil 2009	5	30	14	30	3.8%	0.36 [0.15, 0.20]	
Eang 2010	2	30	10	30	2.7%	0.20 (0.05 0.84)	
Gan 2011	ĥ	150	26	150	7 1 %	0.23 [0.03, 0.04]	
Guler 2005	5	30	17	30	4.6%	0.29 (0.12, 0.69)	
Huang 2011	2	20	12	20	2.2%	0.17 [0.04 0.69]	
Huang2012	1	15	12	15	3 3 96	0.08 (0.01 0.56)	<b>←</b> → → →
lia 2012	5	30	16	30	4 4 96	0.31 [0.13, 0.74]	<b>_</b> _
lia-Vao 2013	3	27	11	24	3.2%	0.24 (0.08 0.77)	
Jin 2011	ä	40	24	40	6.6%	0.38 (0.20, 0.77)	
Liu 2012	9	40	24	21	3 3%	0.44 [0.20, 0.70]	
Macami 2010	11	20	27	42	7 1 %	0.44 [0.26, 0.36]	
Mauricio E 2004		60	11	30	4.0%	0.26 [0.16 0.91]	
Nidbi 2013	ŏ	19		19	1.2%	0.11 [0.01 1.92]	<b>←</b>
O"zcengiz 2011	2	25		25	2.2%	0.25 (0.06, 1.08)	
Oing-tao 2012	5	40	8	40	2.2%	0.63 (0.22, 1.75)	
Shukry 2005	6	23	14	23	3.8%	0.43 [0.22, 1.73]	
V/ei 2012	8	46		23	3 3 96	0.44 [0.20, 0.32]	
Wen 2012	4	30	14	20	3.8%	0.29 [0.11 0.77]	
Xue 2012	3	40	17	40	4.6%	0.18 (0.06, 0.56)	I
Zhang 2010	ă	40	12	20	4.0%	0 38 [0 19 0 74]	
Zhang 2010	3	40	12	40	3 3 %	0.25 (0.08, 0.82)	
Zhu 2011	6	40	17	40	4.6%	0.35 (0.16, 0.80)	
Zhu 2012	5	30	14	30	3.8%	0.36 [0.15, 0.87]	
Total (DEW CI)		070		064	400.0%	0.24 (0.26, 0.20)	•
Total (95% CI)		978		864	100.0%	0.31 [0.26, 0.38]	•
I otal events	127		348				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	13.34, df = 25 (	P = 0.97	); I* = U%				0.02 0.1 1 10 50
rest for overall effect:	Z = 12.56 (P < 0	0.00001	)				dexmedetomidine placebo
	Figure 8: Effe	ect of de	exmedet	omidin	ie on chil	dren's early emerg	ence agitation.

for the painkillers, no statistically significant heterogeneity appeared among the groups, so a fixed-effect model for statistics analysis was used. Results: DEX can reduce children' demand for the painkillers [RR=0.34, 95% CI (0.22, 0.52)] [4,7,10,11,14,22] (Figure 7).

## **Emergence** agitation

Twenty-six studies compared DEX with placebo over the incidence of early emergence agitation in recovery room. No statistically significant heterogeneity appeared among the groups, a fixed-effects model used for statistical analysis. The results showed that dexmedetomidine can significantly reduce the incidence of emergence agitation [RR=0.31, 95% CI (0.26, 0.38)] [3-28] (Figure 8). **Sensitivity analysis:** the analysis excluded low-quality literature and redo statistical analysis, the outcomes remained similar [RR=0.36, 95% CI (0.27, 0.48)].

**Funnel plot analysis:** there were no obvious asymmetric distributions, suggesting that little possibility of the publication bias (Figure 9).

## Agitation score

Seven studies adopt "mean  $\pm$  SD" pattern to provide data on agitation score, statistical analysis shows: DEX can reduce the patients' agitation score [MD=-0.89, 95% CI (-1.04, -0.74)] (Figure 10) [3,10,11,17,18,25,29].

