

Application of the Whoqol-Bref Instrument in Oncological Patients Rehabilitated with Bucomaxylofacial Prostheses

Daniella Centurion*

Department of Orthopaedics, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Brazil

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a multifactorial chronic disease, resulting from the interaction of risk factors that affect the processes of control of cell proliferation and growth [1]. The incidence of oral cavity cancer in Brazil is one of the highest in the world, being the fifth most incident in men and the seventh in women [2]. The changes affect the main stomatognathic functions (such as breathing and swallowing) and body image [3].

Among the therapeutic methods available, surgical resections, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy [4] stand out, which directly affect patients' quality of life [5]. In surgical treatments, in which part of the maxilla, mandible, or tongue is removed, the maxillofacial prosthesis [6] is used as a rehabilitation treatment, which directly impacts your physical and psychological recovery [5,7,8]. The instruments used to assess the quality of life, such as WHOQOL-bref, can cover the entire disease process, from its discovery to the end of treatment [9,10].

The present study intends to relate the impact on the quality of life, using the instrument applied to patients diagnosed with oral cavity cancer, submitted to oral cavity resections, and rehabilitated with a maxillofacial prosthesis.

METHODOLOGY

This is a retrospective observational study, in partnership with the Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo [PUC-SP] and the Fundação Oncocentro de São Paulo [FOSP]. 189 medical records of patients over 18 years old, of both sexes, attended at the FOSP Rehabilitation Department, diagnosed with oral cavity cancer, were part of this study. This research was approved under CAEE: 17440819.9.0000.5482. The WHOQOL-bref instrument was applied in two stages, and for this study, we considered the moment after the fitting. The variables considered were: Sociodemographic, clinical data, and data related to the analyzed instrument rehabilitated with a maxillofacial prosthesis, implementing activities that consider issues related to the quality of life [WHOQOL-bref]. The statistical analysis considered the numerical frequency and percentage of the findings and for the

relationship between the variables, non-parametric tests were used ($p < 0.05$).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results showed that there is a predominance of males [64%], with an average age of 60 years, as found in the literature [11-15] the diagnosis is usually made late and shows the need for improvements in health promotion and disease prevention. Regarding habits, 127 [70.2%] denied a current smoking history and 151 [83.4%] of alcoholism [13,14,16,17]. The most indicated prosthetic rehabilitation was the maxillary prostheses combined with the mandibular prostheses. This makes possible the reinsertion of this individual in social life, improving their quality of life [18,19].

As for the Domains, the quality compromise was shown in: Physical for those who consume medication and refer to the presence of four or more symptoms [20-23]; Psychological, for the female sex, which denotes the fragility of this population during the treatment [20,24,25] and Environment, for the female sex and patients under 60 years old, who, as described in the literature [26,27] shows the need to implement a primary prevention program for young patients.

The results of this research may assist in the planning of actions among health professionals, to be developed with patients diagnosed with oral cavity cancer, rehabilitated with a maxillofacial prosthesis, implementing activities that consider issues related to the quality of life [7].

CONCLUSION

The same surgery that mutilates and deforms can be concurrently seen as the best solution for the disease and the consequent recovery of quality of life. Patients seem to attribute new meanings to this therapy, even seeing a positive perception of their quality of life.

Correspondence to: Daniella Centurion, Department of Orthopaedics, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Brazil, E-mail: danispaca@yahoo.com.br

Received: January 04, 2021; **Accepted:** January 18, 2021; **Published:** January 25, 2021

Citation: Centurion D (2021) Application of the Whoqol-Bref Instrument in Oncological Patients Rehabilitated with Bucomaxylofacial Prostheses. Int J Phys Med Rehabil. 9: 599.

Copyright: © 2021 Centurion D. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

