

Creating New Regions in Ghana: Populist or Rational Pathway to Development?

Gyampo R*

Department of Communication Studies, Ashesi University, Ghana

*Corresponding author: Gyampo R, Professor, Department of Communication Studies, Ashesi University, Ghana, Tel: +233 30 261 0330; E-mail: vangyampo@yahoo.com

Received date: January 30, 2017; Accepted date: March 13, 2018; Published date: March 22, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Gyampo R. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

The proposal for regional reorganization and the creation of four additional ones seem feasible and have the needed popular support. However, a thorough and detailed analysis of the processes leading to the creation of additional regions reveals imponderable challenges likely to be encountered. This paper argues that popular support for governmental policy proposals is not necessarily coterminous with feasibility, effectiveness and relevance. The paper therefore examines the feasibility and relevance of the proposal for the creation of additional regions in Ghana and proffer policy recommendations to re-focus the attention of policy makers on the critical challenges and barriers to rural development and how they could be effectively tackled.

Keywords: Authoritarianism; Pluralism; Politics; Investments; Relations; Strategies

Introduction

In the lead up to Ghana's 2016 General Elections, the flag bearer of the New Patriotic Party (NPP), Nana Akufo-Addo made several promises to Ghanaians. Notable among these promises is the creation of additional regions to ensure effective administration and the devolution of power. Upon the assumption of power as the elected President of Ghana in January 2017, Nana Akufo-Addo gave indications that four (4) new regions would be created beginning from 2018 to bring the total number of regions in Ghana to fourteen. Per the campaign promise, the process towards the creation of a new region out of the current Western Region to be called the Western North Region is to be initiated. Again, on January 11, 2017 the president in a media report hinted of the creation of three additional regions, namely the Ahafo out of Brong Ahafo Region, Oti out of Volta Region and a region out of the eastern corridor.

In view of this, the president has created a new ministry known as the Ministry for Regional Re-organization and Development, which is headed by Dan Kwaku Botwe, a Member of Parliament, to take full charge of the process. In spite of the commitment on the part of the government to create more regions, a number of Ghanaians continue to express some scepticism about the idea. Some have rejected it ab initio while others are of the view that the proposal is completely misplaced given the urgent bread and butter issues, as well as basic challenges of development that confronts the people of Ghana. For instances, on 15th January, 2017, a youth group in the Volta Region, the Concerned Volta Youth, kicked against the creation of a new region out of the existing one. According to Jean Claude Koku Amenyaglo, the convener and the spokesperson of the group, the region is too small in size and population to merit consideration for a split. He argued that citizens of the region are opposed to the initiative because it is needless and does not reflect their needs. He further noted that:

If the decision to split the region is as a result of lack of access to the regional capital, Ho by the districts, then the region needs good transportation systems like roads and rail, just as the president has established the Ministry of Railway [Development] so that we will have easy access to our regional capital.

Several other Ghanaians have questioned the justification for the creation of new regions and indicated that it is a misplaced priority. Indeed, some have noted that if the increase of Districts from 110 through 170 to 216 could not deal with the challenge of rural poverty and under-development, it is unlikely that additional regions would serve similar purpose [1]. The Gonja traditional council has also vowed to fiercely resist attempts to include portions of their land to the yet to be created eastern corridor region. Even though there is no media reportage of similar sentiments expressed against the region by chiefs and opinion leaders in other regions to be affected, apart from the sources above, it is an indubitable truth that Ghanaians remain somewhat divided over the relevance of additional regions.

What is the historical experience in the reorganization and creation of regions in Ghana? What are the theoretical factors that favour the creation of new regions? Is there any justification for creating additional regions in Ghana? What are constitutional requirements for the creation of new regions in Ghana and what needs to be done to meet these requirements? How popular is the proposal? How feasible is the desire to create additional regions in Ghana? Using secondary sources of data generated through archival research and information from the IEA-VOTO Survey on the Expectations of Ghanaians of the New Government conducted in the first half of 2017, this paper seeks to proffer responses to the questions raised here and to provide policy recommendations to shape public policy, as well as guide the implementation of the proposal to create four additional administrative regions in Ghana.

