

Relation between S-Metric And $\mathcal{M}$ -Fuzzy Metric Spaces

Zeinab Hassanzadeh

Department of Mathematics, Qaemshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran
Z.hassanzadeh1368@yahoo.com

Atena Javaheri

Department of Mathematics, Qaemshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran
Javaheri.a91@gmail.com

Shaban Sedghi

Department of Mathematics, Qaemshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr, Iran
sedghi_gh@yahoo.com

Nabi Shobe

Department of Mathematics, Babol Branch, Islamic Azad University, Babol, Iran
nabi_shobe@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this work we have considered several common fixed point results in S-metric spaces for weak compatible mappings. By applications of these results we establish some fixed point theorems in fuzzy S-metric spaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54E40; 54E35; 54H25

Keywords: Fuzzy contractive mapping; Complete fuzzy metric space; Common fixed point theorem; R -weakly commuting maps.

¹The corresponding author: sedghi_gh@yahoo.com (Shaban Sedghi Ghadikolaei).

1 Introduction

In this paper we establish some fixed point results in a fuzzy S-metric space by applications of certain fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces. Also we

prove some fixed point results in S-metric spaces. Fuzzy metric space was first introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [13]. Subsequently, George and Veeramani had given a modified definition of fuzzy metric spaces [5]. Fixed point results in such spaces have been established in a large number of works. Some of these works are noted in [6, 17, 15, 23, 24, 25].

Definition 1.1 [5] *A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t-norm if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) *$*$ is associative and commutative,*
- (2) *$*$ is continuous,*
- (3) *$a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,*
- (4) *$a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.*

Two typical examples of continuous t-norm are $a * b = ab$ and $a * b = \min(a, b)$.

Here we have considered definition of fuzzy metric space (non-Archimedean).

Definition 1.2 [16] *A 3-tuple $(X, M, *)$ is called a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for each $x, y, z \in X$ and $t, s > 0$:*

- (1) *$M(x, y, t) > 0$,*
- (2) *$M(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$,*
- (3) *$M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$,*
- (4) *$M(x, z, t) * M(z, y, s) \leq M(x, y, t \vee s)$, where $t \vee s = \max\{s, t\}$,*
- (5) *$M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.*

All fuzzy metric in this paper are assumed to be non-Archimedean.

In 1976, Jungck [8] introduced the notion of commuting mappings to find common fixed point results in metric spaces. Later on, in [9] Jungck proposed the notion of compatible mappings which is a generalization of the concept of commuting mapping. Some common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings and their generalizations are addressed in [10, 11, 14, 26]. In this paper we consider weak compatible mappings.

Definition 1.3 [18] *Let A and S be mappings from a metric space X into itself. Then the mappings are said to be weak compatible if they commute at a coincidence point, that is, $Ax = Sx$ implies that $ASx = SAx$.*

2 Preliminary Notes

First we recall some notions, lemmas, and examples which will be useful later.

Definition 2.1 [21] Let X be a nonempty set. A function $S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be an S -metric on X , if for each $x, y, z, a \in X$,

1. $S(x, y, z) \geq 0$,
2. $S(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
3. $S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)$.

The pair (X, S) is called an S -metric space.

Example 2.2 [21] We can easily check that the following examples are S -metric spaces.

1. Let $X = R^n$ and $\|\cdot\|$ a norm on X . Then $S(x, y, z) = \|y + z - 2x\| + \|y - z\|$ is an S -metric on X .

In general, if X is a vector space over R and $\|\cdot\|$ a norm on X . Then it is easy to see that

$$S(x, y, z) = \|\alpha y + \beta z - \lambda x\| + \|y - z\|,$$

where $\alpha + \beta = \lambda$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$, is an S -metric on X .

2. Let X be a nonempty set and d_1, d_2 be two ordinary metrics on X . Then

$$S(x, y, z) = d_1(x, z) + d_2(y, z),$$

is an S -metric on X .

Lemma 2.3 [19] Let (X, S) be an S -metric space. Then, we have $S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x)$, $x, y \in X$.

For more detail of S -metric see the reference [20].

Definition 2.4 [20] Let (X, S) be an S -metric space and $A \subset X$.

1. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x if $S(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for $n \geq n_0$, $S(x_n, x_n, x) < \varepsilon$. This case, we denote by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ and we say that x is the limit of $\{x_n\}$ in X .
2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Cauchy sequence if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for each $n, m \geq n_0$.
3. The S -metric space (X, S) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Lemma 2.5 [20] Let (X, S) be an S -metric space. If there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, y_n) = S(x, x, y).$$

3 Main Results

Next we establish the following result in S-metric spaces.

Theorem 3.1 *Let A, B, C and T be self maps on a complete S-metric space (X, S) satisfying:*

(i) $A(X) \subseteq T(X), B(X) \subseteq C(X)$ and $T(X)$ or $C(X)$ is a closed subset of X ;

(ii) *there exist positive real numbers a, b, c, e such that $a + b + c + 3e < 1$ and for each $x, y, z \in X$,*

$$\begin{aligned} S(Ax, Ay, Bz) &\leq aS(Cx, Cx, Tz) + bS(Cx, Cx, Ax) + cS(Tz, Tz, Bz) \\ &\quad + e(S(Cx, Cx, Bz) + S(Tz, Tz, Ay)); \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *the pairs (A, C) and (B, T) are weakly compatible.*

Then A, B, C and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof 1 *Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . By (i), we can choose a point x_1 in X such that $y_0 = Ax_0 = Tx_1$ and $y_1 = Bx_1 = Cx_2$. In general, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that, $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Cx_{2n+2}$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We claim that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.*

By (ii), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} S(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= S(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq aS(Cx_{2n}, Cx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + bS(Cx_{2n}, Cx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) \\ &\quad + cS(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + e(S(Cx_{2n}, Cx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) + S(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n})) \\ &= aS(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + bS(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ &\quad + cS(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + e(S(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) + S(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, y_{2n})). \end{aligned}$$

If we put $d_n = S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})$, then by above inequality we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n} &\leq ad_{2n-1} + bd_{2n-1} + cd_{2n} + e(S(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) + 0) \\ &\leq ad_{2n-1} + bd_{2n-1} + cd_{2n} + e(2S(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + S(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$d_{2n} \leq ad_{2n-1} + bd_{2n-1} + cd_{2n} + 2ed_{2n-1} + ed_{2n}. \quad (1)$$

Hence we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n} &\leq \frac{a + b + 2e}{1 - c - e} d_{2n-1} \\ &= td_{2n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < t = \frac{a+b+2e}{1-c-e} < 1$.

Similarly, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n+1} &\leq \frac{a+c+2e}{1-e-b} d_{2n} \\ &= t' d_{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < t' = \frac{a+c+2e}{1-e-b} < 1$. If we set $k = \max\{t, t'\} < 1$, then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by above inequalities we get $d_n \leq k d_{n-1}$.

Hence,

$$d_n \leq k d_{n-1} \leq k^2 d_{n-2} \leq \cdots \leq k^n d_0. \quad (2)$$

That is,

$$S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq k^n S(y_0, y_0, y_1) \quad (3)$$

If $m \geq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} S(y_n, y_n, y_m) &\leq 2S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) + 2S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \cdots + 2S(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m) \\ &\leq 2k^n S(y_0, y_0, y_1) + 2k^{n+1} S(y_0, y_0, y_1) \cdots + 2k^{m-1} S(y_0, y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq \frac{2k^n}{1-k} S(y_0, y_0, y_1) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that, the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence and by the completeness of X , $\{y_n\}$ converges to $y \in X$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A x_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B x_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C x_{2n+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{2n+1} = y. \quad (4)$$

Let $T(X)$ be a closed subset of X , then there exists $v \in X$ such that $Tv = y$.

We now prove that $Bv = y$. By (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, Bv) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [aS(Cx_{2n}, Cx_{2n}, Tv) + bS(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, Cx_{2n}) \\ &\quad + cS(Bv, Bv, Tv) + e(S(Bv, Bv, Cx_{2n}) + S(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, Tv))] \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} S(y, y, Bv) &\leq aS(y, y, Tv) + bS(y, y, y) + cS(Bv, Bv, y) + e(S(Bv, Bv, y) + S(y, y, Tv)) \\ &< S(y, y, Bv). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $Bv = y = Tv$. Since B and T are two weakly compatible mappings, we have $BTv = TBv$ and so $By = Ty$.

Next, we prove that $By = y$. By (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, By) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [aS(Cx_{2n}, Cx_{2n}, Ty) + bS(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, Cx_{2n}) \\ &\quad + cS(By, By, Ty) + e(S(By, By, Cx_{2n}) + S(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, Ty))]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} S(y, y, By) &\leq aS(y, y, Ty) + bS(y, y, y) + cS(By, By, Ty) + e(S(By, By, y) + S(y, y, Ty)) \\ &< S(y, y, By) \end{aligned}$$

and so $By = y$.

Since $B(X) \subseteq C(X)$, there exists $w \in X$ such that $Cw = y$. We prove that $Aw = y$. By (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(Aw, Aw, By) &\leq aS(Cw, Cw, Ty) + bS(Aw, Aw, Cw) + cS(By, By, Ty) \\ &\quad + e(S(By, By, Cw) + S(Aw, Aw, Ty)) \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S(Aw, Aw, y) &\leq aS(y, y, y) + bS(Aw, Aw, y) + cS(y, y, Ty) \\ &\quad + e(S(y, y, y) + S(Aw, Aw, Ty)) \\ &< S(Aw, Aw, y). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $Aw = y$ and hence $Aw = Cw = y$. Since A and C are weakly compatible, then $ACw = CAw$ and so $Ay = Cy$.

Now, we prove that $Ay = y$. From (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(Ay, Ay, By) &\leq aS(Cy, Cy, Ty) + bS(Ay, Ay, Cy) + cS(By, By, Ty) \\ &\quad + e(S(By, By, Cy) + S(Ay, Ay, Ty)) \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S(Ay, Ay, y) &\leq aS(Cy, Cy, y) + bS(Ay, Ay, Cy) + cS(y, y, y) \\ &\quad + e(S(y, y, Cy) + S(Ay, Ay, y)) \\ &< S(Ay, Ay, y) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $Ay = y$ and therefore $Ay = Cy = By = Ty = y$. That is y is a common fixed point for A, B, C, T .

The proof is similar when $C(X)$ is assumed to be a closed subset of X .

Now to prove the uniqueness. Assume that x is another common fixed point of A, B, C and T . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(x, x, y) &= S(Ax, Ax, By) \\ &\leq aS(Cx, Cx, Ty) + bS(Ax, Ax, Cx) + cS(By, By, Ty) \\ &\quad + e(S(Cx, Cx, By) + S(Ax, Ax, Ty)) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} S(x, x, y) &\leq aS(x, x, y) + bS(x, x, x) + cS(y, y, y) + e(S(x, x, y) + S(x, x, y)) \\ &< S(x, x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows that $x = y$.

Corollary 3.2 *Let A and B be self maps on a complete S -metric space (X, S) satisfying: there exist positive real numbers a, b, c, e such that $a + b + c + 3e < 1$ and for each $x, y, z \in X$,*

$$S(Ax, Ay, Bz) \leq aS(x, x, z) + bS(x, x, Ax) + cS(z, z, Bz) + e(S(x, x, Bz) + S(z, z, Ay));$$

Then A and B have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof 2 *It is enough set $T = C = I$, identity map in Theorem 3.1.*

Here we introduce $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric. We describe the space along with some associated concepts in the following.

Definition 3.3 *A 3-tuple $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is called a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (non-empty) set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm and $\mathcal{M}$ is a fuzzy set on $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for each $x, y, z, a \in X$ and $t, s, r > 0$:*

- (1) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) > 0$,
- (2) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
- (3) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, \vee\{t, s, r\}) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, s) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, r)$ where $\vee\{t, s, r\} = \max\{t, s, r\}$,
- (4) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

Example 3.4 *Let $a * b = ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$, we define*

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = \exp^{-\frac{S(x, y, z)}{t}}, \quad (5)$$

*where S is an S -metric on set X . Then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space.*

Proof 3 (i) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) > 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$ is trivial.

