Research Article Open Access

# Abundance and Antibiotic Resistance of Cultivable Bacteria and Fungi on Ethiopian Cash-Money in Nekemte Town

#### Bekele Oljira<sup>1\*</sup> and Girmaye Kenasa<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Mettu College of Teacher Education, Mettu, Ethiopia

#### **Abstract**

In Ethiopia, marketing system depends on cash exchange that could be a vehicle for cross contamination. To investigate the loads of cultivatable bacteria and fungi on Ethiopian currencies, a total of 120 samples of Ethiopian money-cash called birr (ETB) with different denominations (10 ETB, 5 ETB, 1 ETB note, 1 ETB coin and 50 cents) were collected from street food sellers, taxi drivers, gamblers, restaurant workers, beggars, bank workers and butchers in Nekemte Town. The isolates were tested for resistance to antibiotics (µg/ml), Ampicillin (10), Cefalexin (16), Cefixime (5), and Cefuroxime (8). The highest number of Aerobic Mesophilic Bacteria (AMB) was 255.8 CFU/ ml on 1 ETB note notes collected from beggars. Similarly, the maximum number of Enterobacteriaceae and Bacillus was 138.1 CFU/ml and 33.8 CFU/ml counted on 1 ETB note collected from beggars. In addition, 44 CFU/ml of Coliforms and 103 CFU/ml of Staphylococcus bacteria were counted on 1 ETB note from street food sellers. The highest colony of yeast and molds was counted on 1 ETB note from street food sellers (125 CFU/ml) and from beggars (20.2 CFU/ml), respectively. The maximum number of pathogenic bacteria was Staphylococcus (91.7 CFU/ ml) followed by E. coli (44 CFU/ml) on 1 ETB notes from street food sellers. Bacillus was not detected on 50 cents coin from almost all sources. Bacillus was resistant to the test dose of the antibiotics. Besides, Shigella was only sensitive to Cefixime and the antibiotics were effective against the pathogens except Bacillus. In general, Ethiopian cash-money collected from Nekemte town is loaded with microorganisms and Cefixime is effective against most of the pathogens.

**Keywords:** Birr; Antibiotics; Contamination; Pathogenic; Bacteria; Fungi

## Introduction

In world, people exchange money by different mechanisms. Citizens of most developed countries use credit or debit card system, whereas the majority of developing countries are still depend on hand-to-hand cash turnover for marketing. From its nature, cash-money is fragile and easy to be lost in addition to its exposure to contamination by different microorganisms. As a result, cash-money exchanges are considered as one of the potential vehicle for the spread of pathogenic microorganisms. Communities have different habits of storing, counting, using and managing cash-money that could be conducive for the growth and transmission of pathogenic microorganisms. As a result, both bacterial and fungal pathogens of human are reported from different countries [1-3].

The abundance and type of pathogenic microorganisms existing on cash-money varies based of the livelihood of the bearer [3,4]. For instance, in India, the cash-money obtained from bank, hospitals and municipals are highly contaminated with various pathogenic bacteria as compared to those obtained from butchers and food sellers [5]. A study conducted at some parts of Ethiopia showed the high prevalence of *E. coli* that ranges from 67.2% at open market milk sellers to 87.2% at dairy station milk sellers [6]. Cash-money collected from different classes of Libyans also showed variation in load of pathogenic microorganisms in which the abundance of *Enterobacter agglomerans> Pseudomonas* spp.>*Staphylococcus aureus>Enterobacter cloacae>Klebsiella pneumonia>E. coli* [7]. Similarly, in Nepal abundance of heterotrophic aerobic bacteria>coliform bacteria>Staphylococci are found on cash-money [1].

Contamination level of cash-money varies based on its type and value of the money [1,6]. A study showed the negative correlation between value of the money and microbial load [2]. For instance, prevalence of *E. coli* on Ethiopian Birr (ETB) of hundred notes is less

than on ETB of one note [6]. Pathogenic microorganisms from cashmoney vary in their resistance to the minimum inhibitory concentration of antibiotics. *E. coli* isolated from Ethiopian cash-money circulating in the western part of the country were resistant to neomycin than other antibiotics tested [6].

Ethiopia is known by enormous culture, customs and classes that vary among regions and localities. Contamination level of Ethiopian cashmoney could be the reflection of the community lifestyle. Prevalence and drug susceptibility study of *E. coli* on Ethiopian birr was studied at the Eastern part of the country where majority of the communities' livelihood depends on business but not studied in the western part of the country where the main livelihood is farming. Therefore, this study was to investigate abundance of pathogenic microorganisms on Ethiopian cash-money of different birr notes and coins collected from Nekemte town communities having different livelihood and to test their antibiotics susceptibility.

# Materials and Methods

#### Description of the study sites

The study was conducted at Nekemte town, 331 km from Addis Ababa to the western part of the country. Nekemte is a market

\*Corresponding author: Bekele Oljira, Department of Biology, Mettu College of Teacher Education, Mettu, Ethiopia, E-mail: girmayek@gmail.com

Received: July 10, 2018; Accepted: July 20, 2018; Published: July 30, 2018

Citation: Oljira B, Kenasa G (2018) Abundance and Antibiotic Resistance of Cultivable Bacteria and Fungi on Ethiopian Cash-Money in Nekemte Town. Fishes from Red Sea, Egypt. Appli Microbiol Open Access 4: 148. doi: 10.4172/2471-9315.1000148

Copyright: © 2018 Oljira B, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Wollega University, Nekemte, Oromia, Ethiopia

town; and according to 2012 national census, its total population is estimated to 110,688. Majority of the community lifestyles include businesspersons, smallholder farmer, and government employers. As the town is connecting many town of the country, money exchange rate is expected to be high.

### Sampling and its preparation

Cash-money samples were purposively collected from butchers, beggars, gamblers, street food sellers, restaurant workers, bank workers and taxi drivers. Totally, 120 different ETB samples holding 50 cents, one birr (coin), one birr (note), five birr note and ten birr note were included in this experiment. Sampling was conducted in triplicates including a control of new ETB obtained from Commercial Bank of Ethiopia Nekemte district. Each collected cash-money was aseptically transferred into sterilized separate bottles containing 10 ml of sterile buffered peptone water solution. Then, the bottles were shaken vigorously for about 2 minutes to dislodge the microorganisms into the medium. The samples were removed aseptically from the bottle with a sterile forceps, rinsed with water and dried to recover.

#### Estimation of cultivatable bacterial load

From appropriate dilutions, 0.1 ml of the aliquot was spread plated on Plate Count Agar (PCA) and the plates were incubated at 35°C for 24 h. After incubation, plates with colonies between 30 and 300 were counted to estimate the abundances of aerobic mesophilic bacteria (AMB) [8]. Similarly, the diluents were spread-plate on Salmonella Shigella Agar (SSA), Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate (XLD) Agar, Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) Agar, MacConkey (MAC) agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. After which, different types of colonies of bacteria with different colors like pink to red purple colonies on EMBA, Red to Black centered colonies on SSA and XLD Agar were counted as member of a family of Enterobacteriaceae [9]. A purplish colony surrounded by reddish zone on MAC agar and Metallic green sheen on EMB Agar were counted as Coliforms [8]. Spore forming bacteria (Bacillus) were differentiated by spore staining from PAC and the colonies were counted [10]. Typical golden yellow colour colonies and red colonies were counted as Staphylococcus and Streptococcus from Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA).

## **Estimation of fungal load**

Colonies of yeast and mold were determined by direct plate count from Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) supplemented with 0.06 g/L chloramphenicol. Smooth (non-hairy) colonies without extension at periphery were counted as yeasts whereas hairy colonies were counted as mold after 48 h of incubation at 29°C [9].

#### Antimicrobial susceptibility of cultivatable bacteria

The bacterial isolates were tested for sensitivity to different generations of antibiotics by disc diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton agar using the commercial antibiotics such Ampicillin (AMP), Cephalexin (LEX), Cefuroxime (CXM) and Cefixime (CFM). Concentration ( $\mu$ g/ml) of the antibiotics used during the experiment was 10, 16, 5, and 8 for AMP, LEX, CFM, and CXM, respectively [11]. The disc note was impregnated with appropriate amounts of antibiotics, and put on the surface of solid Mueller Hinton agar which was preseeded with a pure culture of the test organism and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. Sensitivity of the test organisms was verified with a clear zone

and the level of sensitivity was estimated by measuring a clear zone using ruler and is expressed in mm. Based on the measurement of the inhibition zones (IZ in mm), effectiveness of the antibiotics against the inoculated bacteria was expressed as 'Sensitive', 'Moderately sensitive' and 'Resistance' for IZ>14, 7<IZ<14, and IZ<7, respectively [12].

#### Result

## Abundance of cultivatable bacterial groups

Abundance of different bacterial groups such as aerobic mesophilic bacteria (AMB), Coliforms, Enterobacteriaceae, Staphylococcus, and *Bacillus* were significantly varied (P<0.01) based on sources of samples and type of money. The study showed that sample from "1 ETB note" and "50 cents" were the highest and the lowest in count of cultivable microorganisms, respectively. Amongst the sample sites, the maximum and minimum mean count of cultivatable microorganisms was from "Beggars" (255.8  $\pm$  1.0 CFU/ml) and "Bank workers" (0.00 CFU/ml), respectively (Table 1).

The mean count of aerobic mesophilic bacteria (AMB) isolated from "beggars" was the highest on samples of "1 ETB notes" (255.8  $\pm$  1.0 CFU/ml) and there was no AMB on "50 cents" from "butchers" and "restaurant workers". Similarly, the highest mean counts of Enterobacteriaceae were on "1 ETB note" sampled from "beggars" (138.1  $\pm$  1.0 CFU/ml); whereas "50 cents" collected from "butchers" and "restaurant workers" did not contain Enterobacteriaceae (Table 1). The number of coliform bacteria and Staphylococcus bacterial groups from "street food sellers" were 44.0  $\pm$  1.0 CFU/ml and 103.0  $\pm$  1.0 CFU/ml, respectively on "1 ETB note".

Likewise, the mean counts of *Bacillus* bacteria counted from "1 ETB notes" were  $33.8 \pm 1.0$  CFU/ml from "beggars" (Table 1). In general, AMB, Enterobacteriaceae, Staphylococcus, Coliform and *Bacillus* were observed on all samples isolated from the different bearer except from "restaurant worker" and "butcher". All types of money from taxi driver did not contain *Bacillus* except "1 ETB note" (Table 1).

## Abundance of yeasts and molds

The mean counts of fungal load isolated from the sampling sources were higher for yeasts than molds. The highest load of yeasts was 125.75  $\pm$  1.42 CFU/ml on samples of "1 ETB" from "street food sellers". Similarly, the maximum fungal molds count was 20.25  $\pm$  0.52 CFU/ml on "1 ETB" isolated from "beggars". Yeasts and molds were not isolated from "50 cents" collected from "gamblers", "restaurant workers" and "butcher" (Table 2).