Regional Reorganization and Creation of New Regions - A Brief Historical Overview

Regional reorganization and creation in Ghana date back to colonial times. Politicians and policy makers have resorted to the practice so long as compelling reasons could be adduced. During colonialism in the then Gold Coast and around 1902, there were only three regions in Ghana. These were the Gold Coast Colony, the Ashanti Territory, and the Northern Protectorate. In 1956, Trans-Volta Togoland became a fourth region of Ghana after a plebiscite which sought the views of the people of Trans-Volta Togoland on whether they want to join Ghana at independence or be classified as part of Togo [2-4].

In 1957, following independence, the Gold Coast Colony was reorganized and divided into Eastern, Western, and Volta Regions. The Brong Ahafo Region was also carved out of the Ashanti Territory in the same year, while in 1960; the Upper Region was also created out of the Northern Territories. In 1970, the Central Region was created out of the Western Region while Greater Accra came out of the Eastern Region in 1982 via the Provisional National Defence Council Law 26. Finally, the Upper Region was reorganized and divided into the Upper East and Upper West Regions in 1983 [2]. Whereas some of these initiatives were done for effective and easy administration, as well as to create equitable development, others like the Brong Ahafo Region were whimsical, capricious and merely in fulfillment of campaign promises. Similarly, the Upper Region, which was almost the same size as present Volta Region, was divided purely for whimsical political reasons - even though on face value, the move was ostensibly done in furtherance of Ghana's decentralization.

Regional Re-organization: The Theoretical Justifications

There are several scholarly works that offers some theoretical justifications for regional reorganization and creation in the literature. For instance, it has been noted by some scholars that regionalism and the creation of additional regions are not arbitrary decisions made by politicians or policy makers [5]. Scholars like Mansfield and Milner [5] and Singh have argued that such reorganizations are undertaken to meet specific needs of a growing population, protect minority ethnic or group interest and to ensure cohesion, as well as development that can tangibly be felt by all within a geographical polity. Though the creation of additional regionals may be justified, Markusen [6] argues for the cost implications to be analysed before the process is initiated. In the view of Singh [7], countries that are relatively poor and underdeveloped must be aware of the budget implications of reorganizing regions and creating extra ones to ensure that the exercise does not saddle nations with huge cost burden.

Ekweremadu [8] is of the view that the reasons for such reorganizations may include the need for adequate development planning and equitable development; access to basic infrastructure; access to government; adequate representation and the need to ensure that each area has a sizeable and manageable population size. In fact, Kumado [9] presents a similar argument suggesting that the creation of additional regions is justifiable when existing regions becomes bigger in terms of population size and outgrow the control of one minister. Kumado [9] also supports the creation of additional regions when the chunk of a nation's resources meant to be distributed across the regions goes only to a few people in the regional capitals and surrounding towns much to the neglect of those who live in the hinterlands. Furthermore, he argued that some conflict situations, particularly

those that have ethnic undertones, are sometimes generated by attempts by smaller ethnic groups to free themselves from the domination of the bigger ethnic groups within a region. This, according to Kumado [9], sometimes fuel agitations for separate regions by ethnic groups that want to have some autonomy. He, however, warned of possible rippling effects of such agitations on other ethnic groups and stressed the need for measures to check unwarranted ethnic demands for regional reorganization.

A Political Justification?

It has been argued that the current idea of creating new regions is not based on sound scientific policy but political expediency. A review of the explanations for the initiative seemingly points to this direction. First, the creation of additional regions was a campaign promise made by then Candidate Akufo-Addo during the 2016 electioneering campaign, which seem to have contributed to the electoral success of the ruling New Patriotic Party (NPP) in the general election. A review of the party's performance in the regions to be affected by the promise points to a positive correlation. Apart from this, some politicians in Ghana also believe in the theoretical justifications for the creation of additional regions. Justifying why there is the need for the creation of additional regions, President Akufo Addo said "the process of devolution of power will require that we look again at the regional structures of our country all with the aim of trying to promote rapid development of Ghana".