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1 &\iff S(x, y, z) = 0 \\ &\iff x = y = z. \end{aligned}$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{Since } S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a),$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S(x, y, z)}{t \vee s \vee r} &\leq \frac{S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)}{t \vee s \vee r} \\ &\leq \frac{S(x, x, a)}{t} + \frac{S(y, y, a)}{s} + \frac{S(z, z, a)}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (iii) \quad \exp^{-\frac{S(x,y,z)}{t \vee s \vee r}} &\geq \exp^{-\{\frac{S(x,x,a)}{t} + \frac{S(y,y,a)}{s} + \frac{S(z,z,a)}{r}\}} \\ &= \exp^{-\frac{S(x,y,z)}{t}} \cdot \exp^{-\frac{S(x,x,a)}{s}} \cdot \exp^{-\frac{S(z,z,a)}{r}}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} (iii) \mathcal{M}(x,y,z,t \vee s \vee r) &\geq \mathcal{M}(x,x,a,t) \cdot \mathcal{M}(y,y,a,s) \cdot \mathcal{M}(z,z,a,r) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(x,x,a,t) * \mathcal{M}(y,y,a,s) * \mathcal{M}(z,z,a,r). \end{aligned}$$

$(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space.

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x if and only if $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x, t) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $t > 0$. It is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $t > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$ for each $n, m \geq n_0$. The $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

The following properties of $\mathcal{M}$ noted in the theorem below are easy consequences of the definition.

Lemma 3.5 *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space. Then*

- (1) $\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(y, y, x, t)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t)$ is nondecreasing with respect to t for each $x, y \in X$.

Proof 4 (i) For every $t \in (0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t \vee t \vee t) &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t) * \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, x, t) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(y, y, x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $\mathcal{M}(y, y, x, t) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t)$. That is $\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(y, y, x, t)$.

(ii) For every $t, s \in (0, \infty)$, let $t \geq s$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t \vee s \vee s) &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, t) * \mathcal{M}(x, x, x, s) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, x, s) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(y, y, x, s) = \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, s). \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.6 Let $a * b = ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and M_1 and M_2 be two fuzzy set on $X \times X \times (0, +\infty)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = M_1(x, z, t) * M_2(y, z, t), \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space.

Proof 5 (i) $\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) > 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $t > 0$ is trivial.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) } \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1 &\iff M_1(x, z, t) = M_2(y, z, t) = 1 \\ &\iff x = y = z. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let $t \geq s \geq r$, it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t \vee s \vee r) \\ = &\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) \\ = &M_1(x, z, t) * M_2(y, z, t) \\ \geq &M_1(x, a, t) * M_1(a, z, t) * M_2(y, a, t) * M_2(a, z, t) \\ \geq &M_1(x, a, t) * M_2(x, a, t) * M_1(y, a, t) * M_2(y, a, t) * M_1(z, a, t) * M_2(z, a, t) \\ = &\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t) \\ \geq &\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, s) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, r), \end{aligned}$$

$(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space.

Lemma 3.7 Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space. If sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x , then x is unique.

Proof 6 Let $\{x_n\}$ converges to x and y , then for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n \geq n_1 \implies \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x, t) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\forall n \geq n_2 \implies \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, y, t) > 1 - \varepsilon. \quad (8)$$

If set $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$, then for every $n \geq n_0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, x_n, t) * \mathcal{M}(x, x, x_n, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, x_n, t) \\ &> (1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in above inequality we get $\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) \geq 1$. Hence $\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) = 1$ so $x = y$.

Lemma 3.8 Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space. Then the convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is Cauchy.

Proof 7 Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ then for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exists $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n \geq n_1 \implies \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x, t) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad (9)$$

and

$$m \geq n_2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(x_m, x_m, x, t) > 1 - \varepsilon. \quad (10)$$

If set $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$, then for every $n, m \geq n_0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x_m, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_m, x_m, x, t) \\ &> (1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in above inequality we get $\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x_m, t) \geq 1$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Lemma 3.9 Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space. If there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, y_n, t) = \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t). \quad (11)$$

Proof 8 Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$, then for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n \geq n_1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x, t) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad (12)$$

and

$$\forall n \geq n_2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_n, y, t) > 1 - \varepsilon. \quad (13)$$

If set $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$, then for every $n \geq n_0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, y_n, t) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_n, x, t) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_n, y, t) * \mathcal{M}(y_n, y_n, y, t) * \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) \\ &> (1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon) * \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t). \end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in above inequality we get

$$\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, y_n, t) \geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t). \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, x_n, t) * \mathcal{M}(x, x, x_n, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, x_n, t) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, x_n, t) * \mathcal{M}(x, x, x_n, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, y_n, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, y_n, t) * \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, y_n, t) \\ &> (1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon) * (1 - \varepsilon) * \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, y_n, t), \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{M}(x, x, y, t) > \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, y_n, t). \quad (15)$$

