Mathematica Aeterna, Vol. 4, 2014, no. 1, 37 - 44

Some Properties of Distributional Wedge Products

GAO Hongya

College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China

LIU Qianqian

Industrial and Commercial College, Hebei University, Baoding, 071002, China

CHU Yuming

Faculty of Science, Huzhou Teachers College, Huzhou, 313000, China

Abstract

This paper considers the distributional wedge product. Some properties are proved, which can be used in the study of quasiregular mappings and mappings of finite distortion.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J50, 35J60.

Keywords: Distributional wedge product, Orlicz space, integrability.

1 Introduction

In the theory of non-linear differential forms and their applications to modern theory of mappings, one of the most important concepts is the distributional wedge product. As a generalization of distributional Jacibian, it has important applications in the theory of geometric function theory and non-linear analysis, see [1-3]. In this paper, we give some properties of the distributional wedge products.

Let $f = (f^1, f^2, \dots, f^n) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Sobolev mapping. Given a pair of ordered ℓ -tuples $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell)$ and $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_\ell)$, there is an associated $\ell \times \ell$ minor of the differential matrix $Df = \left(\frac{\partial f^i}{\partial x_j}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le n}$. We shall use the

following notation for such minors

$$\frac{\partial^{I} f}{\partial x_{J}} = \frac{\partial(f^{i_{1}}, f^{i_{2}}, \cdots, f^{i_{\ell}})}{\partial(x_{j_{1}}, x_{j_{2}}, \cdots, x_{j_{\ell}})} = \det\left[\frac{\partial f^{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right]_{i \in I, j \in J}$$

Thus the (i, j)th entry of Df is obtained when I = (i) and J = (j), while the Jacobian determinant is obtained when $I = J = N = (1, 2, \dots, n)$. For $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_\ell)$, denote by $N - J = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n-\ell})$ obtained from $N = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ by deleting all terms in J.

Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n denote the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n . For each $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n$ denote by $\bigwedge^{\ell} = \bigwedge^{\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of ℓ -covectors on \mathbb{R}^n , $\bigwedge^0 = \mathbb{R}$, $\bigwedge^1 = \mathbb{R}^n$. Then \bigwedge^{ℓ} consists of linear combinations of exterior products

$$e_I = e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_\ell},$$

where $I = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_\ell)$ is an ℓ -tuple.

For a smooth mapping $f = (f^1, f^2, \dots, f^n) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $1 \le l \le n$, one can use Stoke's theorem to write

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) df^{i_1} \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_l} \wedge dx_{N-J} = -\int_{\Omega} f^{i_1} d\varphi \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_l} \wedge dx_{N-J},$$

where $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. This later integral actually converges for mappings in the Sobolev space $W_{loc}^{1,s}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, with $s = \frac{nl}{n+1}$. Indeed, we have

$$\left| d\varphi \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_l} \right| \leq |\nabla \varphi| |Df|^{l-1},$$

and this last term lies in $L_{loc}^{\frac{n\ell}{(n+1)(\ell-1)}}(\Omega)$, whereas f^{i_1} is locally in the dual space $L_{loc}^{\frac{n\ell}{n-\ell+1}}(\Omega)$, by the Sobolev embedding theorem. An immediate consequence of this is that we are able to make the following definition.

Definition 1.1 The distributional wedge product is defined for mappings $f \in W_{loc}^{1,s}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s = \frac{n\ell}{n+1}$ and any ordered ℓ -tuples $I = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_\ell)$ by the rule

$$\mathcal{J}_{f^{I}}^{J}[\varphi] = -\int_{\Omega} f^{i_{1}}d\varphi \wedge df^{i_{2}} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_{\ell}} \wedge dx_{N-J}$$

for $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

This definition gives us the continuous non-linear operator

$$\mathcal{J}_{f^{I}}^{J}: W_{loc}^{1,\frac{n\ell}{n+1}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}) \to \mathcal{D}'(\Omega),$$

where $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ represents the dual space to $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, that is, the space of Schwarz distributions. If $\ell = n$, then the distributional wedge product coincides with the distributional Jacobian, see [1].