#### Page 6 of 7



Figure 9: Funnel plot analysis of the 26 studies reporting early emergence agitation.

	dexmedetomidine placebo							Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		
Chen 2012	2.7	0.9	15	3.8	0.8	15	5.7%	-1.10 [-1.71, -0.49]	_ <b>-</b> _		
Nidhi 2013	2.3	0.7	18	3.2	0.5	18	13.5%	-0.90 [-1.30, -0.50]			
O"zcengiz 2011	1.3	0.6	25	2	0.7	25	16.3%	-0.70 [-1.06, -0.34]			
Qing-tao 2012	1.475	0.716	40	1.95	1.037	40	14.0%	-0.47 [-0.87, -0.08]			
Zhao 2012	2.2	0.7	20	3.1	0.8	20	9.8%	-0.90 [-1.37, -0.43]			
Zhu 2011	1.65	0.8	40	2.8	0.8	40	17.3%	-1.15 [-1.50, -0.80]			
Zhu 2012	1.76	0.65	30	2.78	0.54	30	23.3%	-1.02 [-1.32, -0.72]			
Total (95% CI)			188			188	100.0%	-0.89 [-1.04, -0.74]	•		
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	8.68, df=	= 6 (P = 0	l.19); <b>I</b> ⁼∶	= 31%							
Test for overall effect	Z=11.9	6 (P < 0.0	00001)						dexmedetomidine placebo		
Figure 10: Effect of dexmedetomidine on agitation score.											

## Discussion

Pediatric surgery is usually featured with small scale, short operational time and length of stay, and fewer complications, etc [30]. Therefore, recovery time and the incidence of postoperative complications are important indicators for the evaluation of pediatric anesthesia. How to improve the recovery quality of anesthesia and reduce the incidence of complications in recovery period, and shorten the residence time in the operating room or PACU, is a focus attention for anesthesiologists in recent years.

This study included 27 RCTs involving 1,882 children. These RCTS are measured by key words such as the recovery time, extubation time, discharge time, the incidence of early emergence agitation, the occurrence of cough, nausea and vomiting, pain score, agitation score and the need for painkillers respectively and then rates one by one for statistical pooled analysis one by one. The results of the metaanalysis showed that compared with placebo saline, dexmedetomidine can extend the recovery time and discharge time, while the time of extubation was no significant difference, dexmedetomidine can significantly reduce the incidence and the severity of the early postanesthetic emergence agitation, children's need for painkillers, and DEX may play a preventive role in decreasing the occurrence of nausea and vomiting, choking cough. What's more, the hemodynamic in Perextubate period was steady in the 27 trials, and without one case of respiratory and circulatory system complications.

As mentioned above, Sevoflurane is widely used in pediatric anesthesia now. However, sevoflurane may be associated with a high incidence of emergence agitation and is observed more frequently in preschool aged children. A study by Sanford et al. reported that the occurrence of emergence agitation in children after sevoflurane anesthesia may be as high as 67%. Another study reported the agitation occurrence rate range from 18% to 80%. In this article, we included 27 trials, which were divided into two groups [31]. In placebo group, 864 children, the number of patients with emergence agitation reached 348, and the incidence is as high as 40.3%. In dexmedetomidine group the incidence is only 13.0%. Though emergence agitation is mostly self-limiting, severe cases can affect surgical outcomes, and cause a great impact on children's physical and psychological health; therefore children's postoperative agitation after sevoflurane anesthesia has become a concern of clinicians focus.

Dexmedetomidine is a novel highly selective a2-adrenergic receptor agonist, the a2:a1 activity ratio of DEX is 1620:1 compared with 220:1 for clonidine, this results in a more specific and selective a2adrenoceptor agonism. It can affects the brain and spinal cord alpha 2 adrenergic receptor, inhibition of neural discharge to produce sedative, analgesic, anxiolytic effects. The Locus Coeruleus is a verified key part of the brain responsible for the regulation of arousal and sleep. Dex affects the brainstem locus coeruleus alpha 2 adrenergic receptors, produces sedative, hypnotic, and anxiolytic. Compared with the benzodiazepine, DEX also reduces the movement of the stomach and intestines, and inhibits glandular secretion as well as reducing the incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting. In addition, DEX can inhibit sympathetic activity, reduces plasma epinephrine and norepinephrine levels and maintain hemodynamic stability. DEX sedative effect is unique in many ways, and has a dose-dependent manner [32]. Moreover, DEX has no addiction, and has a very slight respiratory inhibition. Based on all the advantages of the dexmedetomidine and the comprehensive statistical analysis results of this study, we have reason to believe that, the combination of dexmedetomidine and sevoflurane will be more widely used for the future pediatric surgeries.

