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Abstract
The dictionary definition of absenteeism is ‘the practice of regularly staying away from work or school without good reason’. Management is an array of different concepts which involves specialization and specification of issues as well as their solutions, especially related to that of an employee. Various theories have been put forth (namely Maslow’s hierarchy of needs, Herzberg’s theory, etc.) to understand the growing needs of motivation and retention. Despite this intensive research, most organizations face the problem of employees remaining absent from work, popularly known as absenteeism. This paper lays emphasis on the authenticity and genuine reasons of an employee to stay away from work. Hence, absenteeism is calculated on mathematical grounds as well as study based on questionnaire has been carried out in order to find out the reasons pertaining to increase in absenteeism in recent times. Research has been carried out on a leading pre-publishing service providing company in Indore. Pertaining to the privacy policy of the company, its name has been concealed and is referred to as ‘Y company’.

INTRODUCTION
Absence is the failure of worker to report for work when he is scheduled to the work. A work is to be treated as absent for the purpose of this absenteeism statistics even when he does not turn up for a week after obtaining prior permission. K.G. Desai classified absenteeism into two types viz, authorized absenteeism and unauthorized absenteeism. Authorized absenteeism is permitted absenteeism i.e., taking leave prior permission of an employer. Unauthorized absenteeism means taking leave without prior permission of an employer. Absence of worker on account of strike or lockout or layoff i.e., involuntary absent is not considered as absence for the purpose of absenteeism study.

Absence rate is the percentage of man days lost due to voluntary absent (both authorized and unauthorized) to the corresponding total man days schedule to work. It can be expressed as under:

\[
\text{Absence Rate} = \frac{\text{Man days lost (both authorized and unauthorized)}}{\text{Man days scheduled to work}} \times 100
\]

According to K.N Vaid absenteeism can be measured with the help of the following formula

\[
\text{Absence Rate} = \frac{\text{Persons not working due to Authorized leave + unauthorized leave}}{\text{Man shifts actually worked}} \times 100
\]

According to the view of K. Aswathappa, Absenteeism costs money to the organization besides reflecting employee dissatisfaction with the company. Absenteeism is unavoidable when the employee himself or herself falls sick, His or her dependents at home suddenly become unwell or there is an accident inside the plant. Unavoidable absenteeism is accepted by managers and is even sanctioned by labor laws. Avoidable absenteeism arises due to night shifts, opportunities for moon lighting and earning extra income, indebtedness, lack of job security, unfriendly supervision and job dissatisfaction. This absenteeism needs intervention by the management.

Absenteeism is of two types –
1. **Innocent Absenteeism** - Is one in which the employee is absent from work due to genuine cause or reason. It may be due to his illness or personal family problem or any other real reason

2. **Culpable Absenteeism** - is one in which a person is absent from work without any genuine reason or cause. He may be pretending to be ill or just wanted a holiday and stay at home.

Many employees will, on occasions, need a few days off work because of illness, however, when absences become more frequent or long term and reach an unacceptable level, action by management is necessary. Absence from work can be expensive in both monetary and human terms. The costs incurred when an employee is absent from work may include:
(i) Replacing the employee or requiring other staff to cover the absence;
(ii) Inability to provide services, or achieve section and departmental objectives;
(iii) Low morale and general dissatisfaction from other staff, particularly if the absence is perceived as unwarranted.

Man days lost (both authorized and unauthorized) 
--------------------------------------------- \times 100
Man days scheduled to work

Absence Rate = \frac{\text{Persons not working due to Authorized leave + unauthorized leave}}{\text{Man shifts actually worked}} \times 100
Effects of absenteeism on Industry

Absenteeism
↓
Affects production targets
↓
Increases the work load of inexperienced & less experienced
↓
Leads to rejection of finished products
↓
Increases the cost of production
↓
Lowers the Profit margin
↓
Affects Industrial growth

