

# Cardiac Arrest, Resuscitation and Organ Donation: Joint Venture for Saving Lives One Way or Another. An Innovative and Ethically Sound Trail for Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest

Ortega-Deballon I\*

Canadian National Transplant Research Program, Montréal, Canada

\*Corresponding author: Ortega-Deballon I, Canadian National Transplant Research Program, Montréal, Canada, Tel: 5146926969; E-mail: [iviortega@gmail.com](mailto:iviortega@gmail.com)

Received date: June 15, 2015; Accepted date: August 08, 2015; Published date: August 12, 2015

Copyright: ©2015 Ortega-Deballon I. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Abstract

Determination of death, the exact moment that a person's death occurs, has been a constant challenge throughout human being history. What we already know is that death usually does not occur abruptly, at a specific time and for all parts of the body simultaneously. Human resistance to degradation by lack of oxygen varies depending on the type of cell and organ. It is possible, for example, for successful cornea transplants from deceased individuals as many as seven days after death. Actually, the absolute absence of any residual vital activity in the body after cessation of circulatory function, can only be confirmed once the putrefaction process is fully established and completely widespread throughout the corpse. It is not feasible logically, however, to wait until that point to declare death, due to safety and public health reasons.

## Introduction

Another obvious reason to of life of certain patients, thanks to organ donation and transplantation processes [1]. On the one hand, harvesting vital organs from living people, causing their death, is currently unacceptable worldwide [2] but, on the other hand, waiting too long after declaring death to begin the organ extraction could compromise the quality and quantity of organs retrieved and consequently, declare the death of an individual as soon as possible is the possibility of saving lives or improving the quality and outcomes in terms of long term graft and recipient survival.

Both theoretical and practical problems of determination of death in the context of organ donation are rooted in this kind of tug of war game between obtaining organs in optimum conditions without affecting the goal of providing quality care at the end-of-life stage for potential donors, still patients.

The laws of a large number of countries around the world support the determination of death of an individual in the clinical setting according to two alternative conditions [3]:

After declaring the irreversible cessation of cardiorespiratory functions (the so-called cardiac or circulatory death), or

After declaring the irreversible cessation of the brain function (the so-called neurologic or brain death)

However, according to the legal definition of death criteria in all these countries, it is not required for both of these conditions to occur simultaneously for the patient to be considered dead and, therefore, to be a potential organ donor. In other words, either criteria, when fulfilled separately, is sufficient for the patient to be declared legally dead and thus become a deceased donor. It is not necessary for both criteria to be met at the same time [4].

In this way, legally declared brain dead individuals can maintain circulatory function (heart-beating donors) and, supported by ventilation assistance, respiratory function can be artificially

maintained. In such cases brain function does not exist, and the patient is declared legally dead, but simultaneous loss of circulatory function does not occur. These so called heart-beating deceased donors can provide an important quantity of organs. In fact, the circulation of oxygenated blood throughout the body is precisely what preserves the organs in good condition, pending the authorization that must be given by the next-of-kin of the patients, unless their wills were registered before the organ removal begins.

The rest of the deceased donors are therefore individuals declared dead according to circulatory criteria, after considering that loss of pulse is irreversible. The so-called non heart-beating donors are not necessarily assessed by a neurologic exam demonstrating a total and irreversible loss of brain function because, as we said, the law does not consider it mandatory. To be fair, in France, a simple neurologic exam is mandatory according to the law [5]. In other countries, the absence of respiratory and circulatory function after resuscitation attempts are enough to judge the cardiac arrest as irreversible. Therefore, organ donors whose determination of death is certified according to circulatory criteria may pose the following basic physiological questions:

- When and why we can say that cardiac arrest is really irreversible? and
- What is the status of their cognitive functions, their brain function, along the process of preservation and retrieval of vital organs for transplantation?