2 Some Properties of Distributional Wedge Products

In the following, C(n) is some constant depending only on the dimension n, it may vary from line to line. In this section, we give some properties of distributional wedge products. The first result to consider is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 Let $f \in W^{1,s}_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $s = \frac{n\ell}{n+1}$, and $Q \subset \Omega$ be a cube. If the test function $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(Q)$ satisfies $|\nabla \varphi| \leq C(n)/\operatorname{diam}(Q)$, then for any ordered ℓ -tuples $I = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_\ell)$, we have

$$\left|\mathcal{J}_{f^{I}}^{J}[\varphi]\right| = \left|\int_{Q} \varphi(x) df^{i_{1}} \wedge df^{i_{2}} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_{l}} \wedge dx_{N-J}\right| \le C(n) \left|Q\right|^{1-\frac{1}{s}} \left(\int_{Q} |Df|^{s}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Proof. We only need to prove the last inequality. Denote by $f_Q^{i_1}$ the integral mean of f^{i_1} over Q, that is, $f_Q^{i_1} = -f_Q f^{i_1} dx$. By Stoke's theorem, Hölder's inequality and Poincaré-Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \mathcal{J}_{f^{I}}^{J}[\varphi] \right| &= \left| \int_{Q} \varphi(x) df^{i_{1}} \wedge df^{i_{2}} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_{l}} \wedge dx_{N-J} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{Q} (f^{i_{1}} - f^{i_{1}}_{Q}) d\varphi \wedge df^{i_{2}} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_{l}} \wedge dx_{N-J} \right| \\ &\leq \int_{Q} |f^{i_{1}} - f^{i_{1}}_{Q}|| \nabla \varphi ||Df|^{\ell-1} dx \leq \frac{C(n)}{\operatorname{diam}\left(Q\right)} \int_{Q} |f^{i_{1}} - f^{i_{1}}_{Q}||Df|^{\ell-1} dx \\ &\leq \frac{C(n)}{\operatorname{diam}\left(Q\right)} \left(\int_{Q} |f^{i_{1}} - f^{i_{1}}_{Q}|^{\frac{n\ell}{n-\ell+1}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-\ell+1}{n\ell}} \left(\int_{Q} |Df|^{\frac{n\ell}{n+1}} dx \right)^{\frac{(n+1)(\ell-1)}{n\ell}} \\ &\leq \frac{C(n)}{\operatorname{diam}\left(Q\right)} \left(\int_{Q} |Df|^{\frac{n\ell}{n+1}} dx \right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}} = C(n) |Q|^{1-\frac{l}{s}} \left(\int_{Q} |Df|^{s} \right)^{\frac{l}{s}}. \end{split}$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2 If n-l+1 coordinate functions of a mapping $f = (f^1, f^2, \dots, f^n) \in W^{1,\ell}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ vanish on $\partial\Omega$ in the Sobolev sense, then for any ordered ℓ -tuples $I = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_\ell)$,

$$\int_{\Omega} df^{i_1} \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_l} \wedge dx_{N-J} = 0.$$
(2.1)

If two mappings $f, g \in W^{1,\ell}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ agree on $\partial\Omega$ in the Sobolev sense, then

$$\int_{\Omega} df^{i_1} \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_\ell} \wedge dx_{N-J} = \int_{\Omega} dg^{i_1} \wedge dg^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dg^{i_\ell} \wedge dx_{N-J}.$$
(2.2)

Proof. It is no loss of generality to assume that f^{i_k} vanishes on $\partial\Omega$ in the Sobolev sense, for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$, because otherwise we will have a contradiction. Stoke's theorem yields

$$\int_{\Omega} df^{i_1} \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_l} \wedge dx_{N-J}$$

$$= (-1)^{k-1} \int_{\Omega} d\left(f^{i_k} df^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df^{i_k}} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_\ell} \wedge dx_{N-J}\right)$$

$$= (-1)^{k-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} f^{i_k} df^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{df^{i_k}} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_\ell} \wedge dx_{N-J} = 0$$

where the circumflex over a term means it is to be omitted. If $f, g \in W^{1,\ell}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ agree on $\partial\Omega$ in the Sobolev sense, then by (2.1),

$$\int_{\Omega} df^{i_1} \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_{\ell}} \wedge dx_{N-J} - \int_{\Omega} dg^{i_1} \wedge dg^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dg^{i_{\ell}} \wedge dx_{N-J}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \int_{\Omega} df^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d(f^{i_k} - g^{i_k}) \wedge \dots \wedge dg^{i_{\ell}} \wedge dx_{N-J} = 0.$$

From this (2.2) follows. This ends the proof of Theorem 2.2.