Therefore by relations (14) and (15) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, y_n, t) = \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, y_n, t). \quad (16)$$

Lemma 3.10 *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space with $a * b \geq ab$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. If define $S : X^3 \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $S(x, y, z) = \int_0^1 \log_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t))dt$, then S is an S-metric on X for $0 < \alpha < 1$.*

Proof 9 *It is clear from the definition that $S(x, y, z)$ is well defined for each $x, y, z \in X$. (i) $S(x, y, z) \geq 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ is trivial.*

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad S(x, y, z) = 0 &\iff \log_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)) = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \\ &\iff \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0 \iff x = y = z. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (iv) \text{ Since } \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t) \\ &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t) \cdot \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t) \cdot \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t) \end{aligned}$$

it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} &S(x, y, z) \\ &= \int_0^1 \log_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t))dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \log_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t) \cdot \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t) \cdot \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t))dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \log_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t))dt + \int_0^1 \log_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t))dt + \int_0^1 \log_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t))dt \\ &= S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a) \end{aligned}$$

This proves that S is an S-metric on X .

The following lemma plays an important role to give fixed point results on a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space.

Lemma 3.11 *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space.*

*(a) $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in the S-metric space (X, S) .*

*(b) A $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is complete if and only if the S-metric space (X, S) is complete.*

Proof 10 *First we show that every Cauchy sequence in $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, S) . To this end let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. Then $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x_m, t) = 1$. Since*

$$S(x_n, x_n, x_m) = \int_0^1 \log_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x_m, t))dt,$$

is an S -metric. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, x_m) \\ &= \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \log_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x_m, t)) dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, S) . Next we prove that completeness of (X, S) implies completeness of $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. Indeed, if $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ then it is also a Cauchy sequence in (X, S) . Since the S -metric space (X, S) is complete we deduce that there exists $y \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, y) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 \log_\alpha(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, y, t)) dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, y) = 0.$$

Hence we follow that $\{x_n\}$ is a convergent sequence in $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$.

Now we prove that every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, S) is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. Since $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, S) , then

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \log_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x_m, t)) dt = 0.$$

Hence, $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_n, x_m, t) = 1$.

That is, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$.

We shall have established the lemma if we prove that (X, S) is complete if so is $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in (X, S) . Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$, and so it is convergent to a point $y \in X$ with

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{M}(x_n, x_m, y, t) = 1.$$

As a consequence we have

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_m, y) = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \log_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(x_n, x_m, y, t)) dt = 0.$$

Therefore (X, S) is complete.

Lemma 3.12 Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space with $a*b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. We define $S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $S(x, y, z) = \int_0^1 \cot(\frac{\pi}{2} \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)) dt$, then S is an S -metric on X .

Proof 11 (i) $S(x, y, z) \geq 0$ is trivial.

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad S(x, y, z) = 0 & \iff \cot(\frac{\pi}{2} \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)) = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \\ & \iff \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0 \iff x = y = z. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(iii) \quad \text{Since } \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t) \\
&= \min\{\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

and also since $0 < \frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(x, y, z) \\
&= \int_0^1 \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)\right)dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \cot\left[\frac{\pi}{2}(\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t))\right]dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \min\{\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t)\}\right)dt \\
&= \min\left\{\int_0^1 \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t)\right)dt, \int_0^1 \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t)\right)dt, \int_0^1 \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t)\right)dt\right\} \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t)\right)dt + \int_0^1 \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t)\right)dt + \int_0^1 \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t)\right)dt \\
&= S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a),
\end{aligned}$$

that is S is an S -metric on X .

Remark 3.13 Let $a, b \in (0, 1]$, then it is a standard result that

$$\text{Arccot}(\min\{a, b\}) \leq \text{Arccot}(a) + \text{Arccot}(b) - \frac{\pi}{4}$$

Lemma 3.14 Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space with $a*b = \min\{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. We define $S : X^3 \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $S(x, y, z) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \text{Arccot}(\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)) - 1\right)dt$, then S is an S -metric on X .