## Abundance of pathogenic bacteria

The result in Table 3 showed that the type of money collected from different sites had bacterial pathogens with different degree of contamination except "50 cents" from "butchers" and "restaurant workers" from which no cultivable type of pathogenic bacteria was isolated. Load of some specific pathogenic microorganisms on ETB from different type and different bearer showed significant variation (p<0.01) (Table 3). The bacterial contamination of note-money was exceeding the contamination of coins. Among note-money, 1 ETB was more contaminated with bacteria pathogens compared to the coins with six folds differences (Table 3). The highest load was of pathogenic bacteria was Staphylococcus (91.9  $\pm$  1.0 CFU/ml) on "1 ETB note" from

<u>a</u>	Sample	Sample site (CFU/ml)								
Bacterial group		Street food sellers	Taxi drivers	Gamblers	Restaurant workers	Beggars	Bank workers	Butchers		
	10 ETB	159.1 ± 1.0 <sup>f</sup>	95.9 ± 1.0 <sup>1</sup>	143.9 ± 4.0 <sup>h</sup>	104.8 ± 1.0 <sup>k</sup>	219.1 ± 1.0d	125.0 ± 1.0 <sup>i</sup>	237.9 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>		
i5 ≝ iā	5 ETB	79.9 ± 1.0 <sup>n</sup>	94.0 ± 1.0 <sup>1</sup>	117.8 ± 2.0 <sup>j</sup>	77.9 ± 1.0 <sup>n</sup>	152.0 ± 1.09	104.9 ± 1.0 <sup>k</sup>	125.9 ± 1.0 <sup>i</sup>		
Aerobic Tesophili Bacteria	1 ETB (note)	178.0 ± 1.0e	123.9 ± 1.0 <sup>i</sup>	177.8 ± 1.0°	143.8 ± 1.0 <sup>h</sup>	255.8 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	153.9 ± 1.09	233.8 ± 1.0°		
Aerobic Mesophilic Bacteria	1 ETB (coin)	69.0 ± 1.0°	47.8 ± 1.0 <sup>q</sup>	62.1 ± 2.0 <sup>p</sup>	48.0 ± 1.0 <sup>q</sup>	144.8 ± 1.0 <sup>h</sup>	62.9 ± 1.0°	90.8 ± 1.0 <sup>m</sup>		
2	50 cents	9.8 ± 1.0 <sup>t</sup>	9.9 ± 1.0 <sup>t</sup>	17.0 ± 1.0 <sup>s</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>u</sup>	87.9 ± 0.1 <sup>m</sup>	35.8 ± 1.0 <sup>r</sup>	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{u}$		
	10 ETB	35.8 ± 0.8 <sup>b</sup>	22.1 ± 1.0 <sup>f g</sup>	34.8 ± 1.0bc	11.0 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	29.0 ± 1.0d	8.0 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>	25.1 ± 1.0°		
호 3	5 ETB	28.9 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>	12.1 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	24.0 ± 1.0ef	13.0 ± 1.0 <sup>hi</sup>	33.1 ± 1.0°	5.0 ± 1.0 <sup>mn</sup>	20.1 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>		
Coliform bacteria	1 ETB (note)	44.0 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	25.9 ± 1.0°	24.8 ± 1.0e	14.8 ± 1.0 <sup>h</sup>	35.8 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	11.1 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	22.1 ± 1.0 <sup>f g</sup>		
Col	1 ETB (coin)	15.0 ± 1.0 <sup>h</sup>	5.0 ± 1.0 <sup>mn</sup>	4.9 ± 1.0 <sup>mn</sup>	2.9 ± 1.0 <sup>n,o</sup>	9.9 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	2.8 ± 1.0 <sup>no</sup>	6.9 ± 1.0lm		
	50 cents	0.1 ± 0.1 <sup>p</sup>	0.8 ± 1.0°P	0.1 ± 0.1 <sup>p</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0°	1.0 ± 1.0°P	0.1 ± 0.1 <sup>p</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>p</sup>		
ě	10 ETB	61.9 ± 1.0 <sup>k</sup>	44.0 ± 1.0 <sup>n</sup>	124.0 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	90.0 ± 1.0°	111.0 ± 1.0d	43.9 ± 1.0 <sup>n</sup>	68.0 ± 1.0 <sup>j</sup>		
če	5 ETB	49.8 ± 1.0 <sup>m</sup>	29.0 ± 1.0°	86.0 ± 1.0 <sup>f</sup>	80.1 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>	77.9 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>	42.9 ± 1.0 <sup>n</sup>	66.5 ± 1.5 <sup>j</sup>		
eria	1 ETB (note)	70.9 ± 1.0 <sup>i</sup>	75.3 ± 1.1 <sup>h</sup>	113.9 ± 1.0°	122.9 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	138.1 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	55.0 ± 0.9 <sup>1</sup>	90.0 ± 1.0e		
act	1 ETB (coin)	15.8 ± 1.0 <sup>r</sup>	11.0 ± 1.0s	24.0 ± 1.0°	19.0 ± 1.0 <sup>q</sup>	25.1 ± 1.0°	7.1 ± 1.0 <sup>t</sup>	25.0 ± 1.0°		
Enterobacteriaceae	50 cents	3.1 ± 1.0 <sup>uv</sup>	6.0 ± 1.0 <sup>t</sup>	5.1 ± 1.0 <sup>tu</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>w</sup>	7.1 ± 1.0 <sup>t</sup>	1.1 ± 0.1 <sup>vw</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>w</sup>		
v	10 ETB	88.8 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	30.9 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	49.1 ± 1.0°	42.1 ± 1.0gh	44.1 ± 1.0 <sup>fg</sup>	9.9 ± 1.0 <sup>r</sup>	27.9 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>		
	5 ETB	63.9 ± 1.0°	33.0 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	28.9 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>	44.8 ± 0.3 <sup>f</sup>	25.8 ± 1.0 <sup>m</sup>	10.0 ± 1.0 <sup>r</sup>	14.9 ± 1.0°°		
ohylococ bacteria	1 ETB (note)	103.0 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	40.8 ± 1.0 <sup>h</sup>	51.9 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>	51.1 ± 1.0de	50.9 ± 1.0de	28.5 ± 1.5 <sup>kl</sup>	35.1 ± 1.0 <sup>i</sup>		
act	1 ETB (coin)	18.0 ± 1.0 <sup>n</sup>	11.0 ± 1.0 <sup>qr</sup>	13.1 ± 1.0 <sup>pq</sup>	12.8 ± 1.0 <sup>pq</sup>	10.8 ± 1.0 <sup>qr</sup>	5.8 ± 1.0s	17.1 ± 1.0 <sup>no</sup>		
Staphylococcus bacteria	50 cents	15.0 ± 1.0°P	4.9 ± 1.0st	1.9 ± 1.0 <sup>uv</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0°	1.9 ± 1.0 <sup>uv</sup>	3.0 ± 1.0 <sup>tu</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0°		
	10 ETB	3.0 ± 1.0 <sup>ijk</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>m</sup>	6.8 ± 1.0 <sup>gh</sup>	24.8 ± 1.0°	29.8 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	13.1 ± 1.0 <sup>f</sup>	25.0 ± 1.0°		
ia is	5 ETB	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>m</sup>	5.0 ± 1.0 <sup>hi</sup>	20.8 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>	21.9 ± 1.0d	9.1 ± 1.0 <sup>g</sup>	21.8 ± 1.0d		
Bacillus bacteria	1 ETB (note)	8.9 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>	1.8 ± 1.0 <sup>jkl</sup>	8.0 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>	29.0 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	33.8 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	18.0 ± 1.0e	21.8 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>		
Ba ba	1 ETB (coin)	0.1 ± 0.2 <sup>m</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>n</sup>	3.9 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	3.0 ± 1.0 <sup>ijk</sup>	14.8 ± 1.0 <sup>f</sup>	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>	1.8 ± 1.0 <sup>jkl</sup>		
	50 cents	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>m</sup>	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{n}$	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>n</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>n</sup>	1.1 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>m</sup>	0.0 ± 00 <sup>m</sup>		