## When may we consider that cardiac arrest is irreversible?

Most people who die are declared dead according to circulatory criteria after confirming the absence of pulse. Thanks to cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), certain patients suffering cardiac arrest are reversed from the episode, and if ensured adequate perfusion of the brain during the process, reducing the so called no

flow period and low flow period as much as possible, some individuals have a complete recovery with good quality of life. Unfortunately, most of the time, a return of spontaneous circulation does not occur, or is not followed by a complete neurologic recovery, and results in different disabilities [6,7].

In any case, it is clear that if resuscitation maneuvers are not performed and the function of the heart is not restarted, or if the intrinsic contractile function of the heart is not resumed either, this dying and hopeless person will be irreversibly dead in a short period of time.

But, how long exactly should we attempt to resuscitate a patient before deciding that efforts are futile? When can we be sure that nothing more can be done for this patient? When can we be sure that death is irreversible? When is 'enough' enough? Even for professionals dealing with resuscitation, these questions have no simple and evident answer [8]. International recommendations have agreed to set a standard time of 30 minutes of advanced cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Following such a standard period, if the patient does not recover signs of circulation, so effective pulse, efforts can be interrupted [6,7]. Then, a death is legally declared and the corpse can be conveyed to the morgue.

The best and most current and updated medical evidence encourages professionals from emergency medicine in general, and from prehospital emergency medical services in particular, to provide a case-by-case based approach for resuscitation to certain unexpected cardiac arrests [9]. Accordingly, the last international guidelines on Resuscitation [6,7] call upon ideal attempts that should be minimally interrupted, of high quality, and guided by the primary cause that originated cardiac arrest, until offering the patient specific treatments that can reverse or treat the process, when feasible. Different groups, in several countries, have obtained promising results in terms of survival with an excellent neurologic recovery (Cerebral Performance Category score, CPC 1-2) after sudden out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, following the implementation of programs for non-conventional CPR or non-conventional resuscitation procedures (NCRP) [10-15].

Different approaches of NCRP are currently active worldwide including procedures, techniques and treatments such as:

- On arrival at catheterization laboratory, coronary angiography and/or percutaneous coronary intervention are performed during ongoing resuscitation, when coronary disease is diagnosed or suspected as the underlying primary cause of cardiac arrest
- Life support with extracorporeal circulation (ECLS or ECMO) is provided by trained teams when refractory cardiogenic shock is identified, while the primary cause of the process is being resolved
- Thrombolytic treatment, during resuscitation maneuvers, when cardiac arrest is secondary to a cardiopulmonary thromboembolism
- Echocardiography performed under disrupted resuscitation may identify the trigger of cardiac arrest and physicians are able to focus on this reversible cause

### **Donation after Circulatory Determination of Death from the Prehospital Setting**

Mainly in Spain and France, but increasingly spreading to other countries and continents, there are Emergency Medical Services with portfolios including protocols or trials based on an early transport from the prehospital setting for patients who have not recovered from

cardiac arrest. After 30 minutes of standard resuscitation, transfer is begun to a hospital where an already implemented deceased organ donation program does exist. The transplant team is pre-alerted to the arrival of the potential donor. During transport, and supported by automated compressor devices and ventilators, organ preservation is initiated. From this moment, nothing more is attempted for restoring circulation nor protecting the brain function but, instead, for preserving organs to protect the interests of a future recipient. From this point it becomes the priority [1,5,9,16].

On arrival at the hospital, the organ preservation procedures already initiated during the transfer are interrupted for at least five minutes [5,16]. If the potential donor does not resume effective pulse spontaneously during this hands-off period, death is declared and certified and the individual large vessels are cannulated through femoral access. Then, the potential donor is connected to an extracorporeal machine (ECMO) that assures continued and more effective organ preservation, reducing the ischemic process. If the family does not refuse the donation option, the harvesting team immediately proceeds to the retrieval of organs [5,16].

