

Adding Sufentanil to Ropivacaine in Pediatric Brachial Plexus Block Fails to Improve Analgesia: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Yang Nan, Jia Lou, Li-Qiong Chen, Chun-Chun Zhu, Xing-Wang Li, Jun Li*

Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital and Yuying Children's Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

ABSTRACT

Background: Most studies have used sufentanil and ropivacaine for intrathecal anesthesia in adults or children, but few studies have used sufentanil and ropivacaine for peripheral nerve blocks, especially in children. The brachial plexus block is one of the most commonly used nerve block methods in children. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate whether 0.1 μ g/kg sufentanil combined with 0.25% ropivacaine can improve and prolong analgesia in children compared with ropivacaine alone.

Method: Eighty children, aged 5-10 years, undergoing upper limb surgery were randomly divided into two groups: the RS group (0.25% ropivacaine combined with 0.1 μ g/kg sufentanil) and the R group (0.25% ropivacaine alone). The dosage of 0.25% ropivacaine administered to each group was 0.5 ml/kg. After general anesthesia, all children underwent ultrasound-guided brachial plexus block, which was performed by the same experienced anesthetist. The primary outcome measures were the Face, Legs, Activity, and Cry, Consolability Scale (FLACC) score at 2, 4, and 6 h after surgery and the duration of analgesia in each group. Secondary outcome measures were the changes in vital signs during surgery in each group, incidence of postoperative agitation, postoperative awake time, and duration of stay in the Post-Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU).

Results: The FLACC scores at 2, 4, and 6 hours after surgery and the duration of analgesia showed no statistically significant differences. There were no statistically significant differences in the changes in the vital signs during surgery between the groups. The incidence of postoperative agitation was significantly lower in the RS group than that in the R group (20% *vs.* 45%, P<0.05). A comparison of the postoperative awake time and duration of stay in the PACU showed no significant differences.

Conclusion: Compared with 0.25% ropivacaine alone, 0.1 µg/kg sufentanil combined with 0.25% ropivacaine for pediatric brachial plexus block did not improve analgesia or prolong analgesia, but it reduced postoperative agitation in children. The trial was registered with the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (number: ChiCTR2000032071). **Keywords :** Sufentanil; Brachial plexus; Nerve block; Pediatrics

ABBREVIATIONS

End-tidal Carbon Dioxide Partial Pressure.

ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists; FLACC: Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, Consolability Scale; PACU: Post-Anesthesia Care Unit; MHz: Megahertz; MAC: Minimum Alveolar Concentration; HR: Heart Rate; MAP: Mean Arterial Pressure SpO₂;: Pulse Oxygen Saturation; RR: Respiratory Rate; PETCO₂

INTRODUCTION

With the development of ultrasound technology, the use of peripheral nerve blocks has become more common in thepediatric population.Even though ultrasound guidance could

Correspondence to: Jun Li, Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital and Yuying Children's Hospital , Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China, E-mail: llijunOO68@163.com

Received: 03-Jun-2022, Manuscript No. JACR-22-18712; Editor assigned: 06-Jun-2022, PreQC No. JACR-22-18712 (PQ); Reviewed: 22-Jun-2022, QC No. JACR-22-18712; Revised: 28-Jun-2022, Manuscript No. JACR-22-18712 (R); Published: 05-Jul-2022, DOI: 10.35248/2155-6148.22.13.1074

Citation: Nan Y, Lou J, Chen LQ, Zhu CC, Lil XW, Lil J (2022) Adding Sufentanil to Ropivacaine in Pediatric Brachial Plexus Block Fails to Improve Analgesia: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Anesth Clin Res. 13:1074

Copyright: © 2022 Nan Y, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

further reduce the risk of nerve injury, accidental entry into blood vessels, bleeding, and other factors, the concentration or dosage of local anesthetic drugs should be carefully selected because of the small diameter of nerve fibers, thin nerves, and short distance between adjacent Ranvier's nodes in children [1,2].

Therefore, prolonging the analgesic duration of nerve blocks has been widely studied. In clinical practice, the analgesic effect is often prolonged by continuous administration through peripheral nerve catheterization or by adding other drugs to local anesthetics. Due to difficulties with catheter care in the pediatric population with features such as poor cooperation and compliance and frequent adverse effects of peripheral nerve catheterization, the clinical use of catheterization is limited [3,4]. Previous studies have shown that dexamethasone or dexmedetomidine combined with local anesthetics can prolong analgesia, but the mechanism of action remains unclear [5,6].

With the discovery of peripheral opioid receptors, a large number of studies have shown that local anesthetics combined with opioids could improve the analgesic effect of regional blocks, prolong the duration of analgesia, and reduce the use of local anesthetics [7-9]. However, most of these studies used sufentanil and ropivacaine for intrathecal anesthesia in adults or children [10-12], and few studies have used sufentanil and ropivacaine for peripheral nerve blocks, especially in children. Brachial plexus block is one of the most commonly used nerveblock methods in children and is mainly used in upper-limb surgery by injecting local anesthesia around the brachial plexus [13]. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate whether 0.1 μ g/kg sufentanil combined with 0.25% ropivacaine can improve and prolong analgesia in children compared with ropivacaine alone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After obtaining approval from our Institutional Ethics Committee, the parents of each child read and signed an informed consent form before enrolment in the study. We studied 80 children, American Society of Anesthesiologists

(ASA) physical status I, aged 5-10 years who were undergoing unilateral internal fixation for upper-limb fractures. Exclusion criteria were bilateral upper-limb surgery, history of allergy to local anesthetics, neuromuscular disease, and preoperative history of upper respiratory tract infection, coagulopathy, and communication difficulties. All children were randomly divided into two groups: the RS group (0.25% ropivacaine combined with 0.1 µg/kg sufentanil) and the R group (0.25% ropivacaine alone). The dosage of 0.25% ropivacaine administered to each group was 0.5 ml/kg. A randomization protocol was created by a specific investigator using random number generator software. Information about the groups to which the children were randomized was kept in prepared non-transparent envelopes. All patients, Post-Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU) nurses, and postoperative follow-up personnel were blinded to the group allocation. The patients were not administered premedication.

They were monitored using electrocardiography and pulse oximetry, and their blood pressure was measured noninvasively after arriving at the operating room. After an intravenous infusion of saline 0.9% was established, anesthesia was induced with propofol 2 mg/kg. When the patient lost consciousness, 6% sevoflurane was inhaled by mask, and the oxygen flow was adjusted to 5 L/min. After loss of eyelash reflex and jaw relaxation, a laryngeal mask was placed and fixed, preserving the patient's spontaneous breathing. Anesthesia was maintained using 3% sevoflurane. All patients underwent ultrasound-guided intermuscular groove brachial plexus block, which was performed by the same experienced anesthetist. A 5-10 MHz line-type ultrasound probe was selected to discern the target nerves and surrounding anatomy. The probe was placed above the clavicle, and the structures of the anterior medial scalenus and beaded brachial plexus were obtained on ultrasound images. A 21G puncture needle was inserted into the plane along the long axis of the probe. The drug was administered when the tip of the needle was close to the brachial plexus. No other opioids were used during the surgery. When the patient's plaster was fixed at the end of the procedure, sevoflurane was stopped and inhaled with oxygen at 5 L/min, and the laryngeal mask was removed. The patient was admitted to the PACU when the Minimum Alveolar Concentration (MAC) decreased to 0.6. During surgery, if the Heart Rate (HR) or Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) increased by more than 20% of the baseline value, sevoflurane concentration could be increased to deepen anesthesia. Atropine 0.01 mg/kg or ephedrine 0.3 mg/kg was administered if the reduction of HR or MAP was greater than 20% of the baseline value. Postoperative agitation was assessed using the Ramsay Scale [14]. Sedation with propofol (1 mg/kg) and agitation occurred in the PACU. Postoperative pain was measured using the Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, Consolability scale (FLACC) score [15]. (Each item was scored from 0 to 2 points, with a total score of 10 points. 0, relatively comfortable; 1-3, mild discomfort; 4-6, moderate pain; 7-10, severe pain). If the score was greater than 4, treatment with 0.5 mg/kg ketorolac tromethamine was given.