Effects of absenteeism on the employees

Absenteeism
↓
Reduces his earnings
↓
Adds his indebtedness
↓
Decreases the Purchasing power
↓
Leads to family problems
↓
Increases mental stress
↓
Leads to inefficiency in his job
↓
Loss of employment

Peculiar Features of Absenteeism

On the basis of micro studies undertaken in different parts of the country, certain observations may be made.

a. The rate of absenteeism is the lowest on pay day; it increases considerably on the days following the payments of wages and bonus.

b. Absenteeism is generally high among workers below 15 years of age and those above 40. The younger employees are not regular and punctual, presumably because of the employment of a large number of newcomers among the younger age groups. While the older people are not able to withstand the strenuous nature of the work.

c. The rate of absenteeism varies from department to department within a unit. As the size of the group increases, the rate of absenteeism goes up. This difference in the rate of absenteeism is believed to be due to the peculiar style and practices of management, the composition of the laborer force and the culture of the organization.

d. The percentage of absenteeism is generally higher in the day shifts.

e. The percentage of absenteeism is much higher in coal and mining industries than in organized industries

f. Absenteeism in India is seasonal in character.

g. It is the highest during March-April-May, when a land has to be prepared for monsoon saving, and also in the harvest season, when the rate goes as high as 40 percent.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

‘Y’ is a company with 1,500 employees and it is a developing company getting a new reputation in the field of e-publishing. So it is very essential for the company to concentrate in the employee’s commitment towards the work assessed for him. It is also easier to find the employee’s satisfaction towards his job by conducting a study on employee absenteeism which thereby helps the company to retain its employee, since it is found that there is a low rate of labor turnover in this company. So, this study will help the company to adopt measures to reduce absenteeism and to increase the employee’s commitment towards his job by knowing the factors which remains as a hindrance for the employee to be punctual towards his job.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

• To study the general causes for employee absenteeism
• To analyse the workplace factors which leads to employee absenteeism in the organization
• To find out the most prominent general and work life factors that leads to absenteeism in the organization

PROFILE OF THE COMPANY

Introduction

‘Y’ is one of the leading pre-publishing services companies, in the world providing end-to-end content management and content transformation services including high quality digital typewriter-publishing services (SGML, XML) data conversion and related services for books and journals, for various publishers, press and corporate, around the world. For over a decade, ‘Y’ has been offering a full range of high end publishing services for professionals and educational books/journals, reference books, elementary high school and college text books, custom made course material, user manuals and catalogues, financial and legal publications.

History

‘Y’ has emerged from a team of 5 in 1994 in over 1000 professionals today. ‘Y’ specializes in providing full service project management-publishing for books and journals, data conversion services archival solutions and a host of value added services. Having its headquarters in India, ‘Y’ has its global service delivery centers at Indore, and wholly owned subsidiaries in UK (Cambridshire) and USA (Chicago & New York).

Leadership team

Sriram Subramanian founder, MD and CEO, Y’s core management group consists of professionals with several years experience in the IT industry. This group is backed up by a team of around 800 highly qualified professionals, working together effectively with a willingness to explore new ideas and learn endlessly, setting exceptional standards of performance and productivity, creating and enhancing value for our clients.
Vision
“By 2018 it plans to emerge as the world’s leading provider of end-to-end prepress and e-publishing services, delivering superior returns to shareholders and profitable solutions to customers through empowered and professionally skilled employees.”

Clients
‘Y’ has built its clients base in the past 16 years out of its sheer focus in customer satisfaction. ‘Y’ which is a leading e-publishing company in India quotes that this client list was accomplished only by maintaining schedule integrity, providing high quality deliverables, being flexible and adaptable and forging bonds of long lasting nature. Its clients are, Elsevier, Pearson Education, Chicago University Press, Oxford University Press, Taylor & Francis, Wiley Publications, Cambridge University Press, Palgrave Macmillan, Springer, Cengage Learning

