

# Short Communication on Algorithmic Game Theory

Aghababa, Yera\*

Department of Science, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Iran

Algorithmic theory of games is a district within the intersection of theory of games and engineering, with the target of understanding and style of algorithms in strategic environments.

Typically, in algorithmic theory of games an issue, the input to a given rule is distributed among several players World Health Organization have a private interest within the output. In those things, the agents won't report the input honestly owing to their own personal interests. we will see algorithmic theory of games from 2 perspectives:

- **Analysis:** examine the present enforced algorithms and analyze them victimization theory of games tools: calculate and prove properties on their author equilibria, value of disorder, best-response dynamics.
- **Design:** style games that have each sensible game-theoretical and algorithmic properties. This space is termed algorithmic mechanism style. On prime of the standard necessities in classical rule style, say polynomial-time period, sensible approximation magnitude relation, the designer should conjointly care concerning incentive constraints.

**Nisan-Ronen:** a brand new framework for finding out algorithms In 1999, the seminal paper of Nisan and Ronen histrion the eye of the Theoretical engineering community to planning algorithms for self-loving (strategic) users. As they claim within the abstract:

We take into account associate algorithmic downside in an exceedingly distributed setting wherever the participants cannot be assumed to follow the rule however rather their own self-interest. participants, termed agents, square measure capable of manipulating the rule, the rule designer ought to guarantee earlier that the agents' interests square measure best served by behaving properly [1]. Following notions from the sector of mechanism style, we recommend a framework for finding out such algorithms. during this model the algorithmic answer is adorned with payments to the participants and is termed a mechanism. The payments ought to be rigorously chosen on inspire all participants to act because the rule designer desires. we tend to apply the quality tools of mechanism style to algorithmic issues and above all to the shortest path downside. This paper coined the term algorithmic mechanism style and

was recognized by the 2012 Gödel Prize committee together of "three papers birth foundation of growth in algorithmic Game Theory".

## Price of Anarchy

In 2012 Gödel Prize for elementary contributions to algorithmic theory of games introduced and developed the thought of "Price of Anarchy". In their 1999 paper "Worst-case Equilibria", Koutsoupias associated Papadimitriou projected a brand new live of the degradation of system potency thanks to the self-loving behavior of its agents: the magnitude relation of between system potency at an optimum configuration, and its potency at the worst Nash equilibrium. (The term "Price of Anarchy" solely appeared some of years later).

## The Internet as a catalyst

The Internet created a brand new economy—both as a foundation for exchange and commerce, and in its title. The procedure nature of the net allowed for the utilization of procedure tools during this new rising economy. On the opposite hand, the net itself is that the outcome of actions of the many. This was new the classic, 'top-down' approach to computation that command until then. Thus, theory of games may be a natural thanks to read the net and interactions at intervals it, each human and mechanical [2]. Game theory studies equilibria (such because the author equilibrium). Theory of games provides tools to research equilibria, and a standard approach is then to 'find the game' that is, to formalize specific web interactions as a game, and to derive the associated equilibria [3].

## REFERENCES

1. Anshelevich, E, Dasgupta, A, Kleinberg, J, Tardos, É, Wexler, T, Roughgarden, T. The Price of Stability for Network Design with Fair Cost Allocation. *SIAM J. Comput.* 2011;38(4):1602–1623.
2. Chen, Xi, Deng, X. Settling the complexity of two-player Nash equilibrium. *Proc. 47th Symp. Foundations of Computer Science.* 2006;261–271.
3. Papadimitriou, C.H., Roughgarden, T. Computing correlated equilibria in multi-player games. *J. ACM.* 2008;55(3):1–14.

\*Corresponding author: Aghababa, Yere, Associate Professor, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Iran. E-mail: Aghababa789@gmail.com

Received: August 2, 2021; Accepted: August 15, 2020; Published: August 26, 2020

Citation: Aghababa (2021) Short Communication on Algorithmic Game Theory. *Mathematica Eterna.* 11:2.35248/1314-3344.21.11.125.

Copyright: © 2021 Aghababa. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited