On the *n*-th Derivative of the Incomplete Zeta Functions

Banyat Sroysang

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Thammasat University, Pathumthani 12121 Thailand banyat@mathstat.sci.tu.ac.th

Abstract

New inequalities involving the n-th derivative of the incomplete zeta function are presented.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15

Keywords: Incomplete zeta function, inequality, derivative

1 Introduction

For any $0 \le a < b$ and s > 1, the incomplete zeta function $\xi_{a,b}$ (see [1]) is defined by

$$\xi_{a,b}(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1} dt,$$

where Γ is the gamma function.

Now, for any $0 \le a < b$, we define $h_{a,b}(x) = \Gamma(x)\xi_{a,b}(x)$ for all x > 1. Then, for any $0 \le a < b$,

$$h_{a,b}(x) = \int_a^b \frac{t^{x-1}}{e^t - 1} dt$$

for all x > 1.

We note on the *n*-th derivative of $h_{a,b}$ that, for any $0 \le a < b$,

$$h_{a,b}^{(n)}(x) = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(\log_{e} t)^{n} t^{x-1}}{e^{t} - 1} dt$$

for all x > 1.

In this paper, new inequalities involving the n-th derivative of the incomplete zeta function are presented.

10 Banyat Sroysang

2 Results

Theorem 2.1. Let $0 \le a < b$ and y > 0 and let n be a positive odd integer. Then

$$h_{a,b}^{(n)}(x+y) \ge h_{a,b}^{(n)}(x) \tag{1}$$

for all x > 1.

Proof. For any x > 1,

$$h_{a,b}^{(n)}(x+y) - h_{a,b}^{(n)}(x) = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(\log_{e} t)^{n} t^{x+y-1}}{e^{t} - 1} dt - \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(\log_{e} t)^{n} t^{x-1}}{e^{t} - 1} dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(\log_{e} t)^{n}}{e^{t} - 1} \left(t^{x+y-1} - t^{y-1} \right) dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(\log_{e} t)^{n-1}}{e^{t} - 1} (\log_{e} t) \left(t^{x+y-1} - t^{y-1} \right) dt$$

$$\geq 0.$$

This implies the inequality (1).

Corollary 2.2. Let n be a positive odd integer and $0 \le a < b$. Then $h_{a,b}^{(n)}$ is non-decreasing.

Proof. Let z > x > 1. Then z = x + y for some y > 0. By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$h_{a,b}^{(n)}(x) \le h_{a,b}^{(n)}(x+y) = h_{a,b}^{(n)}(z).$$

Hence, $h_{a,b}^{(n)}$ is non-decreasing.

Corollary 2.3. Let $0 \le a < b$. Assume that $1 < x \le z$. Then

$$\Gamma(z)\xi'_{a,b}(z) - \xi_{a,b}(x)\Gamma'(x) \ge \Gamma(x)\xi'_{a,b}(x) - \xi_{a,b}(z)\Gamma'(z).$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, we have $h'_{a,b}(x) \leq h'_{a,b}(z)$. Then

$$\Gamma(x)\xi'_{a,b}(x) + \xi_{a,b}(x)\Gamma'(x) \le \Gamma(z)\xi'_{a,b}(z) + \xi_{a,b}(z)\Gamma'(z).$$

Then

$$\Gamma(x)\xi'_{a,b}(x) - \xi_{a,b}(z)\Gamma'(z) \le \Gamma(z)\xi'_{a,b}(z) - \xi_{a,b}(x)\Gamma'(x).$$

Theorem 2.4. Let $0 \le a < b$ and $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n > 1$ and let $k_1, k_2, ..., k_n$ be non-negative even integers and let $k = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$. Then

$$\left(h_{a,b}^{(k)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{n}\right)\right)^n \le \prod_{i=1}^{n} h_{a,b}^{(nk_i)}(x_i).$$
(2)

Proof. By the assumption,

$$h_{a,b}^{(k)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{n}\right) = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(\log_{e} t)^{k} t^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{n}\right)-1}}{e^{t} - 1} dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(\log_{e} t)^{k} t^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{n}\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n}\right)}}{e^{t} - 1} dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(\log_{e} t)^{k} t^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}-1}{n}}}{e^{t} - 1} dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(\log_{e} t)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}} t^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}-1}{n}}}{((e^{t} - 1)^{1/n})^{n}} dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (\log_{e} t)^{k_{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} t^{\frac{x_{i}-1}{n}}}{((e^{t} - 1)^{1/n})^{n}} dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(\log_{e} t)^{k_{i}} t^{\frac{x_{i}-1}{n}}}{(e^{t} - 1)^{1/n}} dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{(\log_{e} t)^{n} k_{i} t^{x_{i}-1}}{e^{t} - 1}\right)^{1/n} dt.$$

By the generalized Hölder inequality,

$$h_{a,b}^{(k)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{n} \right) \le \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{a}^{b} \frac{(\log_e t)^{nk_i} t^{x_i - 1}}{e^t - 1} dt \right)^{1/n}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(h^{(nk_i)}(x_i) \right)^{1/n}$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} h^{(nk_i)}(x_i) \right)^{1/n}.$$

This implies the inequality (2).

12 Banyat Sroysang

Corollary 2.5. Let $0 \le a < b$ and x > 1 and let $k_1, k_2, ..., k_n$ be non-negative even integers and let $k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i$. Then

$$\left(h_{a,b}^{(k)}(x)\right)^n \le \prod_{i=1}^n h_{a,b}^{(nk_i)}(x).$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.4 in case $x_1 = x_2 = ... = x_n$

Theorem 2.6. Let 1 < a < b and $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n > 1$ and let $k_1, k_2, ..., k_n$ be non-negative integers and let $k = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$. Then

$$\left(h_{a,b}^{(k)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i}{n}\right)\right)^n \le \prod_{i=1}^{n} h_{a,b}^{(nk_i)}(x_i).$$
(3)

Proof. This proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Corollary 2.7. Let 1 < a < b and x > 1 and let $k_1, k_2, ..., k_n$ be non-negative integers and let $k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i$. Then

$$\left(h_{a,b}^{(k)}(x)\right)^n \le \prod_{i=1}^n h_{a,b}^{(nk_i)}(x).$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.6 in case $x_1 = x_2 = ... = x_n$

References

[1] W. T. Sulaiman, Turan inequalities for the Riemann zeta functions, AIP Conf. Proc., **1389** (2011), 1793–1797.

Received: December, 2012