

**Open Access** 

## A Simple and Sensitive Method for Quantitative Measurement of Methylmalonic Acid by Turbulent Flow Chromatography and Tandem Mass Spectrometry

## Tecleab AG<sup>1</sup>, Schofield RC<sup>2</sup>, Ramanathan LV<sup>2</sup> and Dean C Carlow<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Staten Island University Hospital, Staten Island, New York 10305, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065, USA

## Abstract

A simple and sensitive method for the detection of methylmalonic acid in serum without derivatization has been developed. This method implements protein precipitation using methanol followed by additional sample clean up by turbulent flow liquid chromatography (TFLC). The sample was directly injected into the turbulent flow liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry system (TFLC-MS/MS) for online extraction followed by HPLC separation. The eluent was transferred to the mass spectrometer and ionized by heated electrospray negative ionization (HESI) and the analyte was quantified using a six-point calibration curve. The validated analytical measurement range (AMR) is 30-1,000 nMol/L. Dilutions of 10 and 200-fold were validated giving a clinical reportable range (CRR) of 30-200,000 nMol/L. The between-day and within-day imprecision values at concentrations spanning the AMR were less than 15%. This method was compared to an established LC-MS/MS method at a CLIA certified national reference laboratory and shows an excellent correlation with our TFLC-MS/MS method.

**Keywords:** Methylmalonic acid; Method validation; Mass spectrometry; Turbulent flow liquid chromatography; Protein precipitation

**Abbreviations:** AMR: Analytical measurement range; CLIA: Clinical laboratory improvement amendments; CRR: Clinical reportable range; HESI: Heated electrospray ionization; LLOQ: Lower limit of quantitation; MMA: Methyl malonic acid; MMA-D3: Deuterated methyl malonic acid; SA: Succinic acid; SRM: Selected reaction monitoring; TFLC: Turbulent flow liquid chromatography.

## Introduction

Methylmalonic acid (MMA) levels in serum are commonly used as a marker for cobalamin (vitamin B12) deficiency or to diagnose patients with inherited defects in methylmalonyl CoA mutase enzyme activity. Lack of vitamin B12 causes an increase in the concentration of MMA, and its measurement in serum plays an important role in diagnosing B12 deficiency, which can lead to megaloblastic anemia and irreversible neurological disorders [1,2]. A mild elevation of MMA (>400 nMol/L) is an early indicator of vitamin B12 deficiency while a large elevation (>40,000 nMol/L) is indicative of methylmalonic acidemia, which is an inborn metabolic disorder [3].

Quantitative methods for the measurement of MMA have previously been described. These earlier methods used either protein precipitation [4], solid phase extraction [5-7], ultra-filtration [8-11], or chemical derivatization [12-14] which was followed by MS/MS or GC/MS. One of the major difficulties in measuring MMA is the presence of similar organic acids such as succinic acid (SA) which is present in much greater concentrations. SA is chromatographically difficult to separate from MMA and the mass spectra are very similar. These previously described methods require extensive sample preparation and lengthy extractions protocols and large sample volumes. The advantage of turbulent flow chromatography is that it allows for the injection of sample extract with minimal preparation. The turbulent flow column is packed with large porous particles which allow the retention of small molecules while large proteinaceous molecules are discarded into the waste thereby allowing the removal of large molecules, such as proteins, from the sample [15]. A previously described TFLC method for the quantitation of MMA has been published however it requires large specimen volume and a laborious multi wash SPE extraction prior to analysis [7]. In the present study we utilize turbulent flow chromatography to obviate the need for chemical derivatization and simplified the sample preparation procedure. The small sample was prepared by precipitating proteins using methanol followed by centrifugation and the supernatant was directly injected into the turbulent flow column. This method is rapid, simple to perform and provides an accurate and precise quantitative method for the measurement of MMA in serum.