The primary outcome measures were the FLACC scores at 2, 4, and 6 h after surgery and the duration of analgesia in each group. Secondary outcome measures were the changes in vital signs during surgery in each group, incidence of postoperative agitation, postoperative awake time, and duration of stay in the PACU. All adverse events were recorded. The sample size was calculated based on our preliminary experiment, which enrolled 10 patients in each group. The duration of analgesia was 341.7 ± 53.5 for the RS group and 307.4 ± 50.6 for the R group. Using the standard sample size calculation formula to achieve a power of 0.8 at α =0.05, there should be at least 36 patients included in each group to detect a significant difference. We planned to enroll 40 patients per study group to account for patient dropouts or missing data. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 18.0. Measurement data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, and intergroup comparisons were used for group t-test. Count data were expressed as percentages, and the chi-square test was used for the comparison between groups. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Eighty children were included in this study, and each group comprised 40 children. There were no between the two groups in terms of age, weight, sex, or duration of operation (Table 1).

Table 1: Patient demographics and perioperative data.

| | DO | n | D 1 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| | RS | R | P-value |
| | Group (n=40) | Group (n=40) | |
| Age (years) | 7.5 ± 1.2 | 7.5 ± 1.3 | 1 |
| Weight (kg) | 27.8 ± 5.1 | 25.6 ± 5.5 | 0.07 |
| Sex (male/ female) | 28/12 | 26/14 | 0.63 |
| Duration of operation (min) | 39.3 ± 8.1 | 40.5 ± 6.1 | 0.46 |

The effects of brachial plexus block in all children were perfect, and there was no need to increase the sevoflurane concentration during the operation. There were no statistically significant differences in MAP, HR, pulse oxygen saturation Point (SpO₂), Respiratory Rate (RR), end-tidal carbon dioxide partial pressure (PETCO₂), tidal volume, or end-expiratory sevoflurane and concentrations between the two groups after entering the operating room, anesthesia induction, or at the beginning of the operation (skin incision) (Tables 2-4).

 Table 2: Comparison of vital signs after entering the operating room.

| | RS | R | |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| | Group (n=40) | Group (n=40) | P-value |
| RR (/min) | 17.9 ± 7.2 | 20.0 ± 5.3 | 0.14 |
| MAP (mmHg) | 83.7 ± 8.2 | 80.7 ± 7.7 | 0.1 |
| HR (/min) | 95.9 ± 13.9 | 94.2 ± 16.1 | 0.61 |
| SpO ₂ (%) | 99.8 ± 0.4 | 99.5 ± 0.9 | 0.06 |

Table 3: Comparison of vital signs after anesthesia induction.

| | RS | R | |
|--|--------------|--------------|---------|
| | Group (n=40) | Group (n=40) | P-value |
| RR (/min) | 26.1 ± 4.5 | 27.6 ± 5.9 | 0.2 |
| Tidal Volume | 111.8 ± 22.0 | 109.0 ± 24.8 | 0.59 |
| End-expiratory sevoflurane concentrations (%) | 2.3 ± 0.3 | 2.4 ± 0.3 | 0.14 |
| PETCO ₂ (mmHg) | 57.6 ± 6.5 | 55.1 ± 6.0 | 0.08 |
| 2 | 57.6 ± 6.5 | 55.1 ± 6.0 | 0.08 |

| MAP (mmHg) | 53.6 ± 6.2 | 55.9 ± 7.0 | 0.12 |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|------|
| HR (/min) | 95.8 ± 13.1 | 101.1 ± 12.0 | 0.06 |
| SpO ₂ (%) | 99.5 ± 0.2 | 99.6 ± 0.4 | 0.16 |

 Table 4: Comparison of vital signs at the beginning of the operation.

| | RS Group (n=40) | R Group (n=40) | P-value |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| RR (/min) | 36.1 ± 6.3 | 34.5 ± 8.7 | 0.35 |
| Tidal volume | 100.2 ± 20.4 | 94.2 ± 20.6 | 0.19 |
| End-expiratory sevoflurane concentrations (%) | 2.6 ± 0.4 | 2.7 ± 0.3 | 0.21 |
| PETCO ₂ (mmHg) | 46.2 ± 5.5 | 48.2 ± 6.0 | 0.12 |
| MAP (mmHg) | 58.6 ± 8.5 | 61.8 ± 7.6 | 0.08 |
| HR (/min) | 99.2 ± 11.4 | 103.2 ± 13.6 | 0.16 |
| SpO ₂ (%) | 99.6 ± 0.4 | 99.5 ± 0.6 | 0.38 |
| | | | |