In an interview with Dan Botwe, the Minister in Charge of Regional Reorganization and Development, in Accra on Wednesday 3rd May 2017, he explained that the proposal to reorganize regions and create additional ones hinges on several reasons including population growth, access to development, access to government, equitable distribution of national resources and increased representation of the people. He argued that the populations of several regions in Ghana have more than quadrupled since their creation. For instance, in 1960, the population of the Western Region was a little over 500,000 compared to its current size of over 2.3 million people according to the 2010 Population and Housing Census. This undermines effective representation. Again, some regions with fewer populations receive the same resources as regions with huge population in a manner that undermines equity in the distribution of national resources. For instance, the population of the Upper West Region is 2.8 percent of the total population size of Ghana, while that of the Ashanti Region is 19.4 percent; the Western Region accounts for 9.6 percent.

It has also been argued that many Ghanaians in the rural parts of the country are unable to access basic facilities such as hospitals, schools and other amenities that are often times located at the regional capitals and other towns closer to the capitals [1]. In this regard, the reorganization and creation of additional regions for those in the hinterlands would most likely lead to the provision of basic infrastructure and development projects that may be lacking. Last but not the least, "it is necessary to reorganize and possibly create additional regions to give true meaning to the concept of representation in Ghana". According to Hughes, additional regions would necessitate additional decentralized District Assemblies within the regions to provide avenues for people's representation.

In addition, the Minister in charge of Regional Reorganization and Development has claimed that the creation of the four additional regions is necessary to promote inclusiveness and effective representation of the people and that it is very difficult for populous

regions to be effectively managed by regional ministers in a manner that creates a feeling of representation by the people. In as much as this may be true, the fact that heavily populous regions like Accra and Ashanti are not part makes the Minister's claim quite unsustainable.

Creation of Additional Regions: The Legal Framework

The 1992 Constitution of Ghana provides the legal framework that serves as the basis for regional reorganization and the creation of additional ones. Article 5 (1) states that the President may, by Constitutional Instrument:

- Create a new region
- Alter the boundaries of a region, or
- Provide for the merger of two or more regions.

Clause 2 of same article says that *“if the President, upon a petition being presented to him and, on the advice of the Council of State, is satisfied that there is a substantial demand for:*

- The creation of a new region;
- The alteration of the boundaries of a region, whether or not the alteration involves the creation of a new region; or
- The merger of any two or more regions;

S/he shall, acting in accordance with the advice of the Council of State, appoint a commission of inquiry into the demand and to make recommendations on all the factors involved in the creation, alteration or merger.”

Clause 3 of article 5 of the same Constitution further states that “if, notwithstanding that a petition has not been presented to him, the President is, on the advice of the Council of State, satisfied that the need has arisen for taking any of the steps referred to in paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of clause 1 of article 5, he may, acting in accordance with the advice of the Council of State, appoint a commission of inquiry to inquire into the need and to make recommendations on all the factors involved in the creation, alteration or merger”. In clause 4 of article 5, the Constitution states that “where a commission of inquiry appointed under clause 2 or 3 of same article finds that there is the need and a substantial demand for the creation, alteration or merger referred to in either of those clauses, it shall recommend to the President that a referendum (appropriately referred to as plebiscite in this instance) be held, specifying the issues to be determined by the referendum/ plebiscite and the places where they should be held.

In article 5(5), the President is mandated to refer the recommendations of the Commission of Inquiry to the Electoral Commission (EC) for a referendum/plebiscite to be held in a manner prescribed by the EC. The constitution further states that for the plebiscite to be determined as successful, 50 percent of citizens in the area where it is carried out must turn out and 80 percent of those who show up must vote in support of the issue that has been subjected to the plebiscite. Indeed, article 5(6) states that *“an issue referred for*

determination by referendum under clauses 4 and 5 shall not be taken to be determined by the referendum unless at least 50 percent of the persons entitled to vote cast their votes at the referendum, and of the votes cast, at least 80 percent were cast in favour of that issue.” Why are all these constitutional provisions there? How do they affect the reorganization and the creation of new regions?