We now need a few facts from harmonic analysis in order to state and prove Theorem 2.3. For $h \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq s < \infty$, the maximal function of h is defined by

$$(M_sh)(x) = \sup\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |h|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} : x \in Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

The following result represents a slight strengthening of the well-known weaktype inequality

$$|\{x: M_s h(x) > 2t\}| \le \frac{C(n,s)}{t^s} \int_{|h(x)| > t} |h(x)|^s dx.$$

Another prerequisite for the proof of Theorem 3 is the Whitney decomposition and the adjusted partition of unity, see [4]. Let F be a non-empty closed set in \mathbb{R}^n and Ω its complement. Then there is a collection $\mathcal{F} = \{Q_1, Q_2, \cdots\}$ of non-overlapping cubes such that

- 1. $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i;$
- 2. diam $Q_i \leq \text{dist } (Q_i, F) \leq 4 \text{diam} Q_i;$
- 3. λQ_i intersects F if $\lambda \geq 7n$.

Here we denote by λQ the cube which has the same centre as Q but is expanded (or contracted) by the factor λ . The last fact follows from elementary geometric considerations. We follow the notation used in [4] and write $Q_i^* = \frac{11}{10}Q_i$. Now there exists a partition of unity $1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(x), x \in \Omega$, where $\varphi_i \in C_0^{\infty}(Q_i^*)$ are non-negative functions such that

$$|\nabla \varphi_i(x)| \le \frac{C(n)}{\operatorname{diam}(Q_i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots$$

Theorem 2.3 Let $f \in W^{1,s}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s = \frac{nl}{n+1}$ have compact support and let

$$M(x) = (M_s |Df|)(x).$$

Then for all but a countable number of t > 0 we have

$$\left|\int_{M(x)\leq 2t} df^{i_1} \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_l} \wedge dx_{N-J}\right| \leq C(n)t^{l-s} \int_{|Df(x)|>t} |Df(x)|^s dx.$$
(2.3)

An Orlicz function is a continuously increasing function satisfying

$$P: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty), P(0) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{t \to \infty} P(t) = \infty.$$

The Orlicz space $L^{P}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n})$ consists of those Lebesgue measurable mappings defined in Ω and valued in the space \mathbb{R}^{n} such that

$$\int_{\Omega} P(\lambda|f|) dx < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda = \lambda(f) > 0.$$

The spaces $L^{P}_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n})$ and $W^{1,P}_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n})$ are easy to understand.

Theorem 2.4 Under the divergence condition

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} P(t) \frac{dt}{t^{l+1}} = +\infty \tag{2.4}$$

and the convexity assumption

$$t \to P(t^{\frac{n+1}{nl}}) \text{ is convex}$$
 (2.5)

on the Orlicz function P = P(t), we have for each $H \in L^{P}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$,

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} t^{l-s} \int_{|H(x)| > t} |H(x)|^s dx = 0,$$

where $s = \frac{nl}{n+1}$.

The proof of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 are just a little modifications of [1, Lemmas 7.2.1 and 7.2.2] by using the maximal function, Whitney decomposition and Theorem 2.2. We omit the details.

Theorem 2.5 Let f lies in $W_{loc}^{1,P}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with the Orlicz function P satisfying the divergen condition (2.4) and the convexity condition (2.5). Then for any ordered ℓ -tuples $I = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_\ell)$, if

$$\frac{\partial^{I} f}{\partial x_{J}} = \frac{\partial(f^{i_{1}}, f^{i_{2}}, \cdots, f^{i_{l}})}{\partial(x_{j_{1}}, x_{j_{2}}, \cdots, x_{j_{l}})} \ge 0,$$

then it is locally integrable, and

$$\mathcal{J}_{f^{I}}^{J}[\varphi] = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) df^{i_{1}} \wedge df^{i_{2}} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_{l}} \wedge dx_{N-J} = (-1)^{\sigma(J,N-J)} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) \frac{\partial f^{I}}{\partial x_{J}} dx$$

for every test function $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, where $\sigma(J, N-J)$ is the sign of the induced permutation which is either odd or even.

