OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

International Journal of School and Cognitive Psychology

Commentary

Cognitive Interference and Its Effects on Learning Efficiency in Secondary Education

Lukas Schneider*

Department of Cognitive Science, Rheinberg University, Bonn, Germany

DESCRIPTION

Learning in secondary education involves the continuous acquisition and integration of new information across multiple subjects. As students encounter increasing academic demands, the efficiency with which they process and retain knowledge becomes a critical factor in their success. One cognitive phenomenon that significantly influences this efficiency is cognitive interference. This occurs when previously learned information or competing stimuli disrupt the acquisition or retrieval of new knowledge. Understanding how interference operates provides valuable insight into common learning difficulties and potential strategies for improvement.

Cognitive interference is typically categorized into two main types: proactive and retroactive. Proactive interference occurs when earlier learning interferes with the retention of new information. For example, a student who has learned a particular method for solving mathematical problems may struggle to adopt a new method because the previous approach continues to dominate their thinking. Retroactive interference, in contrast, happens when newly acquired information disrupts the recall of previously learned material. This is often observed when students study multiple subjects in succession and find it difficult to recall earlier content after focusing on new topics.

In classroom settings, interference can arise from both internal and external sources. Internally, similarities between concepts can create confusion. Subjects that share overlapping terminology or structures, such as different languages or scientific classifications, may increase the likelihood of interference. Externally, environmental distractions such as noise or interruptions can introduce competing information that disrupts concentration. Both forms of interference place additional demands on cognitive resources, reducing the efficiency of learning.

Memory processes are particularly vulnerable to interference. Encoding, which involves the initial processing of information, can be disrupted if attention is divided or if competing information is present. Storage, the maintenance of information over time, may also be affected when similar memories overlap, making it difficult to distinguish between them. Retrieval, the process of accessing stored information, is often where interference becomes most apparent. Students may experience confusion or recall incorrect details when multiple pieces of information compete for access.

The impact of interference is not uniform across all learners. Individual differences in cognitive capacity, prior knowledge, and study habits influence how strongly interference affects performance. Students with well-developed organizational strategies may be better able to separate and categorize information, reducing the likelihood of confusion. In contrast, those who rely on surface-level learning may be more susceptible to mixing up similar concepts.

The structure of academic schedules can contribute to interference effects. When students are required to switch rapidly between subjects, particularly those that are conceptually similar, the risk of interference increases. For instance, studying two foreign languages consecutively may lead to confusion between vocabulary and grammatical rules. Spacing learning sessions and alternating between distinct types of material can help reduce this effect.

Instructional strategies can be designed to minimize interference and support clearer learning. One effective approach is to emphasize distinctiveness in teaching. Highlighting the unique features of concepts and providing clear contrasts between similar ideas can help students differentiate them more effectively. Visual aids, such as charts or diagrams, can also assist in organizing information and reducing overlap.

Technology introduces additional dimensions to cognitive interference. Digital learning environments often present multiple streams of information simultaneously, such as text, images, and interactive elements. While these features can enhance engagement, they can also create competition for attention if not carefully designed. Simplifying digital interfaces and focusing on relevant content can reduce unnecessary interference.

CONCLUSION

Cognitive interference is a significant factor affecting learning efficiency in secondary education. By disrupting memory processes

Correspondence to: Lukas Schneider, Department of Cognitive Science, Rheinberg University, Bonn, Germany, E-mail: lukas.schneider@rheinberg-cogsci.de

Received: 22-Sep-2025, Manuscript No. IJSCP-25-41487; **Editor assigned:** 24-Sep-2025, PreQC No. IJSCP-25-41487 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 08-Oct-2025, QC No. IJSCP-25-41487; **Revised:** 15-Oct-2025, Manuscript No. IJSCP-25-41487 (R); **Published:** 22-Oct-2025, DOI: 10.35248/2469-9837.25.12.479

Citation: Schneider L (2025). Cognitive Interference and Its Effects on Learning Efficiency in Secondary Education. Int J Sch Cogn Psycho.12:479.

and creating competition between pieces of information, it can hinder both acquisition and recall. Recognizing the sources and effects of interference allows educators and students to implement strategies that promote clearer, more organized learning. Through careful management of study practices and instructional design, it is possible to reduce the negative impact of interference and support more effective educational outcomes.