Phylogenetics Analysis Of Tp53 Gene In Humans And Its Use In Biosensors For Breast Cancer Diagnosis

Sara da Silva Nascimento, Pierre Teodósio Félix*

Laboratory of Population Genetics and Computational Evolutionary Biology, UNIVISA, Vitória de Santo Antão, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Biosensors are small devices that use biological reactions to detect target analytes. Such devices combine a biological component with a physical transducer, which converts bio-recognition processes into measurable signals. Its use brings a number of advantages, as they are highly sensitive and selective, relatively easy in terms of development, as well as accessible and ready to use. Biosensors can be of direct detection, using a non-catalytic ligand, such as cell receptors and antibodies, or indirect detection, in which there is the use of fluorescently marked antibodies or catalytic elements, such as enzymes. They also appear as bio-affinity devices, depending only on the selective binding of the target analyte to the ligative attached to the surface (e.g., oligonucleotide probe). The objectives were to evaluate the levels of genetic diversity existing in fragments of the TP53 gene deposited in molecular databases and to study its viability as a biosensor in the detection of breast cancer. The methodology used was to recover and analyze 301 sequences of a fragment of the TP53 gene of humans from GENBANK, which, after being aligned with the MEGA software version 6.06, were tested for the phylogenetic signal using TREE-PUZZLE 5.2. Trees of maximum likelihood were generated through PAUP version 4.0b10 and the consistency of the branches was verified with the bootstrap test with 1000 pseudo-replications. After aligning, 783 of the 791 sites remained conserved. The maximum likelihood had a slight manifestation since the gamma distribution used 05 categories + G for the evolutionary rates between sites with (0.90 0.96, 1.00, 1.04 and 1.10 substitutions per site). To estimate ML values, a tree topology was automatically computed with a maximum Log of -1058,195 for this calculation. All positions containing missing gaps or data were deleted, leaving a total of 755 sites in the final dataset. The evolutionary history was represented by consensus trees generated by 500 replications, which according to neighbor-join and BioNJ algorithms set up a matrix with minimal distances between haplotypes, corroborating the high degree of conservation for the TP53 gene. GENE TP53 seems to be a strong candidate in the construction of Biosensors for breast cancer diagnosis in human populations.

Keywords: TP53; Biosensors; Diagnosis of breast cancer; Phylogeny; AMOVA

INTRODUCTION

Biosensors are small devices that use biological reactions to detect target analytes (WANG). Such devices combine a biological component, which interacts with a target substrate, to a physical transducer, which converts bio-recognition processes into measurable signals (WANG; PATHAK et al). Its use brings a number of advantages, as they are highly sensitive and selective, relatively easy in terms of development, as well as accessible and ready to use. However, there are certain limitations, such as electrochemically active interferences in the sample, little long-term stability, and electron transfer problems (MEHRVAR; ABDI, 2004; SONG et al). Biosensors can be direct detection (direct detection sensor or non-reticulated system), in which biological interaction is measured directly, using a non-catalytic ligand, such as cell receptors and antibodies, or indirect detection (marked sensor or reticulated system), in which there is the use of fluorescently marked antibodies or catalytic elements, such as enzymes. The crosslinked system has greater stability and is simpler to use, but the non-reticulated system has better sensitivity, shorter operating time and lower costs. There are two types of biosensors, depending on the nature of the recognition event. Bio affinity devices, which depend on the selective binding of the target analyte to the ligand attached to the surface (e.g., antibody or oligonucleotide probe) and bioanalytical devices, in which an immobilized enzyme is used for target substrate recognition (WANG). Based on this information, the objective of this work was to present a review of bibliography describing the structure, functioning and applicability of biosensors in various technological areas.

Correspondence to: Pierre Teodósio Félix, Laboratory of Population Genetics and Computational Evolutionary Biology, UNIVISA, Vitória de Santo Antão, Brazil, Email: pierrefelix@univisa.edu.br

Received: February 01, 2021, Accepted: February 16, 2021, Published: February 23, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Nascimento SS, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Nascimento SS, Félix PT (2021) Phylogenetics Analysis Of Tp53 Gene In Humans And Its Use In Biosensors For Breast Cancer Diagnosis. GJLSBR.6:1.

OBJECTIVES

General

To evaluate the levels of genetic diversity existing in fragments of the TP53 gene deposited in molecular databases.

