

Detection and Quantification of Formalin Adulteration in Cow Milk Using Near Infrared Spectroscopy Combined with Multivariate Analysis

Fazal Mabood^{1*}, Javid Hussain¹, Al Nabhani MOO¹, Syed Abdullah Gilani¹, Saima Farooq¹, Zakira Naureen¹, Farah Jabeen², Manzor Ahmed², Zahid Hussain³ and Ahmed Al-Harrasi⁴

¹Department of Biological Sciences & Chemistry, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Nizwa, Sultanate of Oman

²Department of Chemistry, University of Malakand, KPK, Pakistan

³Department of Chemistry, Abdul Wali Khan University, KPK, Pakistan

⁴UoN Chair of Oman Medicinal Plants and Marine Products, University of Nizwa, Sultanate of Oman

*Corresponding author: Fazal Mabood, Department of Biological Sciences & Chemistry, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Nizwa, Sultanate of Oman, Tel: (+968)25446200; E-mail: mehboob@unizwa.edu.om

Received date: November 15, 2016; Accepted date: December 15, 2016; Published date: December 23 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Mabood F, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

In order to increase the shelf life for long distance transportation of milk, formalin is added as an adulterant to milk. That is highly toxic causes liver and kidney damages. A new Near Infrared spectroscopy (NIR) combined with multivariate analysis was developed to detect as well as to quantify the level of formalin adulteration in cow milk. In this study four different types of cow milk samples were collected from Nizwa regions of Sultanate of Oman and were investigated. Those cow milk samples were then adulterated with formalin at eight different percentage levels: 0%, 1%, 3%, 5%, 7%, 9%, 11%, 13% and 17% of formalin. All samples were measured using NIR spectroscopy in absorption mode in the wavelength range from 700-2500 nm, at 2 cm⁻¹ resolution and using a 0.2 mm path length CaF₂ sealed cell. The multivariate methods like Principle component analysis (PCA), partial least discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) and partial least regression analysis (PLS) were applied for statistical analysis of the obtained NIR spectral data. PLS-DA model was used to check the discrimination between the pure and formalin adulterated milk samples. For PLSDA model the R-square value obtained was 0.969 with 0.086 RMSE (Root mean square error). Furthermore, PLS regression model was also built to quantify the levels formalin adulterant in cow milk samples. The PLS regression model was obtained with the R-square 93% and with 1.38 RMSECV(Root mean square error of cross validation) value having good prediction with RMSEP (Root mean square error of prediction) value 1.50 and correlation of 0.95. This newly developed method is non-destructive, cheap, no need of much sample preparation and having sensitivity level less than 2% level of formalin adulteration.

Keywords: NIR-spectroscopy; Formalin: Milk adulteration; PCA; PLS-DA; PLS regression

Introduction

Milk contains many essential nutrients like protein, lactose, fats, minerals and vitamins in an appropriate or balanced proportion and is an integral part of human diet which provides a quick and easy way of supplying these nutrients to the diet within relatively few [1,2]. For better health and maintenance of life and according to food guide pyramid, it is recommended for an adult to consume 2-3 portions of dairy based food commodities in a day. Quality of milk can be only preserved by securing its purity and cleanliness [3]. Decent quality milk is needed for quality dairy items [4] and for better health of consumer. Unfortunately, in the developing countries, milk is the most adulterated food commodity. In recent days adulteration of milk is one of the severe concerns dairy sector is facing, which not only causing major economic losses for the dairy industries but is health threatening call as well for consumers [5]. Overpopulation, rapid urbanization and scattered colonization are the few main factors increasing the demand of milk production [6]. To meet the linkage between demand and supply of milk, dealers are often found to involve in milk adulteration [7].

Adulteration of milk is a malpractice in which dealers either incorporate cheap substances or subtract valuable components from

milk to increase its volume and thus profit margin [8]. Excessively documented adulterants used to adulterate milk arediluent (water and ice) thickening agents (starch, glucose, urea, flour, salt and chlorine etc.) [9], preservatives (sodium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate and formalin etc.), reconstituting agents (seed oils, cane sugar and animal fats and milk powder), cosmetic agents (Detergent/soap and bleaching powder etc.) melamine and others [2,6,10-15].

Formalin is used as an antiseptic, disinfectant and preservative. It is used as an adulterant in milk to increase the shelf life for long distance transportation of milk without refrigeration, saving the supplier a neat packet by cutting electricity costs. That is highly toxic causes liver and kidney damages. It is a 37% aqueous solution of the pungent gas formaldehyde and has the chemical formula HCHO and is a potentially hazardous toxic or injurious substance. It is a potent carcinogen [16], and an elevated dose of formalin can cause diarrhoea, vomiting and abdominal pain. It may also disturb the optic nerves and may cause blindness [10,17-24].

Thus it is obvious that potentially injurious substances like formalin are being added to milk. Despite food legislation, adulteration remains uncontrolled, furthermore legal steps laid down in the PFA Act are extremely difficult to maintain due to inadequate and untrained man power and laboratory facilities [25]. Keeping in view the deleterious effects of formalin in milk, the present study is therefore planned to investigate a new sensitive NIR Spectroscopic method combined with multivariate analysis to authenticate as well as to quantify the level of formalin adulterant in cow milk. This method is non-destructive, cheap, no need of much sample preparation and having good sensitivity and reproducibility.

Materials and Methods

Camel milk samples preparation

In this study, four different types of cow milk samples were collected from Nizwa regions of Sultanate of Oman and were investigated. Those cow milk samples were then adulterated with formalin at eight different percentage levels: 0%, 1%, 3%, 5%, 7%, 9%, 11%, 13% and 17% of formalin. The total number of samples used was 161: 19 pure cow milk samples, 142 adulterated with formalin. For PLS regression all the samples were joined together and split into two sets, a training set (70% of the samples) and a test set for validation (30% of the samples).

NIR spectroscopic analysis

All samples were measured using a PerkinElmer Frontier NIR spectrophotometer in absorption mode in the wavelength range from 700-2500 nm, at 2 cm⁻¹ resolution and using a 0.2 mm path length CaF_2 sealed cell. Prominent absorption peaks were appeared in the region from 4000 to 7588 cm⁻¹ wavenumber.

Statistical analysis

Microsoft Excel 2010 and The Unscrambler version 9.0 by Camo were used for statistical analysis. The PCA, PLS-DA and PLS regression models were built for both pure and adulterated cow milk samples. Spectral pretreatments, such as standard normal variate (SNV) and 1st derivative with Savitzky-Golay smoothing 11 points were carried. Full cross validation was used to validate the PLS-DA models. For PLS regression all the samples were joined together and split into two sets, a training set (70% of the samples) and a test set for validation (30% of the samples). External cross validation was used to validate the PLS regression models built with the training set. The Root Mean Square Error of Cross Validation (RMSECV) was used as an internal indicator of the predictive ability of the models. RMSECV is calculated using Eq. 1:

where yi is the measured value (actual % of adulteration), \hat{y}_i is the % of adulteration predicted by the model, and n is the number of segments left-out in the cross-validation procedure, which is equal to the number of samples of the training set. Smaller values of RMSECV are indicative of a better prediction ability of the model.

The RMSEP is a statistical measure how well the model predicts new samples (not used when building the model). It is calculated using Eq. 2:

where yt,i is the measured value (actual % of adulteration), $\hat{y}_{t,i}$ is the % of adulteration predicted by the model, and nt is the number of samples in the test set. RMSEP expresses the average error to be expected in future predictions when the calibration model is applied to unknown samples.

Results and Discussion

Near infrared spectra

Figure 1 shows the NIR spectra of all the samples ranging from 10000-4000 cm⁻¹ in term of wavenumbers while in term of wavelength ranging from 700-2500 nm using a 0.2 mm path length CaF_2 sealed cell.



Figure 1: NIR spectra both for pure and formalin adulterated milk samples without pre-processing.

The spectra in Figure 1 show a scattering effect due to milk and formalin solid particles and white colors. Spectral pretreatments, such as SNV were used to remove the scattering effect as shown in Figure 2.





Although the spectra appear to be very similar, the application of a 1st derivative function with Savitzky-Golay smoothing 11 points were applied at 2 polynomial order shows that there are clear differences in the spectral absorption regions as shown in Figure 3.





It can be seen from the spectra in Figures 3 that there are prominent absorption peaks at wavenumber 4000 cm^{-1} and 7000 cm^{-1} for both pure and formalin adulterated milk samples.