Although our study does find some significant things, our review also has some limitations. First, among the 27 RCTs, although the 9 English articles can get 6 to 7 score from the modified Jadad scale score, and belong to high-quality literature. While the 18 Chinese articles belong to low-quality literature, the main problem was that these studies have not report the concealment of the allocation scheme and the random method, may exist a selection bias; unused blinded, may result in the presence of observer bias. Second, dexmedetomidine dosage was different from 0.1 to 1  $\mu$ g/kg, usage ranging from preoperative and intraoperative. Third, in some ill-defined text, each hospital or researcher may not has a same standardization for test or record such as recovery time, the different grasp of the timing of the recovery, extubation and discharge time may have contributed to the heterogeneity between each experiment. In this case, we still should carefully look at the above meta-analysis conclusion.

#### References

- Weldon BC, Bell M, Craddock T (2004) The effect of caudal analgesia on emergence agitation in children after sevoflurane versus halothane anesthesia. Anesth Analg 98: 321-326.
- Zhu Yan-lin, Xiao Hong-bo (2010) The Clinical Research to sevoflurane emergence agitation in children. The Journal of Clinical Anesthesiology 26: 988-988.
- Özcengiz D, Gunes Y, Ozmete O (2011) Oral melatonin, dexmedetomidine, and midazolam for prevention of postoperative agitation in children. Journal of anesthesia 25: 184-188.
- Erdil F, Demirbilek S, Begec Z, Ozturk E, Ulger MH, et al. (2009) The effects of dexmedetomidine and fentanyl on emergence characteristics after adenoidectomy in children. Anaesth Intensive Care 37: 571-576.
- Guler G, Akin A, Tosun Z, Esmaoglu A, Boyaci A, et al. (2005) Single-dose dexmedetomidine reduces agitation and provides smooth extubationafter pediatric adenotonsillectomy. Pediatric Anesthesia 15: 762-766.
- Jia-Yao Chen, Ting-Jie Liu, Wen-Xian Li (2013) Comparison of the effects of dexmedetomidine, ketamine, and placebo on emergence agitation after strabismus surgery in children. Can J Anesth 60: 385-392.
- Masami Sato, Misako Tazuke-Nishimura, KeijiTanimoto, Matsuura S, Fukuda K, et al. (2010) Effect of single-dose dexmedetomidine on emergence agitation and recovery profiles after sevoflurane anesthesia in pediatric ambulatory surgery. J Anesth 24: 675-682.
- Shukry M, Clyde MC, Kalarickal PL, Ramadhyani U (2005) Doesdexmedetomidine prevent emergence delirium in children after sevoflurane-based general anesthesia? Pediatric Anesthesia 15: 1098-1104.
- Ibacache ME, Muñoz HR, Brandes V, Morales AL (2004) Single-dose dexmedetomidine reduces agitation after sevoflurane anesthesia in children. Anesthesia & Analgesia 98: 60-63.
- NidhiGupta, Girija P, Hemanshu Prabhakar (2013) Effect of Intraoperative Dexmedetomidine on Postoperative Recovery Profile of Children Undergoing Surgery for Spinal Dysraphism. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol.
- Qing-taoMeng, Zhong-yuan Xia, Tao Luo, Wu Y, Tang LH, et al. (2012) Dexmedetomidine reduces emergence agitation after tonsillectomy in children by sevoflurane anesthesia: A case-control study. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 76: 1036-1041.
- 12. Liu Fang xiang (2012) The effect of dexmedetomidine to sevoflurane emergence agitation and Hemodynamic. China Foreign Medical Treatment 31: 24-25.
- Zhang Jia-qiang, Meng Fan-ming, Hou Yan-hua (2010) Effects of Dexmedetomidine on emergence agitation and hemodynamic in children after sevoflurane anesthesia. The Journal of Clinical Anesthesiology 26: 627-628.
- 14. Fang Jie-yu, Xiao iang-can, Guo Juan-ying, XU Hui (2010) The Clinical Research of Dexmedetomidine reduce Agitation after General Anesthesia in Children. Guide of China Medicine 8: 15-16.
- 15. Wen Wei-ming (2012) Clinical effect of dexmedetomidine in preventing children agitation between anesthesia recovery period and its hemodynamic affect. Hainan Medical Journal 23: 39-40.

