

**Open Access** 

## Detection of Human Activity after a Natural Disaster

## Vijaya Krishna Varanasi\*

Editorial

Washington State University, USA

Open access (OA) refers to unrestricted access via the Internet to articles published in scholarly journals such as the "Journal of Geography and Natural Disasters" (JGND). OMICS Publishing Group strongly supports this open access initiative and all articles published by OMICS Publishing Group are freely accessible to everyone immediately after publication. Some of the special features of OMICS group journals include digital formatting, audio listening, language translation and ability to share views on articles via social networking. JGND-open access gives a worldwide audience larger than that of any subscription-based journal. Articles published in open access format are more likely to be cited than those that are not [1]. Due to this reason impact factor of open access journals is on the rise for the last couple of years [2]. Researchers in developing nations are more likely to access information published by OA journals. The knowledge and expertise of the editors and editorial board members of JGND ensures high quality research articles and allows for a comprehensive review of scholarly works that span broad spectrum of issues concerning geography and various natural disasters. I believe the idea of open access is more relevant in the field of geography where there is an urgent need to understand planet earth and various natural disasters that human beings experience during their lifetimes. We cannot prevent a natural disaster, but we can be better prepared in dealing with it when it occurs.

A natural disaster is the effect of a natural hazard such as flood, tornado, hurricane, volcanic eruption, earthquake, heat wave, or landslide on human beings. The aftermath of a major natural disaster leads to financial, environmental or human losses. Buildings collapse and people become trapped under collapsed structures when natural calamities such as earthquakes strike. This is especially true in urban settings where large number of people reside in tall and closed structures. Earthquakes by themselves rarely kill people or wildlife. It is usually the secondary events that they trigger, such as building collapse, fires, tsunamis, and volcanoes that actually result in human disaster. Building collapses occur owing to a number of hazards that are either natural (earthquakes and avalanches), man-made (structural deficiencies and gas explosions) or intentional (bombing and terrorist attacks) [3].

Prompt and appropriate information is especially crucial in search and rescue of people trapped in the rubble of collapsed buildings [4,5]. There is a high probability of survival of an uninjured healthy individual with a supply of fresh air if they are recovered within 72 h of becoming trapped. Survival rates decline after 72 h of being trapped under a collapsed building, and without access to water most victims are unlikely to survive longer than 120 h. The first rescue attempts are usually made by the survivors at the scene [6] followed by large scale search-and-rescue efforts by the local, national, and international rescue teams. The success of the whole search-and-rescue mission eventually depends on accurate and rapid location of survivors within the collapsed structures. There are primarily three mainstream search methods for locating survivors of a major disaster [3]: Physical search consisting of both visual and vocal approach, Canine search using sniffer dogs, and Electronic search using various electronic devices. Two kinds of electronic equipment are currently being used, acoustic and viewing. Acoustic devices consist of a number of seismic sensors placed at various positions in the rubble so as to detect any sound or noise coming from the rubble. Sounds (voices, heartbeat, breathing) coming from potentially trapped persons are separated from other background noises and their source is located using special software. DELSAR life locator is one such acoustic system used in various emergencies. Viewing devices on the other hand include vision systems based on fiber optics, small monitors mounted on flexible mechanisms, or infrared imaging. Most of this equipment generally enhances rescuers' capabilities (vision, hearing and smell) to identify and locate signs of life beneath the rubble. An approach that is of increasing interest nowadays is the use of robots for USAR (Urban Search and Rescue) [7-9].

Recently, a "trapped human experiment" was conducted to provide a representative metabolite profile of humans trapped within a space inside a simulated collapsed building [10]. The objective of the tests conducted in this study was to identify, demonstrate and validate a range of analytes which could be used as markers for the signs of life within collapsed buildings after natural disasters. Various detection devices and instruments were evaluated in a controlled environment and the data generated is currently being used to develop detection algorithms and portable sensors. The study examined flumes of air originating from the participants to create a preliminary profile of molecules that could indicate human activity in a disaster zone. Molecules in the breath, sweat and skin of the participants were used to detect humans in a simulation of a collapsed building. Measurements on NH<sub>2</sub> indicated that skin-based metabolite releases may be significant factors in designing survivor detection systems. A device based on metabolite profile of human beings could be used in the disaster zone without any support from the distant laboratory and save valuable time. It could detect and monitor people trapped in the rubble for extended periods and be deployed in large numbers, in contrast to other physical and canine approaches. In future, more studies similar to "trapped human experiment" in different climatic conditions, water levels, durations and building materials should be undertaken for an efficient and successful search-and-rescue effort after a natural calamity. I am confident that the "Journal of Geography and Natural Disasters" from OMICS Group will play a pivotal role in this regard.

\*Corresponding author: Vijaya Krishna Varanasi, Post doctoral research, Washington State University, USA, E-mail: vijaya.varanasi@gmail.com

Received December 06, 2011; Accepted December 07, 2011; Published December 15, 2011

Citation: Vijaya Krishna V (2011) Detection of Human Activity after a Natural Disaster. J Geogr Nat Disast 1:e101 doi:10.4172/2167-0587.1000e101

**Copyright:** © 2011 Vijaya Krishna V. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Vijaya Krishna V (2011) Detection of Human Activity after a Natural Disaster. J Geogr Nat Disast 1:e101 doi:10.4172/2167-0587.1000e101

Page 2 of 2

## References

- MacCallum CJ, Parthasarathy H (2006) Open Access Increases Citation Rate. PLoS Biol 4: e176.
- 2. BioMed Central. Open access journals get impressive impact factors; journals published by BioMed Central get new impact factors from ISI.
- Dandoulaki M, Andritsos F (2007) Autonomous sensors for just-in-time information gathering in support of search and rescue in the event of building collapse Int. J. Emergency Management 4: 704-725.
- 4. Coburn A, Spence R (2002) Earthquake Protection, New York: John Wiley.
- 5. ECFPE, EPPO (1999) Search & Rescue Operations in Earthquakes: Handbook, Athens

- Noji EK (2005) Disasters: Introduction and state of the art. Epidemiol. Rev 27: 3-8.
- 7. Murphy RR (2002) Rats, robots and rescue. IEEE Intelligent System 17: 7-9.
- Murphy RR, Burke JL (2005) Up from the rubble: Lessons learned about HRI from search and rescue. Proceed Human Factor Ergonom Soc Annu Meeting Sept 2005 49: 437-441.
- Nourbakhsh IR, Sycara K, Koes M, Yong M, Lewis M, et al. (2005) Human-robot teaming for search and rescue. Pervasive Computing, IEEE 4: 72-79.
- Huo R, Agapiou A, Bocos-Bintintan V, Brown LJ, Burns C, et al. (2011) The trapped human experiment. J Breath Res 5: 1-12.