

3rd International Conference and Exhibition on

Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation

May 18-20, 2015 San Antonio, USA

Exploratory comparison of student's and clinical instructor's report of frequency and importance of professional behaviors

Trent Jackman and D Dye
Idaho State University, USA

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to explore the comparison of students' and clinical instructors' report of importance and frequency of professional behaviors during clinical education affiliations.

Number of Subjects: 136

Materials & Methods: Using the clinical instructor characteristic statements developed by Emery & Wilkinson, a survey was developed on Survey Monkey®. It contained the 43 statements about professional behaviors. The survey was sent to 142 clinical instructors (CIs) actively serving as CIs and to 118 students while on their various clinical affiliations. The CI was asked to self-report the importance of each behavior and the frequency with which she/he demonstrated the behavior using a 5 point Likert scale. The student was also asked to report their belief of the importance of each behavior and the frequency with which the CI demonstrated the behavior on the same scale.

Results: 60 CIs and 76 students completed and returned the survey resulting in a 42% and 64% return rate respectively. Characteristics rated the top in importance by the CIs included: Point out student performance discrepancies, plans effective learning experiences, perceives self as extension of academic program, defines specific objectives for the experience. Characteristics rated the top in importance by the students included: CI points out performance discrepancies, CI is extension of academic program, CI demonstrates professional behavior, CI provides unique learning experiences, CI schedules regular meetings. Characteristics demonstrated with the highest frequency according to the CIs included: Questioning/coaching in a way to facilitate student learning, providing a variety of patients, pointing out discrepancies in student performance, explaining the psychological basis of PT evaluation, making yourself understood. Characteristics the CI demonstrated with the highest frequency according to the students included: Pointing out discrepancies in your performance, questioning/coaching in a way that facilitates learning, explaining psychological basis of PT evaluation, providing unique learning experiences, observing performance in a discreet manner. When comparing student and CI perceptions of frequency, both groups gave high frequency to pointing out discrepancies, coaching that facilitates learning, and explaining the psychological basis of PT evaluation. They differed when rating providing a variety of patients, providing unique learning experiences, observing performance in a discreet manner; CI consistent extension of PT program and CI manages time well.

Conclusion: Both CIs and students report observing "pointing out discrepancies in student performance", "coaching in a way that facilitates learning", and "explaining the psychological basis of PT evaluation" with the highest frequency. Further research should be done to compare student and CI reports.

Biography

T Jackman is a Clinical Associate Professor of Physical Therapy at Idaho State University. He is the Academic Coordinator of Clinical Education in the Physical Therapy Program. His research interest includes Clinical education, professionalism, ethics, ergonomics.

jacktren@isu.edu

Notes: