

Conducting Scientific Research: Research Hypothesis and Null Hypothesis

Rafat M. Mohareb*

Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, October University for Modern Sciences & Arts, El-Wahaat Road, October City, Giza, A. R. Egypt
Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, A. R. Egypt

Editorial

What about research hypothesis? A hypothesis is a specific statement of prediction. It describes in concrete (rather than theoretical) terms what you expect will happen in your study. Not all studies have hypotheses. Sometimes a study is designed to be exploratory. There is no formal hypothesis, and perhaps the purpose of the study is to explore some area more thoroughly in order to develop some specific hypothesis or prediction that can be tested in future research. A single study may have one or many hypotheses. Hypotheses are the researcher's attempt to explain the phenomenon being studied and that explanation should involve a prediction about the variables being studied. These predictions are then tested by gathering and analyzing data. As an example, the molecular biology was once a cottage industry based on the laborious study of one gene, one protein, and one process at a time, often for scientist's entire career. Now biology has come significant part, a high-throughput, industrialized operation. Further, it is being post-industrialized as programmable robotics makes their way into common practice. It is also being 'microminiaturized' and perhaps 'nanoized' as the perceived need for high throughput motivates invention of highly parallel technologies that require as little time, space, and material as possible. In other words, many of the developments we saw in the past century with respect to microelectronics are being recapitulated in biology. All of these developments reflect what can be termed 'omic' research [1-5]. Omic research includes studies in genomics, proteomics, transcriptomics, kinomics (for the kinases), CHOMics (for the carbohydrates) and epigenomics, among many others. It also includes compound forms like pharmacogenomics, functional genomics, structural genomics and pharmacomethylomics [5]. Research hypothesis includes all fields of research like the medical, biomedical, interspersal, budgetary, non falsifiable and implausible hypotheses [6-13].

In the simplest forms, hypotheses are typically phrased as "if-then" statements. For example, a researcher may hypothesize that "if people exercise for 30 minutes per day at least three days per-week, then their cholesterol levels will be reduced". This hypothesis makes a prediction about the effects of exercising on levels of cholesterol, and the prediction can be tested by gathering and analyzing data. Your prediction is that variable A and variable B will be related (you don't care whether it's a positive relationship. Actually, whenever you talk about a hypothesis, you are really thinking simultaneously about two hypotheses. Let's say that you predict that there will be a relationship between two variables in your study. The way we would formally set up the hypothesis test is to formulate two hypothesis statements, one that describes your prediction and one that describes all the other possible outcomes with respect to the hypothesized relationship or negative relationship). Then the only other possible outcome would be that variable A and variable B are not related. Usually, we call the hypothesis that you support (your prediction) the *alternative* hypothesis, and we call the hypothesis that describes the remaining possible outcomes the *null* hypothesis [14-23]. Sometimes we use a notation like HA or H1 to represent the alternative hypothesis or your prediction, and Ho or H0 to represent the null case. In some studies, your prediction might very well be that there will be no difference or change. In this case, you are essentially

trying to find support for the null hypothesis and you are opposed to the alternative. In conclusion finding a research hypothesis requires a scientific thinking about a certain problem that is going to be answered after doing the research. In recent years free, online journals, many of them open access and peer-reviewed, have begun to both challenge and complement traditional academic publishing. Through OMICS open access publishing group enable researchers to be aware by the electronic published work as soon as it will be ready for publication [24,25]. Thus, through the open access Journals the researchers will be aware by the research different alternative hypothesis in different fields leading to improvement of the conducting research.