Proof 12 (i) $0 \leq S(x, y, z) < 1$ is trivial.

$$\begin{aligned}
(ii) \quad S(x, y, z) = 0 &\iff \frac{4}{\pi} \text{Arccot}(\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)) - 1 = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \\
&\iff \text{Arccot}(\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)) = \frac{\pi}{4} \text{ for all } t > 0. \\
&\iff \mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0 \iff x = y = z.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) &\geq \mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t) \\
&= \min\{\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t)\},
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Arccot}(\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)) \\
 & \leq \text{Arccot}[\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t) * \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t)] \\
 & = \text{Arccot}(\min\{\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t), \mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t), \mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t)\}) \\
 & \leq \text{Arccot}(\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t)) + \text{Arccot}(\mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t)) + \text{Arccot}(\mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t)) - \frac{\pi}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(x, y, z) &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \text{Arccot}(\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)) - 1 \right) dt \\
 &\leq \int_0^1 \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \text{Arccot}(\mathcal{M}(x, x, a, t)) - 1 \right) dt + \int_0^1 \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \text{Arccot}(\mathcal{M}(y, y, a, t)) - 1 \right) dt \\
 &\quad + \int_0^1 \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \text{Arccot}(\mathcal{M}(z, z, a, t)) - 1 \right) dt \\
 &= S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a),
 \end{aligned}$$

that is S is an S -metric on X .

We now apply the theorem 3.1 to prove the following fixed point result in $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric spaces.

Theorem 3.15 *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space with $d * f \geq df$ for all $d, f \in [0, 1]$. Let A, B, C and T be self maps on X satisfying:*

- (i) $A(X) \subseteq T(X), B(X) \subseteq C(X)$ and $T(X)$ or $C(X)$ is a closed subset of X ;
- (ii) *there exists positive real numbers a, b, c, e such that $a + b + c + 3e < 1$ and for each $x, y, z \in X$,*

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Bz, t) \geq \frac{\mathcal{M}^a(Cx, Cx, Tz, t) * \mathcal{M}^b(Cx, Cx, Ax, t)}{* \mathcal{M}^c(Tz, Tz, Bz, t) * [\mathcal{M}(Cx, Cx, Bz, t) * \mathcal{M}(Tz, Tz, Ay, t)]^e} ;$$

- (iii) *the pairs (A, C) and (B, T) are weakly compatible.*

Then A, B, C and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof 13 *From inequality (ii) above, we get,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 \log_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Bz, t)} dt \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 \log_{\alpha}^{\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}^a(Cx, Cx, Tz, t) * \mathcal{M}^b(Cx, Cx, Ax, t)}{* \mathcal{M}^c(Tz, Tz, Bz, t) * [\mathcal{M}(Cx, Cx, Bz, t) * \mathcal{M}(Tz, Tz, Ay, t)]^e} \right)} dt
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \int_0^1 \log_\alpha \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}^a(Cx, Cx, Tz, t) \mathcal{M}^b(Cx, Cx, Ax, t)}{\mathcal{M}^c(Tz, Tz, Bz, t) [\mathcal{M}^e(Cx, Cx, Bz, t) \mathcal{M}^e(Tz, Tz, Ay, t)]} \right) dt \\
 &= a \int_0^1 \log_\alpha^{\mathcal{M}(Cx, Cx, Tz, t)} dt + b \int_0^1 \log_\alpha^{\mathcal{M}(Cx, Cx, Ax, t)} dt \\
 &\quad + c \int_0^1 \log_\alpha^{\mathcal{M}(Tz, Tz, Bz, t)} dt + e \left(\int_0^1 \log_\alpha^{\mathcal{M}(Cx, Cx, Bz, t)} dt + \int_0^1 \log_\alpha^{\mathcal{M}(Tz, Tz, Ay, t)} dt \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

If set $S(x, y, z) = \int_0^1 \log_\alpha^{\mathcal{M}(x, y, z, t)} dt$ for every $x, y, z \in X$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(Ax, Ay, Bz) &\leq aS(Cx, Cx, Tz) + bS(Cx, Cx, Ax) + cS(Tz, Tz, Bz) \\
 &\quad + e(S(Cx, Cx, Bz) + S(Tz, Tz, Ay)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 3.14 all of conditions Theorem 3.1 hold. Thus A, B, C and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Corollary 3.16 Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, *)$ be a complete $\mathcal{M}$ -fuzzy metric space with $d * f \geq df$ for all $d, f \in [0, 1]$. Let A and B be self maps on X satisfying: there exists positive real numbers a, b, c, e such that $a + b + c + 3e < 1$ and for each $x, y, z \in X$,

$$\mathcal{M}(Ax, Ay, Bz, t) \geq \frac{\mathcal{M}^a(x, x, z, t) * \mathcal{M}^b(x, x, Ax, t)}{* \mathcal{M}^c(z, z, Bz, t) * [\mathcal{M}(x, x, Bz, t) * \mathcal{M}(z, z, Ay, t)]^e},$$

