OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

Journal of Medical Diagnostic Methods

ISSN: 2168-9784

Short Communication

Diagnostics Accuracy of Rapid Tests for Dermatophytosis in Primary Care

David Tenth*

Department of Biology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

ABOUT THE STUDY

Dermatophytosis, commonly known as ringworm, is a fungal infection that affects the skin, hair, and nails. This condition is prevalent worldwide, with an estimated 20-25% of the population affected at some point in their lives. Rapid diagnostic methods have emerged as a promising tool for the timely and accurate diagnosis of Dermatophytosis, enabling more effective treatment and reducing the risk of transmission. Traditional methods for diagnosing Dermatophytosis involve collecting skin samples and culturing them in the laboratory, a process that can take several days to weeks to obtain results [1]. However, rapid diagnostic methods have been developed that offer much faster results, often within minutes to hours. One example of a rapid diagnostic method for Dermatophytosis is the use of direct microscopy with Potassium Hydroxide (KOH) solution. This method involves applying a skin sample to a slide, adding a drop of KOH solution, and examining the slide under a microscope. The KOH solution dissolves skin cells, leaving behind fungal elements such as hyphae and spores, which can be identified under the microscope. This method is quick, inexpensive, and has a high sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing Dermatophytosis [2]. Another rapid diagnostic method for Dermatophytosis is the use of Dermatophyte Test Medium (DTM) agar plates. This method involves taking a skin sample and placing it on a DTM agar plate, which contains nutrients and indicators that allow for the growth of Dermatophytes. If Dermatophytes are present in the sample, they will grow on the agar plate, producing characteristic color changes that can be seen within a few days. This method is relatively quick, easy to perform, and has a high sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing Dermatophytosis. Molecular methods, such as Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP), have also been developed for the rapid diagnosis of Dermatophytosis [3]. These methods involve amplifying fungal DNA from skin samples, allowing for the detection of even small amounts of fungal DNA. PCR and LAMP can produce results within hours and have a high sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing Dermatophytosis. However, they require specialized equipment and expertise and can be expensive. The use of rapid diagnostic methods for Dermatophytosis has several advantages. Firstly, they allow for timely and accurate diagnosis, enabling more effective treatment and reducing the risk of transmission.

This is particularly important for individuals with compromised immune systems, who are at a higher risk of developing severe Dermatophytosis and other infections [4]. Rapid diagnosis also allows for the early initiation of antifungal therapy, which can reduce the duration and severity of symptoms. Secondly, rapid diagnostic methods can reduce the need for invasive diagnostic procedures, such as skin biopsies, which can be painful and carry a risk of complications. This can improve patient comfort and reduce healthcare costs. Lastly, rapid diagnostic methods can improve the efficiency of Dermatophytosis diagnosis and treatment in resource-limited settings, such as rural areas or low-income countries, where traditional diagnostic methods may not be available. However, there are also some limitations to the use of rapid diagnostic methods for Dermatophytosis [5].

CONCLUSION

Firstly, they may have lower sensitivity and specificity compared to traditional diagnostic methods, particularly in cases with low fungal burden or mixed infections. This can result in false-negative or false-positive results, which can lead to inappropriate treatment and delayed diagnosis. Secondly, the cost and availability of rapid diagnostic methods can be a barrier to their widespread use, particularly in resource-limited settings. While some rapid diagnostic methods, such as direct microscopy with KOH solution and DTM agar plates, are relatively inexpensive, others, such as PCR and LAMP, can be costly and require specialized equipment and expertise.

REFERENCES

1. Weitzman I, Summerbell RC. The dermatophytes. Clin. Microbio. Rev. 1995;2:240-259.
2. Santiago TM, Pritt B, Gibson LE, Comfere NI. Diagnosis of deep cutaneous fungal infections: correlation between skin tissue culture and histopathology. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 2014;71(2):293-301.
3. Nir-Paz R, Elinav H, Pierard GE, Walker D, Maly A, Shapiro M, et al. Deep infection by Trichophyton rubrum in an immunocompromised patient. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2003;41(11): 5298-5301.

Correspondence to: David Tenth, Department of Biology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, E-mail: t.david011@finland.fi

Received: 03-Jan-2023, Manuscript No. JMDM-22-23213; **Editor assigned:** 06-Jan-2023, PreQC No. JMDM-23-23213 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 23-Jan-2023, QC No. JMDM-22-23213; **Revised:** 01-Feb-2023, Manuscript No. JMDM-23-23213 (R); **Published:** 08-Feb-2023, DOI: 10.35248/2168-9784.23.12.402

Citation: Tenth D (2023) Diagnostics Accuracy of Rapid Tests for Dermatophytosis in Primary Care J Med Diagn Meth.12:402.

4. Lanternier F, Pathan S, Vincent QB, Liu L, Cypowyj S, Prando C, et al. Deep dermatophytosis and inherited CARD9 deficiency. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(18):1704-1714.

5. Wang R, Huang C, Zhang Y, Li R. Invasive dermatophyte infection: a systematic review. Mycoses. 202;64(4):340-348.