Specifics

Evaluate the levels of polymorphism in the gene encoding the TP53 protein and develop methodologies that allow the investigation of patterns of genetic variability for this gene.

METHODOLOGY

Patient characteristics

Initially, 301 sequences of a fragment of the human TP53 gene recovered from GENBANK (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/popset/430765060) and participated in a PopSet made available by Hao, X.D and collaborators in 2013 (PopSet: 430765060) were recovered and analyzed.

Analyses

After alignment with the mega software version 4.0 the phylogenetic signal will be tested using TREE-PUZZLE 5.2 (SHIMIDT). Trees of maximum likelihood will be generated through PAUP version 4.0b10 and to evaluate the consistency of the branches, the bootstrap test with 1000 pseudo-replications will be used. For the visualization of variable sites, logos will be generated through the Weblogo3 program [1].The analysis of the number of populations will be performed with the Structure 2.3 program (PRITCHARD, 2000) and two different methods are tested: a posteriori probability and ad hoc (k). The "a posteriori" probability will be calculated using an ancestry model with mixed alleles for 20,000 interactions in the burn-in period, followed by 200,000 Monte Carlo interactions via Markov Chain, increasing only the K value (number of populations), which will be from 1 to 10 according to Pritchard's methodology (2000).

The Evanno method (2005) [2] will be used to determine the most appropriate number of populations for the dataset, using an ad hoc amount based on the second-order rate of the likelihood function between the successive values of K. Posteriori and k probability tests will initially be applied to the dataset in isolation. For the analysis of genetic variability, a project will be created with the Arlequin Software 3.1 (EXCOFFIER et al.) [3]. which aims to measure molecular diversity using standard estimators such as Theta (Hom, S, k, Pi), Tajima Neutrality test, paired and individual FST values, in addition to temporal divergence and demographic expansion indices (mismatch and Tau values) by molecular variance analysis (AMOVA) [4,5]. In this method, the distance matrix between all haplotype pairs will be used in a hierarchical variance analysis scheme producing estimates of variance components analogous to Wright's F statistics involving nonlinear transformations of the original information in estimates of genetic diversity. Mantel's Z statistic will be used to represent the divergence between possible microhabitats using the MULTIVAR (Mantel for Windows) program (MANTEL) [6-8].

RESULTS

After being aligned, 783 of the 791 sites remained conserved. The maximum 103 likelihood had a discrete manifestation for the

OPEN OACCESS Freely available online

gamma distribution with 05 categories + 104 G for the evolutionary rates between sites with 0.90 0.96, 1.00, 1.04 and 1.10 substitutions 105 per site. Nucleotide frequencies were A=24.37%, T/U=22.12%, C=23.58% and G=106 29.93%. For ML values, a tree topology was automatically computed with a maximum 107 Log of -1058, 195 for this calculation (Figures 1a and 1b). All positions containing 108 missing gaps or data were deleted, leaving a total of 755 sites in the final dataset (MEHRVAR; ABDI; PATHAK et al; LIU et al,) [9,10].



Figure 1: The analysis involved 301 nucleotide sequences. The codon positions included were 1st+ 2nd+3rd+Non-coding. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 755 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were performed in MEGA6. Cut showing the details of the haplotypes in the ML tree.

The evolutionary history was represented by consensus trees generated with 1,000 replications, which according to the algorithms of Neighbor-Join and BioNJ, (SWOFFORD) [11-13] Wang set up a matrix of distance between the haplotypes that corroborated the high degree of conservation for the gene. For molecular variance tests, the 301 sequences were divided 126 into 07 groups (04b, 05c, c85, 98c-1, a9cl, cn160 and a125c) that did not present levels [14-16] of molecular diversity (0.05) (Figure 2a, 2b), as well as in the Ewens-Watterson, Chakraborty, Tajima D and Fu Fs tests (Table 1). In the FST tests, the only important variations were found within group's c85 and 04b with 0.73 and 0.39 respectively (Figure 3 and Figure 4).



Figure 2a: Graphic representation of molecular diversity indices in groups 04 B, 05 C, C 85, 98C-1, A9CL, CN160, A125C. *Generated by the statistical package in R language using the output data of the Arlequin software version 3.5.1.2.



Figure 2b: Representation of the haplotypic distance matrix among the 301 sequences studied. *Generated by the statistical package in R language using the output data of the Arlequin software version 3.5.1.2.



