

Research Article

Effect of Levels of Buttermilk on Quality of Set Yoghurt

Ahmed JAO* and Razig KAA

Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, AL-Zaeim AL-Azhari University, P.O. Box 1432 Khartoum North 13311, Sudan

Abstract

The effect of different levels of buttermilk and storage period on quality of set yoghurt was investigated. Four batches of set yoghurt were prepared using; fresh cow milk and 3% skim milk powder (control), fresh cow milk, 2.5% skim milk powder and 5% buttermilk (sample A), fresh cow milk, 2.0% skim milk powder and 10% buttermilk (sample B), fresh cow milk, 1.5% skim milk powder and 15% buttermilk (sample C) and stored at 4°C for 15 days. The stored samples were examined for quality changes at 15 days interval. The results indicated that, the total solid, protein, fat and pH values of set yoghurt decreased significantly (P ≤ 0.05) as levels of buttermilk increased and storage time progressed.

Organoleptic quality of set yoghurt revealed that, 5% buttermilk scored the best appearance, texture, flavour and over all acceptability, followed by 0.0%, 10% and the worst was recorded for sample contains 15% buttermilk. Storage period significantly (P ≤ 0.05) affected the organoleptic quality of set yoghurt. The best score was obtained at the beginning of the storage period while the worst at the end.

Keywords: Set yoghurt; Buttermilk; Storage period

Introduction

The worldwide consumption of milk or dairy products is constantly increasing. It is estimated that the production of milk increases about 1.5% every year in order to satisfy the needs of consumers. The increase of consumption of dairy products such as cheese or butter also increases the production of dairy by-products such as whey or buttermilk [1].

For many years, buttermilk has been considered as the invaluable by product of the milk fat industry. The worldwide production of buttermilk could be considered close to that of butter production [1].

Increasing the total solids in yoghurt milk to around 14-16 g 100 g-1 is one of the essential steps in the process of yoghurt making. Traditionally, the fortification of the total solids in the yoghurt mix is achieved by boiling to reduce the volume of the milk to two-thirds of its original or by the addition of skimmed milk powder (SMP). Replacement of SMP with buttermilk powder up to 50% in the manufacture of low-fat yoghurt was found to be acceptable and similar to the control product [2].

Buttermilk powder when added to low-fat yoghurts up to 4.8% yielded a soft and smooth product [3]. Among the various dairy ingredients used in the manufacture of yoghurt, dried buttermilk was found to reduce the susceptibility of syneresis [4]. Milk powder (SMP) is used commonly to enrich yoghurt at a rate of 3 to 4% to increase the total solids [5]. One way to increase the demand for buttermilk is to find attractive uses for it as a cheese ingredient. Buttermilk has long been used as an ingredient in cheese making and there is growing interest in the cheese industry for cheeses with specific functional/nutritional properties, and ways to cost-effectively increase yield [6].

The high content of phospholipids in buttermilk makes it an important functional ingredient in an array of food products (e.g., chocolate, cheese seasoning, ice cream mixes and yoghurt) [7].

The objective of this investigation is to study the effect of levels of buttermilk on quality of set yoghurt.

Material and Methods

Fresh cow milk, powdered skim milk, fresh buttermilk and yoghurt starter culture (Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus delbrueckii

subsp. Bulgaricus) were obtained from local dairy production factory; DAL Dairy factory, Khartoum. Sudan.

Preparation and manufacture of set yoghurt

Four different blends of set yoghurt were produced using; fresh cow milk and 3% skim milk powder (control), fresh cow milk, 2.5% skim milk powder and 5% buttermilk (sample A), fresh cow milk, 2.0% skim milk powder and 10% buttermilk (sample B), fresh cow milk, 1.5% skim milk powder and 15% buttermilk (sample C).

The enriched mixes were stirred and heated to 85°C in thermo mix, with constant stirring for 25 minutes including ramp time. After cooling to 43°C in an ice water in thermo cooler, the milk was inoculated with a commercial yoghurt starter (Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. Bulgaricus) and filled in plastic cups then incubated at 43°C for 3-4 hours and the cups were transferred to refrigerator and stored at 5°C.

Physiochemical analysis

The pH of samples was determined using electronic pH meter (JENWAY 3510 pH Meter, designed and manufactured in the UK by Bibby Scientific Stone LTd, model 3510, serial no. 51030).

The fat content and total solids content of the samples were determined according to the method described by the AOAC [8].

Proteins measured by Milkoscan, FOSS Analytical A/S.69, Slangeruggade, and DK3400 Hillerod Denmark.

*Corresponding author: Jamal Abakar Osman Ahmed, Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, AL-Zaeim AL-Azhari University, Sudan, Tel: 249121234280; E-mail: abakar.jamal@gmail.com

Received January 21, 2017; Accepted September 13, 2017; Published September 25, 2017

Citation: Ahmed JAO, Razig KAA (2017) Effect of Levels of Buttermilk on Quality of Set Yoghurt. J Nutr Food Sci 7: 634. doi: 10.4172/2155-9600.1000634

Copyright: © 2017 Ahmed JAO, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Ahmed JAO, Razig KAA (2017) Effect of Levels of Buttermilk on Quality of Set Yoghurt. J Nutr Food Sci 7: 634. doi: 10.4172/2155-9600.1000634

Organoleptic quality

Twelve panelists were chosen to judge the quality of set yoghurt in term of appearance, flavour, texture and overall acceptability. The sensory evaluation of set yoghurt was evaluated by scoring procedure (hedonic scale) described by Ihekoronye and Ngoddy where 5: excellent, 4: very good, 3: good, 2: acceptable and 1: poor [9].

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using SAS system. Means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test [10].

Results and Discussion

Physicochemical composition

Total solid: The highest value (14.48%) was obtained by the control sample. The lowest (14.01%) obtained by the set yoghurt containing 1.5% skim milk powder and 15% buttermilk (sample C) while the other samples ranked in an intermediate position ($P \le 0.05$). Storage period significantly ($P \le 0.05$) affected the total solid content. The total solid increased as the storage period progressed. The lowest value obtained at the beginning of the storage period whiles the highest at the end ($P \le 0.05$).

It was found that buttermilk added to the set yoghurt samples had lower total solid than the control samples. This result was in agreement with Bahrami et al. who found that as the proportion of sweet cream buttermilk increased, the percentage of total solids in the cream cheese fell, probably as a result of the decrease in total solids in the sweet cream buttermilk [11]. Pal et al., reported that the total solids of fat-free yogurt milk samples were significantly (P<0.05) reduced as a result of skimming. The result not in line with Pal et al. who found that the percentage of total solids decreased because of the presence of lipoprotein compounds, particularly phospholipids and membrane proteins; these compounds increase the water retention capacity and, thus, increase the moisture content [12].

It was observed that the total solid increased as the storage period progressed; the increased total solid level is probably a result of the release of whey during storage, this result was in agreement with Anjum et al. who reported that treatment and storage period had significant effect on the total solids of yoghurt samples prepared by locally isolated starter culture and commercial starter culture [13]. Kavas et al. reported that it is accepted that the increase during 14 days on total solids content was not significant and attributed to the evaporation, it's supported Akalin who reported that the increase determined during the storage period is normal [14,15]. Wang et al. reported that the reduction could be due to the

Page 2 of 5

utilization of sugar by the starter cultures [16,17]. It is evident from the result that reduction in total solids throughout storage period might be due to change of lactose into lactic acid by lactose fermenting bacteria in yoghurt. These results were confirmed Tamime and Robinson [5].

Protein content (%): The highest value (3.85%) was obtained by the control sample. The lowest (3.52%) by the set yoghurt containing 1.5% skim milk powder and 15% buttermilk (sample C) while the other samples ranked in an intermediate composition ($P \le 0.05$). Storage period significantly ($P \le 0.05$) affected the protein content. The protein content decreased as the storage period progressed. The highest value obtained at the beginning of the storage period whiles the lowest at the end ($P \le 0.05$) (Tables 1 and 2).

Results indicated that replacing buttermilk by SMP in set yoghurt samples had lower protein content than the control samples. These results are in agreement with the observation of Bahrami et al. who found that a comparison of the mean protein content showed that increasing sweet cream buttermilk in a mixture significantly decreased the amount of protein (p<0.05) [11]. As the percentage of sweet cream buttermilk increased and clots that were soft and delicate in texture were formed.

It was also noted that the protein content decreased as the storage period progressed; these results are in line with findings of Shanley who found that the protein contents of yoghurt decreased with the progress of storage period [18]. This is due to the fact that the majority of protein contents in milk decreased with storage. Also Galal et al. reported that the protein content during storage period decreased in all samples refer to decrease in total solids content during storage period and breakdown of amino acids by starter culture [19]. Serra et al., reported that in all treatments studied, caseins were hydrolyzed and hydrophobic peptides were increased during storage, as reflected by the increase in soluble nitrogen at the end of the storage [20].