In order to visualize the effect of variation among the four different types of cow milk an alternative approach of principal components analysis (PCA), was applied in that a PCA model was built as shown in Figure 4. PCA is a standard multivariate data analysis exploratory tool. It is used to reduce the dimensionality of a complex data set without much loss of information, to extract the most important information from the data table, to identify noise and outlier in the data set. It is a way of identifying the underlying patterns in data for further analysis using other techniques. The procedure of PCA is like that it converts a set of correlated variables into a new set of uncorrelated variables called principal components. PCA redistributes the total variance of the data set in such a way that the first principal component has maximum variance, followed by second component and so on.

Variance PC1>Variance PC2>... Variance PCk

Total variance=Variance PC1+Variance PC2+ ... Variance PCk

The covariance of any of the principal component with any other principal component is zero (uncorrelated) and they are orthogonal to each other.





It can be seen from the PCA score plot that there is complete differentiation and separation among those four different types of milk samples. They are spaced and grouped in the specific regions of the PCA score plot. The milk samples those are similar are clustered in one group than the different one.

Similarly, Partial least-squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) model was built for the spectral data between pure and with 5% formalin milk adulteration as shown in Figure 5. PLS-DA model can be used as an identifaction tool to check formalin adulteration in cow milk. If there is any amount of formalin in cow milk they will occupy the space in between the pure and adulterated samples of the Figure 5.





Page 3 of 5

It can be seen from Figure 5 that there is a clear discrimination between the pure cow milk samples as well as with 5% formalin milk adulteration. The RMSECV value for PLSDA model was found 0.086 with with R square value of 0.969. The PLSDA model with minimum error that is RMSECV and with highest correlationship value i.e. R is the best one.

PLS regression results

To predict the level of formalin adulteration in cow milk samples PLS regression model was built by using 70% of the samples as a training set with formalin at eight different percentage levels: 0%, 1%, 3%, 5%, 7%, 9%, 11%, 13% and 17% of formalin. PLS regression models are shown in Figures 6 and 7. PLS finds a set of orthogonal components that maximize the level of explanation of both X and Y provides a predictive equation for Y in terms of the X's.



Figure 6: PLS calibration plot for pure and formalin adulterated milk samples.

It can be seen from Figure 6 that its having small value of RMSECV=1.38% for 4 factors with R^2 =93% and of 0.95 correlationship. The Root Mean Square Error of Cross Validation (RMSECV) is used as an internal indicator of the predictive ability of the models.

The Residual Validation Variance plot for the PLS regression model was also built as shown in Figure 7. It shows that three components have completely explained the whole data.

This PLS calibration model was then used to test the prediction ability of the PLS model for an independent 30% test samples set (described in the experimental section) as shown in Figure 8. This is an external validation part of the PLS model because the spectral data used for test set was not utilized in building the PLS model.

It can be seen from Figure 8 that the PLS regression model is having a very good prediction abilty with RMSEP value=1.45% because those 30% test samples were not used in building the PLS caliberation model. RMSEP is a statistical measure how well the model predicts new samples (not used when building the model). RMSEP expresses the average error to be expected in future predictions when the calibration model is applied to unknown samples.



Figure 7: Residual validation variance plot for the PLS regression model.



Figure 8: Prediction plot for the 30% test samples set for pure and formalin adulterated milk samples.

Conclusion

It is concluded that NIR spectrscopy combined with PCA, PLS-DA and PLS regression models are suitable technique for detection and quantification of formalin adulteration in milk samples. Formalin is highly toxic causes liver and kidney damages. It was further investigated that PLS-DA model can be used as an identifaction tool while PLS calibration models can be used as a quantification tool and it was found that this PLS model is having very good prediction ability with RMSEP value of 1.45% and can quantify the lowest level of formaline adulteration less than 2%.

References

- Neumann CG, Harris DM, Rogers LM (2002) Contribution of animal source foods in improving diet quality and function in children in the developing world. Nutr Res 22: 193-220.
- 2. Singuluri H, Sukumaran MK (2014) Milk Adulteration in Hyderabad, India-A Comparative Study on the Levels of Different Adulterants Present in Milk. J Chromat Separation Techniq 5: 212.