Comparison of the postoperative awake time, duration of stay in the PACU, and duration of analgesia showed no significant differences (Table 5).

| Table 5: | Comparison | of postoperative | conditions. |
|----------|------------|------------------|-------------|
|----------|------------|------------------|-------------|

| | Group (n=40) | R Group (n=40) | P-value |
|--|--------------|-------------------|---------|
| Postoperative awake Time (min) | 23.2 ± 2.2 | 23.2 ± 2.1 | 1 |
| The duration of stay in PACU (min) | 30.1 ± 4.0 | 28.8 ± 3.6 | 0.13 |
| Analgesia duration (min) | 331.4 ± 51.1 | 326.9 ± 56.7 | 0.71 |

The FLACC scores at 2, 4, and 6 hours after surgery also showed no statistically significant differences (Table 6).

 Table 6: Comparison of postoperative FLACC scores.

| | RS Group (n=40) | R Group (n=40) | P value |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Postoperative 2 h | 0.3 ± 0.1 | 0.3 ± 0.1 | 1 |

Nan Y, et al.

| Postoperative h | 4 0.8 ± 0.2 | 0.9 ± 0.3 | 0.08 |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|------|
| Postoperative h | 6 2.2 ± 1.0 | 2.6 ± 1.3 | 0.13 |

The incidence of postoperative agitation was significantly lower in the RS group than that in the R group (20% vs. 45%, P<0.05). No adverse reactions such as respiratory depression, nausea, or vomiting occurred in either group.

DISCUSSION

Accumulating evidence suggests that the antinociceptive mechanism of peripherally applied opioids is mediated by the activation of opioid receptors on peripheral sensory neurons [16,17]. Peripheral opioid receptors are synthesized in the dorsal root ganglion and transported intraaxonally to peripheral sensory nerve endings [18]. In relation to tissue damage and initiation of inflammation, opioid receptors on peripheral sensory neurons are up regulated, including G-protein coupling signaling, and recycling, resulting in the inhibition of neuronal excitability and analgesia [8,18-22]. Studies of clinical models of inflammatory pain have indicated an analgesic effect of peripherally applied opioids, but the acute inflammatory response caused by surgery may not be sufficient for timely up regulation of peripheral opioid receptors [23]. Randomized controlled trials of peripheral opioids in intraoperative regional anesthesia or postoperative analgesia were systematically reviewed by Picard et al. in 1997, and the authors concluded that there was no clinically relevant peripheral analgesic effect of opioids in acute pain [24].

In our study, there were no significant differences in respiration, circulation, or end-expiratory sevoflurane concentrations between the two groups before and after surgery, indicating that the anesthesia effect of the two groups was similar and the effect of brachial plexus block was perfect. There were no significant differences in postoperative analgesic time or FLACC score between the two groups, indicating that 0.1 μ g/kg sufentanil combined with 0.25% ropivacaine for pediatric brachial plexus block did not prolong the analgesic time or improve the analgesic effect. The difference between the two groups in the incidence of postoperative agitation was statistically significant. The difference shows that 0.1 μ g/kg sufentanil was beneficial in reducing the occurrence of agitation during the awakening period of children, which is similar to the results of previous studies [25].

Sufentanil was used in this study because it is a common opioid widely used in regional blocks owing to its high lipid solubility [26]. However, current clinical studies on peripheral nerve block with sufentanil mainly focus on adults and are relatively rare in children [9,10,27,28]. There was no report on the dosage of sufentanil for brachial plexus block in children, and it had been reported in adult studies that the dosage of 0.2 μ g/kg could prolong the analgesia time [29]. Therefore, considering the physiological characteristics of children and our previous

experience with an esthesia, the dosage of sufentanil was selected as 0.1 $\mu g/kg$ in this study.

The commonly used concentration of ropivacaine in children with peripheral nerve block is the $0.2\% \sim 0.3\%$. Bosenberg et al. believed that 0.2% ropivacaine could achieve analgesia, whereas 0.3% ropivacaine would lead to a higher incidence of motor nerve block in children [30]. To meet the need for postoperative analgesia and to reduce motor nerve block, 0.25% ropivacaine was selected for peripheral nerve block in this study.

The present study had the following limitations. First, the method of postoperative pain assessment in children was single and could not evaluate the pain situation comprehensively. The two groups of children included both pre and post-school years, and the differences in cognitive levels were large. Only FLACC scores were selected to evaluate the children's postoperative pain, possibly reducing the assessment accuracy [31]. Second, the children were all treated with plaster immobilization postoperatively; therefore, they were only evaluated for analgesic efficacy, and motor nerve blocks were not evaluated in each group. Third, the peripheral effects of sufentanil could not be accurately assessed, because no comparison was made with the same dosage of sufentanil administered intravenously. Finally, the small sample size of this study could not obtain positive results, which requires further verification with a larger sample size.

CONCLUSION

Compared with 0.25% ropivacaine alone, 0.1 μ g/kg sufentanil combined with 0.25% ropivacaine for pediatric brachial plexus block did not improve analgesia or prolong analgesia, but it reduced postoperative agitation in children. Future research work can continue to add other opioids to local anesthetics to observe the clinical effects of peripheral nerve block, and better research can provide mechanisms for perineural opioid activity by studying basic opioid receptors.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data used to support the findings of this study have been included in this article.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank our colleagues at the Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital, and Yuying Children's Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University in Wenzhou, China.

FUNDING STATEMENT

No funding was obtained for this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YN and JL conducted the study and wrote the manuscript. LQC and CCZ helped with patient recruitment, data collection, and data analysis. XWL conducted the study and helped analyze the

data. JL helped design the study and was a major contributor to writing the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital and Yuying Children's Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (Zhejiang, China) (Ref:2017-54), based on the Declaration of Helsinki. The parents of each child read and signed an informed consent form before enrolment in the study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the contents of this article.

REFERENCES

- Walker BJ, Long JB, Sathyamoorthy M, Birstler J, Wolf C, Suresh S, et al. Complications in pediatric regional anesthesia: An analysis of more than 100,000 blocks from the pediatric regional anesthesia network. Anesthesiology. 2018;129(4): 721-732.
- Ling C, Liu XQ, Li YQ, Wen XJ, Hu XD, Yang K. Ultrasoundguided fascia iliaca compartment block combined with general anesthesia for amputation in an acute myocardial infarction patient after percutaneous coronary intervention: A case report. World J Clin Cases. 2019; 7(17): 2567-2572.
- Visoiu M, Joy LN, Grudziak JS, Chelly JE. The effectiveness of ambulatory continuous peripheral nerve blocks for postoperative pain management in children and adolescents. Paediatr Anaesth. 2014;24(11): 1141-8.
- Walker BJ, Long JB, de Oliveira GS. Peripheral nerve catheters in children: An analysis of safety and practice patterns from the pediatric regional anesthesia network (pran). Br J Anaesth. 2015. 115(3): 457-62.
- Kirkham KR, Jacot-Guillarmod A, Albrecht E. Optimal dose of perineural dexamethasone to prolong analgesia after brachial plexus blockade: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Anesth Analg. 2018; 126(1): 270-279.
- Vorobeichik L, Brull R, Abdallah FW. Evidence basis for using perineural dexmedetomidine to enhance the quality of brachial plexus nerve blocks: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Br J Anaesth. 2017; 118(2): 167-181.
- Martínez V, Abalo R. Peripherally acting opioid analgesics and peripherally-induced analgesia. Behav Pharmacol. 2020; 31(2): 136-158.
- Stein C, Lang LJ. Peripheral mechanisms of opioid analgesia. Curr Opin Pharmacol. 2009; 9(1): 3-8.
- 9. Waite LJ. The demographic faces of the elderly. Popul Dev Rev. 2004; 30(1): 3-16.
- Etzioni DA, Liu JH, Maggard MA, Ko CY. The aging population and its impact on the surgery workforce. Ann Surg. 2003; 238(2): 170-177.
- 11. Prince MJ, Wu F, Guo Y, Robledo LMG, Yusuf S. The burden of disease in older people and implications for health policy and practice. Lancet. 2015; 385(9967): 549-562.
- Ehsani R, Djalali Motlagh S, Zaman B, Sehat Kashani S, Ghodraty MR. Effect of general versus spinal anesthesia on postoperative delirium and early cognitive dysfunction in elderly patients. Anesth Pain Med. 2020; 10(4): 101810-101815.