How Popular is the Proposal to Create Additional Regions?

The proposal for regional reorganization and creation of additional regions seem to be more of a campaign promise than a popular demand. Nevertheless, the Constitution provides the means by which a President, upon assessment of the nation's regional boundaries, may go ahead to create additional regions if he/she finds it necessary. The question is, how popular is this proposal? The findings of the IEA-VOTO Survey suggest a majority of Ghanaians support this proposal. Table 1 is a pictorial representation of the views of Ghanaians on the proposal for regional reorganization and creation of additional ones.

Responses	Frequency	Percent
Somewhat agree	265	16.1
Somewhat disagree	183	11.2
Strongly agree	901	54.9
Strongly disagree	292	17.8
Total	1641	100

Table 1: Popular views on the creation of new administrative regions.

The table clearly shows popular support for the proposal as over 70 percent of the respondents gave some approval to it. Specifically, 54.9 percent strongly agreed while 16.1 percent somewhat agreed. It must, however, be noted that while only 11.2 percent somewhat disagreed with the proposal, quite a sizeable 17.8 percent strongly disagreed. Table 2 shows similar support for the proposal across the ten administrative regions but in particular, the four main regions to be affected by the re-organization, namely, Western, Brong Ahafo, Northern and Volta Region. Of the four regions identified for the split, the Brong Ahafo (59.9%) and Western (59.6%) respondents were more optimistic that the creation of new regions would facilitate development of their regions. On the other hand, less than half of the respondents in the Northern (44%) and Volta regions (46.6%) strongly agreed that the creation of new regions would facilitate development of their regions. But, in the same Northern and Volta Regions, 20.1% and 12.0% respectively agreed that the creation of new regions would somewhat lead to development. This is a clear indication of the general optimism and support of the proposal for regional re-organization among the regions to be affected.

Region	Somewhat agree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Total
Ashanti	15.9	11.3	60.3	12.5	100.0
Brong Ahafo	12.6	9.6	59.9	18.0	100.0
Central	16.8	12.1	55.0	16.1	100.0

Eastern	10.2	13.8	61.1	15.0	100.0
Greater Accra	19.1	9.6	52.5	18.8	100.0
Northern	20.1	11.3	44.0	24.5	100.0
Upper East	22.0	12.2	36.6	29.3	100.0
Upper West	15.4	12.8	56.4	15.4	100.0
Volta	12.0	13.5	46.6	27.8	100.0
Western	19.3	8.3	59.6	12.8	100.0
Total	16.1	11.2	54.9	17.8	100.0

Table 2: Creation of new administrative regions - Citizens opinion by region.

The point must be made that not many of the respondents knew what regional reorganization entailed, yet they were fully in support of it largely because of the political goodwill enjoyed by the Akufo-Addo Government. In fledgling democracies, it is not unusual for the ordinary people to offer massive support for new regimes without sober introspection [10-12]. In this regard, the views of the close to 18 percent of respondents who disagreed with the proposal for regional re-organization and the creation of new ones cannot be easily relegated discounted. It is possible that many more may kick against the proposal after the “honeymoon” with the new regime. The next section analyzes the feasibility of the proposal.

Analysing the Feasibility of the Proposal

The analogy of creating more districts and development

What do the good people of the newly proposed regions in Ghana want? It is development - good roads, good schools, good health care, good drinking water, stable and affordable electricity, economic opportunity and jobs [1]. These can be provided through effective leadership and strong institutions without necessarily creating new regions [13]. What is required is a paradigm shift in the thinking of politicians and policy makers. In the 1980s, there were 110 districts in Ghana. By 2006, an additional 28 districts were created by splitting some of the original 110, bringing their number up to 138. In February 2008, there were more districts created and some were upgraded to municipal status. This brought the final number to 170 districts in Ghana. Since June 2012, a further 46 districts have been added, bringing the total to 216 districts. If the idea of district reorganization is to deepen decentralization and promote rural development, is there any evidence to show transformation in the lives of the people with the increment of the number districts from 110 to 216?