Services
‘Y’ is one of the largest providers of prepress and electronic publishing services to almost all leading global publishers and typesetters across North America, Europe and Asia Pacific. Today they are equipped to deliver a whole gamut of value-added services that include end-to-end project management, editorial services, image management and digital archiving besides traditional multilingual typesetting and data conversion services. Y’s capability extends across lines of business such as books, journals, magazines, major research works, financial content management, translation and localization and new media publishing. As a full-service house, Y provides advanced e-publishing services using the latest technologies like SGML/ XML and HTML, including SGML entry of scientific text comprising math and tables as per the DTD supplied by the client. They offer a full range of high-end publishing services for
- books and journals
- Major reference works/ encyclopedias
- College & school textbooks
- Trade books

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Editorial Services</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Other Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Management</td>
<td>Image processing</td>
<td>Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copy-editing</td>
<td>Cover page</td>
<td>DTD development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proofreading</td>
<td>Data conversion</td>
<td>Application development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexing</td>
<td>Artwork creation</td>
<td>Digital asset management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language polishing</td>
<td>Multi-language typesetting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy checking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permissions research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Company Working Hours
General shift- 9 a.m to 6 p.m
First shift- 10 a.m to 2 p.m
Second shift- 2 p.m to 10 p.m
Third shift- 10 p.m to 6 a.m

REVIEW OF LITERATURE
Theories of Absenteeism
Absence behaviour is discussed in terms of theories on absences such as the notion of the informal contract, perceived inequity, and withdrawal from stressful work situations, dynamic conflict, social exchange, withdrawal, non-attendance, organizationally excused vs. organizationally unexcused, involuntary vs. voluntary and lastly a four-category taxonomy.

Informal Contract
Gibsson (1966) attempted to explain some of the main features of absence behaviour by means of the notion of an informal contract. The contract is viewed as being made between the individual and the organisation. Gibsson (1966) was especially interested in absences that were not long enough to activate formal legitimising (certification) procedures. He used the concept of valence, referring to a person’s positive or negative relationships to a work situation and pointed out that if the combined valences of a work situation are weak, it will be easier for people to legitimise their absences to themselves.

Gibsson (1966) remarks that a plausible idea relating to the size of the organisation influences absence rates; in larger organisations, since there is greater division of labour, there is also more concealment of the contributions of individuals, thus permitting latitude for absence from work. He also mentions the importance of the employee’s identification with the organisation, as in the case of longer-service employees, and argues for the importance of the “authenticity” of the work contract (Gibsson, 1966). In other words, the organisation should be seen to offer a fair deal to the individual, whose feelings of obligation would thus be strengthened.

In this research Gibsson’s (1966) concept of valence, referring to an individual’s positive and negative relationship toward a work situation has relevance, as the aim of this research is to determine whether work-related attitudes (Job Involvement and Organisational Commitment) predict employee absenteeism. It is hypothesised that employees with low job involvement and organizational commitment (negative relationship to the work situations) will have higher levels of absenteeism.
Resolving Perceived Inequity
Adams (1965), Hill and Trist (1953) and Patchen (1960) have made notable theoretical contributions towards the study of absenteeism. No recent literature has been identified which has built on this perspective. Adams (1965) suggested that absences may be a means of resolving perceived inequity; the probability of absence behaviour will increase with the magnitude of inequity and if other means of reducing inequity are not available. Patchen (1960) had tested this kind of hypothesis; producing evidence of a relationship between absences and perceived fairness of pay, that is, employees’ feelings about how fairly they had been treated in regard to their pay levels and promotions.

Withdrawal from the Stress of Work Situations
In their study on absence, Hill and Trist (1953; 1962) contributed a theory of absence as being the withdrawal from the stress of work situations. Withdrawal is the central explanatory concept; thus, individuals experiencing conflicts of satisfaction and obligations tend to express them through labor turnover, accidents, and unsanctioned absences (this is, absences without formal permission). In addition to the views of Hill and Trist (1962), Hanisch and Hulin (1991) theorized that absenteeism and other withdrawal behaviors reflect invisible attitudes such as job dissatisfaction, low level of organisational commitment, or an intention to quit. According to this view, an employee who is absent from work is consciously or unconsciously expressing negative attachment to the organization.