The result disagree with Koestanti et al. who reported that during the fermentation process, the *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* microbe biomass were increased, thus the sum of microbe protein was increase, that automatically increasing protein inside the yoghurt [21].

Fat content (%): The highest value (3.21%) was obtained by the control sample. The lowest (2.82%) by the set yoghurt containing 1.5% skim milk powder and 15% buttermilk (sample C) while the other samples ranked in an intermediate composition ($P \le 0.05$). Storage period significantly ($P \le 0.05$) affected the fat content. The fat content decreased as the storage period progressed. The highest value obtained

Storage period (days)	Levels of Buttermilk									
	Control	Α	В	C 14.01 ± 0.19 ⁱ 14.09 ± 0.11 ⁱ						
0	14.28 ± 0.15 ⁱ	14.22 ± 0.18 ^k	14.15 ± 0.16 ¹							
3	14.36 ± 0.17^{f}	14.33 ± 0.13 ^g	14.24 ± 0.12^{j}							
6 14.37 ± 0.14°		14.30 ± 0.20 ^k	14.30 ± 0.18 ^h	14.20 ± 0.21						
9	14.44 ± 0.19 ^b	14.35 ± 0.22 ^{fg}	14.34 ± 0.13 ^{fg}	14.25 ± 0.16 ^{ij}						
12 14.45 ± 0.09 ^{ab} 15 14.48 ± 0.21 ^a		14.39 ± 0.17 ^d	14.37 ± 0.16 ^e	14.31 ± 0.18 ^h 14.37 ± 0.14 ^e						
		14.45 ± 0.11^{ab}	14.40 ± 0.15°							

*Mean \pm SD. having different superscript letters on columns and rows are significantly different (P \leq 0.05).

Control ≡ set yoghurt with 3.0% skim milk powder and 0.0% buttermilk.

A = set yoghurt with 2.5% skim milk powder and 5% buttermilk.

B ≡ set yoghurt with 2.0% skim milk powder and 10% buttermilk.

C ≡ set yoghurt with 1.5% skim milk powder and 15% buttermilk.

 Table 1: Effect of levels of buttermilk and storage period on total solid (%) of set yoghurt.

at the beginning of the storage period whiles the lowest at the end (P \leq 0.05) (Table 3).

The difference between the amounts of fat in different treatments was significant ($P \le 0.05$) because the proportion of fat in buttermilk was low, and this result agreed with Bahrami et al. [11]. This result is not in line with El Sayed et al. who studied the utilization of buttermilk concentrate in the manufacture of functional processed cheese spread and observed fat was higher in functional processed cheese incorporation of buttermilk concentrate, also fat in dry matter was increased in cheese spread containing 20 and 30% buttermilk concentrate [22]. The decrease of fat content with the progress of storage period could be attributed to the breakage of lipid during fermentation process, so that fat content decrease [23]. Also Abdel-Salam et al. found that, the fat content slightly decreased due to fat hydrolysis and liberation of free acids that escape determination by Girber method [24]. Tamime and Deeth reported a decrease in fat content of yoghurt during storage period due to lipolysis in yoghurt [25]. The decreased level of fat is probably a result of the release of whey [26]. On the other hand this result was disagreed with Anjum et al., who reported that the fat content of yoghurt, displayed statistically not significant difference for reduction in fat content at the end of storage period that might be due to production of volatile fatty acids by yoghurt organisms [13].

pH value: The highest pH value (4.50) was obtained by the control sample. The lowest (4.07) by the set yoghurt containing 1.5% skim milk powder and 15% buttermilk (sample C) while the other samples ranked in an intermediate composition ($P \le 0.05$). Storage period significantly ($P \le 0.05$) affected the pH value. The pH value decreased as the storage period progressed. The highest pH value obtained at the beginning of the storage period whiles the lowest at the end ($P \le 0.05$) (Table 4).