Figure 3: Matrix of genetic distance based on FST among the seven populations. * Generated by the statistical package in R language using the output data of the Arlequin software version 3.5.1.2.



Figure 4: Matrix of paired differences between the populations studied: between the groups, within groups, and Nei distance for the seven groups. *Generated by the statistical package in R language using the output data of the Arlequin software version 3.5.1.2.

Table 1: Neutrality test for the seven groups.

Statistics		04B	05C	C85	98C-1	A9CL	CN160	A125C	Mean	S.D.
Test										
by Ewens-Watterson										
	Sample size	43	43	66	1	1	67	80	43	31.62
	No. of alleles (unchecked)	43	43	66	1	1	67	80	43	31.62
	Observed F value	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	EExpected F value	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Watterson test: Pr(rand $F \leq obs F$)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Slatkin's exact test P-value	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Test by Chakraborty										
Sample size 43			43	66	1	1	67	80	43	31.62
No. of alleles (unchecked) 43			43	66	1	1	67	80	43	31.62
Obs. homozygosity 0.00			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exp. no. of alleles 2.43			2.52	3.9	0	0	1.9	1.99	1.82	1.4
P(k or more alleles) NA			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Test by D de Tajima										
	Sample size	43	43	66	1	1	67	80	43	31.62
	S	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	1.28	2.62
	Pi	0.37	0.39	0.73	0	0	0.2	0.21	0.27	0.25
Tajima's D 0.00			0	-1.25	0	0.00 -0.86		0		
Tajima's D p-value 1.00			1	0.09	1	1.00 0.19		0		
Test by FS de Fu	No. of alleles(unchecked)	43	43	66	1	1	67	80	43.00	31.62

CONCLUSION

The results presented suggest that the TP53 gene is a strong candidate in the construction of biosensors for the diagnosis of breast cancer in human populations, since its polymorphism levels are not significant and its molecular diversity indexes are unimpressive. Further analyses are still underway and we will soon have even more robust results corroborating our hypothesis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank my advisor Prof. Dr. Pierre Teodósio Félix; to UNIVISA for the availability and disposition of resources for the development of this work; to colleagues in the Lab for patience and to Laboratory of Population Genetics and Computational Evolutionary Biology -LaBECom.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

To authors Xiao-Dan and collaborators by the availability of sequences in the public databank.

REFERENCES

- Crooks GE., Hon G, Chandonia JM, Weblogo BS. A sequence logo generator. Genome Research. 2004; 14:1188-1190.
- Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software structure: a simulation study. Mol. Ecol. 2005;14:2611–2620.
- Excoffier L, Lischer H. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2010;10:564-567.
- Excoffier, L, Smouse P, Quattro J. Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to 245 human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. Genetics.1992; 131:479-491.

- Felsenstein J. Confidence limits on phylogenies An approach using the bootstrap. Evolution. 1992;39:783-791.
- Hao XD, Yang Y, Song X. Correlation of telomere length shortening with TP53 somatic mutations, polymorphisms and allelic loss in breast tumors and 250 esophageal cancer. Oncol Rep. 2013;29(1):226-236. doi:10.3892/ or.2012.2098.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, LI M, Knyaz C, Tamura K, Mega X. Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2018;35:1547-1549.
- 8. Mantel N. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. Cancer Res. 1967;27:209-220.
- Mehrvar M, Abdi M. Recent Developments, Characteristics, and Potential Applications of Electrochemical Biosensors. Analytical Sciences,v.20, 2004;Pp:1113-1126.
- Pathak P, Katiyar, VK, Giri S. Cancer Research Nanoparticles, Nanobiosensors and Their Use in Cancer Research. AZojono, 2004.
- 11. Pritchard JK, Stephens P, Donnelly P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics. 2000;155: 945–959.
- Schmidt JK. Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. J Applied Psychol. 2002;87(2):268-279.
- Swofford DL. PAUP* phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods) Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts (2002).
- Wang G. A Living Cell Quartz Crystal Microbalance Biosensor for Continuous Monitoring of Cytotoxic Responses of Macrophages to Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. Particle and Fibre Toxicology, v.8, n.4, (2011).
- 15. Wang J. From DNA Biosensors to Gene Chips. Nucleic Acids Research, v.28, n.16, p.3011-3016, (2000).
- Wang Y. Electrochemical Sensors for Clinic Analysis. Sensors, v.8, ,2007;pp:2043-2081.