Dynamic Conflict
The ‘withdrawal’ explanation offered by Hill and Trist (1962) had some subsequent influence on theoretical discussions by Ås (1962) and Knox (1961). Gadourek (1965) described the latter as ‘dynamic conflict’ theories. The conflict is located within the individual, and whether a person stays or withdraws is the result of a complex in incentives and stresses.

Social Exchange
Chadwick-Jones (1982) presented a case for the theory of absenteeism that is social, not individual in emphasis. As a first step Chadwick-Jones (1982) assumed the interdependency of members of work organisations. It seems obvious that individuals do have some mutual obligations to peers, subordinates, and superiors (as well as other relationships outside the work situation). In this context the rights and duties of individuals are both subject to, and representative of, a set of rules about activities in the work situation. What individuals do is therefore likely to be in answer to, on behalf of, in defence of, as well as achieving a compromise with the rules of the group.

The second assumption made by Chadwick-Jones (1982), is that under the employment contract, some form of social exchange is taking place between employers and employees. Whatever they exchange in this situation – whether it be their time, effort, or skill or money, security, congenial friends, or anything else- it will be only what is possible for employees in the organisation. Exchanges may be conceived as between individuals and work groups, or between work groups and management, but it would not be realistic to conceive of the exchange between ‘the individual’ and ‘the organisation’ while disregarding the social conditions and rules.

Chadwick-Jones think of social exchange between employees and employers as developing in, or as revealed by, a pattern of behaviour in the work situation that includes absences with all the other factors that constitute the contract, formal and informal, between employers and employees. Formal factors include pay, hours, disciplinary rules, job duties, and promotion lines. Informal ones include supervisory styles, peer group relations, and – salient to their analysis – absence from work. Chadwick-Jones (1982) however, do point out that absences may not enter into the exchange at all, insofar as some employees or employee groups, especially those with higher status – supervisors in factories, managers in banks – are absent very little or hardly at all. It is quite possible, however, that managers possess greater control over the allocation of their working time and may take periods of ‘time out’ that are not recorded.

Withdrawal
According to Chadwick-Jones (1982), absence from work, where work is defined by the employee’s presence at a particular location (office or workshop) for a fixed period each day, can be interpreted as an individual act of choice between alternative activities; as withdrawal or escape from surveillance; as individual or group resistance to an inflexible system. Thus, absence may also be viewed as a stratagem in inter-group relations, as a defensive or aggressive act in inter-group conflict (Chadwick-Jones et al., 1982). For the purpose of this research this theory has relevance, as the reasons for absence behaviour could be related to a choice of alternative activities instead of attending work.

Non-Attendance
Another definition of absenteeism refers to the non-attendance of employees for scheduled work (Gibons, 1966; Johns, 1978; Jones, 1971). The definition distinguishes absenteeism from other forms of non-attendance that are arranged in advanced (e.g. vacations) and specifically avoids judgments of legitimacy associated with absent events that are implied by as sick leave. This definitional emphasis seeks to focus on the key organisational consequences of unscheduled non-attendance – instability in the supply of labor to the organisation resulting in the disruption of scheduled work processes and the loss of under utilisation of productive capacity (Allen, 1981; Jones, 1971, Nicholson, 1977). For this research this definition will be applicable, as the researcher will not take into account absences due to vacation leave and sick leave taken over more than three days.

Organisationally Excused Vs Unexcused
In terms of distinguishing among types of absence, one simple distinction that previous studies (Blau, 1985; Cheloha & Farr, 1980; Firzgibbons & Moch, 1980) made is between organisationally excused versus organisationally unexcused absences. Based on these studies, it seems that organisations operationalise excused absence to include (within defined limits) categories such as personal sickness, jury duty, religious holidays, funeral leave, and
transportation problems. However, as Johns and Nicholson (1982) noted, absence behaviour can have a variety of meanings for individuals. This research will focus on the organizationally unexcused type of absenteeism.