According to some published series, around 6% of the patients transferred to the hospital as donors had return of spontaneous circulation [17], which obviously invalidated the donation option. At least one case reported a complete full recovery of a patient without any neurological sequelae [17]. Such cases, albeit exceptional, question the standard approach to resuscitation at least for some individuals, and suggest that certain interventions that are made solely for purposes of preservation of organs could be focused on saving lives and initiated as soon as possible, instead of the standard resuscitation in the field. Moreover, at least in some patients, the decision to initiate the transport under ongoing resuscitation, must be taken earlier in time, with a clear therapeutic end goal [18]. Of course, the option of these interventions depends on the quality of life that can be expected case-by-case, which is crucial to ensuring, as much as possible, any neurological damage. Furthermore, a validated predictive model [19] is the keypoint that underpins this approach. In fact, it allows to select from all patients in cardiac arrest those who would benefit from certain unconventional -but available and already used- resuscitation techniques, increasing the chances to reverse some sudden cardiac arrest considered irreversible until now [13,20]. At the same time, such an approach to considered refractory cardiac arrest is aligned with the goals of the most updated international guidelines on resuscitation, so would avoid existing conflicts of interest [1,9,20,21]. Such conflicts are difficult to manage by health professionals who are faced with both the families of patients and the concerns of society as a whole [1,9,16,20,21]. Joining both strategies [1,9,20,22,23] and subordinating the eligibility as donor to the futility or failure of unconventional resuscitation in a case-by-case based decision, we could increase survival with quality of life in certain patients who have been considered medically hopeless, and this in itself is a clear improvement. Moreover, even when certain individuals receiving these techniques and treatments would not have been saved, or if pulse was restored resulting in extensive neurological damage due to prolonged ischemia, the option for organ donation would still remain [15,22,23]. This option could be offered either after declaring death by neurological criteria, if that is the case, or after declaring death from circulatory criteria after considering the option of withdrawal of life support. Both options are currently being used worldwide.

In places where a case-by-case cause based approach to refractory cardiac arrest have already been implemented, both of the final goals

of the process have increased in quantitative and qualitative terms: firstly, there has been an increase in survival rates with good neurological recovery and, secondly, in non-survivors and survivors with extended neurologic damage there has been an increase in the number of potential deceased donors, where deceased organ donation programs were implemented [22-24].

Does a more efficient way to treat patients with refractory cardiac arrest exist, according with best evidence? Is there any fairer possible use of the means we have? By trying to save hopeless patients' lives, and when this is not possible, by increasing availability of organs for transplant, we will be providing near to excellent care to patients suffering refractory cardiac arrest in the field, and saving more lives one way or the other: Throughout high-quality resuscitation, and when really impossible despite of doing our best, throughout donation and transplantation after declaring death [25].