Storage period	Levels of Buttermilk									
(days)	Control	Α	В	C						
0	3.85 ± 0.06ª	3.73 ± 0.03 ^b	3.69 ± 0.02 ^d	3.68 ± 0.01°						
3	3.82 ± 0.04ª	3.71 ± 0.07°	3.63 ± 0.08^{g}	3.61 ± 0.09 ^h						
6	3.73 ± 0.05ª	3.68 ± 0.11°	3.62 ± 0.04 ^{gh}	3.59 ± 0.03^{i}						
9	3.71 ± 0.12°	3.67 ± 0.02 ^f	3.59 ± 0.07^{i}	3.57 ± 0.05 ⁱ						
12	3.71 ± 0.08°	3.62 ± 0.13 ^{gh}	3.59 ± 0.06^{i}	3.54 ± 0.11 [⊮]						
15 3.69 ± 0.13 ^d		3.57 ± 0.08^{j}	3.55 ± 0.09 ^k	$3.52 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$						

A ≡ set yoghurt with 2.5% skim milk powder and 5% buttermilk.

B ≡ set yoghurt with 2.0% skim milk powder and 10% buttermilk. C ≡ set yoghurt with 1.5% skim milk powder and 15% buttermilk

Table 2: Effect of levels of buttermilk and storage period on protein content (%) of set yoghurt.

Storage period	Levels of Buttermilk								
(days) Control 0 3.21 ± 0.03 ^a	Control	Α	В	С					
	3.08 ± 0.01°	3.02 ± 0.04^{g}	2.96 ± 0.02^{i}						
3	3.20 ± 0.06ª	3.05 ± 0.07 ^f	2.98 ± 0.05 ^h	2.92 ± 0.08j ^k					
6 3.17 ± 0.11 ^b		3.05 ± 0.09 ^f	2.97 ± 0.06 ^{hi}	2.88 ± 0.13 ¹					
9	3.16 ± 0.07 ^b	3.04 ± 0.12^{f}	2.97 ± 0.16 ^{hi}	2.86 ± 0.14I ^m					
12 3.13 ± 0.05° 15 3.12 ± 0.13 ^d		3.02 ± 0.119	2.94 ± 0.07 ^j	2.84 ± 0.12I ^m					
		3.02 ± 0.02^{g}	2.91 ± 0.09 ^k	2.82 ± 0.06 ^m					

Control ≡ set yoghurt with 3.0% skim milk powder and 0.0% buttermilk.

A ≡ set yoghurt with 2.5% skim milk powder and 5% buttermilk.

B ≡ set yoghurt with 2.0% skim milk powder and 10% buttermilk

C ≡ set yoghurt with 1.5% skim milk powder and 15% buttermilk

Table 3: Effect of levels of buttermilk and storage period on fat content (%) of set yoghurt.

Storage period	Levels of Buttermilk									
(days)	Control	Α	В	С						
0	4.50 ± 0.02^{a}	4.49 ± 0.04 ^b	4.48 ± 0.05 ^b	4.47 ± 0.06°						
3	4.38 ± 0.01 ^d	4.36 ± 0.03 ^{de}	4.35 ± 0.07 ^e	4.32 ± 0.08 ^{fg}						
6 4.37 ± 0.05 ^{de}		4.33 ± 0.01 ^f	4.30 ± 0.06 ⁹	4.28 ± 0.9^{i}						
9	4.32 ± 0.07 ^{fg}	4.29 ± 0.11 ^h	4.28 ± 0.02 ⁱ	4.23 ± 0.03^{j}						
12	4.28 ± 0.04^{i}	4.22 ± 0.06 ^k	4.22 ± 0.01 ^k	4.17 ± 0.02^{m}						
15	4.20 ± 0.11 ¹	4.12 ± 0.08 ⁿ	4.11 ± 0.05°	4.06 ± 0.03 ^p						

Mean \pm SD. having different superscript letters on columns and rows are significantly different (P \leq 0.05).

A ≡ set yoghurt with 2.5% skim milk powder and 5% buttermilk.

B ≡ set yoghurt with 2.0% skim milk powder and 10% buttermilk

C ≡ set yoghurt with 1.5% skim milk powder and 15% buttermilk.

Table 4: Effect of levels of buttermilk and storage period on pH level of set yoghurt.

Control ≡ set yoghurt with 3.0% skim milk powder and 0.0% buttermilk.

Citation: Ahmed JAO, Razig KAA (2017) Effect of Levels of Buttermilk on Quality of Set Yoghurt. J Nutr Food Sci 7: 634. doi: 10.4172/2155-9600.1000634

Page 4 of 5

It was found that buttermilk added to the set yoghurt samples had slightly lower pH than control sample. This result goes with Romeih et al. who found that the pH reduction of fat-free yogurt with buttermilk powder addition was slightly faster even though those treatments showed slightly higher initial pH values.

It was observed that the pH value decreased as the storage period progressed. Fernandez-Garcia et al., found that the content of organic acids in yoghurt during fermentation and cooled storage of yoghurt continuously changed, and this affect pH of yoghurt during storage [27]. The pH-values were decreased progressively due to excessive sugar fermentation and presence of lactic acid [28]. It's supported El-abbassy et al. they found that the acidity increased and pH decreased gradually in yoghurt samples until the end of storage period [29].

Sensory evaluation: Set yoghurt containing 2.5% skim milk powder and 5% buttermilk recorded the best appearance (4.12), texture (3.84), flavour (3.80) and over all acceptability (4.07). Sample containing 1.5% skim milk powder and 15% buttermilk showed the worst (2.52, 2.36, 1.92 and 1.82) score respectively, while the other samples ranked in an intermediate position ($P \le 0.05$) (Table 5).

Storage period significantly (P \leq 0.05) affected the sensory evaluation scores. The scores decreased as the storage period progressed. The highest scores obtained at the beginning of the storage period while the lowest at the end ($P \le 0.05$).

Trachoo et al. stated that non-fat and low fat set yoghurt prepared by enrichment with buttermilk powder (3.7% protein level) was smoother than those prepared with addition of skim milk powder (4% protein level) [3]. Low fat yoghurt with buttermilk powder found to be slightly smoother than the yoghurt with SMP. The appearance affected by the storage due to the change of color and this agree with Vargas et al., who reported that the compaction of the solid matrix and the increase in the syneresis index during storage explain these color change, it's also supported Hutchings who reported that the changes in color coordinates can be attributed to the different level of opacity [30,23].

Fortification of un-concentrated fresh buttermilk with skimmed milk powder or by ultrafiltration UF or nano-filtration (NF) is another alternative to obtain good textural and sensory quality yoghurt [31]. The result was in accordance with Mumtaz et al. who reported that texture was affected significantly during storage in all experimental yoghurts [32]. The result was in disagreement with those of Radi et al. who reported that the different yoghurt samples showed similar texture after two weeks of storage as that of zero time [33]. Also it's supported by Herrero and Requena (2006) who found that the texture properties were maintained constant throughout the shelf- life of the product [34]. The result disagreed with that of Becker and Puhan (1989) they found that high protein content gives higher firmness values, indicating that this characteristic was not greatly affected by the different storage conditions [35].

The result observed confirmed the finding of Ekinci et al. who reported that, in general, the level of carbonyl compounds decreased during cold storage [36]. This could be associated further with metabolic activity of the starter cultures during the storage period. It's also supported Ozer and Radi et al. who reported that acetaldehyde, which is main flavor substance in yoghurt, metabolized to ethanol via alcohol dehydrogenase of Streptococcus thermophiles [37,33]. Flavor scores at zero time were significantly higher than of two weeks. Oberman found that diacetyle reductase enzyme becomes responsible for loss of the flavor after long storage [38]. Foda et al. reported that prolonging cold storage period affect the flavor significantly could be due to the strong taste [39]. The results were disagreement with that of Salvador and Fiszman they reported that no significant changes in relation to the storage time were found in color and flavor intensity for either type of yoghurt [40].

Guler et al. reported that; although it is not a common practice at industrial level, fortification of yoghurt mix with buttermilk powder may result in yoghurt with desired sensory and physical properties [41-49]. The level of buttermilk powder should not exceed 4.5-5.0% for acceptable yoghurt [3]. Replacement of SMP with buttermilk powder up to 50% in the manufacture of low-fat yoghurt was found to be acceptable and similar to the control product [2]. Buttermilk powder when added to low-fat yoghurts up to 4.8% yielded a soft and smooth product [3]. Among the various dairy ingredients used in the manufacture of yoghurt, dried buttermilk was found to reduce the susceptibility of syneresis [4].