REFERENCES

1. Almeida MJR, Oliveira MC. Avaliação da qualidade de vida de pacientes diagnosticados com câncer de boca, faringe e laringe atendidos na unidade de alta complexidade em oncologia (UNACON) e MULTICLIN de Feira de Santana-BA. Anais Seminário de Iniciação Científica. 2019;22(1):110-113.
2. INCA. Incidência de Câncer no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: Estimativa 2018 Instituto Nacional do Câncer; 2018.
3. Genden EM. Comparison of functional and quality-of-life outcomes in patients with and without palatomaxillary reconstruction: A preliminary report. Arché Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2003;129(7):775-780.
4. Petito G, Carneiro AS, Santos SHR, Silva AMTC, Alencar RC, Gontijo AP, et al. Papilomavírus humano (HPV) em carcinomas de cavidade oral e orofaringe na região central do Brasil. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2017;83(1):38-44.
5. Lopes AB. Fatores modificadores da qualidade de vida em pacientes oncológicos em tratamento quimioterápico. Revista Médica de Minas Gerais. 2016;26(1):41-46.
6. Contis SM, Pérez IM. Necesidad de próteses bucomaxillofacial en pacientes atendidos en la consulta de somatoprótesis. Rev Cuba Medi Mili. 2006;35(3):0-3.
7. Araújo SSC, Padilha DMP, Baldissarroto J. Avaliação da condição de saúde bucal e da qualidade de vida de pacientes com câncer de cabeça e pescoço atendidos em um hospital de Porto Alegre. Rev Bras de Cancerologia. 2009;55(9):129-138.
8. Aguiar L. Obturador palatino: Confecção de uma prótese não convencional-Relato de caso. Revista da Faculdade de Odontologia de Passo Fundo, Passo Fundo. 2013;18(1):125-129.
9. Zandonai AP, Cardozo FMC, Nieto ING, Sawada NO. Qualidade de vida nos pacientes oncológicos: revisão integrativa da literatura latino-americana. Rev Eletrônica Enferm. 2010;12(3):554-561.
10. Whoqol Group. Development of the World Health Organization WHOQOL-bref quality of life assessment. Psychol Med. 1998;28(3):551-558.
11. Santos LCO, Canguçu MC, Batista OM. Cáncer bucal: Amostra populacional do estado de Alagoas em hospital de referência. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2009;75(4): 524-529.
12. Lambert R, Sauvaget C, Canelas MC, Sankaranarayanan R. Epidemiology of cancer from the oral cavity and oropharynx. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2011;75(4):633-641.
13. Freire JCP, Oliveira CR, Câmara AO, Valença AMG, Santiago BM. Incidência de neoplasias malignas orais nas regiões brasileiras e capitais do Nordeste. Arch Health Invest. 2017;6(11):535-539.
14. Silva NCL, Barros ZA, Soares JN, Ferreira JB. Toque Terapêutico e Qualidade de Vida em Pacientes Oncológicos. Id on Line Rev Mult Psic. 2018;12(4):784-792.
15. Silva GC, Silva NC, Silva CC, Althoff JL, Dal-Toé KS. Perfil epidemiológico de pacientes com câncer de cabeça e pescoço em um hospital referência da região sul de Santa Catarina. Arquivos Catarinenses de Medicina. 2020;49(1):66-77.
16. Malta DC, Oliveira TP, VieiraLiz ML, Almeida L, Szwarcwald CL. Uso e exposição à fumaça do tabaco no Brasil: Resultados da Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde. Epidemiologia e Serviços de Saúde. 2015;24(2):239-248.
17. Brasil. Decreto nº 8.262, de 31 de maio de 2014. Altera o Decreto nº 2.018, de 1º de outubro de 1996, que regulamenta a Lei nº 9.294, de 15 de julho de 1996. Diário Oficial da República Federativa do Brasil, Brasília (DF), 2014;2; Seção 1:1.
18. Kusterer LEFL, Paraguassú GM, Silva VSM, Silva VA. Reabilitação com obturador maxilar após cirurgia oncológica: Relato de casos. Revista de Cirurgia e Traumatologia Buco-maxilo-facial. 2012;12(4):9-16.
19. Rodrigues RG. Reabilitação com prótese bucomaxilofacial: revisão de literatura. Brazilian J Otorhinolarygo. 2019;5(1): 20-27.
20. Costa NP. Avaliação do dano corporal no tratamento do cancro da cavidade oral e estruturas anexas. Tese de doutorado, Portugal. 2017.
21. Rafhi-Ferreira RE, Pires MLN, Soares MRZ. Sono, qualidade de vida e depressão em mulheres no pós-tratamento de câncer de mama. Psicol. Reflex Crit. Porto Alegre. 2012;25(3):506-513.
22. Galbiatti ALS. Head and neck cancer: causes, prevention and treatment. Brazilian J Otorhinolaryngol. 2013;79(2): 239-247.
23. Binotto M, Schwartzmann G. Qualidade de Vida Relacionada à Saúde de Pacientes com Câncer de Mama: Revisão Integrativa da Literatura. Revista Brasileira de Cancerologia. 2020;66(1):24-30.
24. Chaxim TS, Zacharias DG. Aspectos psicológicos paciente oncológico e seu sistema familiar. Anais do Salão de Ensino e de Extensão. 2016;124.
25. Xavier EDCL. Diagnósticos de Enfermagem em cuidados paliativos oncológicos segundo diagrama de abordagem multidimensional. Enfermagem em Foco. 2019;10(3):152-157.
26. Teixeira AKM. Carcinoma espinocelular da cavidade bucal: um estudo epidemiológico na Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Fortaleza. Rev bras cancerol. 2019;55(3):229-236.
27. Malta DC. Uso e exposição à fumaça do tabaco no Brasil: Resultados da Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde. Epidemiologia e Serviços de Saúde. 2015;24:239-248.