## Materials and Methods

## Chemicals and reagents

Methylmalonic acid (MMA) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Louis, MO, USA). Methylmalonic acid (1 mg/mL in acetonitrile) and deuterated methylmalonic acid (MMA-D<sub>3</sub>) at a concentration of 1 mg/ml in acetonitrile were obtained from Cerilliant (Round Rock, TX, USA). All compounds had a purity greater than or equal to 99%. Acetone, formic acid, methanol, acetonitrile, 2-propanol, and water (LCMS grade) were from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). Commercially available human serum that had been chemically treated to remove endogenous small molecules (DC Mass Spect Gold', stripped human serum) was obtained from Golden West Biologicals, Inc, (Temecula, CA, USA). Ammonium acetate was from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). Succinic acid (SA) and deuterated SA were from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Louis, MO, USA).

\*Corresponding author: Dean C Carlow, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065, USA, Tel: 212-639-8437; E-mail: carlowd@mskcc.org

Received September 08, 2016; Accepted September 15, 2016; Published September 21, 2016

**Citation:** Tecleab AG, Schofield RC, Ramanathan LV, Dean C Carlow (2016) A Simple and Sensitive Method for Quantitative Measurement of Methylmalonic Acid by Turbulent Flow Chromatography and Tandem Mass Spectrometry. J Chromatogr Sep Tech 7: 336. doi: 10.4172/2157-7064.1000336

**Copyright:** © 2016 Tecleab AG, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

#### Instrument and analytical conditions

A Thermo Scientific Aria TLX-2 turbulent flow chromatography system (Franklin, MA, USA) comprised of a CTC analytics PAL auto sampler, a low-pressure mixing quaternary pump (loading pump), a high-pressure mixing binary pump (eluting pump) and a three-valve switching device unit (VIM) containing six-port valves were operated in accordance with manufacturer recommendations. An in-depth description of the system was previously published [16]. The triple quadrupole mass spectrometer was a Thermo Scientific TSQ Quantum Ultra (San Jose, CA, USA) and it implemented a heated electrospray ionization probe that was maintained at 380°C. The analysis was performed in a negative ionization mode with a spray voltage of 4500 V. Nitrogen was used as the sheath, auxiliary and ion sweep gas at 60, 15, and 2 arbitrary units, respectively. The system was operated in selected reaction monitoring (SRM) mode with argon as the collision gas at a pressure of 1.5 mTorr. The ion transfer tube was maintained at 235°C. The entire system was controlled using Aria 1.6.2 software. The Turbo Flow column used was a Cyclone Max<sup>°</sup> (0.5 mm × 50 mm) from Thermo Scientific (San Jose, CA, USA) and the analytical column was an Allure Organic Acid<sup>\*</sup> 5µm (150 mm × 3.0 mm) from Restek (Bellefonte, PA, USA). The mobile phase consisted of loading pump A (water), loading pump B (water containing 1% formic acid), and loading pump C (acetonitrile, 2-propanol and acetone in 1:1:1 ratio). Eluting pump A contained 15.2 mM ammonium acetate in water containing 0.06% formic acid and eluting pump B contained methanol.

# Preparations of stock solutions, calibration standards and quality control samples

The internal standard (MMA-D<sub>3</sub>) was prepared by diluting the stock solution (100  $\mu$ g/mL) to 50 ng/mL in methanol and stored at -20°C. Two stock solutions of MMA were prepared gravimetrically in methanol (1 mg/mL) and were used to prepare calibrators and quality control materials. MMA obtained from Sigma-Aldrich was used for calibrators while MMA from Cerilliant was used for quality controls, recovery and imprecision studies.

Calibrators and quality controls were prepared by spiking MMA into the stripped human serum. Prior to the addition of MMA the stripped human serum was tested to ensure that the concentration of endogenous MMA was below the lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) of the assay. Briefly, the stripped human serum was spiked to obtain a concentration of 1000 nMol/L of MMA and then further diluted to obtain a six point calibration curve (500, 250, 125, 62.5, and 50 nMol/L). The three levels of controls were prepared by spiking MMA into the stripped human serum to yield concentrations of 800, 400, 100, and 30 nMol/L. Calibrators and controls were aliquotted and stored at -80°C.