The lack of scientific evidence to show a correlation between new districts and development should be a warning to policy makers about the urgency and relevance of the proposal for regional reorganization as it would also not necessarily lead to development. If additional districts could not lead to development, additional regions may not necessarily lead to development. Allocations from the District Assemblies Common Fund have been described as inadequate [14]. This explains why many districts are still wallowing in poverty and underdevelopment according to the 2015 District League Table. Any attempt at rural development must therefore target funding commitments to the districts first as the creation of more regions

would not necessarily change the funding situation and commitments of the central government. In addition, the Assemblies do not have the required capacity to enhance development. For instance, they cannot even implement effective property tax administration to obtain the additional resources needed for their development. What will happen then is, with the new creation of regions and subsequent assemblies, the little capacity in terms of human resources in existence will have to be shared with such new ones, which will have a detrimental effect on the old assemblies etc.

The United States has been a union of 50 states for many years. Alaska and Hawaii were the last two states to join the Union in 1959. Since then the USA has prospered and created wealth for its citizens. It has the most powerful armed forces in the world. In contrast, Nigeria at independence in 1960 was a federal republic of 3 regions. In 1967, the regions were replaced with 12 states by the then military government by decree. Successive governments increased the number of states to the current 38 states and the Federal Capital Territory (Abuja). Most importantly the increase in the number of states in Nigeria has not delivered economic wellbeing and security to the ordinary Nigerian. It has rather become a huge drain on the national purse diverting resources from real economic and social development to maintaining the administrative machinery of state governments [13]. Ghana should not travel that road. The US has its own problems with the 50 states. The fact that they are not “creating” new states does not mean all is well.

Lessons from a previous attempt to split the Northern Region

Whilst campaigning for the 2008 general election, the then NPP presidential candidate Nana Akufo-Addo and the then NDC presidential running mate John Mahama both promised to split the Northern region into two at separate meetings with the late Yagbonwura Bawa Doshi (King of the Gonjas) at his palace in Damongo. This campaign pledge by the NPP and NDC was driven by a long-standing demand from the indigenes and residents of the Western part of the Northern region that development was skewed towards the Eastern part of the Northern region around the Tamale metropolis and the surrounding towns such as Savelugu, Walewale, and Yendi. Several youth associations in the region including the Gonjaland Youth Association made the partitioning of the region a recurring theme at their yearly meetings and congresses [9].

In October 2009, the Northern Region House of Chiefs presented a resolution for the creation of a new region to then Vice President

Mahama at the Osu Castle. The principal arguments for this demand were accelerated development and administration efficiency, given the size of the Northern region and the fact that it covered about a third of the land mass of Ghana. This view had earlier been made by some past Northern Region ministers under both the Rawlings (1993-2000) and Kufuor (2001-2009) administrations [15].

Consequently, in 2009, the Mills administration set up a Commission of Inquiry into the splitting of the Northern region as a follow-up to the earlier demands [15]. Unfortunately, the report of the Commission submitted to the President in 2010, was never released to the public. It is plausible to argue that the Commission may not have established the case for splitting the region into two. Hence, the partitioning of the Northern region did not happen, but the agitations may have ceased because of a key intervention in January 2012.

The Mahama administration secured a \$166 million grant from the African Development Bank to construct the 147.5-kilometer Fufulso-Damongo-Sawla highway in the Northern Region that connects Fufulso to the Tamale-Kumasi road to Sawla on the Wa-Kumasi road [15]. The project, which was commissioned in August 2015 by President Mahama included the construction of market sheds, rehabilitation of schools and health facilities, water supply works (boreholes) and access roads to the highway. Its social and economic benefits have included increased access to markets for agricultural products, improved education and healthcare services, and reduction in transport costs for both goods and people. The highway has greatly improved accessibility to the major tourism sites in that corridor including the Mole National Park, the Larabanga Mystic Stone and Ancient Mosque, and the Gonja Kingdom Regalia [15].