**Involuntary Vs Voluntary**

March and Simon (1958) on the other hand, distinguished between two basic types of absences: involuntary (e.g. certified sickness, funeral attendance) and voluntary (e.g. vocation, uncertified sickness). Voluntary absences are under the direct control of the employee and are frequently utilised for personal aims. Conversely, involuntary absences are beyond the employee’s immediate control. Hence, voluntary rather than involuntary absences from work may reflect job dissatisfaction and lack of commitment to the organization.

**A Four-Category Taxonomy**

Blau and Boal (1987) presented a four-category taxonomy describing the meanings of absence. These categories are medical, career enhancing, normative and calculative. In the medical category, absence is viewed as a response to various infrequent and uncontrollable events (illness, injury, fatigue, and family demands). If such an absence (medical) occurred, it probably would be operationalised as a sporadically occurring excused absence (Blau & Boal, 1987). In the career enhancing category, absence is depicted as a mechanism that gives the employee a further choice to pursue task- and career-related goals.

For the normative category, absence is viewed less as a motivated behaviour and more as a habitual response to the norms of the work group (organisation) regarding absence (Blau & Boal, 1987). As such, this type of absence probably would operationalise as a consistently occurring excused absence. Thus, for this group, it would be expected not only to predict frequency, but also when absenteeism will happen.

Finally, the calculative absence is viewed as a coin of exchange (Blau and Boal, 1987; Johns & Nicholson, 1982) in either fulfilling or modifying the implicit social contract between the employee and employer, and as a time allocation strategy for enhancing non-work outcomes. This type of absence would be operationalised in terms of the employee using a certain number of excused and unexcused absences permitted by the organization, depending on how much the employee felt he or she should modify the implicit social contract. It could be predicted that an extremely apathetic employee (low job involvement and organizational commitment) would take full advantage by using both kinds of absence. Thus, the absolute frequency and total number of days absent should be greatest for workers who are the most apathetic.

**Actions to Reduce Absenteeism**

The industrial society survey found that the top ban most effective ways of managing attendance adopted by the 486 respondents were.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Accurate monitoring</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Return to work policy</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Written absence policy</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Training of line managers</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Motivation</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Discipline</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Communicating absence rates</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Senior management commitment</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Performance appraisal</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Team working</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Jackson (1994) in study based upon a sample of 550 employees found that the causes of excessive absenteeism were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Poor work habits</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Personal adjustments</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Dissatisfaction</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Irresponsibility</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Outside difficulties</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Sickness or fatigue</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Mann and Baumgartner (1952) report a study typical of the University of Michigan Group. They compared the attitudes of high and low absentee rate employees. The investigators found that a more favorable attitude toward supervisor and a more favorable feeling about work associates accompanied lower absentee rates for both blue- and white-collar workers. According to their study absenteeism rises as supervising quality decreases.

2. In a study of absenteeism of 4 major textile mills in Delhi – covering a period of 4 years-1960 to 1963 –Made by The Shri Ram Centr e for industrial relations, the following facts were revealed.

- About 40% of total yearly absences occurred with the permission of management
- About 25% were due to sickness and accidents and were granted by ESI.
- The remaining 35% were caused by workers’ unauthorized absenteeism

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The questions in the questionnaire are framed on the basis of the factors responsible for absenteeism.

**ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION**

Analysis using simple random sampling
Demographic details:

AGE OF THE RESPONDENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>No. of respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INFERENCES

It is inferred that 79% of the employees who participated are in the age group of 20-30, 18% come under the category of 30-40 and only 2% belongs to the category of 40-50.

SEX OF THE RESPONDENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>No. of respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INFERENCES

It has been inferred that 62% of the employees who participated in the survey are female and the remaining 38% come under male category.