Table 1: Abundance of bacterial groups isolated from Ethiopian cash-money of different types with respect to their sources of sampling.

S	Samples	Sample site (CFU/ml)								
Fungal groups		Street food sellers	Taxi driver	Gamblers	Restaurant workers	Beggars	Bank workers	Butcher		
	10 ETB	93.6 ± 0.5 <sup>b</sup>	79.5 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>	33.3 ± 1.2 <sup>no</sup>	68.8 ± 0.4 <sup>f</sup>	66.3 ± 1.3 <sup>g</sup>	55.5 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	51.8 ± 0.5 <sup>k</sup>		
+	5 ETB	84.6 ± 1.6°	75.0 ± 1.0°	42.0 ± 0.6 <sup>m</sup>	35.0 ± 0.5 <sup>n</sup>	59.8 ± 0.6 <sup>h</sup>	52.5 ± 1.0 <sup>k</sup>	51.5 ± 0.5 <sup>k</sup>		
Yeast	1 ETB note	125.8 ± 1.4 <sup>a</sup>	68.0 ± 1.5 <sup>f</sup>	52.3 ± 1.1 <sup>jk</sup>	45.3 ± 2.6 <sup>1</sup>	75.5 ± 1.0e	57.5 ± 1.7 <sup>i</sup>	72.3 ± 0.9e		
>	1 ETB coin	30.9 ± 0.6°	7.3 ± 0.5 <sup>r</sup>	2.8 ± 0.5°	11.5 ± 0.5 <sup>q</sup>	31.8 ± 0.2°	32.5 ± 2.0 <sup>no</sup>	10.8 ± 1.1 <sup>q</sup>		
	50 cents	9.1 ± 1.0 <sup>qr</sup>	2.5 ± 0.5°	0 ± 0.00s	0 ± 0s	27.0 ± 0.6 <sup>p</sup>	9.8 ± 0.5 <sup>qr</sup>	0 ± 0s		
	10 ETB	4.1 ± 0.07 <sup>ij</sup>	6.5 ± 1.4 <sup>gh</sup>	1.8 ± 0.5 <sup>lmn</sup>	6.8 ± 0.5 <sup>gh</sup>	9.0 ± 0.6 <sup>f</sup>	8.5 ± 0.8 <sup>fg</sup>	13.0 ± 0.6d		
v	5 ETB	0.4 ± 0.4 <sup>mno</sup>	3.0 ± 1.5 <sup>jklm</sup>	2.5 ± 0.5 <sup>jklmn</sup>	5.3 ± 0.5 <sup>h</sup>	14.3 ± 0.7 <sup>d</sup>	6.3 ± 0.5g <sup>h</sup>	15.8 ± 0.5°		
Molds	1 ETB note	4.0 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	14.3 ± 2.0 <sup>cd</sup>	5.3 ± 0.5 <sup>hi</sup>	7.3 ± 0.6gh	20.3 ± 0.5 <sup>a</sup>	10.0 ± 0.6°	18.0 ± 0.6b		
Σ	1 ETB coin	0 ± 0°	1.3 ± 0.5 <sup>lmn</sup>	0.5 ± 0.5 <sup>no</sup>	0.5 ± 0.5 <sup>no</sup>	3.5 ± 0.5 <sup>jk</sup>	2.0 ± 1.0klmno	1.3 ± 0.4 <sup>mn</sup>		
	50 cents	0 ± 0°	0.3 ± 0.4°	0 ± 0°	0 ± 0°	3.3 ± 0.3 <sup>jkl</sup>	0.3 ± 0.4 <sup>no</sup>	0 ± 0°		

Table 2: Abundance of fungal groups isolated from Ethiopian cash-money of different types with respect to their sources of sampling.