There are two key lessons from this story. First, a government does not need to create a new region or district to spread development. Second, agitations for new regions or districts will reduce if development is balanced within regions and districts in a manner that creates equal opportunities for all citizens. Indeed, accessibility to areas of a region and other parts of the country are also critical.

The legal and constitutional hurdles

As discussed above, chapter two of the 1992 Constitution provides the requirement for the creation of new regions. The process as discussed could be long and costly. It begins with the submission of a petition to the President, or the President acting upon his own will. This is followed by the President setting up a Commission of Inquiry upon the advice of the Council of State. The Commission will inquire into the need to create, alter or merge regions as the case may be and submit its recommendations to the President. If the Commission establishes that the justification for doing so is inadequate that ends the discussion and the process would be discontinued. In other words, the President is powerless even if s/he is willing to divide regions. But a cost would have been incurred as a result of the work of the Commission. Even before the Commission was set up, the Minister in charge of the proposal adopted an ingenious approach of consulting with the various chiefs and opinion leaders in the regions to be affected by the reorganization to get their support [16]. This move, albeit commendable also comes with a cost to the state, which may be felt the most when the Commission is unable to offer support for the proposal.

Where the Commission establishes that there is adequate justification, it will recommend to the President to organize a referendum (plebiscite). The President will then refer the recommendations on the referendum (plebiscite) to the Electoral

Commission, which will then decide on the mode and manner the referendum may be conducted. First, the plebiscite will only be binding if a minimum of 50% of eligible voters vote on the issue. Second, there is the issue of a minimum of 80% of those eligible voters voting to support the proposal. The whole process would become a nullity if these two main conditions are not met. Voter turnout in local elections since 1988 on the average has been about 30% and, over the years, support for political parties has not transcended into voting during local elections. By law, political parties not in favour of a proposal are allowed to campaign against its implementation and given the potency of such campaign to be adversarial it may have a significant impact on voter turnout and consequently the result of such a referendum. The process must therefore be approached with caution and much introspection in order to avoid waste of time and effort.

The cost involved

As some scholars like Markusen [6] and Singh [7] have cogently argued, it may be costly in carrying out reorganization of regions. Indeed, in Ghana, the cost involved in satisfying this constitutional process will be huge. It will include the establishment and funding of a secretariat for the Commission of Inquiry, sitting allowances and travel costs of Commission members, vehicles, fuel, etc. If it gets to the stage of a referendum, the Electoral Commission will require money to organize the poll in the affected regions. If we succeed in creating new regions, we may further heap huge cost burden on the tax payer as new regional administrations would have to be constructed and staffed. Again, new regional ministers and their deputies would have to be appointed and provided with juicy conditions of service that have been the subject of controversy in recent times. Indeed, in creating one region, the following are examples of the expenditure to be incurred:

- The cost of hiring members of the Commission of Inquiry
- The cost of referendum (plebiscite)
- Land Acquisition
- Cost of Building the Regional Administration
- Cost of Building the Residency (including accommodation of the President)
- Vehicles
- Hiring Staff such as Regional Coordinating Directors, Regional Planners, Administrators, etc
- Accommodation for Staff
- Regional Ministers and their Deputies and their incentives/conditions of service.

Given these and other allied expenditure, the cost of creating one region may be estimated at about 10 million USD. Also, it may cost up to 1 million USD to run a region so created monthly. With a budget deficit of 9 percent of GDP as at 2017, it may be quite difficult to commit resources to meet these costs or even justify such expenses on something that many consider as frivolous. If there is money, it must be better spent in meeting the developmental needs of the people in the regions to be reorganized.