References

1. Weinstein JN (2001) Searching for pharmacogenomic markers: the synergy between omic and hypothesis-driven research. *Dis Markers* 17: 77-88.
2. Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, Nusbaum C, Zody MC, et al. (2001) Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature* 409: 860-921.
3. Venter JC, Adams MD, Myers EW, Li PW, Mural RJ, et al. (2001) The sequence of the human genome. *Science* 291: 1304-1351.
4. Weinstein JN, Scherf U, Lee JK, Nishizuka S, Gwadry F, et al. (2002) The bioinformatics of microarray gene expression profiling. *Cytometry* 47: 46-49.
5. Weinstein JN (2000) Pharmacogenomics—teaching old drugs new tricks. *N Engl J Med* 343: 1408-1409.
6. Yadav RN (1980) Philosophic basis of biomedical research. I. Scientific hypotheses. *Med Hypotheses* 6: 747-752.
7. Weinstein JN (2002) 'Omic' and hypothesis-driven research in the molecular pharmacology of cancer. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2: 361-365.
8. Christopher HS (2002) An empirical analysis on interspersal research: Evidence, Implications and applications of the discrete task completion hypothesis. *J School Psychol* 40: 347-368.
9. Hartmann FGH, Moers F (1999) Testing contingency hypotheses in budgetary research: an evaluation of the use of moderated regression analysis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 24: 291-315.
10. Hyams KC (2003) The investigation of chronic fatigue syndrome: a case-study of the limitations of inductive inferences and non-falsifiable hypotheses in medical research. *Med Hypotheses* 60: 760-766.
11. Chermack TJ (2005) Studying scenario planning: Theory, research suggestions, and hypotheses. *Technol Forecast Soc Change* 72: 59-73.
12. Bracken MB (2009) Why are so many epidemiology associations inflated or wrong? Does poorly conducted animal research suggest implausible hypotheses? *Ann Epidemiol* 19: 220-224.

*Corresponding author: Dr. Rafat M. Mohareb, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, A. R. Egypt, E-mail: raafat_mohareb@yahoo.com

Received March 22, 2012; Accepted March 30, 2012; Published April 05, 2012

Citation: Mohareb RM (2012) Conducting Scientific Research: Research Hypothesis and Null Hypothesis. *Organic Chem Current Res* 1:e105. doi:10.4172/2161-0401.1000e105

Copyright: © 2012 Mohareb RM. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

13. Erren TC, Cullen P, Erren M (2008) Neanderthal, chimp and human genomes: hypotheses wanted for research into brain evolution. *Med Hypotheses* 70: 4-7.
14. Cabras S (2010) A note on multiple testing for composite null hypotheses. *J Stat Plan Inference* 140: 659-666.
15. Culver SE, Papell DH (1999) Long-run purchasing power parity with short-run data: evidence with a null hypothesis of stationarity. *Journal of International Money and Finance* 18: 751-768.
16. Okamoto K, Higashi M, Yokota M, Nishikiori R, Osaki M, et al. (2006) New computer-intensive procedures for testing null hypotheses comparing two parameters approximately. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems* 82: 66-74.
17. Blackwelder WC (1982) "Proving the null hypothesis" in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* 3: 345-353.
18. Gómez-Villegas MA, Sanz L (2000) ϵ -contaminated priors in testing point null hypothesis: a procedure to determine the prior probability. *Stat Probab Lett* 47: 53-60.
19. Waller NG (2004) The fallacy of the null hypothesis in soft psychology. *Appl Prev Psychol* 11: 83-86.
20. Chloe F, David C (2011) Estimation of the proportion of true null hypotheses in high-dimensional data under dependence. *Comput Stat Data Anal* 55: 2665-2676.
21. Salvador R, Lloret F, Pons X, Pinol J (2005) Does fire occurrence modify the probability of being burned again? A null hypothesis test from Mediterranean ecosystems in NE Spain. *Ecol Modell* 188: 461-469.
22. Yanagihara H (2003) Asymptotic expansion of the null distribution of test statistic for linear hypothesis in nonnormal linear model. *J Multivar Anal* 84: 222-246.
23. Pampallona S, Tsiatis AA (1994) Group sequential designs for one-sided and two-sided hypothesis testing with provision for early stopping in favor of the null hypothesis. *J Stat Plan Inference* 42: 19-35.
24. Collins J (2005) The future of academic publishing: what is open access? *J Am Coll Radiol* 2: 321-326.
25. Frandsen TF (2009) The effects of open access on un-published documents: A case study of economics working papers. *Journal of Informetrics* 3: 124-133.