"street food sellers" followed by Salmonella (35.1  $\pm$  1.0 CFU/ml) on "1 ETB note" and Klebsiella (34.8  $\pm$  1.0 CFU/ml) on "10 ETB" from "gamblers" and "beggars", respectively (Table 3).

Samples from "street food sellers" and "taxi drivers" showed the

dominancy of *Staphylococcus* with 91.9 CFU/ml but *Bacillus* was the least from the sources (Table 3). Similarly, samples collected from the "gamblers" were majorly loaded with *Salmonella* but lowest mean count was *Bacillus* from the source. Samples from "restaurant workers" were heavily loaded with *Klebsiella* as compared to the other

		Sample site (CFU/ml)								
Pathogens	Samples	Street food sellers	Taxi drivers	Gamblers	Restaurants workers	Beggars	Bank workers	Butchers		
_	10 ETB	13.0 ± 1.0 <sup>hi</sup>	16.1 ± 1.0 <sup>efgh</sup>	33.7 ± 1.5 <sup>a</sup>	19.8 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>	18.0 ± 1.0 <sup>def</sup>	14.1 ± 1.0 <sup>ghi</sup>	25.0 ± 1.0°		
Salmonella	5 ETB	18.9 ± 1.0 <sup>de</sup>	9.1 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	24.1 ± 1.0°	13.1 ± 1.0 <sup>hi</sup>	16.0 ± 1.0 <sup>efgh</sup>	9.1 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	18.8 ± 1.0de		
	1 ETB (note)	12.0 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	23.8 ± 4.5°	35.1 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	26.9 ± 1.0bc	29.8 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	14.9 ± 1.0 <sup>fghi</sup>	17.1 ± 1.0 <sup>defg</sup>		
saln	1 ETB (coin)	2.8 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>	$3.0 \pm 1.0^{lm}$	7.0 ± 1.0 <sup>k</sup>	3.0 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>	8.8 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>m</sup>	6.1 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>		
0,	50 cents	0.9 ± 1.0 <sup>m</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>m</sup>	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>m</sup>	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{m}$	1.8 ± 1.0 <sup>m</sup>	0.8 ± 1.0 <sup>m</sup>	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{m}$		
	10 ETB	12.1 ± 1.0 <sup>fg</sup>	$5.9 \pm 1.0^{jk}$	24.8 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	13.9 ± 1.0ef	18.8 ± 1.0 <sup>bc</sup>	8.8 ± 1.0 <sup>hi</sup>	$4.9 \pm 1.0^{jkl}$		
Ila	5 ETB	9.0 ± 1.0 <sup>hi</sup>	3.9 ± 1.0klm	17.9 ± 1.0 <sup>∞</sup>	10.0 ± 1.0gh	11.1 ± 1.0gh	10.0 ± 1.0gh	9.8 ± 1.0gh		
Shigella	1 ETB (note)	11.0 ± 0.9 <sup>gh</sup>	12.1 ± 1.0 <sup>fg</sup>	20.8 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	19.1 ± 1.0bc	24.1 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	7.1 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	15.9 ± 1.0 <sup>de</sup>		
Sh	1 ETB (coin)	2.0 ± 1.0 <sup>mnop</sup>	1.9 ± 1.0 <sup>mnop</sup>	5.1 ± 1.0 <sup>jkl</sup>	2.1 ± 1.0 <sup>mnop</sup>	2.9 ± 1.0I <sup>mno</sup>	1.9 ± 1.0 <sup>mnop</sup>	3.1 ± 1.0 <sup>lmn</sup>		
	50 cents	0.1 ± 0.20°	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>nop</sup>	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>nop</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>p</sup>	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>nop</sup>	0.2 ± 0.1°p	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>p</sup>		
	10 ETB	20.1 ± 1.0ef	8.0 ± 1.0 <sup>j</sup>	17.0 ± 1.0gh	25.0 ± 1.0d	34.8 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	6.8 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	20.1 ± 1.0ef		
Klebsiella	5 ETB	11.1 ± 1.0 <sup>i</sup>	$6.9 \pm 1.0^{jk}$	11.0 ± 1.0 <sup>i</sup>	22.1 ± 1.0e	27.8 ± 1.0bc	8.1 ± 1.0 <sup>j</sup>	22.1 ± 1.0e		
	1 ETB (note)	27.1 ± 1.0 <sup>cd</sup>	18.9 ± 1.0 <sup>fg</sup>	15.8 ± 1.0 <sup>h</sup>	29.8 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	33.0 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	12.0 ± 1.0 <sup>i</sup>	26.1 ± 1.0 <sup>cd</sup>		
K	1 ETB (coin)	8.1 ± 1.0 <sup>j</sup>	3.1 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>	3.1 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>	5.1 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>	7.1 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	1.9 ± 1.0 <sup>mn</sup>	6.9 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>		
	50 cents	0.3 ± 0.0 <sup>n</sup>	1.1 ± 1.0 <sup>mn</sup>	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>mn</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>n</sup>	1.1 ± 1.0 <sup>mn</sup>	0.1 ± 0.1 <sup>n</sup>	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{n}$		
	10 ETB	3.0 ± 1.0 <sup>ijk</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>m</sup>	6.8 ± 1.0gh	24.8 ± 1.0°	29.8 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	13.1 ± 1.0 <sup>f</sup>	25.0 ± 1.0°		
Bacillus	5 ETB	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{m}$	5.0 ± 1.0 <sup>hi</sup>	20.8 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>	21.9 ± 1.0d	9.1 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>	21.8 ± 1.0d		
	1 ETB (note)	8.9 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>	1.8 ± 1.0 <sup>jkl</sup>	8.0 ± 1.0 <sup>g</sup>	29.0 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	33.8 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	18.0 ± 1.0°	21.8 ± 1.0d		
	1 ETB (coin)	0.1 ± 0.2 <sup>m</sup>	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{n}$	3.9 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	3.0 ± 1.0 <sup>ijk</sup>	14.8 ± 1.0 <sup>f</sup>	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>	1.8 ± 1.0 <sup>jkl</sup>		
	50 cents	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>m</sup>	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{n}$	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{n}$	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>n</sup>	1.1 ± 1.0 lm	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>m</sup>	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{m}$		
Se	10 ETB	11.9 ± 1.0 <sup>fg</sup>	5.1 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>	24.0 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	13.1 ± 1.0ef	18.0 ± 1.0bc	8.0 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	4.1 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>		