Rippling effect

As argued by Kumado [9], it is likely the creation of new regions may fuel agitation by others to demand same. Politicians should not be oblivious of the danger of possible demands and agitations from other regions of the country, which could potentially assume ethnic facet in a manner that may rather polarize the country. For instance, the Krobo

may push harder to have their own region as separate from the Eastern Region. Similarly, it is possible for the Assins and Denkyiras in the Northern part of the Central Region may take a cue from the reorganization of regions to also demand their own region. Policy makers must anticipate these likely rippling challenges and put in place measures to deal with them as advocated [9].

Conclusion and Policy Recommendations

The proposal for regional reorganization and the creation of four additional ones seem feasible and have the needed popular support in spite of the imponderable challenges likely to be encountered. It must be noted that popular support is not coterminous with feasibility, effectiveness and relevance. Even if the challenges outlined are surmounted, the question that really ought to be answered relates to the essence of the proposal. What is the government seeking to achieve with this proposal? Fulfilling a campaign promise or achieving development for the people? As a developing country fighting to extricate ourselves from the quagmires of poverty and underdevelopment, the focus of governmental policy initiatives must be towards the development of the people.

This paper argues that, in tackling the country's challenges, government must pay more attention and give meaning to effective decentralization. Indeed, a decentralized governance system that thrives on direct election of Metropolitan, Municipal and District Chief Executives; allocation of adequate financial resources from central government and through internally generated funds; and the recruitment of qualified, as well as competent people to man the local assemblies are the rational pathways that can potentially lead to tangible development at the grassroots [14].

It is important that campaign promises are fulfilled. However, such promises made out of sheer political expediencies must be reviewed more thoroughly and rationally after the campaign season is over. The real action of responding to local needs is at the district and certainly, not at the regional level. In this regards, the paper recommends that the District Assemblies must be well equipped to function better and become the real development agents especially with the promised additional resources of 1 million dollars per constituency per year.

The government must also take a serious lesson from how its predecessor halted the agitation for the splitting of the Northern

Region through the implementation of the Ffulso-Damongo-Sawla corridor project. This may be replicated in the regions that are currently candidates for reorganization. It is this kind of infrastructure development and implementation of manifesto pledges like One District, One Factory; One Village, One Dam; that creates economic opportunity, jobs and social development that ordinary Ghanaians desire. In this regard, the creation of new regions may be a mere political luxury that can be shelved without hurting the image and future electoral fortunes of the ruling government.

References

1. Institute of Economic Affairs (2017) Survey on Peoples' Expectations of the New Government. Accra: IEA.
2. Shillington K (1992) Ghana and the Rawlings Factor. London: Macmillan.
3. Austin D (1964) Politics in Ghana: 1946-1960. London: Oxford University Press.
4. Chazan N (1975) Politics and Youth Organizations in Ghana and Ivory Coast.
5. Mansfield E, Milner H (1997) The Political Economy of Regionalism. New York: Columbia University Press.
6. Markusen A (1983) Regions and Regionalism. The Hague: Springer.
7. Singh B, Majumdar AK (1997) Regionalism in Indian Politics. New Delhi: Radha Publications.
8. Ekweremadu I (2012) Restructuring, states creation and the accompanying delusions.
9. Kumado K (2007) The Degree of Our Commitment to Our National Motto - Freedom and Justice: An Assessment.
10. Gyampo REV, Anamzoya AS (2010) Ministerial Appointments and Government Expenditure in Developing Democracies: A Case Study of Ghana's Fourth Republic. Journal of Arts and Education 4: 49-73.
11. Tullock G, Seldon A (2002) Government Failure: A Premier in Public Choice. Washington DC: Cato Institute.
12. Andersen CW (1992) Limited Government - A Moral Issue.
13. Acemoglu D, Robinson J (2012) Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity and Poverty.
14. Aye JRA (2003) The Balance Sheet of Decentralization in Ghana. Foundations for Local Governance.
15. Gbana N (2017) Rethink Creation of New Regions.
16. Botwe D (2017) Interview with Dan Botwe, the Minister in Charge of Regional Re-organization and Development in Accra on 4th May 2017.