Marital Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>No. of Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widow</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INFERENCE
It has been inferred that 64% of the employees who participated in the survey are single and 33% of the respondents are married. Rest of them are categorized under the category of widows.

General causes for employee absenteeism:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Other sources of income</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Higher studies</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Time for personal activities</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Health problems</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Employee’s commitment</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Transportation problems</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Family responsibilities</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Lack of interest</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INFERENCE
It has been found that 15% and 16% of the employees absent themselves because of other businesses, since their income is not enough for their family expenses. Whereas among the remaining some are neutral and some strongly disagree to this statement. This clearly shows that very minimum number of people are engaged in some other business
40% of the respondents strongly agree that they had to concentrate in their higher studies which make them to take leave, since most of the employees working in the organisation are freshers, coming under the age group of 20-30 and they just work here to gain some experience.

41% of the respondents strongly agree that they don’t get time for their personal activities because of their tight schedule and heavy work which becomes a major factor to make them absent.

Most of the employees disagree to the fact that health problems make them absent from their work and only 6% of them agree that their health gets affected often.

This pie chart shows that 23% and 6% percent of the employees disagree and strongly disagree to the fact that their absence doesn’t affect the company’s output. Anyway this ratio is less because only employees at high level are really feeling committed towards their work.
**Transportation Problems**

**INFERENCEx**
Only 4% and 7% of the employees strongly agree and agree to this statement whereas the remaining are comfortable reaching their workplace since most of the employees recruited were residing close to their workplace and most of them were found to have two wheelers and four wheelers.

![Transportation Problems Graph](image)

**Family Responsibilities**

**INFERENCEx**
41% and 32% of the employees disagree and strongly disagree that their worklife is affected by their family responsibilities this shows that they were able to able their work and family because the company doesn’t give any home assignments and projects to the employees.

![Family Responsibilities Graph](image)

**Lack of Interest**

**INFERENCEx**
31% and 23% of the employees strongly agree and agree to the statement that they are not into the right job since most of them were engineering and science graduates who were doing the job of diploma holders and typewriters.

![Lack of Interest Graph](image)
Factors responsible for employee’s absenteeism at the workplace:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Employee satisfaction</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Stress level of employees</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Pay scale</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Loneliness at the workplace</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Routine work causes boredom</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Working conditions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Welfare measures</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Shift basis- drawback</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Lack of motivation</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Colleagues co-operation</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INFERENACE**

It has been inferred that 20% and 26% of the respondents were dissatisfied with their job because most of them felt that they didn’t fit in with the task allotted to them and some felt that they were dominated by their higher officials and didn’t get any freedom to share their views.

**Stress level of Employees**

Nearly 58% and 22% of the respondents have agreed that their work is heavy and tiresome which causes stress and due to stress they absent themselves to relax. This was found to be the major reason for most of the employees to be absent.

**Pay Scale**

50% and 27% of the employees feel that the salary given to them is not satisfactory since their work is stressful and...
they don’t get any performance pay even if they work for overtime. They feel that the work assessed to them is greater and their pay scale is lesser.

**Inference**

Only 8% and 3% of the respondents feel lonely at their workplace. This shows that this is a very minor reason for absenteeism in the company. Because, most of the employees were from the same locality and were found to have been college mates or schoolmates.

**Inference**

41% agree and 12% strongly agree that the same routine work allotted to them causes boredom towards their work which makes them to absent. This will also lead to lack of interest towards the work.

**Inference**

None of the respondents are dissatisfied with their existing working conditions. This shows that they are satisfied with the infrastructure and atmosphere in which they work.
**INFERENCE**

It has been found that only 2% of the employees are dissatisfied with the welfare measures adopted by the company and the rest of them strongly disagree to this statement.

**INFERENCE**

20% and 40% of the respondents agree and strongly agree to that shift basis is a strong reason for their absence. This is because 62% of the respondents who participated in the survey were female, who feel that they are not comfortable with the night shifts.