## Sample preparation

Samples were prepared by protein precipitation using methanol. Calibrators, controls or patient specimen (100  $\mu$ L) were aliquotted into 1.5 mL micro centrifuge tubes followed by the addition of 200  $\mu$ L of methanol containing MMA-D<sub>3</sub> (50 ng/mL). Subsequently, samples were vortexed mixed for five seconds and incubated on ice for five minutes. The samples were then centrifuged at 13,000 RPM for ten minutes. The supernatant (150  $\mu$ L) was transferred into auto sampler vials containing inserts and 60  $\mu$ L was injected into the system for analysis by TFLC-MS/MS.

## Method validation

The method was validated per U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [17], Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [18] and

Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) guidelines. The assay is fully validated for imprecision, accuracy, linearity, recovery, and carryover, specificity and matrix effects.

### Specificity

The assay was validated for its ability to selectively detect MMA over SA, a compound which is found in patient samples at elevated concentrations and is difficult to separate chromatographically and is known to interfere with MMA in several assays. The specificity was tested by spiking both MMA and SA into stripped human serum and extracting the samples following the protocol described above. The chromatograms of both analytes were identified based on the SRM responses and retention times (Figure 1).

#### Linearity

The linearity of this method was evaluated by using calibration curves as described in section 2.3. The calibration curves were generated by plotting the peak area ratios of MMA to the internal standard, MMA-D<sub>3</sub>. Weighted linear regression models with weights inversely proportional to the X values were used. The LLOQ was defined as the lowest concentration of analyte where the coefficient of variation (CV) was below 20%, per FDA guidelines [17]. In addition, the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of analyte at the LLOQs exceeded the minimum requirement [18].

#### Accuracy and precision

Accuracy of the assay was assessed in two ways. The first way was by performing a recovery experiment, and additionally by comparing the results of 63 residual patient samples that were analyzed at a CLIA certified national reference laboratory using LC-MS/MS. Stripped human serum was spiked with MMA at three different concentrations spanning the analytical measurement range (AMR). Each level was then extracted in triplicate and the accuracy was calculated by determining the percent recovery. The correlation study was performed by extracting each patient sample as described above and comparing the results to the result obtained from the national reference laboratory and plotting the data using linear regression analysis.

A within-day imprecision study was conducted using stripped human serum spiked with MMA at concentrations of 30, 100, 400 and 800 nMol/L. For each level, ten separate extractions were prepared and analyzed in one day and the data was evaluated. Between-day imprecision was evaluated by extracting these same samples over 20 days in triplicate. The mean and standard deviation were determined over the validation period and the imprecision was calculated. The CV for the between-day imprecision should not be greater than 15% [17].

## Matrix interference and ion suppression

To evaluate matrix effects a post column infusion experiment was performed using an experimental set-up as described previously [16]. A tee was inserted between the outlet of the analytical column and a syringe was inserted into the tee to allow for the infusion of analyte into the mass spectrometer. A standard mixture solution of MMA and MMA-D<sub>3</sub> were infused into the eluent stream at a flow rate of 10  $\mu$ L/min. The signals of the corresponding MRM transitions of the analytes were recorded. After obtaining a steady baseline, a blank serum sample was extracted and injected and processed by the TFLC-MS/MS system. Any eluting compound that interfered with the ionization of target analytes would lead to an elevation or depression of the baseline which would represent matrix effects.

Several commonly occurring endogenous compounds which have

the potential to interfere with assays were evaluated. Interferences from lipemic, hemolyzed, and icteric samples were tested by spiking MMA into patient samples containing high levels of triglyceride (926 mg/dL), hemoglobin (4.6 g/dL), and bilirubin (34.9 mg/dL). MMA was measured before and after the addition of MMA and the effect of the indices was assessed by subtracting the basal value from concentration determined after the addition of MMA.

#### Quantitation of sample carryover

Sample carryover can be an issue with on-line sample preparation systems. To rule out carryover a blank stripped serum sample was injected after the highest calibrator during the validation period to confirm it did not exceed 20% of the LLOQ [18].

## **Results and Discussion**

## Chromatographic conditions

The turbulent flow chromatography parameters were adapted from Yuan et al. [7] and optimized to maximize sensitivity for all analytes. It was determined that the combination of a Cyclone-MAX (50 mm  $\times\,0.5$ mm) and an Allure Organic Acids column (3 mm × 150 mm) produced adequate retention and separation of the compounds. Various mobile phase compositions, flow rates and profiles were evaluated. The desired sensitivity was achieved using water and water containing 0.1% formic acid in the quaternary pump for the loading and eluting solvents, respectively. While 15.2 mM ammonium acetate containing 0.06% formic acid in water and methanol were used in the binary pump for the loading and eluting solvents, respectively. The analytes were loaded on the turbulent flow column in 100% mobile phase A and transferred to the HPLC column with 100% mobile phase B using a 200  $\mu L$  transfer loop. The loading and eluting mobile phase composition for the HPLC column are depicted in Table 1. The optimal injection volume was 60 µL and the analytical column was maintained at 70°C. The integration parameters for all analytes were similar with a baseline window of Page 3 of 5

50, area noise factor of 5, peak noise factor of 10 and an integration window of 15 seconds (Table 1).

### MS/MS detection

The TFLC-MS/MS analysis was performed as described in section 2.2. The optimization of the SRM parameters was executed by direct infusion of the standards using negative electrospray ionization. The transitions monitored for MMA and MMA-D<sub>3</sub> in SRM mode were 117>73 and 120>76, respectively. Collision induced dissociation (CID) mass spectra were recorded. The optimal collision energies (CE) and tube lens values were 10 and 85 V, respectively. The skimmer offset was determined in MS mode to be optimal at a value of 10 V. The scan time was 0.05 (s) and scan width was 0.05 (m/z). The data was processed using LCquan software version 2.6.

#### Method validation


As previously stated the method was validated per U.S. Food and Drug Administration [17], Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute [18] and CLIA guidelines. The assay is fully validated for imprecision, accuracy, linearity, recovery, and carryover, specificity and matrix effects.

## Specificity

A bioanalytical method should be selective for a specific analyte and not be affected by interfering or co-eluting components in a biological matrix. A TFLC-MS/MS SRM chromatogram for MMA, MMA-D<sub>3</sub>, and SA in human serum is shown in Figure 1. The retention times for MMA, MMA-D<sub>3</sub>, and SA were 0.76, 0.76, and 1.0 minutes, respectively. It can be observed in the chromatogram that separation of MMA from the isobaric interference from SA was achieved (Figure 1).

## Linearity

A linear relationship was found between analyte concentrations



and peak area ratios throughout the AMR. The validated AMR of this method is 30-1,000 nMol/L. The coefficient of correlations (r) as determined by a six-point calibration curve was greater than >0.995. The LLOQ for this assay was determined to be 30 nMol/L. The signal-to-noise (S/N) of MMA at the LLOQ was 118; more than 5 times the S/N requirement with a between-day CV of <20% [17].

#### Accuracy and precision

To assess the accuracy and precision of this method, QC samples at three different concentrations spanning the AMR were analyzed; 100, 400, and 800 nMol/L. The concentration of MMA in each sample was determined by comparing MMA concentration to internal standard response based on the calibration curve. The imprecision was calculated as the %CV for both the within-day and between-day batches. The within-day and between-day imprecision for the assay was less than 15% for the three levels of controls while the %CV at the LLOQ was less than 20% (Table 2).

The accuracy of the assay, as evaluated by the recovery experiments, resulted in a recovery of MMA ranging between 95.8 to 110.9% (Table 3).