Pseudomonas	5 ETB	8.2 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	3.1 ± 1.0 <sup>lmn</sup>	17.1 ± 1.0 <sup>cd</sup>	9.2 ± 1.0 <sup>hij</sup>	10.9 ± 1.0 <sup>fgh</sup>	9.2 ± 1.0 <sup>hij</sup>	9.0 ± 1.0 <sup>hij</sup>		
lon	1 ETB (note)	9.9 ± 1.0ghi	11.9 ± 1.0 <sup>fg</sup>	20.0 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	18.9 ± 1.0bc	23.9 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	6.9 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	15.1 ± 1.0de		
enc	1 ETB (coin)	1.2 ± 1.0 <sup>nop</sup>	1.1 ± 1.0 <sup>nop</sup>	4.9 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>	1.9 ± 1.0 <sup>mnop</sup>	2.1 ± 1.0 <sup>mnop</sup>	1.1 ± 1.0 <sup>nop</sup>	2.9 ± 1.0 lmno		
Ps	50 cents	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>p</sup>	1.1 ± 1.0 <sup>nop</sup>	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>nop</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>p</sup>	1.8 ± 1.0 <sup>mnop</sup>	0.2 ± 0.10 <sup>p</sup>	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{p}$		
Enterobacter	10 ETB	4.1 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	8.9 ± 1.0 <sup>h</sup>	24.1 ± 1.0bc	18.9 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>	21.9 ± 1.0°	6.1 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	13.9 ± 1.0 <sup>efg</sup>		
	5 ETB	2.0 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>	6.1 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	15.9 ± 1.0e	25.1 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	12.1 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>	5.9 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	7.1 ± 1.0 <sup>hi</sup>		
ĝo.	1 ETB (note)	11.9 ± 1.09	12.9 ± 1.0 <sup>fg</sup>	22.1 ± 1.0°	28.1 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	28.0 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	15.1 ± 1.0ef	15.1 ± 1.0ef		
nter	1 ETB (coin)	1.1 ± 1.0 <sup>1</sup>	2.0 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>	4.0 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	7.0 ± 1.0 <sup>hi</sup>	4.9 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	1.9 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>	6.1 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>		
Щ	50 cents	2.0 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>	2.1 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>	1.1 ± 1.0 <sup>1</sup>	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{I}$	2.1 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>	0.1 ± 0.2 <sup>1</sup>	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{I}$		
•	10 ETB	35.8 ± 0.8 <sup>b</sup>	22.1 ± 1.0 <sup>f g</sup>	34.8 ± 1.0bc	11.0 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	29.0 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>	8.0 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>	25.1 ± 1.0°		
Escherichia coli	5 ETB	28.9 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>	12.1 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	24.0 ± 1.0ef	13.0 ± 1.0 <sup>hi</sup>	33.1 ± 1.0°	5.0 ± 1.0 <sup>mn</sup>	20.1 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>		
heric coli	1 ETB (note)	44.0 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	25.9 ± 1.0e	24.8 ± 1.0e	14.8 ± 1.0 <sup>h</sup>	35.8 ± 1.0b	11.1 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>	22.1 ± 1.0 <sup>f g</sup>		
sch	1 ETB (coin)	15.0 ± 1.0 <sup>h</sup>	5.0 ± 1.0 <sup>mn</sup>	4.9 ± 1.0 <sup>mn</sup>	2.9 ± 1.0 <sup>n,o</sup>	9.9 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	2.8 ± 1.0 <sup>no</sup>	6.9 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>		
Щ	50 cents	0.1 ± 0.1 <sup>p</sup>	0.8 ± 1.0°°	0.1 ± 0.1 <sup>p</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0°	1.0 ± 1.0°P	0.1 ± 0.1 <sup>p</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0°		
SI	10 ETB	84.9 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	22.8 ± 1.0 <sup>g</sup>	25.1 ± 1.0 <sup>fg</sup>	24.0 ± 1.0 <sup>g</sup>	23.0 ± 1.0 <sup>g</sup>	3.9 ± 1.0°p	14.8 ± 1.0 <sup>ij</sup>		
lococcus	5 ETB	62.0 ± 1.0°	27.1 ± 1.0ef	13.8 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	19.8 ± 1.0 <sup>h</sup>	13.9 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	4.9 ± 1.0°p	7.9 ± 1.0 <sup>mn</sup>		
	1 ETB (note)	91.9 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	28.1 ± 1.0 <sup>de</sup>	29.9 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>	23.1 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>	23.1 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>	13.9 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	20.1 ± 1.0 <sup>h</sup>		
hyl	1 ETB (coin)	17.0 ± 1.0 <sup>i</sup>	9.8 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>	9.9 ± 1.0 <sup>lm</sup>	6.0 ± 1.0 <sup>no</sup>	6.1 ± 1.0 <sup>no</sup>	4.1 ± 1.0°p	11.8 ± 1.0 <sup>kl</sup>		
Staphyl	50 cents	13.9 ± 1.0 <sup>jk</sup>	2.9 ± 1.0 <sup>pq</sup>	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>qr</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>r</sup>	0.2 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 1.0 <sup>pq</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0°		
	10 ETB	3.9 ± 1.0 <sup>klm</sup>	8.1 ± 1.0 <sup>h</sup>	24.0 ± 1.0bc	18.1 ± 1.0e	21.1 ± 1.0 <sup>d</sup>	6.0 ± 1.0 <sup>hijk</sup>	13.1 ± 1.0 <sup>fg</sup>		
ກວເ	5 ETB	1.9 ± 1.0 lmn	5.9 ± 1.0 <sup>hijk</sup>	15.1 ± 1.0 <sup>f</sup>	25.0 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>	11.9 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>	5.1 ± 1.0 <sup>ijk</sup>	7.0 ± 1.0 <sup>hi</sup>		
č	1 ETB (note)	11.1 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>	12.1 ± 1.0 <sup>9</sup>	22.0 ± 1.0 <sup>∞d</sup>	28.0 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	27.8 ± 1.0 <sup>a</sup>	14.9 ± 1.0 <sup>f</sup>	15.0 ± 1.0 <sup>f</sup>		
Streptococcus	1 ETB (coin)	1.0 ± 1.0 <sup>n</sup>	1.8 ± 1.0 <sup>lmn</sup>	3.8 ± 1.0 <sup>klm</sup>	6.8 ± 1.0 <sup>hij</sup>	4.1 ± 1.0 <sup>jkl</sup>	1.1 ± 1.0 <sup>mn</sup>	5.9 ± 1.0 <sup>hijk</sup>		
Stre	50 cents	1.8 ± 1.0 lmn	2.0 ± 1.0 <sup>lmn</sup>	0.9 ± 1.0 <sup>n</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0°	1.9 ± 1.0 lmn	0.2 ± 0.1 <sup>n</sup>	0.0 ± 0.0 <sup>n</sup>		
٠,	JU CEITIS	1.0 ± 1.0"	Z.U I I.U	U.J I I.U"	0.0 I 0.0"	1.5 1.0	U.Z I U. I"	U.U I U.U"		