Storage period	Appearance			Texture			Flavour			Overall acceptability						
(days)	levels of buttermilk															
	Control	Α	В	С	Control	Α	В	С	Control	Α	в	С	Control	Α	В	С
0	4.03 ± 0.06ª	4.12 ± 0.03ª	3.94 ± 0.11 ^b	3.70 ± 0.12℃	3.75 ± 0.7⁵	3.84 ± 0.02 ^a	3.70 ± 0.05 ^b	3.47 ± 0.08℃	3.66 ± 0.03 ^{ab}	3.80 ± 0.05ª	3.52 ± 0.01 ^b	2.82 ± 0.04 ^h	3.89 ± 0.02 ^b	4.07 ± 0.04ª	3.52 ± 0.01 ^d	2.78 : 0.03 ^k
3	3.63 ± 0.12 ^d	3.71 ± 0.14 ^{bc}	3.54 ± 0.09 ^e	3.33 ± 0.08 ^{gh}	3.38 ± 0.3 ^d	3.46 ± 0.04°	3.33 ± 0.09 ^d	3.13 ± 0.02 ^{fg}	3.29 ± 0.07 ^d	3.42 ± 0.02°	3.17 ± 0.11 ^{ef}	2.54 ± 0.09 ⁱ	3.50 ± 0.08 ^d	3.67 ± 0.06℃	3.17 ± 0.07 ⁹	2.50 : 0.04"
6	3.38 ± 0.13 ⁹	3.46 ± 0.11 ^f	3.31 ± 0.08 ^h	3.11 ± 0.07 ^j	3.15 ± 0.06 ^f	3.23 ± 0.11°	3.11 ± 0.13 ^g	2.92 ± 0.04 ⁱ	3.07 ± 0.08 ^{ef}	3.19 ± 0.04e	2.96 ± 0.09 ^g	2.37 ± 0.06 ⁿ	3.27 ± 0.06 ^f	3.42 ± 0.08 ^e	2.96 ± 0.04 ⁱ	2.33 : 0.02'
9	3.22 ± 0.05 ⁱ	3.45 ± 0.04	3.29 ± 0.06 ⁱ	2.96 ± 0.09 ^{kl}	3.00 ± 0.05 ^{hi}	3.07 ± 0.12 ^h	2.96 ± 0.02 ^{hi}	2.78 ± 0.07 ^k	2.93 ± 0.12 ^g	3.04 ± 0.06 ^f	2.81 ± 0.03 ^{hi}	2.26 ± 0.05°	3.11 ± 0.0 ^{gh}	3.26 ± 0.11 ^f	2.81 ± 0.12 ^j	2.22 0.09
12	2.98 ± 0.16 ^k	3.05 ± 0.13 ^j	2.91 ± 0.07 ¹	2.74 ± 0.04 ⁿ	2.78 ± 0.09 ^k	2.84 ± 0.08 ^j	2.74 ± 0.06 ⁱ	2.57 ± 0.11 ⁿ	2.71 ± 0.06 ^j	2.81 ± 0.08 ^{hi}	2.60 ± 0.12 ^k	2.09 ± 0.13°	2.88 ± 0.07 ^{ij}	3.01 ± 0.09 ^h	2.60 ± 0.11 ¹	2.06 : 0.12
15	2.74 ± 0.11 ⁿ	2.80 ± 0.12 ^m	2.68 ± 0.05°	2.52 ± 0.14 ^p	2.55 ± 0.13 ^{no}	2.61 ± 0.14 ^m	2.52 ± 0.08°	2.36 ± 0.12 ^p	2.49 ± 0.11 ^m	2.58 ± 0.07 ¹	2.39 ± 0.04 ^{mn}	1.92 ± 0.08 ^p	2.64 ± 0.05 ⁱ	2.77 ± 0.07 ^k	2.39 ± 0.03n	1.89 0.08

Mean \pm SD. having different superscript letters on columns and rows are significantly different (P \leq 0.05).

Control ≡ set yoghurt with 3.0% skim milk powder and 0.0% buttermilk.

A ≡ set yoghurt with 2.5% skim milk powder and 5% buttermilk.

B ≡ set yoghurt with 2.0% skim milk powder and 10% buttermilk C ≡ set yoghurt with 1.5% skim milk powder and 15% buttermilk

Table 5: Effect of levels of buttermilk and storage period on sensory evaluation scores of set yoghurt.