**INFERENCE**

Only 11% and 28% of the respondents agree and strongly agree that they don’t get proper motivation from their superiors, whereas 32% of the employees disagree to this statement.
Only 11% of the respondents strongly agree that their colleagues are not co-operative, whereas 60% of the respondents disagree to this fact, so it is evident that this factor doesn’t play a major role in absenteeism.

Inference:

From the above table we can infer that the reason of higher studies was ranked first among the other causes, most of the employees also feel that they don’t get ample time for their personal activities so it has been ranked second. Lack of interest towards the job has been ranked third, since most of them expect the right kind of job to be allotted to them.

Inference:
The first main workplace factor was found to be the stress level of the employees. It was also found that most of the employees were not satisfied with their pay scale. Therefore it has been ranked second. Shift basis was also found to be the third main reason for the employee’s absenteeism in this company.

Findings:

General factors responsible for absenteeism:
Since most of the employees are working in a temporary basis and pursuing their studies, they tend to be absent to a greater extent.
Lack of time for recreation and personal needs due to work pressure encourage them to take leave.
Lack of interest towards the job due to over qualification and mismatch of the job makes them to be absent.
Worklife factors responsible for absenteeism:

Employees experience a higher level of stress since their work is heavy and tiresome, which makes them to be absent.
Most of them feel that they are underpaid because they feel that for the same qualification other companies give them better compensation.
The female employees don’t feel comfortable with all the shifts, mainly the night shifts.

SUGGESTIONS

The company itself should provide support and back-up to its employees by having tie-up with educational organisations and conducting training programme and seminars once in a while.
The company can help the employees have get together or peer groups at the end of every month.
The people who are overqualified and not suitable for that particular job should not be recruited.
The company should allot some time for entertainment in addition to the lunch break and it was found that most of the employees needed a break at least for alternate Saturdays.
The company can conduct performance appraisal once in 2 months. It can give overtime incentive for people who work for the organisation in Saturdays. It should also revise and increase the pay scale based on the profits of the company

CONCLUSION

Service industry should be very cautious while recruiting the employees. The company should not solely concentrate in getting the work done by the employees; it should also give its employees some time for fun and entertainment. Since this is a fastly developing company here in India, it has to look after that its employees are satisfied with salary and compensation given to them. The company can try adopting the suggestions given above to reduce absenteeism in the company. Since most if the employees working here are coming under the age group of 20-30, they will like to socialize in their weekends with their friends or family, so the company can free them in their Saturdays.
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Annexures:

QUESTIONNAIRE

A Study on Employee Absenteeism with reference to ‘Y Company’ in Indore, India.

Name: ..............................

Age
a.) 20-30       b.) 31-40       c.) 41-50

Sex
a.) Male       b.) Female

Marital Status
a.) Single     b.) Married     c.) Divorced    d.)Widow(er)
General factors:

1. I have some other source of income which prompts me to take leave
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

2. I concentrate more on my higher studies when compared to my work
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

3. I am not into the job assigned to me and I am seeking for the appropriate job
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

4. I don’t get enough time to spend with my family
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

5. I feel that sickness makes me absent from work often
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

6. I feel that my worklife is affected by the family responsibilities
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

7. I feel that my absence doesn’t affect the company’s output
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

8. I do absent myself often because of transportation problems
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

Worklife factors:

1. I am not satisfied with my works
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

2. Stress is a part of my work life
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

3. I am not satisfied with the salary and allowances
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

4. I feel lonely while working with others (in your workplace)
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

5. I feel bored with the same routine work
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

6. I don’t feel satisfied with the existing working condition
   a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

7. I am not satisfied with the welfare measures adopted by the company
a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

8. Shift basis is a stumbling block for my regularity

a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

9. I don’t get proper motivation from my superiors

a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

10. I am not getting sufficient co-operation from my colleagues

a.) Strongly agree  b.) Agree  c.) Neutral  d.) Disagree  e.) Strongly disagree

Any other reasons, for your absence, please specify

...........................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................

Any suggestions to reduce absenteeism in your company

...........................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................