OPEN OACCESS Freely available online

- 13. Lee JK, Park JH, Hyun SJ, Hodel D, Hausmann ON. Regional anesthesia for lumbar spine surgery: Can it be a standard in the future? Neurospine. 2021; 18(4): 733-740.
- Monk TG, Weldon BC, Garvan CW. Predictors of cognitive dysfunction after major noncardiac surgery. Anesthesiology. 2008; 108(1): 18-30.
- 15. Lin X, Chen Y, Zhang P, Chen G, Zhou Y, Yu X. The potential mechanism of postoperative cognitive dysfunction in older people. Exp Gerontol. 2020; 130(5): 110789-110791.
- Ehsani R, Djalali Motlagh S, Zaman B, Sehat Kashani S, Ghodraty MR. Effect of general versus spinal anesthesia on postoperative delirium and early cognitive dysfunction in elderly patients. Anesth Pain Med. 2020; 10(4): e101815.
- Lessing NL, Edwards CC, Brown CH. Spinal anesthesia in elderly patients undergoing lumbar spine surgery. Orthopedics. 2017; 40(2): 317-e322.
- Yilmaz C, Buyrukcu SO, Cansever T, Gulsen S, Altinors N. Lumbar microdiscectomy with spinal anesthesia: Comparison of prone and knee-chest positions in means of hemodynamic and respiratory function. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2010; 35(11): 1176-1184.
- Breton JM, Ludwig CG, Yang MJ, Kryzanski JT, Nail JT. Spinal anesthesia in contemporary and complex lumbar spine surgery: Experience with 343 cases. J Neurosurg Spine. 2021; 36(4): 534-541. Published 2021 Nov 5.
- Herkowitz HN, Kurz LT. Degenerative lumbar spondylolisthesis with spinal stenosis. A prospective study comparing decompression with decompression and intertransverse process arthrodesis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1991; 73(6): 802-808.
- Ghogawala Z, Benzel EC, Amin-Hanjani S. Prospective outcomes evaluation after decompression with or without instrumented fusion for lumbar stenosis and degenerative Grade I spondylolisthesis. J Neurosurg Spine. 2004; 1(3): 267-272.
- Costa F, Ortolina A, Tomei M, Cardia A, Zekay E. Instrumented fusion surgery in elderly patients (over 75 years old): Clinical and radiological results in a series of 53 patients. Eur Spine J. 2013; 22: 910-913.
- Wang AY, Olmos M, Ahsan T, Riesenburger RI. Safety and feasibility of spinal anesthesia during simple and complex lumbar spine surgery in the extreme elderly (≥ 80 years of age. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2022; 219(5): 107311-107316.
- Brown CH, LaFlam A, Max L, Riley MD, Walston JD, Cohen DB, et al. Delirium after spine surgery in older adults: Incidence, risk factors, and outcomes. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2016; 64(10): 2101-2108.
- 25. Borozdina A, Qeva E, Cinicola M, Bilotta F. Perioperative cognitive evaluation. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2018; 31(6): 756-761.
- Wang AY, Ahsan T, Kosarchuk JJ, Liu P, Riesenburger RI, Kryzanski J, et al. Assessing the environmental carbon footprint of spinal versus general anesthesia in single-level transforaminal lumbar interbody fusions. World Neurosurg. 2022; 163(3): 199-206
- 27. Agarwal P, Pierce J, Welch WC. Cost analysis of spinal versus general anesthesia for lumbar diskectomy and laminectomy spine surgery. World Neurosurg. 2016; 89(2): 266-271.
- 28. Yang MJ, Riesenburger RI, Kryzanski JT. The use of intra-operative navigation during complex lumbar spine surgery under spinal anesthesia. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2022;215:107186.
- 29. Verbeek T, Adhikary S, Urman R, Liu H. The application of fascia iliaca compartment block for acute pain control of hip fracture and surgery. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2021 Mar 11;25(4):22.
- Guay J, Johnson RL, Kopp S. Nerve blocks or no nerve blocks for pain control after elective hip replacement (Arthroplasty) surgery in adults. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2017(10).
- 31. Bugada D, Allegri M, Gemma M, Ambrosoli AL, Gazzerro G, Chiumiento F, et al. Effects of anaesthesia and analgesia on longterm outcome after total knee replacement: A prospective, observational, multicentre study. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2017;34(10): 665-672.