## References

- Rodríguez-Arias D, Ortega-Deballon I, Smith MJ, Youngner SJ (2013) Casting light and doubt on uncontrolled DCDD protocols. *Hastings Cent Rep* 43: 27-30.
- Robertson JA (1999) The dead donor rule. *Hastings Cent Rep* 29: 6-14.
- (1981) Guidelines for the determination of death. Report of the medical consultants on the diagnosis of death to the President's commission for the study of ethical problems in medicine and biochemical and behavioral research. *JAMA* 246: 2184-2186.
- Capron AM (2002) "The Bifurcated Legal Standard of Determining Death: Does It Work?". In: Youngner, Arnold, Schapiro (eds.) *The Definition of Death*.
- Antoine C, Brun F, Tenaillon A, Loty B (2008) [Organ procurement and transplantation from non-heart-beating donors]. *Nephrol Ther* 4: 5-14.
- Nolan JP, Soar J, Zideman DA, Biarent D, Bossaert LL, et al. (2010) European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation 2010 Section 1. Executive summary. *Resuscitation* 81: 1219-1276.
- Vanden Hoek TL, Morrison LJ, Shuster M, Donnino M, Sinz E, et al. (2010) Part 12: cardiac arrest in special situations: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation* 122: S829-861.
- Hornby K, Hornby L, Shemie SD (2010) A systematic review of autoresuscitation after cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med* 38: 1246-1253.
- Rodríguez-Arias D, Deballon IO (2012) Protocols for uncontrolled donation after circulatory death. *Lancet* 379: 1275-1276.
- Nagao K, Kikushima K, Watanabe K, Tachibana E, Tominaga Y, et al. (2010) Early induction of hypothermia during cardiac arrest improves neurological outcomes in patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest who undergo emergency cardiopulmonary bypass and percutaneous coronary intervention. *Circ J* 74: 77-85.
- Chen YS, Lin JW, Yu HY, Ko WJ, Jerng JS, et al. (2008) Cardiopulmonary resuscitation with assisted extracorporeal life support versus conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation in adults with in-hospital cardiac arrest: an observational study and propensity analysis. *Lancet* 372: 554-61.
- Lazzeri C, Bernardo P, Sori A, Innocenti L, Stefano P, et al. (2013) Venous-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for refractory cardiac arrest: a clinical challenge. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care* 2: 118-126.
- Masseti M, Tasle M, Le Page O, Deredec R, Babatasi G, et al. (2005) Back from irreversibility: extracorporeal life support for prolonged cardiac arrest. *Ann Thorac Surg* 79: 178-183.
- Nichol G, Karmy-Jones R, Salerno C, Cantore L, Becker L (2006) Systematic review of percutaneous cardiopulmonary bypass for cardiac arrest or cardiogenic shock states. *Resuscitation* 70: 381-394.
- Belohlavek J, Kucera K, Jarkovsky J, Franek O, Pokorna M, et al. (2012) Hyperinvasive approach to out-of-hospital cardiac arrest using mechanical chest compression device, prehospital intraarrest cooling, extracorporeal life support and early invasive assessment compared to standard of care. A randomized parallel groups comparative study proposal. "Prague OHCA study". *Journal of Translational Medicine* 10: 163
- Matesanz R, Coll Torres E, Dominguez-Gil Gonzalez B, Perojo Vega L. (2012) [Donación en asistolia en España: situación actual y recomendaciones]. *ONT Madrid*.
- Mateos-Rodríguez A, Pardillos-Ferrer L, Navalpotro-Pascual JM, Barba-Alonso C, Martín-Maldonado ME, et al. (2010) Kidney transplant function using organs from non-heart-beating donors maintained by mechanical chest compressions. *Resuscitation* 81: 904-907.
- Bosson N, Kaji AH, Koenig W, Rashi P, Tadeo R, et al. (2014) Re-examining outcomes after unsuccessful out-of-hospital resuscitation in the era of field termination of resuscitation guidelines and regionalized post-resuscitation care. *Resuscitation* 85: 915-919.
- Conseil français de réanimation cardiopulmonaire, Société française d'anesthésie et de réanimation, Société française de cardiologie, Société française de chirurgie thoracique et cardiovasculaire, Société française de médecine d'urgence, et al. (2009) Guidelines for indications for the use of extracorporeal life support in refractory cardiac arrest. French Ministry of Health. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim* 28: 182-190.
- Bracco D, Noiseux N, Hemmerling TM (2007) The thin line between life and death. *Intensive Care Med* 33: 751-754.
- Simon JR, Schears RM, Padela AI (2014) Donation after cardiac death and the emergency department: ethical issues. *Acad Emerg Med* 21: 79-86.
- Fagnoul D, Taccone FS, Belhaj A, Rondelet B, Argacha JF, et al. (2013) Extracorporeal life support associated with hypothermia and normoxemia in refractory cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 84: 1519-1524.
- Maj G, De Bonis M, Pieri M, Melisurgo G, Pappalardo F (2012) Extracorporeal life support for refractory cardiac arrest: what is a good outcome? *Intensive Care Med* 38: 2083-2085.
- Orioles A, Morrison WE, Rossano JW, Shore PM, Hasz RD, et al. (2013) An under-recognized benefit of cardiopulmonary resuscitation: organ transplantation. *Crit Care Med* 41: 2794-2799.
- Ortega-Deballon I, De la Plaza-Horche E (2014) Protocols for uncontrolled donation after circulatory death: a comprehensive approach to refractory cardiac arrest. *Acad Emerg Med* 21: 712-713.