Proof We choose an arbitrary non-negative test function $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. We choose yet another test function $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ which is equal to 1 on the support of φ . Thus

$$\frac{\partial(\varphi f^{i_1}, f^{i_2}, \cdots, f^{i_l})}{\partial(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \cdots, x_{j_l})} = \frac{\partial(\varphi f^{i_1}, \eta f^{i_2}, \cdots, \eta f^{i_l})}{\partial(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \cdots, x_{j_l})}$$

Note that the mapping $f' = (\varphi f^1, \eta f^2, \dots, \eta f^n)$ lies in the Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^{1,P}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let

$$M'(x) = (M_s | Df'|)(x).$$

Because of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, we have

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \left| \int_{M'(x) < 2t} d(\varphi f^{i_1}) \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_l} \wedge dx_{N-J} \right| = 0.$$
 (2.6)

We now split the integrand as

$$d(\varphi f^{i_1}) \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_l} \wedge dx_{N-J}$$

= $\varphi(x) df^{i_1} \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_l} \wedge dx_{N-J} + f^{i_1} d\varphi \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_l} \wedge dx_{N-J}.$

The second term here is in fact integrable on Ω and, by the very definition of distributional wedge product, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{M'(x)<2t}f^{i_1}d\varphi\wedge df^{i_2}\wedge\cdots\wedge df^{i_l}\wedge dx_{N-J}=-\mathcal{J}_{f^I}^J[\varphi].$$

The first term is non-negative, so the limit of the integral in question exists and is equal to $\mathcal{J}_{f^{I}}^{J}[\varphi]$. That is

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{M' < 2t} \varphi(x) df^{i_1} \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_l} \wedge dx_{N-J} = \mathcal{J}_{f^I}^J[\varphi]$$

by (2.6). Now the monotone convergence theorem makes it possible for us to pass to the limit under the domain of integration to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) df^{i_1} \wedge df^{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_l} \wedge dx_{N-J} = \mathcal{J}_{f^I}^J[\varphi]$$
(2.7)

for every non-negative test function $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. This shows, in particular, that the

$$\frac{\partial^{I} f}{\partial x_{J}} = \frac{\partial(f^{i_{1}}, f^{i_{2}}, \cdots, f^{i_{l}})}{\partial(x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \cdots, x_{i_{l}})}$$

is locally integrable. To complete the proof we note that we did not really have to use a smooth test function $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. The same arguments work for non-negative Lipschitz functions. If $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ changes sign, we can apply (2.7) to the positive and negative parts of φ respectively. The identity at (2.7) remains valid for all test functions, completing the proof of Theorem 2,5.

Theorem 2.6 Under the same conditions with Theorem 5, if n - l + 1coordinate functions of $f = (f^1, f^2, \dots, f^n)$ lies in $W_0^{1,P}(B)$ for some relatively compact subdomain $B \subset \Omega$, then for any ordered ℓ -tuples $I = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_\ell)$,

$$\frac{\partial^{I} f}{\partial x_{J}} = \frac{\partial (f^{i_{1}}, f^{i_{2}}, \cdots, f^{i_{l}})}{\partial (x_{j_{1}}, x_{j_{2}}, \cdots, x_{j_{l}})} \equiv 0$$

almost everywhere in B.

Proof It is no loss of generality to assume $f^{i_1} \in W_0^{1,P}(B)$. Then its extension by zero lies in $W^{1,P}(\Omega)$ and we have

$$\frac{\partial(f^{i_1}, f^{i_2}, \cdots, f^{i_l})}{\partial(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \cdots, x_{j_l})} = 0$$

outside B. Consider a test function $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ equal to 1 on B. Then

$$\int_{B} df^{i_{1}} \wedge df^{i_{2}} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_{l}} \wedge dx_{N-J}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) df^{i_{1}} \wedge df^{i_{2}} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_{l}} \wedge dx_{N-J} = \mathcal{J}_{f^{I}}^{J}[\varphi]$$

$$= -\int_{\Omega} f^{i_{1}} d\varphi \wedge df^{i_{2}} \wedge \dots \wedge df^{i_{l}} \wedge dx_{N-J} = 0.$$

Since we have $\frac{\partial^I f}{\partial x_J} \ge 0$ almost everywhere, it follows that

$$\frac{\partial(f^{i_1}, f^{i_2}, \cdots, f^{i_l})}{\partial(x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \cdots, x_{j_l})} = 0$$

almost everywhere.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This research is supported by NSF of Hebei Province (A2011201011).

References

- [1] T.Iwaniec, G.Martin, Geometric function theory and non-linear analysis, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2001.
- [2] T.Iwaniec, G.Martin, Quasiregular mappings in even dimensions, Acta Math., 1993, 170: 29-81.
- [3] T.Iwaniec, *p*-harmonic tensors and quasiregular mappings, Ann. of Math., 1992, 136: 589-624.
- [4] E.M.Stein, Some results in harmonic analysis in \mathbb{R}^n for $n \to \infty$, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 1983, 9: 71-73.

Received: January, 2014