We developed and validated a dilution protocol to allow the measurement of patient specimens with values greater than 1000 nMol/L. Specimen containing MMA at 100,000 and 5,000 nMol/L were diluted 200 and 10-fold, respectively. The data showed <7% deviation from the expected values.

#### Correlation to alternative methods

We further analyzed the accuracy of the assay by comparing the results from 63 patients to a LC-MS/MS method from a CLIA certified national reference laboratory. The slope of the linear regression curve was within 2% and exhibited an excellent correlation coefficient as shown in Figure 2.

#### Matrix interference and ion suppression

Evaluation of possible matrix interference and ion suppression was conducted using a tee-infusion experiment which did not detect ion suppression or enhancement at the point of elution. We have also ruled out interferences from common endogenous compounds that can potentially cause interferences. No interferences were observed from triglycerides (926 mg/dL), bilirubin (34.9 mg/dL), or hemoglobin (4.6 g/dL).

Page 4 of 5

#### Quantitation of sample carryover


Sample carryover was evaluated by running a serum blank after the highest calibrator on all calibration curves during the validation period. The average carryover was determined to be less than 20% of the calculated response of the LLOQ. As mentioned previously the LLOQ of this assay is 30 nMol/L with a S/N greater than five times the minimal requirement.

## Conclusion

This article describes the development and validation of a simplified TFLC-MS/MS method for the quantification of MMA in human serum. TFLC for analyte extraction allows for reduced sample preparation and sample clean-up. Other methods have used solid phase extraction, ultra-filtration or derivatizations which are subject to elaborate procedures and additional instrumentation. This method allows for a simple protein precipitation which yields the required sensitivity for clinical applications. The assay is fully validated for specificity, sensitivity, accuracy, precision, linearity, and recovery. The method is accurate, with recoveries ranging between 96 and 111% at concentrations spanning the AMR and shows excellent agreement with an alternate LC-MS/MS assay form a CLIA certified national reference laboratory. The MMA assay is linear between 30-1000 nMol/L, and has excellent performance characteristics. There are no matrix interferences observed or interferences from other co-eluting compounds such as SA. Unlike other LC-MS/MS assays that require extensive sample preparation techniques we have development an application Utilizing turbulent flow chromatography that implements a simple protein precipitation to achieve the clinically required performance characteristics.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Department of Laboratory Medicine of Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center and through the NIH/NCI Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA008748.



Page 5 of 5

Step	Time (s)	Flow (mL/min)	%A	%В	%C	Flow (mL/Min)	Grad.	%A	%В
1	30	3.00	100	-	-	0.8	Step	92	8
2 <sup>1</sup>	30	0.90	100	-	-	0.2	Step	92	8
3 <sup>2</sup>	90	2.00	-	50	50	0.8	Step	92	8
4	20	2.00	-	50	50	0.8	Step	50	50
5	40	3.50	-	100	-	0.8	Step	50	50
6	20	3.50	-	100	-	0.8	Step	92	8
7	120	2.00	100	-	-	0.8	Ramp	92	8
8	10	3.00	100	-	-	0.8	Ramp	92	8

Table 1: Gradient used on the loading and eluting pumps for the TFLC-LS/MS analysis of MMA.

Sample	Nominal value (nMol/L)	Mean (nMol/L) Within-Day	Within-day CV (%) n=10	Mean (nMol/L) Between-Day	Between-day CV (%) n=20
LLOQ	30	29.8	19.4	32.8	19.4
Level 1	100	89.3	11.6	107.8	8.8
Level 2	400	415.2	2.8	414.5	5.0
Level 3	800	825.1	4.6	796.3	5.8

<sup>1</sup>During this step valve "A" and valve "B" are in line and the analyte is transferred from the turbulent flow column to the analytical column. <sup>2</sup>Data Acquisition window: 1.5-3.0 minutes.

Table 2: Within and between-day precision of MMA in human serum.