Citation: Ahmed JAO, Razig KAA (2017) Effect of Levels of Buttermilk on Quality of Set Yoghurt. J Nutr Food Sci 7: 634. doi: 10.4172/2155-9600.1000634

References

- Morin P, Britten M, Jiménez-Flores R, Pouliot Y (2007) Microfiltration of buttermilk and washed cream buttermilk for concentration of milk fat globule membrane components. J Dairy Sci 90: 2132-2140.
- Wang YC, Yu RC, Chou CC (2002) Growth and survival of bifidobacteria and lactic acid bacteria during the fermentation and storage of cultured soymilk drinks. Food Microbiol 19: 501-508.
- Vargas M, Chafer M, Albors A, Chiralt A, Gonzalez-Martinez C (2008) Physicochemical and sensory characteristics of yoghurt produced from mixtures of cow's and goat's milk. Int Dairy J. 18: 1146-1152.
- Guinee TP, Mullins CG, Reville WJ, Cotter MP (1995) Physical properties of stirred-curd unsweetened yoghurts stabilised with different dairy ingredients. Milchwissenschaft 50: 196-200.
- Trachoo N, Mistry VV (1998) Application of Ultrafiltered Sweet Buttermilk and Sweet Buttermilk Powder in the Manufacture of Nonfat and Low Fat Yogurts. J Dairy Sci 81: 3163-3171.
- Govindasamy-Lucey S, Lin T, Jaeggi JJ, Johnson M E, Lucey JA (2006) Influence of condensed sweet cream buttermilk on the manufacture, yield, and functionality of pizza cheese. J Dairy Sci 89: 454-467.
- Salvador A, Fiszman SM (2004) Textural and sensory characteristics of whole and skimmed flavored set-type yogurt during long storage. J Dairy Sci 87: 4033-4041.
- Horwitz W, Chichilo P, Reynolds H (1970) Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Ihekoronye AI, Ngoddy PO (1985) Integrated food science and technology for the tropics. Macmillan.
- Schelz Z, Molnar J, Hohmann J (2006) Antimicrobial and antiplasmid activities of essential oils. Fitoterapia 77: 279-285.
- Bahrami M, Ahmadi D, Beigmohammadi F, Hosseini F (2015) Mixing sweet cream buttermilk with whole milk to produce cream cheese. Irish J Agr Food Res 54: 73-78.
- Pal D, Garg F C (1989) Studies on utilization of sweet cream buttermilk in the manufacture of paneer. J Food Sci Tech 26: 259-264.
- Anjum RR, Zahoor T, Akhtar S (2007) Comparative study of yoghurt prepared by using local isolated and commercial imported starter culture. J Res Sci 18: 35-41.
- Kavas G, Uysal H, Kilic S, Akbulut N, Kesenkas H (2003) Some properties of yogurt produced from goat milk and cow-goat milk mixtures by different fortification methods. Pak J Biol Sci 69: 1936-1939.
- 15. Akalin S (1993) Investigations on the production of fermented milk products like yoghurt and determination of some properties. Ph.D. thesis. Faculty of Agriculture, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey.
- Vijayalakshmi R, Mohamed Habibulla Khan M, Narasimhan R, Naresh Kumar C (1994) Utilization of butter milk powder in preparation of low fat yoghurt. CHEIRON 23: 248-254.
- Syed MH, Krudewig A, Engelen D, Stork T, Klämbt C (2011) The CD59 family member leaky/coiled is required for the establishment of the blood–brain barrier in Drosophila.
 β Neurosci 31: 7876-7885.
- Srivastava MK, Trimurtulu N, Lohani PP (1985) Utilization of by-products of dairy industries-Whey and buttermilk. J Indian Dairy Sci Assoc 37: 507-509.
- Salwa AA, Galal EA, Neimat AE (2004) Carrot yoghurt: sensory, chemical, microbiological properties and consumer acceptance. Pakistan J Nutrition 3: 322-330.
- 20. Shanley MK (1973) Analysis of free sugars in yoghurt. Aust J Dairy Technol 28: 58-60.
- Koestanti SE, Romziah M (2008) Improving yoghurt quality of goat milk by implemented complete feed omega 6 on goat feeding program. In The 15th Congress of FAVA 27-30.
- Hamed IM (2010) Utilization of Buttermilk Concentrate in the Manufacture of Functional Processed Cheese Spread. Am J Sci 6: 9.
- Hutchings JB (1991) Food colour and appearance. 2nd ed. Aspen Publishers, Inc; Gaithersturg, MD, USA.
- Abd El-Salam MH, El-Etriby HM, Shahein NM (1996). Influence of some stabilizers on some chemical and physical properties of yoghurt. Egy-J-Dairy-Sci 24: 25-36.