Table 3: Abundance of bacterial pathogens isolated from Ethiopian cash-money of different denomination from different sites.

pathogens. Samples from "beggars", "butchers" and "bank workers" were dominantly contaminated by *E. coli, Klebsiella* and *Bacillus* with load of 35.8, 26.1 and 18.0 CFU/ml, respectively (Table 3).

## Drug susceptibility of pathogenic bacteria

As shown in Table 4, *Bacillus* was resistant to the antibiotics tested in this study. Besides, *Shigella* was resistant to Ampicillin and Cefalexin, and *E. coli* was resistant to Cefuroxime (Table 4). *Staphylococcus*,

*Enterobacter* and *Pseudomonas* were "highly sensitive" to "moderately sensitive" to the antibiotics tested in this experiment [12].

Cefuroxime was about four times effective of Ampicillin and Cefalexin in inhibiting growth of *Salmonella*. Besides, *Shigella*, *Klebsiella* and *E. coli* were "highly sensitive" to Cefixime. In general, Cefixime and Cefuroxime were highly effective and broad in inhibition of the pathogens except *Bacillus* and *E. coli* (Table 4).

No	Antibiotics		Pathogenic bacterial groups								
		Test dose (μg/ml)	Salmonella	Shigella	Klebsiella	Bacillus	Pseudomonas	Enterobacter	E. coli	Staphyllococcus	Streptococcus
			Inhibition zone (mm)								
1	Ampicillin	10	4.50	0.00	3.00	0.00	20.00	9.00	14.00	12.00	14.00
2	Cefalexin	16	4.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	7.00	8.00	0.00	22.00	14.00
3	Cefixime	5	11.00	17.00	15.00	0.00	12.00	10.00	15.00	11.00	2.50

Table 4: Intrinsic antibiotics resistance of bacterial pathogens isolated from Ethiopian cash-moneys

#### Discussion

Money acts as the reservoir of different groups of microorganisms for the transmission among community. In this study of bacterial pathogens such as Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Escherichia coli, Salmonella, Shigella, Enterobacter, Klebsiella, Bacillus and Pseudomonas were detected on ETB samples of "50 cents", 1 ETB coin", "1 ETB note", "5 ETB" and "10 ETB". In addition, yeasts and molds were also detected on the Ethiopian money samples. Similarly, different kinds of pathogenic bacteria and fungi were reported from currencies of Ghana [13], Saudi Arabia [14], and Bangladesh [15] although the load and survival rate of microorganisms on the currencies of different countries varies [16]. Ethiopian cash-money collected from different bearer at Easter and Southwestern part of the country were heavily loaded with microbes [6,17]. However, the mean microbial load in the present sample was up to 10-200 folds higher as compared to the one reported from the Southwestern part of the country [17]. The big difference in microbial load on the cash-money collected from different areas could depend of lifestyle of the community, and duration of the samples in market and its transfer rate in the area. Particularly, poor handling practices and personal hygiene of the bearers could contribute to the observed differences on microbial counts. For instance, cash-money collected from community working in hospitals, municipals and banks are more contaminated than those sampled from butchers and food sellers in India [5].

In this study, money notes were more contaminated than the coins. Particularly, "1 ETB note notes" were more contaminated by bacteria and fungi followed by 10 ETB. This could be also associated with the long duration of "1 ETB note" in market as it was on the process of replacing with 1 ETB coin". Besides, "1 ETB note" could be in high rate of transfer because it is small in value for change. Similarly, the inverse correlation between money value and microbial load on Sudanese currencies [2,18], Libyan note currencies [7] and Ethiopian currencies [19] was previously reported. The less contamination of "ETB coins" as compared to "ETB notes" could be associated with the nature of coins as it is not comfortable for the existence and reproduction of microbial pathogens. However, report from Nepal showed that coins are more contaminated with bacteria than note or polymer notes that are in contrary to the current result [1].