Sample	Nominal value (nMol/L)	Measured value (nMol/L)	%Recovery (measured/nominal)
Low	100.0	95.8 ± 13.3	95.8 ± 13.9
Medium	500.0	531.7 ± 11.6	106.3 ± 2.2
High	800.0	887.2 ± 78.4	110.9 ± 8.8

#### Table 3: Method accuracy.

#### References

- 1. Cox EV, White AM (1962) Methylmalonic acid excretion: an index of vitamin B12 deficiency. Lancet 2: 853-856.
- Fenton W, Rosenblatt D (2001) Disorders of propionate and methylmalonate metabolism. The Metabolic and Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease 2: 2165-2193.
- Kushnir M, Nelson G, Frank E, Rockwood A (2016) High-throughput analysis of methylmalonic acid in serum, plasma, and urine by LC-MS/MS. Method for analyzing isomers without chromatographic separation. Clinical Applications of Mass Spectrometry in Drug Analysis: Methods and Protocols. Methods in Molecular Biology 1378: 159-173.
- Lakso HA, Appelblad P, Schneede J (2008) Quantification of methylmalonic acid in human plasma with hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography separation and mass spectrometric detection. Clinical Chemistry 54: 2028-2035.
- Schmedes A, Brandslund I (2006) Analysis of methylmalonic acid in plasma by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Clinical Chemistry 52: 754-757.
- Magera MJ, Helgeson JK, Matern D, Rinaldo P (2000) Methylmalonic acid measured in plasma and urine by stable-isotope dilution and electrospray tandem mass spectrometry. Clinical Chemistry 46: 1804-1810.
- Yuan C, Gabler J, El-Khoury JM, Spatholt R, Wang S (2012) Highly sensitive and selective measurement of underivatized methylmalonic acid in serum and plasma by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 404: 133-140.
- Blom HJ, van Rooij A, Hogeveen M (2007) A simple high-throughput method for the determination of plasma methylmalonic acid by liquid chromatographytandem mass spectrometry. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine 45: 645-650.
- Fasching C, Singh J (2010) Quantitation of methylmalonic acid in plasma using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Methods in Molecular Biology 603: 371-378.
- Hempen C, Wanschers H, Veer G (2008) A fast liquid chromatographic tandem masss spectrometric method for the simultaneous determination of total homocysteine and methylmalonic acid. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 391: 263-270.

- Fu X, Xu Y, Chan P, Pattengale P (2013) Simple, fast, and simultaneous detection of plasma total homocysteine, methylmalonic acid, methionine, and 2-methylcitric acid using liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry (LC/ MS/MS). JIMD Reports 10: 69-78.
- Kushnir MM, Komaromy-Hiller G, Shushan B, Urry FM, Roberts WL (2002) Analysis of dicarboxylic acids by tandem mass spectrometry. High-throughput quantitative measurement of methylmalonic acid in serum, plasma, and urine. Clinical Chemistry 47: 1993-2002.
- Pedersen TL, Keyes WR, Shahab-Ferdows S, Allen LH, Newman JW (2011) Methylmalonic acid quantification in low serum volumes by UPLC-MS/MS. Journal of chromatography B Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences 879: 1502-1506.
- Mineva E, Zhang M, Rabinowitz D, Phinney K, Pfeiffer C (2015) An LC-MS/MS method for serum methylmalonic acid suitable for monitoring vitamin B12 status in population surveys. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 407: 2955-2964.
- Couchman L (2012) Turbulent flow chromatography in bioanalysis: a review. Biomedical Chromatography 26: 892-905.
- 16. Schofield RC, Ramanathan LV, Murata K, Grace M, Fleisher M, et al. (2015) Development and validation of a turbulent flow chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry method for the quantitation of methotrexate and its metabolites 7-hydroxy methotrexate and DAMPA in serum. Journal of chromatography B Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences 1002: 169-175.
- 17. FDA Guidance for Industry Bioanalytical Method Validation (2001) US department of health and human service, Food and Drug Administration. Center for drug evaluation and research (CDER).
- CLSI (2013) Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry Methods; Approved Guideline. CLSI document C62-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.