25. Tamime AY, Robinson RK (1985) Yoghurt: Science and Technology. Pergamon Press Ltd. Oxford, UK.

Page 5 of 5

- 26. Kosikowski FV, Mistry VV (1997) Procedures and analyses In: Cheese and fermented milk foods, Kosikowski FV (ed), Westport CT, pp: 43-49.
- Fernandez-Garcia E, McGregor JU (1994) Determination of Organic Acids During the Fermentation and Cold Storage of Yogurt. J Dairy Sci 77: 2934-2939.
- Abdel Razig KA, Bashir AM, Kunna MM, Galad AM (2015) Quality properties of set yoghurt as affected by types of Acacia gum and storage period.
- El-Abbassy MZ, Sitohy M (1993) Metabolic interaction between Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus in single and mixed starter yoghurts. Mol Nutr Food Res 37: 53-58.
- 30. Vasiljevic T, Jelen P (2002) Lactose hydrolysis in milk as affected by neutralizers used for the preparation of crude β -galactosidase extracts from Lactobacillus bulgaricus 11842. Innov Food Sci 3: 175-184.
- Corredig M, Roesch RR, Dalgleish DG (2003) Production of a novel ingredient from buttermilk. J Dairy Sci 86: 2744-2750.
- Mumtaz SS, Rehman U, Huma N, Jamil A, Nawaz H (2008). Xylooligosaccharide enriched yoghurt: Physicochemical and sensory evaluation. Pakistan J Nutrition 7: 655-659.
- Radi M, Niakousari M, Amiri S (2009) Physicochemical, textural and sensory properties of low-fat yogurt produced by using modified wheat starch as a fat replacer. J Appl Sci 9: 2194-2197.
- 34. Herrero AM, Requena T (2006) The effect of supplementing goats milk with whey protein concentrate on textural properties of set-type yoghurt. Int J Food Sci Tech 41: 87-92.
- Becker T, Puhan Z (1989) Effect of different processes to increase the milk solids non-fat content on the rheological properties of yoghurt. Milchwissenschaft 44: 626-629.
- Ekinci FY, Gurel M (2008) Effect of using propionic acid bacteria as an adjunct culture in yogurt production. J Dairy Sci 91: 892-899.
- Ekinci FY, Gurel M (2008) Effect of using propionic acid bacteria as an adjunct culture in yogurt production. J Dairy Sci 91: 892-899.
- Oberman H (1985) Fermented milks. in: Microbiology of Fermented Foods. (editor B. J. B.Woods). Elsevier Applied Science Publisher. London UK 1: 167-195.
- Foda MI, El-Aziz MA, Awad AA (2007) Chemical rheological and sensory evaluation of yoghurt supplemented with turmeric. Intern J Dairy Sci 2: 252-259.
- SAS (1997) Statistical analysis system (SAS). Usersguide: Statistic version 4-0 Inst., Inc. cary. N.C.
- Güler Z, Sezgin E, Atamer M (1996) Yayıkaltı tozunun yoğurt üretiminde kullanım olanaklarının araştırılması. GIDA/The J Food, 21.
- 42. Romeih EA, Abdel-Hamid M, Awad AA (2014) The addition of buttermilk powder and transglutaminase improves textural and organoleptic properties of fat-free buffalo yogurt. Dairy Sci Technol 94: 297-309.
- Harrigan WF, McCance ME (1976) Laboratory methods in food and dairy microbiology. Academic Press Inc. (London) Ltd.
- 44. Houghtby GA, Maturin LJ, Koenig E K, (1992) Microbiological count methods. In: «Standard methods in examination of dairy products». Marshal RT. (ed.), 16th edition, Port City press, Baltimore, Washington.
- 45. ISO 6611 (2004) Milk and milk products-Enumeration of colony-forming units of yeasts and/or moulds-Colony-count technique at 25°C, ISO, FIL/ IDF, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, International Dairy Federation, Brussels, Belgium p: 8.
- Ham JS, Jeong SG, Lee SG, Han GS, Jang A, et al. (2009) Quality of irradiated plain yogurt during storage at different temperatures. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 22: 289-295.
- 47. Ozer BA (2006) Yoghurt Bilimive Teknoljisi Sidas Medya, Sanal, Urfa, Turkey.
- Serra M, Trujillo AJ, Guamis B, Ferragut V (2009) Proteolysis of yogurts made from ultra-high-pressure homogenized milk during cold storage. J Dairy Sci 92: 71-78.
- Tamime AY, Deeth HC (1980) Yogurt: technology and biochemistry. J Food Prot 43: 939-977.