 Cao Bin-yu (2012) Clinical effect of dexmedetomidine in preventing emergence agitation in children after sevoflurane anesthesia. Chinese Journal of General Practitioners 11: 155-156.

Page 7 of 7

- 17. Zhu Qiongfang, Fang Jieyu, Chen Xusu (2011) Effects of Dexmedetomidine Used before Anesthesia Induction in Reducing Stress Reaction during Recovery on Children Receiving Adenoidectomy after General Anesthesia. Journal of Sun Yat-sen University (medical sciences) 6: 803-806.
- Zhu Qiongfang, Chen Xusu, Liu Hui (2012) The effect of dexmedetomidine on the awaking stage of general anesthesia in children juvenile larynx papilloma resection. Modern Hospital 12: 29-31.
- Du Liwu, Dong Lin, Yuan Liang (2012) The Effect of Dexmedetomidine on the postoperative Agitation Induced by Sevoflurane. Chinese Journal of Anesthesiology 28: 718-719.
- GanXiu-feng, Chen Yan-qing, Zou Cong-hua (2011) Effect of dexmedetomldine on agitation during recovery from sevoflurane anesthesia in children. Chinese Journal of Anesthesiology 31: 166-167.
- XueTao, Sun Hong-mei, SunJian (2011) Clinical observation of Dexmedetomidine reduce post operative agitation after general anesthesia in children. Shanxi Medical Journal 40: 783-784.
- 22. JIA Ji-e, CHEN Jia-yao, Li Wen-xian (2012) Effect of dexmedetomidine on emergence agitation in children after sevoflurane anesthesia undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. Fudan University Journal of Medical Sciences 39: 293-296.
- 23. JIN Yue-xi, LI Jun (2011) Clinical observation the effect of dexmedetomidine on emergence agitation after sevoflurane general anesthesia in pediatrics. Chinese Journal of Hospital Pharmacy 31: 1117-1120.
- 24. Zhong Qia-sheng, Xian-feng QU, Yang Xiao-ping (2012) The Effect of Dexmedetomidine on the emergence agitation in children under tonsillectomyafter general anesthesia. Zhejiang Medical Journal 34: 1084-1085.
- ChenXin-zhi, Hua-xi MA, Xiao-yunLI (2012) The study of dexmedemidine for prophylaxis the emergence agitation in the recovery period after pediatric laparoscopic surgery. Chin J Endourology 6: 62-64.
- Wei Pi-hong (2012) Investigation the effective dose of dexmedetomidine in preventing acute agitation after sevofluraneanesthesiain children. Journal of Community Medicine 10: 30-31.
- Huang Xi-zhao, HuZu-rong, Sun Yi-juan (2012) The effect of intravenous dexmedetomidine before the end of operationon awakening quality in children with cleft lip and palate repair. Guangdong Medical Journal 33: 2490-2492.
- Huang Liu-chan, Fang Jie-yu, Zhu Qiong-fang (2011) Clinical observation and nursing in dexmedetomidine preventing postoperative agitation in children. Journal of Clinical Nursing 10: 51-53.
- 29. Zhao Yan-ling, Wang Guang-lei (2012) The Effect of Dexmedetomidine on the Agitation Induced by Sevoflurane during the Recovery Period of General Anesthesia in Children. West China Medical Journal 27: 1366-1368.
- Xu Qi-ming, GuoQu-lian, Yao Shang-long (2000) Clinical Anesthesiology. (2ndedn), Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House, China, 305-315.
- Malviya S, Voepe-I Lewis T, Ramamurthi RJ, Burke C, Tait AR, et al. (2006) Clonidine for the prevention of emergence agitation in young children: efficacy and recovery profile. Paediatr Anaesth16: 554-559.
- Okamoto T, Mori T, Takeda T, Tokunaga M, Yuki N, et al. (2012) Dexmedetomidine is an excellent sedative for voice monitoring surgery. Masui 61: 542-554.