Page 5 of 5

- 3. Kanwal R, Ahmed T, Mirza B (2004) Comparative analysis of milk collected from buffalo, cow, goat and sheep of Rawalpindi/Islamabad region in Pakistan. Asian J Plant Sci 3: 300-305.
- Nirwal S, Pant R, Rai N (2013) Analysis of milk quality, adulteration and mastitis in milk samples collected from different regions of Dehradun. Int J Pharm Tech Res 5: 359-364.
- Barham GS, Khaskheli M, Soomro AH, Nizamani ZA (2014) Detection and extent of extraneous water anadulteration in milk consumed at Hyderabad. Pak J Food Nutri Sci 2: 47-52.
- Awan A, Naseer M, Iqbal A, Ali M, Iqbal R, et al. (2014) A study on chemical composition and detection of chemical adulteration in tetra pack milk samples commercially available in Multan. Pak J Pharm Sci 27:183-186.
- 7. Mustafa MI, Khan BB, Abdullah M, Khan LA (1991) Chemical and hygienic quality of milk supplied to canteens of various educational institutions in Faisalabad city. Pak J Agri Sci 28: 9-12.
- 8. Lateef M, Faraz A, Mustafa MI, Akhtar P, Bashir MK (2009) Detection of adulterants and chemical composition of milk supplied to canteens of various hospitals in Faisalabad City. Pak J Zool 9: 139-142.
- 9. Ali A, Mahmood MS, Hussain L, Akhtar M (2011) Adulteration and microbiological quality of milk (A Review). Pak J Nutri 12: 1195-1202.
- Zhang L, Wu LL, Wang YP, Liu AM, Zou CC, et al. (2009) Melaminecontaminated milk products induced urinary tract calculi in children. World J Pediatr 5: 31-35.
- 11. Walker GP, Dunshea FR, Doyle PT (2004) Effects of nutrition and management on the production and composition of milk fat and protein. Aus J Agri Res 55: 1009-1028.
- 12. Tipu MS, Altaf I, Ashfaq M, Siddique (2007) Monitoring of chemical adulterants and hygienic status of market milk. Handbook published by Quality Control Laboratory, University of Veterinary and Animal Science, Lahore, Pakistan. p: 7.
- 13. Altaf I, Ashfaq M, Saddique S (2007) In: Tipu MS (ed.) Handbook published by the Quality Control Laboratory. University of Veterinary Animal Sciences, Lahore, p: 7.
- Chakravorty S, Chakravarty A (2011) An Investigation of adulteration in milk obtained from different localities of Varanasi city. Indian J Res Anvikshiki 5: 120-123.

- 15. Kandpal SD, Srivastava AK, Negi KS (2012) Estimation of quality of raw milk (open & branded) by milk adulteration testing kit. Ind J Community Health 3: 188-192.
- Gwin MC, Lienert G, Kennedy J (2009) Formaldehyde exposure and asthma in children. A systematic review. Environ Health Perspect 118: 313-317.
- 17. Beall DP, Scofield RH (1995) Milk-alkali syndrome associated with calcium carbonate consumption. Reports of seven patients with parathyroid hormone levels and an estimate of prevalence among patients hospitalized with hypocalcaemia. Medicine 74: 89-96.
- Mota FJM, Implvo F, Cunha SC, Beatriz M, Oliveira PP (2003) Optimization of extraction procedures for analysis of benzoic and sorbic acids in foodstuffs. Food Chem 3: 469-473.
- Haasnoot W, Smits NG, Kemmers-Voncken AE, Bremer MG (2004) Fast biosensor immunoassays for the detection of cows' milk in the milk of ewes and goats. J Dairy Res 71: 322-329.
- Saad B, Bari MF, Saleh MI, Ahmad K, Talib MK (2005) Simultaneous determination of preservatives (benzoic acid, sorbic acid, methylparaben and propylparaben) in foodstuffs using high-performance liquid chromatography. J Chromatogr A 1073: 393-397.
- 21. Ayub M, Ahmed Q, Abbas M, Qazi IM, Hattak IA (2007) Composition and adulteration analysis of milk samples. Sarhad J Agri 23: 1127-1130.
- 22. Rideout TC, Liu Q, Wood P, Fan MZ (2008) Nutrient utilization and intestinal fermentation are differentially affected by the consumption of resistant starch varieties and conventional fibers in pigs. Br J Nutr 99: 984-92.
- 23. See AS, Salleh AB, Bakar FA, Yusof NA, Abdulamir AS, et al. (2010) Risk and health effect of boric acid. Am J Appl Sci 7: 620-627.
- Shaikh N, Marri A, Qureshi B, Pathan M (2016) Extent of Formalin and Cane Sugar Adulteration and its Impact on Physicochemical Attributes of Milk Sold at Hyderabad and its Outskirts. Int J Sci Res 5: 827-832.
- 25. Monika G, Gupta MP (2008) Recent Trends in detection of adulteration of milk & dairy products. (8th edn) Varanasi: Dairy Book, pp: 43-52.