The load of bacterial pathogens and fungus on the samples collected from "beggars" and "street food sellers" were high as compared to samples from other sources. Similarly, in Nepal the cashmoney sampled from public transport were found to be extremely contaminated with various pathogenic bacteria followed by the cashmoney obtained from "butchers" and "food sellers" [20]. The lifestyle of the bearers could give chance for the reproduction of the pathogens,

which make them potentially vehicles for the transmission of these pathogens.

The current global health problem is resistance of pathogens to multiple antibiotics. In this study *Bacillus* from all samples were found resistant to Ampicillin, Cefalexin, Cefixime, and Cefuroxime. *E. coli* is also resistant to Cefalexin and Cefuroxime although it was highly sensitive to Ampicillin and Cefixime. However, previous study showed the high susceptibility of *E. coli* from samples of Ethiopian cash-money to different antibiotics [6]. Therefore, variation could depend on target action of the antibiotics.

#### Conclusion

Ethiopian cash-moneys are loaded with bacteria and fungi. The bacterial group like Aerobic mesophilic bacteria, Coliform, Enterobacteriaceae, *Staphylococcus* and *Bacillus* were isolated from the cash-money. The specific pathogens like *Salmonella*, *Shigella*, *Klebsiella*, *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* were isolated from the cash-money. However, the abundance of these bacteria varied based on bearers and types of money. "1 ETB note" was more contaminated by the bacterial and fungal group as compared to other money types, and microbial load on coins were significantly low. The genus *Bacillus* was very resistant to Ampicillin, Cefalexin, Cefixime and Cefuroxime. *Shigella* responded only for Cefixime and the antibiotics tested in this experiment were effective against *Staphylococcus*.

#### Acknowledgment

This research was conducted in Wollega University, Microbiology laboratory. The authors like to thank the University for allowing us to conduct the experiment in the lab without bench fee.

#### References

- Prasai T, Yami KD, Joshi DR (2008) Microbial load on paper/polymer currency and coins. Nepal J Sci Technol 9: 105-109.
- Saadabi AM, Ali LF, Omer AB, Ahmed GA, Al Asa RK (2010) Isolation and Identification of Pathogenic Bacteria and Fungi from some Sudanese Banknotes Currency. Res J Med Sci 4: 315-318.
- Thiruvengadam S, Shreenidhi KS, Vidhyalakshmi H, Ramya M, Kamala T, et al. (2014) A Study of Bacterial Profiling on Coins and Currencies under Circulation and Identifying the Virulence Gene in Chennai (TN). Int J ChemTech Res 6: 4108-4114.
- da Fonseca TAP, Pessôa R, Sanabani SS (2015) Molecular Analysis of Bacterial Microbiota on Brazilian Currency Note Surfaces. Int J Environ Res Public Health 12: 13276-13288.
- Pradeep NV, Anupama S, Marulasiddaiah BS, Chetana M, Gayathri P, et al. (2012) Microbial Contamination of Indian Currency Notes in Circulation. J Res Biol 2: 377-382.
- Hiko A, Abdata K, Muktar Y, Woyesa M, Mohammed A (2016) Contamination of Ethiopian paper currency notes from various food handlers with E. coli. Springerplus 5: 1065.

- Elemam MM, Dhawi A, Shaban MB, Dahmani K (2016) A Study of Bacterial Contamination on Libyan Paper Banknotes in Circulation. Am J Microbiol Biotechnol 3: 1-6.
- 8. Wei Q, Hwang S, Chen T (2006) Microbiological quality of ready-to-eat food products in Southern Taiwan. J Food Drug Analysis 14: 68-73.
- Spencer P, Greenman J, McKenzie C, Gafan G, Spreatt D, et al. (2007) In vitro biofilm model for studying tongue flora and malodour. Am J Med Genetics 145: 18-32.
- Acco M, Ferreira FS, Henriques JA, Tondo EC (2003) Identification of multiple strains of Staphyloccocus aureus colonizing nasal mucosa of food handlers. Food Microbiol 20: 489-493.
- Buller N, Thomas A, Barton M (2014) Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Australia and New Zealand Standard Diagnostic Procedures Pp: 1-30.
- Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M (1966) Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. Am J Clin Pathol 45: 493-496.
- Feglo PK, Nkansah M (2010) Bacterial load on Ghanaian currency notes. Afr J Microbiol Res 4: 2375-2380.
- 14. Al-Ghamdi AK, Abdelmalek SMA, Bamaga MS, Azhar EI, Wakid MH, et al.

- (2011) Bacterial contamination of Saudi "One" Riyal paper notes. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 42: 711-716.
- 15. Boidya J, Uddin R, Mandal SC (2015) Microbiological Analysis of Bangladeshi Paper Currency Circulating in Dhaka City. Biores Comm 1: 53-56.
- Gedik H, Voss TA, Voss A (2013) Money and transmission of bacteria.
  Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2: 22.
- Girma G, Ketema T, Bacha K (2014) Microbial load and safety of paper currencies from some food vendors in Jimma Town, Southwest Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes 7: 843-849.
- Andrew AK (2016) Microbiological Safety Levels of South Sudanese Bank Notes in Circulation at University of Juba Food Restaurants. J Food Res 5: 29-38.
- Alemu A (2014) Microbial Contamination of Currency Notes and Coins in Circulation: A Potential Public Health Hazard. Biomed Biotechnol 2: 46-53.
- Lamichhane J, Adhikary S, Gautam P, Maharjan R, Dhakal B (2009) Risk of handling paper currency in circulation chances of potential bacterial transmittance. Nepal J Sci Technol 10: 161-166.