Then A and B have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof 14 It is enough set $T = C = I$, identity map in Theorem 3.15.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily, D. O'regan, *Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Appl. Anal. 87 (1)(2008) 109-116.
- [2] Lj. Ćirić, D. Mihet, R. Saadati, *Monotone generalized contractions in partiality ordered probabilistic metric spaces*, Topology and its Applications (2009) doi: 10. 1016/j. na. 2009. 08. 029.
- [3] M. Demmaa, R. Saadati, P. Vetro, *Fixed Point Results on b-Metric Space via Picard Sequences and b-Simulation Functions*, Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics Vol. 11, No. 1 (2016), pp 123-136.
- [4] Fang JX. *On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces*. Fuzzy Sets Sys 46(1992), 107-113.

- [5] George A, Veeramani P. On some result in fuzzy metric space. *Fuzzy Sets Syst* 64(1994), 395–399.
- [6] Gregori V, Sapena A. On fixed-point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Sys* 125(2002), 245–252.
- [7] Hadgic O. Common fixed point theorems for families of mapping in complete metric space, *Math. Japon.* 29 (1984); 127-134.
- [8] Jungck G. Commuting mappings and fixed points, *Amer Math. Monthly.*, 83, (1976), 261-263.
- [9] Jungck G. Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 9, (1986), 771-779.
- [10] Jungck G. Common fixed points of commuting and compatible maps on compacta, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 103(1988), 977-983.
- [11] Kang S.M., Cho Y.J. and Jungck G. Common fixed point of compatible mappings, *Internet. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 13(1990), 61-66.
- [12] Jong Kyu Kim, Shaban Sedghi, A. Gholidahneh, M. Mahdi Rezaee, Fixed point theorems in S -metric spaces, *East Asian Math. J.*, Vol. 32 (2016), No. 5, pp. 677-684.
- [13] Kramosil I, Michalek J. Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces. *Kybernetika* 11(1975), 326–34.
- [14] Mishra S.N. Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-spaces, *Math. Japonica.* 36(2)(1991); 283-289.
- [15] Mihet D. A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Sys* 144(2004), 431–439.
- [16] Mihet D. Fuzzy ψ -contractive mappings in non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 159(2008)739-744.
- [17] Rodríguez López J, Ramaguera S. The Hausdorff fuzzy metric on compact sets. *Fuzzy Sets Sys* 147(2004), 273–283.
- [18] Rhoades B.E. Common fixed point theorems for nonself Quasi-Contraction Mappings Varohmihir *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* Vol. 2 No.I (2002), 11-14
- [19] S. Sedghi, I. Altun, N. Shobe, M. A. Salahshour, Some Properties of S -metric Spaces and Fixed Point Results, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 54(2014), 113-122 <http://dx.doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2014.54.1.113>.

- [20] S. Sedghi, NV. Dung: Fixed point theorems on S -metric spaces. *Mat. Vensnik* 66, 113-124 (2014).
- [21] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in S -metric spaces, *Mat. Vanik* 64 (2012), 258-266.
- [22] Shaban Sedghi, Nabi Shobe and Tatjana Dosenovic, Fixed point results in S -metric spaces, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis And Applications*, Vol. 20, No. 1 (2015), pp. 55-67.
- [23] Sedghi S., Choudhury B. S. and Shobe N., Unique common fixed point theorem for four weakly compatible mappings in complete fuzzy metric spaces, *J. Fuzzy. Math.*, (To appear).
- [24] Vasuki R. Common fixed points for R -weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces. *Indian J Pure Appl Math.* 30(1999), 419–423.
- [25] Vasuki R, Veeramani P. Fixed point theorems and Cauchy sequences in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Sys* 135(2003), 409–413.
- [26] Xieping D. Common fixed point theorem of commuting mappings in PM-spaces, *Kexue Tongbao* 29(1984), 147-150.

Received: November 23, 2017